

Illustrations

Figures

- 1.1.** Northwestern North America with three regional concentrations of geological and archaeological native copper encircled. © Matthew Pike. 17
- 1.2.** Native copper sources and archaeological finds in southcentral Alaska and southwestern Yukon. © Matthew Pike. 22
- 1.3.** Knife blades from the Gulkana Site (GUL-00077). Courtesy of the Office of History and Archaeology, Alaska Department of Natural Resources and University of Alaska Museum of the North. © H. Kory Cooper. 23
- 1.4.** Native copper sources and archaeological finds for Northwest Territories and Nunavut. © Matthew Pike. 24
- 1.5.** Native copper sources and archaeological finds for the Northwest Coast and Plateau. © Matthew Pike. 26
- 2.1.** A generalized culture history of the Eastern North American Arctic. © Patrick Jolicoeur. 42
- 2.2.** Map of potential metal sources in the Eastern North American Arctic. © Patrick Jolicoeur. 44
- 2.3.** Thickness measurements for metal and lithic blades from Dorset and Inuit sites. © Patrick Jolicoeur. 49
- 2.4.** Blade slot thickness measurements for harpoon head and lances from Dorset and Inuit sites. Note: Somerset Island data includes arrowheads as well. © Patrick Jolicoeur. 51
- 2.5.** Blade slot thickness measurements for end-hafted handles from Dorset and Inuit sites. Note: this does not include ulu blade slots. © Patrick Jolicoeur. 52
- 3.1.** Cut surfaces of two Havana meteoritic metal beads with a 1 cm cube for scale. The bead on the left (7.8 g mass) is cut perpendicular

- to the center hole revealing significant infilling and coating of remnant metal by hydrated iron oxides formed during weathering on Earth, while the right bead (4.6 g mass) is parallel to the central hole and exhibits a relatively thin rind of oxides. © The authors. 61
- 3.2.** Slice of the Anoka iron meteorite 26 cm in length, exhibiting a fine cross-hatched Widmanstätten pattern and containing large schreibersites within and cross-cutting the specimen. Smithsonian specimen USNM 6930. Photograph courtesy of the Smithsonian Institution. 64
- 3.3.** Reflected light image of a polished section of replicate meteoritic metal bead illustrating the deformed Widmanstätten pattern wrapped around the central hole. The width of the bead is 1 cm at the outer edge. © The authors. 66
- 3.4.** Kamacite subgrains within the unaltered Anoka, Minnesota, iron meteorite exhibit a wide range of sizes from 181–490 μm , whereas those in replication experiments made using lithics have small subgrains over a restricted range of sizes, and those made with steel tools have a wider range of grain sizes, suggesting that the method of replication is critical to reproducing the metallographic structure. © The authors. 67
- 3.5.** Replicate meteoritic metal bead made by repeated cycles of heating and hammering followed by rolling the flattened sheet. The surface was abraded using chert and Hixton silicified sandstone to expose the reflective interior. Scale bar is 1 cm on a side. © The authors. 69
- 3.6.** Reflected light images of metallographic evidence for bending and fatigue failure in replicate experiment on the Anoka, Minnesota, iron (*top*) and Hopewell Havana, Illinois, bead (*bottom*). Note that the Havana specimen was not prepared to a high metallographic finish and exhibits numerous scratches and imperfections. © The authors. 71
- 4.1.** Map showing the location of the Middle Atlantic Region.
© Gregory D. Lattanzi. 76
- 4.2.** Ross (1935) map showing locations of native copper deposits.
© Gregory D. Lattanzi. 78
- 4.3.** Descriptive system of bead types constructed by Lattanzi. © Gregory D. Lattanzi. 85

4.4. Visual of measurements' locations on beads included in this study. © Gregory D. Lattanzi.	86
5.1. Schematic map of Poverty Point, Louisiana. Adapted from Sassaman 2005: Figure 1. © Matthew C. Sanger.	98
5.2. Location of selected shell rings and Poverty Point. © Matthew C. Sanger.	99
5.3. Outline of shell deposits at Claiborne (<i>left</i>) and McQueen (<i>right</i>) shell rings and citations to relevant publications detailing prior copper finds. © Matthew C. Sanger.	100
5.4. Map of major sources of copper and location of key sites in text. © Matthew C. Sanger.	103
6.1. Native copper deposits. © Ryan Edward Peterson.	117
6.2. Stages of copper production. © Ryan Edward Peterson.	121
6.3. Archaeological site density along waterways in Northwestern Ontario. © Ryan Edward Peterson.	123
6.4. Stages of copper production. © Ryan Edward Peterson.	127
6.5. Copper production cycle. © Ryan Edward Peterson.	130
7.1. (a) Examples of Osceola/Raddatz flaked stone points analyzed in the present study. (b) Examples of stemmed tang copper points analyzed in the present study. Photos taken by Michelle R. Bebber and Metin I. Eren with permission from artifacts housed at the Milwaukee Public Museum. © The authors.	143
7.2. Illustration of how the stone and copper points were analyzed in the present study. The plan-view morphometric variables recorded on each specimen included length; maximum width; and width at 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% of length. The profile-view morphometric variables recorded on each specimen included thickness at 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% of length. © The authors.	144
7.3. Box-and-scatter plots comparing mass and size in the stone versus copper points. Boxes indicate 25 to 75 percent quartiles and horizontal bars indicate median values. In each case, mass (Mann-Whitney U = 1145.5, $p = < 0.0001$) and size (Mann-Whitney U = 1799, $p = 0.0001$) are significantly different in both the stone and copper points. © Stephen J. Lycett.	145

7.4. PCA plot for shape (size-adjusted) data. Copper points = light gray triangles, stone points = black triangles. Stone point shape is more standardized than is the case for copper points. Cumulatively, PCs 1 and 2 account for just over 95 percent of variability among all artifacts. © Stephen J. Lycett.	146
7.5. Number of stone versus copper points from different counties in Wisconsin. © The authors.	149
8.1. Location of sites used in the mortuary analysis: (1) Middle Archaic Oconto Site, (2) Middle-Late Archaic Reigh Site, (3) Late-Terminal Archaic Riverside Site. © Michelle R. Bebbler.	167
9.1. Top: replica copper conical points hafted onto darts. Bottom: replica side-notched stone points hafted onto darts. These images were taken after the experiment. Photographs by Metin I. Eren. © The authors.	178
9.2. Each atlatlist was approximately five meters away from the hog carcass. Dart velocity was recorded with a radar gun. Pictured here: Bob Berg (<i>left</i>) and Michelle Bebbler (<i>right</i>). Photograph by Metin I. Eren. © The authors.	181
9.3. Left: example of a copper conical point penetration. Right: example of stone point penetration. Photographs by Metin I. Eren. © The authors.	182
9.4. Left: differences in penetration depth between the point types. Right: differences in TCSP between the point types. © Jonathan Paige.	184
9.5. Left: posterior predictions for depth based on population level interactions between material type and TCSP. Shaded areas represent 95 percent marginal prediction intervals. Middle: posterior prediction intervals for the effect of momentum on depth achieved, with an interaction between copper and stone. The effect is very weak. Right: posterior predictions for depth based on population level interactions between shot number and material type. Shaded areas represent 95 percent marginal prediction intervals. © Jonathan Paige.	189
9.6. Left: summary of depths achieved as a result of different projectile velocities across the three atlatlists. There is little relationship between velocity and depth achieved. Right: distributions of depths achieved across the three atlatlists. © Jonathan Paige.	191
10.1. Map of Newfoundland with sites and places mentioned in the text. © The authors.	203

10.2. Beothuk iron-stemmed point. © The authors.	211
10.3. Ancestral Beothuk lithic projectile points from the Stock Cove and Inspector Island sites on the island of Newfoundland. © The authors.	213
10.4. Replicas of Beothuk iron objects in relation to Mclean's (2003) book on the subject, which is 17 cm wide. Top: object is a deer spear replica made from an iron trap part. Bottom: replicas are made from cut nails and are similar to Beothuk arrow points. All made by Christopher Wolff. © The authors.	214
11.1. A map of the island of Newfoundland and Labrador, eastern Canada. © Christopher Wolff.	222
11.2. Examples of ancestral Beothuk lithic projectile points. Beaches complex is associated with larger, side-notched points and the Little Passage complex is associated with smaller, corner-notched points. © Amanda Samuels.	223
11.3. Top: the replica arrows with lithic and iron points used in the experiment at Kent State University. Bottom: an example of a projectile having penetrated the clay and caribou pelt target and the chronograph used to measure the velocity of the projectiles. © Amanda Samuels.	227
11.4. Measured depths of stone and metal points in cases where clay blocks were covered with hide and without. © Metin Eren.	229
11.5. Left: model predictions for the conditional effects of point type and presence or absence of hide on depth achieved. These represent the estimated depth achieved and their 95 percent CI. Right: summary of interactions between point type, presence or absence of hide, and shot number. These effects are presented in two conditions, one showing model expectations at shot number five (<i>left</i>), and one showing model expectations at shot number twenty-five (<i>right</i>). Later shots may tend to achieve greater penetration depths. © Jonathan Paige.	235

Tables

2.1. Descriptive statistics of blade thickness measurements for copper, iron, and lithic blades from Dorset and Inuit contexts (mm). (Data from Franklin et al. 1981; Whitridge 1999; Gullason 1999; Jolicoeur 2021a.)	49
---	----

2.2. Descriptive statistics of harpoon head, lance, and arrowhead blade slot thickness measurements from Dorset and Inuit contexts (mm). Note: the Dorset measurements are derived from harpoon heads while the Inuit measurements are from harpoon heads, lances, and arrowheads.	50
2.3. Descriptive statistics of end-hafted knife blade slot thickness measurements from Dorset and Inuit contexts (mm). Note: Inuit data does not include ulu measurements.	51
4.1. Copper bead types and definitions by previous researchers.	84
4.2. Table showing the mean, standard deviation, correlation of coefficient, and the corrected correlation of coefficient for the bead measurements from the archaeological sites in the text.	88
6.1. Amount of copper waste for each stage of production. © Ryan Edward Peterson.	127
8.1a. Reigh site combined mortuary data. Number of burials with and without grave goods sorted by age and sex. Percentages calculated from excavated burial population consisting of eighty individuals. (Data compiled from field reports of Baerries et al. 1954; Ostberg 1956; Ritzenthaler et al. 1957. Cross-referenced with skeletal analysis of Pfeiffer 1977.)	162
8.1b. Reigh site mortuary data including only the skeletal analysis by Pfeiffer (1977) who only examined burials from Baerries's (1954) excavations. Number of burials with and without grave goods sorted by age and sex. Percentages calculated only from the portion of the burial population (n=51) analyzed by Pfeiffer (1977). Data were cross referenced with site report by Baerries et al. (1954).	163
8.2. Oconto site mortuary data. Number of burials with and without grave goods sorted by age and sex. Percentages calculated from the excavated burial population consisting of fifty-three individuals. (Data adapted from Pleger 1998.)	164
8.3. Riverside site mortuary data. Number of burials with grave goods by age and sex. Percentages calculated from excavated burial population consisting of seventy-six individuals. (Data adapted from Pleger 1998.)	166
9.1. Data on experimental copper conical point specimens with comparative data recorded from archaeological specimens. Mass was	

recorded in grams (g); tip angle in degrees (°); length, base width, and medial width in millimeters (mm). The archaeological copper conical point specimens (n=18) are from the Milwaukee Public Museum and the Chicago Field Museum of Natural History.	177
9.2. Data on the experimental stone point specimens with comparative data recorded from archaeological specimens. Mass was recorded in grams (g); length, medial width, and medial thickness in millimeters (mm). The archaeological stone point data was in Justice (1987).	179
9.3. Data on the copper-tipped and stone-tipped experimental projectiles. Projectile mass was recorded in grams (g); lashings length and tip cross sectional perimeter (TCSP) were recorded in millimeters (mm); and tip cross sectional area (TCSA) was recorded in square millimeters (mm ²).	180
9.4. Data recorded during the experiment. Projectile velocity was recorded in meters per second (m/s); projectile momentum was recorded in kg•m/s; projectile kinetic energy was recorded in Joules (J or kg m ² / s ²); penetration depth was recorded in centimeters (cm).	185
9.5. Summary of model results describing relationships between raw material types, and depths achieved by points.	188
9.6. Summary of model exploring the effect of momentum, interacting with point type, on depth.	190
9.7. Summary of model results exploring the effect of shot number on the depth achieved with an interaction between shot and type, as well as a random effect for individual atlatlists.	192
11.1. Metric measurements of the projectile point reproductions. Mass was recorded in grams (g); projectile length was recorded in centimeters (cm); all other variables were recorded in millimeters (mm).	226
11.2. Experimental target, shot number, and penetration depth data. Penetration depth was recorded in centimeters (cm). Velocity was recorded in meters per second (m/s).	230
11.3. Summary of model results describing relationships between raw material types and depths achieved by points.	235
11.4. Extended model incorporating measurements and shot number as predictors.	236

