

KRITERION – Journal of Philosophy

Peer Review Policy

KRITERION – Journal of Philosophy is based on a double-blind peer review process.

Submission

KRITERION – Journal of Philosophy publishes independent article submissions and, in some cases, thematic special issues. Articles for the non-thematic special issues as well as contributions for other sections (book reviews, conference reports) are usually submitted on the initiative of the respective authors. Submissions are encouraged by calls for papers on the internet and by announcements via scientific mailing lists. Articles for thematic special issues are invited by guest editors commissioned by the board of editors. Successfully passing an audit process is mandatory for all submissions which are peer-reviewed as described below. First, the editors-in-chief check whether the submitted article fits into the program of *KRITERION – Journal of Philosophy* and whether it has the required scientific quality in terms of form and language. If an editor-in-chief has a conflict of interest regarding a specific manuscript, this examination will be passed on to a non-biased member of the editorial or advisory board. If the contribution is acceptable for publication in *KRITERION – Journal of Philosophy*, the peer review process will be initiated.

Review

Each paper is sent for review to two external reviewers who have expertise in the subject of the submitted article. If the submitting person is a member of the scientific advisory board or the editorial board, strict care will be taken to ensure that there is no close relationship with the reviewing persons by asking either members of the scientific advisory board or the editorial board who are not affiliated with the author or the author's institution, or external experts to provide a review.

The content and form of all submitted articles for the thematic and non-thematic special issues are double-blind peer reviewed by usually two referees, i.e., reviewer(s) are not aware of the identity of the author(s) and author(s) are unaware of the identity of the reviewer(s). The peer review of the articles takes approximately six to eight weeks.

If the paper is positively reviewed without requests for revision, the editors-in-chief check the coherence and objectivity of the reviews. If this is the case, the article is accepted for publication.

Revision

In case revisions are necessary, they will be communicated to the author. All the reviewers' suggestions and queries must be taken into consideration and the author must document her or his reactions to them. The reviewers will check the revision. The final decision on the publication of a contribution will be taken by the editors-in-chief (or, in case of bias of an