Acknowledgments

This is a book about early nineteenth-century literary discourse and the institutions that helped shape that discourse. Its own completion would have been difficult without institutional sympathy and support, and I am especially grateful to the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada for the grants that enabled me to do research and write and to the University of Ottawa and the English Department for their generosity in granting leaves from teaching.

I have been equally fortunate in having friends and colleagues who have not only endured but even encouraged my fascination with the phenomenon of the Waverley Novels. I thank in particular Robert Caserio and Steven Cohan for helpful comments and questions when the project was in its initial stages. To April London I owe a special debt. Her thoughtful reading of early versions of my argument helped to sharpen and develop the analysis, and her warm support has been much appreciated. Thanks also to Peter McCormick for timely intervention and encouragement. David Jeffrey, David Shore, and Keith Wilson have provided personal and intellectual support over the years, and I am grateful to them.

Like all books, this book owes a great deal to the work of others. I am indebted in particular to those who have worked on Walter Scott over the years, and some of my more prominent debts are recorded in the text. On a more personal note, I thank Ian Alexander, David Hewitt, and Gary Kelly for organizing the international conferences on Scott at which I tried out some of my ideas before sympathetic and knowledgeable audiences; Marilyn Orr for the pleasure and instruction of talking about Walter Scott with someone who knows him better than I; and

Jane Millgate, Jill Rubinstein, and Harry Shaw for their sympathetic responses to my work. My deepest debt as a student of the Waverley Novels and of nineteenth-century literary history remains to Alexander Welsh, who introduced me to Scott in graduate school, thereby not only reshaping my reading of the history of the novel (and of the novel as history) but presenting me with a puzzle to which this book is a belated response. He himself bears no direct responsibility for my book, but his own work on the Waverley Novels and on other fictions has been a constantly renewable resource through the years, so he cannot entirely escape blame.

The main argument of the book has its roots in a paper on the reception of *Waverley* delivered in 1986 at the first of a series of annual conferences arranged by the Society for the Study of Narrative Literature. These conferences have been the source of a lively and challenging exchange of ideas from which I have profited in many ways. A revised version of my conference paper was published as "Re-Positioning the Novel: *Waverley* and the Gender of Fiction" in *Studies in Romanticism* 28 (1989): 291–301. Copyright © 1989 the Trustees of Boston University. I am grateful to the editors for permission to draw on it in Part One. I am also grateful to the editors of *Journal of Narrative Technique* for permission to use material in Chapter 7 which appeared as "The Historical Novel and the Problem of Beginning: The Model of Scott," *JNT* 18 (1988): 73–82.

In appreciation of the support that has sustained me throughout my career, I dedicate this book to my husband, Stephen. He taught me how to use the computer and very much more.

INA FERRIS

Ottawa, Ontario