

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am indebted to a number of persons who helped order the chaos I faced in preparing this dictionary. Archer Taylor has given a great deal of encouragement to projects of this sort both by words and by example, and my decision to see the project through was made because he argued so strongly on the importance of collecting and arranging materials so that they can be noticed and worked with, no matter how fallible the arrangement might be. Kenneth S. Goldstein provided further incentive by his enthusiasm on seeing the first draft of the manuscript; since then he has helped track down recondite sources, spending much time when he had little to spare. To the reference staff of The University of Texas, and especially to Charles Lee Dwyer, I owe many thanks for help in locating materials. After compiling a bibliography incident to the completion of the first draft, I sent copies to a number of interested scholars asking for suggested additions. Ben A. Botkin responded heartily with some important inclusions. Herbert Halpert spent many hours of his valuable time going through his notes, digging out a carload of supplementary references. For these, my thanks. Edith Fowke sent copies of three out-of-the-way Canadian articles, which were helpful in filling in the repertoire of that country. I am indebted to the staff at the School of Scottish Studies in Edinburgh, who allowed me to browse through and copy some of the materials in their files, and to C. A. Gardiner, Esq. M.A., for permission to print some of the unique rhymes from his collection lodged at the School. Dorothy Mills Howard discussed my project with me near its inception and subsequently sent a mimeographed collection of rhymes from Maryland, an act typical of her kindness. Carl Withers contributed a great deal of important data concerning his own collections, along with encouragement and good suggestions. William Hugh Jansen has exercised firm and benevolent editorial direc-

tion, offering many helpful hints for rewriting, both in the Introduction and in the text. Brian Sutton-Smith read the manuscript and sent on important ideas, especially on increasing the scope of the comments about the historical dimension of the playing of the games. Roger Pinon has helpfully discussed the problems of studying children's games and texts. Finally, my appreciation to my students at The University of Texas who provided so much original collectanea on the subject, to my colleagues here who encouragingly inquired about my work when I needed to joke about it, and to my family, who patiently sat and wondered what in hell I was doing.