

# CONTENTS

FOREWORD BY LLOYD N. MORRISETT	ix
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xiii
INTRODUCTION BY ROBERT M. O'NEIL	xv
1. What Was Wrong with the 1988 Election?	i
2. Good Democratic Practice: Responsibilities in Presidential Elections	13
3. The Research Strategy	32
4. The News Media in Campaign '88: What They Covered and How They Covered It	38
5. What the Electorate Learned	74
6. Citizens in Groups	114
7. 1992 and Beyond: The Markle Commission Recommendations	154
NOTES	175
REFERENCES	211
INDEX	225
<b>Figures</b>	
2-1. The Electoral Triangle (Ideal Model)	14
3-1. Measurement Model	33
3-2. Data Collection Schedules	35

## Tables

4-1.	1988 Presidential Campaign Media Content-Analysis Summary	39
4-2.	1988 Campaign Horse-Race Coverage	41
4-3.	Media Coverage of Candidate Conflicts: Subcategories	47
4-4.	Candidate-Qualifications Stories	51
4-5.	Electorate Coverage Subcategories	56
4-6.	Policy-Issues Stories	59
4-7.	Media Stories	64
4-8.	Tone of Media Candidate Coverage	71
5-1.	Changes in Voter Knowledge and Candidate Preference	77
5-2.	The Motivation to Vote	81
5-3.	Qualifications for the Presidency	83
5-4.	Evaluative Priorities	86
5-5.	Reasons for Candidate Preference	88
5-6.	The Most Important Presidential Problems	90
5-7.	Candidate Issue-Position Test	93
5-8.	Learned about Bush	98
5-9.	Learned about Dukakis	99
5-10.	Changes in Evaluations of Michael Dukakis	102
5-11.	Changes in Evaluations of George Bush	103
5-12.	Attitudes toward Media Campaign Coverage	106
5-13.	Media Attention and Issue-Position Knowledge	107
5-14.	Why Are News Media Unfair?	108
5-15.	1988 Presidential and Vice-Presidential Debates: Audiences and Impacts	110
6-1.	Markle Focus Groups: Sites, Dates, and Participants	117
6-2.	Demographic Comparisons: Focus-Group Sample versus National Sample	150
6-3.	Attitude Comparisons: Focus-Group Sample versus National Sample	152