# **NAPROSYN**

(NAPROXEN) 500 mg tablets

Brief Summary:
Contraindications: Patients who have had allergic reactions to NAPROSYN, ANAPROX or ANAPROX DS or in whom aspirin or other NASIDs induce the syndrome of asthma, rhinitis, and nasal polyps. Because anaphylactic reactions usually occur in patients with a history of such reactions, question patients for asthma, nasal polyps, urticaria, and hypotension associated with NSAIDs before starting therapy. If such symptoms occur, discontinue the drug, Warnings: Serious Gl toxicity such as bleeding, ulceration, and perforation can occur at any time, with or without warning symptoms, in patients treated chronically with NSAIDs. Remain alert for ulceration and bleeding in such patients even in the absence of previous Gl tract symptoms. In clinical trails, symptomatic upper Gl ulcers, gross bleeding or perforation appear to occur in approximately 19% of patients treated for 3-6 months, and in about 2-4% of patients treated for one year. Inform patients about the signs and/or symptoms of serious Gl toxicity and what steps to take if they occur. Studies have not identified any subset of patients not at risk of developing peptic ulceration and bleeding. Except for a prior history of serious Gl events and other risk factors known to be associated with peptic ulcer disease, such as alcoholism, smoking, etc., no risk factors (e.g., age, sex) have been associated with increased risk. Elderly or debilitated patients seem to tolerate ulceration or bleeding less well than others and most spontaneous reports of fatal Gl events are in this population. In considering the use of relatively large doses (within the recommended dosage range), sufficient benefit should be anticipated to offset the potential increased risk of Gl toxicity. Precautions: OO NOT GIVE NAPROSYN® (NAPROXEN) CONCOMITANTLY WITH AMAPROX® (NAPROXEN SODIUM) OR ANAPROX® or patients with chronic alcoholic liver dise ties requiring ailerness if they experience of crowsiness, dizziness, vertigo or depression during therapy. Laboratory Eests: Because serious Gil tract ulceration and bleeding can occur without warning symptoms, follow chronically treated patients for signs and symptoms of these and inform them of the importance of this follow-up. Drug Interactions: Use caution when giving concomitantly with coumarin-type anticoagulants: a hydantoin, sulfon-amide or sulfonylurea: furosemide: lithium: beta-blockers; probenecid; or methotrexate. Drug/Laboratory Test Interactions: The drug may decrease platelet aggregation and prolong bleeding time or increase urinary values for 17-ketogenic steroids. Temporarily stop therapy for 72 hours before doing adrenal function tests. The drug may interfere with urinary assays of 5HIAA. Carcinogenesis: A 2-year rat study showed no evidence of carcinogenicity. Prepanary: Category B. Do not use during pregnancy unless clearly needed. Avoid use during late pregnancy. Nursing Mothers: Avoid use in nursing mothers. Pediatric Use: Single doses of 25-5 mg/kg, with total daily dose not exceeding 15 mg/kg/day, are sale in children over 2 years of age. Adverse Reactions: In a study. Gi reactions were more frequent and severe in rheumatoid arthritis patients on 1500 mg/day than in those on 750 mg/day in studies in children with juvenifie arthritis, rash and prolonged bleeding times were more frequent. Gl and CNS reactions about the same, and other reactions less frequent than in adults. Incidence Greater Than 1%, Probable Causal Relationship. Gl. The most frequent complaints related to the Git tract: constipation, heartburn; abdominal pain; nausea; dyspepsia, diarrhea, stomatitis. CNS: headachef, dizziness; drowsiness; light-headedness, vertigo. Dermatologic: inputing a proposed pain and pain and proposed pain and p

Incidence of reported reaction 3%-9%. SYNTEX Where unmarked, incidence less than 3%. U.S. patent nos. 3,904,682, 3,998,966 and others © 1991 Syntex Puerto Rico, Inc. Rev. 39

Rev. 39 September 1990



clinical diagnosis as well as appropriate laboratory test selection.

> JOHN H. YOST, DO GEORGE SPENCER-GREEN, MD Rheumatology Clinic Connective Tissue Disease Section Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center Lebanon, NH

#### References

- 1. Grodzicki RL, Steere AC: Comparison of immunoblotting and indirect enzymelinked immunosorbent assay using different antigen preparations for diagnosing Lyme disease. J Infect Dis 1988; 157:790-
- 2. Rahn DW, Malawista SE: Lyme disease: Recommendations for diagnosis and treatment, Ann Intern Med 1991: 114:472-481.

## Response

To the Editor:

I appreciate Drs Yost and Spencer-Green's comments. As I noted in the article, the diagnosis of Lyme disease is best made by clinical examination and epidemiologic history, with serolgoic studies supporting the clinical diagnosis. I agree completely with Drs Yost and Spencer-Green that although the Western blot method may be more sensitive than ELISA in detecting spirochete antibody in Lyme disease, the clinician should not use it as a routine serologic test for evaluating Lyme disease.

> HADI HEDAYATI, MD Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine,

Section of Rheumatology Chicago College of Osteopathic Medicine Chicago, Ill

### **AAO** funded Frymann study

To the Editor:

I am pleased to see Dr Frymann and associates' clinical investigation, "Effect of osteopathic medical management on neurologic development in children," published in the JAOA (1992;92:729-744). I am also pleased to read Dr Patterson's editorial, "Testing osteopathic medical concepts in a reallife setting," (JAOA;1992;92:689) commenting on their study and urging others to follow their example.

I am, however, disappointed that a footnote did not appear stating that Dr Frymann and colleagues' study was supported by funds from the American Academy of Osteopa-

JOHN P. GOODRIDGE, DO, FAAO Secretary-Treasurer Emeritus American Academy of Osteopathy Newark, Ohio

# Response

To the Editor:

The expression of gratitude and appreciation to the American Acad-(continued on page 1224)