Acknowledgments

I have been indebted to many people during the long period in which this book has been in the making. Foremost among them is Charles C. Gillispie, my unfailing mentor. It is from him that I learned what it means to be a scholar in the humanities, having come from years of training in the natural sciences. He also taught me by example what it means to be a teacher. He always found time, from the book's beginning as a doctoral dissertation in the mid-1970s, to read all the successive versions, to listen to what I had to say, and to provide numerous comments and suggestions on every aspect of writing and publishing a study on a subject very different from that of his own research. Without his continuing care, support, and encouragement—and those of Emily Gillispie—the project would not have survived all the difficulties that I have encountered in the course of completing it.

While writing the dissertation, I benefited greatly from the generous guidance of two other scholars, Willard J. Peterson and James T. C. Liu. Willard has since read a few postdissertation versions and provided very useful criticisms. I would also like to thank Michael S. Mahoney, Thomas S. Kuhn, and Gerald L. Geison, who conscientiously trained me while I was a graduate student in the Program in History of Science at Princeton University. They showed continuous interest in the project and welcomed me warmly whenever I returned for a visit.

The following persons have read part or all of one or more versions of the draft, sometimes in the form of separate papers: Derk Bodde, Peter Bol, Wing-tsit Chan, Karine Chemla, Julia Ching, Jacques Gernet, Edward Grant, Peng-yoke Ho, Chunchieh Huang, Ira E. Kasoff, D. C. Lau, G. E. R. Lloyd, Thomas A. Metzger, Joseph Needham, Conrad Schirokauer, Benjamin I. Schwartz, Nathan Sivin, and Hoyt C. Tillman. Their comments, criticisms, and suggestions have helped me in various ways to improve the content of the book, although many of them will find that I have not always agreed with them.

Fellowship support from the Harvard-Yenching Institute (March 1982–February 1983 in Princeton), the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (July–December 1987 in Munich), and the Japan Foundation (March–June 1994 in Kyoto) made it possible for me to leave my university and spend uninterrupted time working on the book. I would like to thank Edward Baker, Paul U. Unschuld, and Yoshinobu Sakade, who helped me to obtain the fellowships; Professors Unschuld and Sakade

were very generous as hosts during my stays in Munich and Kyoto. Back home in Korea, research grants from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation, the Korea Research Foundation, and Seoul National University supported the research that portions of the book are based upon.

I would like to thank the journals that have given me permission to use in revised forms the following previously published materials: "Some Aspects of the Concept of Ch'i in Chu Hsi," Philosophy East and West 34 (1984), 25–36 (for sections 3.1 and 3.2); "Kuei-shen in Terms of Ch'i: Chu Hsi's Discussion of Kuei-shen," Tsing Hua Journal of Chinese Studies, new ser., 17 (1985), 149–163 (for chapter 6); "Chu Hsi (1130–1200) on Calender Specialists and Their Knowledge: A Scholar's Attitude toward Technical Scientific Knowledge in Traditional China," T'oung Pao 78 (1992), 94–115 (for sections 12.1 and 12.2).

Special thanks also go to Lee Jungrye of Seoul National University, who typed the earliest versions of the book, the writing of which began before the age of word processing, and to Carole LeFaivre-Rochester of the American Philosophical Society, who has patiently edited the long manuscript written by a nonnative speaker.

And finally, I would like to thank my family for their understanding and support, in particular my wife, Sun-Kyung Chu, who made numerous sacrifices, including her own career, to accommodate my irresponsible and unending negligence of household responsibilities.