

Index

References to notes show both the page number
and the note number (24n74).

A

Aaronson v Stones 13
ABC v Palmer 172–3
affordable technology 16, 18–21
‘AI Act’ (European Union) 125
AI tool manufacturers 128, 130–1,
135–6, 137, 169
Albright, Dallin 122,
126, 129n273
Alpin, Tanya 56
Ambrose, Meg Leta 167
American defamation law *see* US
defamation law
Amersi v Leslie 76, 77
Ames v Spamhaus Project Ltd 71
Apple 5n3, 26
archives, online 99, 163–4
artificial intelligence (AI) 2, 6,
28–31, 34–5, 182, 183
‘hallucinates’ and creates false
information about living
people when responding to text
prompts 107
and reputational threat 31–3
see also defamation by AI tool or
virtual world scenario
augmented reality 26–8, 34,
62, 133
avatars 24, 25, 26, 34, 62
Axel Springer AG v
Germany 157, 171

B

balancing exercise 44–5, 161–4
Banks v Cadwalladr 84, 91, 155
Biancardi v Italy 170–2

Bing, and ChatGPT 123–4
Blake v Fox 2–3, 13, 82, 84, 87
blockchain technology 133
Bosland, Jason 56
British Chiropractic Association v
Singh 87
Bukhari v Bukhari 80

C

Caliskan, Aylin 123, 124,
128, 130n279
Campbell v MGN Ltd 157
character.ai 29, 62
Charter of Fundamental Rights of
the European Union 143
chatbots 6, 29–30, 32–2,
34–5, 62
ChatGPT-2 122
ChatGPT-3 122
ChatGPT-4 2, 123–4
Cheong, Ben Chester 25, 26
Cheong, Inyoung 123, 124,
128, 130n279
Christianity 39, 40
Cicero 39, 40
citizen journalists 156, 159
cloud computing 7–10, 34, 182
Coker v Nwakanma 74
Communications Decency Act
(US) 109, 127
competing expression interest 162
‘contributor immunity’ 90
Cooke v MGN Ltd 71
Cooley, Charles 41, 51
Courtney v Ronksley 67–8
Court of Appeal 71–2, 84

Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) 142, 143–4, 153, 155, 159, 175
 Craik, Kenneth H. 54

D

Data (Use and Access)

Act 135, 177–9
 data controller 149–51, 152, 153, 156, 158, 160
 definition 169
 and balancing exercise 161, 162
 concerns about the level of power and responsibility vested in 167
 and DPDI (No 2) Bill 177
 and repetition of statements online over a year later scenario 170

data-dissemination scenarios 60–3, 168–70

Data Protection 1995 (DPD '95) 142, 143, 144, 159, 170–2, 175

deepfakes 30–2, 35, 62, 131–6, 137, 182

deep learning 132

Defamation Act 2013 3–4, 44, 166, 182–3
 abolished the common law rule of *Brunswick v Harmer* 63
 and defamation by social media scenario 61
 prioritizes freedom of expression at the expense of reputation 138
 and 'publication on matter of public interest' defence 160
see also serious harm threshold; single publication rule; websites, defence for operators of under s 5 Defamation Act 2013

defamation by AI tool or virtual world scenario 62–3, 136–7, 140
 and Article 17 GDPR 150
 and deepfakes and defamation 131–6

nature of the threat 123–5
 rising threat 120–3
 and RTBF 169
 who should be responsible for an AI tool's defamatory speech? 125–31
 defamation by social media scenario 60–1, 92–4, 105, 107, 140
 and Article 17 GDPR 149
 Article 17 GDPR 169
 and 'domestic purposes' exemption 157–8
 defamation law 37, 38
 and dignity 39–46
 and honour 46–8
 hurdles to making a claim 155–6, 160
 and sociality theory 53–8
 defamatory statements 2–3, 19, 20, 21, 54, 68–9, 110, 155
 and AI 107, 122, 123, 125, 126, 128
 and avatars 62
 and *Delfi* 115
 and Facebook 76
 and GDPR 154, 167, 170
 and libraries 99
 and multiple publication rule 94
 and one-year limitation period 182–3
 and repetition of statements online over a year later scenario 63, 66
 and republication in 'substantially the same' form 101
 and serious harm threshold 50, 67
 and single publication rule 95
 and social ties 57, 58
 and Strębska 51
 and third-party poster scenario 111
 defence of fair comment 86, 87
Delfi AS v Estonia 112–15, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120

Denman v Associated Newspapers Ltd 104
De Scandalis Magnatum 47
 Descheemaeker, Eric 44
 dignity 50, 58, 86, 182, 183
 definitions 42–4, 58–9
 and balancing rights 44–6
 conceptualizing 40–2
 culture 41
 history 39–40
 and reputation 48
 ‘disruptive technology’ 28
 ‘domestic purposes’
 exemption 157
 DPDI (No 2) Bill 176–7
droit l’oubli (a right to
 erasure) 142
 duelling 47
Duke of Brunswick v Harmer 63,
 66, 94–5
Dyson v Channel 4 51
Dyson v MGN Ltd 87

E

Eady, Sir David 104
 Ecclesiastical Courts 37
Economou v de Frietas 90
 Edward I 37
 emotional harm 136
 engagement metrics 80, 81
 Erdos, David 75
 European Convention on Human
 Rights (ECHR) 56
 Article 8 97, 157, 162, 179
 Article 10 3–4, 69, 97, 110,
 117, 157
 European Court of Human Rights
 (ECtHR) 155, 179
 Biancardi v Italy 171–2
 Hurbain v Belgium 161–6
 Karako v Hungary 52–3
 on dignity 39, 45
 Pfeifer v Austria 56
 and websites, defence for
 operators of under s 5
 Defamation Act 2013 112–20

European Union (EU)
 ‘AI Act’ 125
 Charter of Fundamental Rights
 of the European Union 143

F

‘face’ 56
 culture 41
 Facebook 11, 14, 20, 76, 111, 119
 3,049 billion active
 users worldwide 1
 deposed Myspace 12
 and ‘Facemash’ 8
 and *Stocker v Stocker* 13, 83
 fair comment, defence of 86, 87
Ferdinand v MGN 157
 First Amendment 97
Firth v State 97–8
 Fleming, John 39
 ‘floodgates’ argument 98, 128–9
Flood v Times Newspapers 14
 Forbes, and the Metaverse 23
 France
 and *droit l’oubli* (a right to
 erasure) 142
 Press Law 58
 freedom of expression 67–8, 116,
 138, 156, 183
 freedom of speech 62, 97

G

Gambin et al. 133
 Gearty, Conor 44, 45
 General Data Protection
 Regulation (GDPR) 25, 140–1,
 145–9, 176–80, 183
 and accessibility of
 redress 149–53
 background context 141–4
 and data-dissemination
 scenarios 168–70
 and decision making 160–7
 and defamation law 153–60
 and *ex post* remedies 167–8
 and personal data 175

Generation Z, and ‘skim reading’ 17
Goldsmith v Bissett-Powell 77, 111
 Google 29, 33, 151, 153, 159, 160
 Google Glass 27
Google Spain SL and Another v Agencia Española de protección de Datos (AEPD) and Another 142, 143–4, 153, 159, 175
Guardian News and Media Ltd 56

H

hallucinations, and AI 107, 123–4, 125, 130, 131
 Handforth parish council meeting 19
 Harder, Amy 97, 98, 99
 Hashimoto, Tatsunori 128, 130
 hate speech 115–16, 118
Hayden v Family Education Trust 80–1
Hay v Cresswell 13, 90–1
Hemming v Poulton 104–5
 Henderson, Peter 128, 130
 home working 16
 honest opinion 86, 87, 156
 honour 46–8
 Howarth, David 41–2, 50, 53, 54, 55–6
 Hunt, Earl B. 29
Hurbain v Belgium 162–6, 170, 174–5
 ‘hybrid’ working policy 16
 Hyde, Richard 69–70

I

Iglesias, Teresa 54
 image-based social media websites 12–13
 ‘incorporeal property’ 48
 inferential case 73, 74, 78–9, 82, 105, 182
 Information Commissioner’s Officer (ICO) 152, 173, 178
injuria 13, 37

Instagram 12–13
 interim injunctions 110, 167–8

J

Jameel (Youssef) v Dow Jones & Co Inc 69, 72
Jameel v Wall Street Journal 88
 Jočinić, Judge 52–3
 journalism, responsible 87–90
 journalistic exemption 158–9, 163

K

Kant, Immanuel 39–40
Karako v Hungary 45, 52–3
 Karasavva, Vasileia 132, 136
 Kay, Mr Justice 87
 Kerr, Lord 83–4
 Killmister, Suzy 43
 King’s Courts 37
 Kohno, Tadayoshi 123, 124, 128, 130n279
 Kolani, Aurel 41
 Kumar, Sapna 102

L

Lachaux v Independent Print Ltd and Another 24n74, 52, 71–4, 78
 LaMDA, and AI chatbot 29
 language models 122–3
 large language models (LLMs) 123–4, 125, 138
 laundering, social media 133
 legal personality 126, 128
 Lemley, Mark 128, 130
 Lemoine, Blake 29
 libel 10, 67, 68, 87, 100, 155
 and *ex post* remedies 167
 and *Hemming v Poulton* 104
 and OpenAI 123
 and presumption-of-damage principle 73
 and Privy Council 96
 and property theory 49
 and psychological integrity 45
 and slander 24, 70

libellus famosus 37
 Libel Reform Campaign 88, 89
 libraries, and defamation suits 99
 Limitation Act, s 32A 104–5
 looking-glass self theory 50–3, 56

M

machine learning 2, 33, 62
*Magyar Tartalomsgazdálkodók
 Egyesülete v Hungary* 112–13,
 116–17
Mail Online 106
 Mayer-Schonberger, Viktor 7
 McCrudden, Christopher 43
 McNamara, Laurence 49
 Mead, George Herbert 41
 Meta 6, 22, 23, 111
 Metaverse 6–7, 22–3, 24, 25
Miller, Power v Turner 81, 84
 misuse of private information
 tort 168
 MIT, and ‘Detect Fakes’ 31
M.L. and W.W. v Germany 170
Monroe v Hopkins 71, 84–5
 Mullender, Professor Richard 51
 Mullis, Alistair 44, 45
 multiple jurisdiction issue 100
 multiple publication rule 63, 94
 Musk, Elon 18
 Myspace 11, 12

N

Neu, Jerome 58
 newspaper websites 106
 NFTs (non-fungible tokens), and
 the Metaverse 22
 Nicholls, Lord 84, 88
 Noorbhai, Aalia 132, 136
*NT1 and NT2 v Google LLC
 (Intervenor: The Information
 Commissioner)* 159, 173

O

Old Testament, and dignity 39
 online 30

online archives 99, 163–4
 Online Safety Act 134–5
 online worlds 21–6
 OpenAI 123
 Oppitz, Marcus 8
 ostracism, social 55–6

P

Packham v Wightman 82
 personal data
 ‘domestic purposes’
 exemption 157
 and DPDI (No 2) Bill 177
 and social media 2
 and the UK GDPR 154, 175
 Personal Data Protection Code
 (Italy) 171
 personal dignity 86, 182, 183
 personal integrity 52
 personality rights as
 property 48–50
Pfeifer v Austria 56
 Phillipson, Gavin 100–1, 102
*PJS (Appellant) v News Group
 Newspapers Ltd (Respondent)* 157
 Pokemon GO 26–7
 pornography, deepfake 31, 132,
 134, 135
 Post, Robert 48, 53, 54, 55,
 57, 58n113
 on dignity 58n114
 on honour 46–7
 Pound, Roscoe 48
 predictive text models 122
 presumption-of-damage
 principle 73
 pretrained generative model on the
 whole internet AI program 122
 private self 41
 Privy Council 95–6
 property, and personality
 rights 48–50
 psychological integrity 45
 public interest 86, 88–9, 130–1,
 160, 164–5
 public self 41

public's right to know 88
Pufendorf, Samuel von 40

R

repetition of statements online over
a year later scenario 63, 66,
101–2, 103–4, 105–6,
169–70

reputation

and community bonds 54
damage to 10

defamation law attempts to
protect 38

and dignity 39

and freedom of expression 138

and *Karako v Hungary* 52–3

and personality rights as
property 48–9, 50

and social media 13–15

theories 59

threat to 24–6, 27–8, 31–3,
182, 183

Reynolds defence of responsible
journalism at common
law 86, 156

Reynolds v Times

Newspapers 84, 87–8

Rhodes v OPO and Another 136

Rice, Mrs Justice Collins 84

right to be forgotten

(RTBF) 140, 183

and accessibility of
redress 149–53

and Article 17 GDPR 145–9,
175, 180–1

background context 141–4

and Data (Use and Access)
Act 178–9

and data-dissemination
scenarios 168–70

and decision making 160–7

and *ex post* remedies 167–8

and future of in UK law 172–4
and hurdles to making a
claim 153–60

'right to criticise' 162

'right to erasure' 144

see also right to be forgotten
(RTBF)

right to know 88

Riley v Murray 2–3, 82

'role model argument' 162

Roman laws 37

Rooney, Coleen 152

Rosen, Michael 43

Rousseau, Jean-Jacques 40

Ruparelia, Nayan B. 8, 9

S

Sample, Ian 30n100

Sanchez v France 117–19, 120

Sancinito, Jane 48–9, 54, 55n96

satellite internet connection 17–18

Scott, Andrew 44, 45

Second Restatement of Torts
(US) 97

self-esteem 51, 52, 56

semi-autonomous production
AI program 122

Serafin v Malkiewicz 89

serious harm threshold 24, 58,
105, 139

background 67–70

how does one evidence serious
harm caused or likely to be
caused by an online post? 75–9

is there a different approach
to s 1 where the internet is
concerned? 83–6

new s 1 threshold 70–5

Section 1's introduction in the
context of the codified defences
in the 2013 Act 86–91

what is the significance of
viewership and engagement
metrics to s 1? 79–83

Sewell, Charlie 68, 69

Sexual Offences Act 135

single publication rule 95, 140

background to s 8 94–8

mitigating factor: s 32A of the
Limitation Act 1980 103–5

thin justifications 98–101
 what is republication in
 ‘substantially the same’
 form? 101–3
 ‘skim reading’ 17
 slander 24, 70
 smart contracts 133
 smartphones 15, 16
 Snapchat 12–13, 26
 sociality theory 53–8
 social media 1–2, 6, 34
 birth of 11–13
 and augmented reality 26
 laundering 133
 and threats to reputation 13–15
 see also Facebook;
 X (formerly Twitter)
 social ostracism 55–6
 social ties 57–8
 societal cloud 9
 Socrates 51
 Star Chamber 37, 47
 Starlink 17–18
 Starmer, Keir 1
 Steyn, Mrs Justice 155
Stocker v Stocker 13, 48, 83
 Strasbourg Court *see* European
 Court of Human Rights
 (ECtHR)
 Strębska, Katarzyna 41, 51, 56
 strong ties 57
 Sumption, Lord 24n74, 72–4
 Supreme Court (UK)
 Guardian News and Media Ltd 56
 Lachaux v Independent Print Ltd
 and Another 51–2, 72–4
 Serafin v Malkiewicz 89
 Stocker v Stocker 47–8, 83

T

technology, affordable 16, 18–21
 third-party poster scenario 61–2,
 107–9, 111, 136–7, 169
Thornton v Telegraph Media
 Group 69, 72
 ties, social 57–8

TikTok 12–13, 15, 77–8
 Tomsu, Peter 8
 tort of misuse of private
 information 168
 transactional transparency 133
 trial waiting times 150
 truth defence 86
 Twitter/X *see* X (formerly Twitter)

U

ubiquitous computing 8
 Ukraine, and Starlink 18
 Uniform Single Publication Act
 (US) 97
 US defamation law 97–8, 100, 101
 Communications Decency
 Act 109, 127
 Second Restatement of Torts 97
 Uniform Single Publication
 Act 97
 US Supreme Court 39

V

Van Vechten Veeder, Coles 38, 70
 Vardy, Rebekah 152
Vardy v Rooney 2–3, 152
 Vertucci et al 28
 video-based social media
 websites 12–13
 virtual worlds 22, 23, 62, 120
Vizetelly v Mudie's Select Library 99
 Volokh, Eugene 121–2,
 123–4, 130
Von Hannover v Germany 157, 163
 VRChat 23

W

‘Wagatha Christie’ defamation
 litigation 151, 152
Wainwright v Home Office 136
 ‘waiver’ 166
 Waldron, Jeremy 42, 46
 Warby, Lord Justice 71, 72, 76–7,
 79, 95, 159
 weak ties 57

INDEX

websites, defence for operators
of under s 5 Defamation Act
2013 14, 21, 137
approach of the Strasbourg
Court 112–20
new defence 107–9
potential issues 110–11
Westminster Printing Press 37
Whitfield, John 55n93
Wilkinson v Downton 136
Wilson, Lord 89
Wood, Lori A. 100
Wright v Granath 81

X

X (formerly Twitter) 2, 15, 20,
77, 82
Bukhari v Bukhari 80
Hayden v Family Education
Trust 80–1
Miller, Power v Turner 81, 84

Y

YouTube 12–13, 15, 19,
20–1
‘YouTube Rewind’ 119

