

CONTENTS

VOLUME I

CHAPTER I

BEHIND THE GEOMETRICAL METHOD 3

Method of procedure in the study of Spinoza's *Ethics* in this work, 1. — Grouping of propositions into logically ordered topics, 5. — Coherence of propositions within each group, 6. — The problem of documentation, 8. — Hebrew and Latin literatures the sources of Spinoza's knowledge of philosophy, 8. — The common tradition underlying these literatures, 10. — The literary languages of Spinoza, 11. — Hebrew literature as the basic source of Spinoza's knowledge of philosophy, 12. — How the literary background of Spinoza is to be studied, 14. — How immediate sources of Spinoza are to be determined, 15. — The method used in this work in the collection of literary sources, and the form in which this work is written, 17. — The importance of the literary background as an aid to the proper understanding of Spinoza, 20. — The ellipticalness and allusiveness of the *Ethics*, and the reason therefor: personality of Spinoza, 22. — The application of the scientific method of research to the study of the *Ethics*, 25.

CHAPTER II

THE GEOMETRICAL METHOD 32

Classification of Spinoza's writings, 32. — Central idea of all his writings, 33. — Titles of his works, 35. — Why the title *Ethics*? 35. — Historical background for the variety of literary forms employed by Spinoza, 39. — Analysis of the geometrical method and its history, 40. — Was the geometrical method demanded by the nature of Spinoza's philosophy? 44. — Analysis of Descartes' statements about the geometrical method: distinction between geometrical method and geometrical form and the identity of the geometrical and syllogistic methods, 45. — Analysis of Meyer's statements about the geometrical method, 51. — Extent of Spinoza's mathematical way of looking at things, 52. — No metaphysical conception of the Hebrew language in Spinoza, 54. — Aesthetic reasons for the demands of the use of the geometrical form in philosophy in the seventeenth century, 55. — The *Ethica more scholastico rabbinicoque demonstrata* behind the "*Ethica ordine geometrico demonstrata*," 59.

(ETHICS, I)

CHAPTER III

DEFINITION OF SUBSTANCE AND MODE 61

Traditional division of Being, 61. — Division of Being into categories and into substance and accident, 62. — Difficulties in Spinoza's definitions of substance and mode, 63. — Method of solving these difficulties, 66. — Traditional classifications of substance, 67. — Hypothetical construction of Spinoza's criticism of these classifications, 68. — Spinoza's reduction of substances to one, and his definitions of substance and mode, 71. — Substance as "whole": meaning of "whole," 73. — Substance a *summum genus* and unknowable, 76. — Substance "prior in nature" (Prop. I): meaning of "prior in nature," 77.

CHAPTER IV

UNITY OF SUBSTANCE 79

I. The philosophic dualism of traditional philosophy, 79. — Purpose and method of Spinoza's criticism of this dualism, 80. — Hypothetical construction of mediaeval arguments against a duality of gods as they formulated themselves in the mind of Spinoza, 81. — Reduction of Propositions II–VI to a syllogistic argument, 85. — Detailed explanation of these propositions: restatement of mediaeval dualism (Prop. II), 86. — Refutation of mediaeval dualism, by showing untenability of the theory of creation, especially that of emanation, to which it must resort (Prop. III), 88. — Tentative defence of emanation, 91. — Rebuttal of that tentative defence (Props. IV–VI), 91. — Explanation of Corollary to Proposition VI, 94.

II. Criticism of mediaeval philosophic dualism, by showing the untenability of creation, as treated in *Short Treatise*, 96. — A. The world could not have created itself, 98. — B. Nor could it have been created by God, for (a) creation is incompatible with God's immutability, omnipotence, and benevolence: history of this argument, 99; — (b) creation is incompatible with God's simplicity: history of this argument, 105. — Impossibility of the Platonic theory that the world was created out of eternal formless matter: influence of Gersonides, 108. — Argument against an immaterial prime mover, 110.

CHAPTER V

SIMPLICITY OF SUBSTANCE 112

I. Simplicity and Attributes 112

How the topic of the discussion in Propositions VII-X and XII-XIII has been determined, 112. — Twofold meaning of the traditional expression "unity of God," 113. — Threefold implication of the traditional insistence upon the simplicity of God: (a) Exclusion of accidents, 113. — (b) Exclusion of distinction of genus and species, 114. — (c) Exclusion of distinction of essence and existence, 115. — This threefold implication of simplicity as reflected in Spinoza, 115. — Background of Spinoza's definition of God: influence of Albo, 116. — Simplicity and personality of God and the problem of attributes, 119. — Logical structure of Propositions VII-X, XII-XIII, 120.

II. Essence and Existence 121

The two main sources of Spinoza's discussion of essence and existence, 121. — How the problem of essence and existence originated: the views of Aristotle, Avicenna, and Averroes, 122. — Spinoza on essence and existence (Prop. VII), 125. — Meanings of *causa sui*: (a) negative, 127; — (b) positive, 129. — Essence and Existence as treated in *Short Treatise*, 130.

III. Definition of the Term "Infinite" 133

Historical background of Spinoza's definition of the terms "infinite" and "finite," 133. — Meaning of the various kinds of "finite" and "infinite" in Spinoza, 135. — Meaning of "infinite" when applied to God, 137. — Spinoza's analogy between his own "substance" and the traditional God (Props. VIII-X), 139.

IV. Relation of Attribute to Substance 142

God unknown in His essence but known through His attributes, 142. — Certain points of agreement among mediaevals on the nature of attributes, 143. — The interpretation of the names of God in the Bible as attributes, 144. — Spinoza's definition of attribute: subjective and objective interpretations, 146. — These two interpretations are analogous to mediaeval controversies, 147. — Analysis of mediaeval problem of essential attributes, 147. — The case of those who reject essential attributes: objective theory of attributes, 149. — The case of those who admit essential attributes: subjective theory of attributes, 150. — Evidence for the subjective interpretation of Spinoza's conception of attributes, 151. — The reducibility of all attributes to one, 154. — Explanation of Propositions XII-XIII, 156.

CHAPTER VI

PROOFS OF THE EXISTENCE OF GOD 158

I. The Ontological Proof 158

Transition from "substance" to "God" (Prop. XI), 158. — Meaning of *necessario existit*, 160. — What the proofs of the existence of God are meant to prove, 161. — Being: *real, fictitious, verbal*, and of *reason*, 161. — Classification of the traditional methods of proving the existence of God, 163. — If God is known immediately, what need is there for proof? 165. — Meaning of the ontological proof, and the stock objection against it, 167. — Meaning of St. Anselm's answer to Gaunilon, 170. — Meaning of the syllogism employed in ontological proofs, 174. — The logical form of the syllogism underlying the ontological proofs, 176.

II. Spinoza's Four Proofs 176

Evaluation of the ontological proof, 176. — Analysis of the proofs of Descartes and Spinoza and their relation to each other, 178. — Spinoza's *first proof*: its three types, and how the type used in the *Ethics* is a modification of one of the two forms of the proof as given by Descartes, 179. — Spinoza's *second proof*: its composite origin, 184. — Distinction between internal, external, and impedimental causes, 186. — Mediaeval proof for eternity of God, 186. — Distinction between necessary, impossible, possible, and contingent, 187. — Analysis of the cosmological proof of the existence of God: its three stages, 192. — Transformation of the third stage of the cosmological proof into an ontological proof in Spinoza's *second proof*, 197. — Spinoza's *third* and *fourth proofs*: how related to Descartes' second cosmological proof in Meditation III, 200. — Analysis of Descartes' second cosmological proof: a modified form of the traditional proof from creation or conservation, 201. — Why Spinoza calls it a proof from power, 204. — Explanation of Spinoza's *third proof*, 205. — Explanation of Spinoza's *fourth proof*, 207. — Explanation of Scholium to Proposition XI, 208. — Concluding remarks on Spinoza's proofs, 212.

CHAPTER VII

EXTENSION AND THOUGHT 214

I. The Framework of Spinoza's Universe 214

Recapitulation of Spinoza's arguments leading up to his conclusion that extension and thought are attributes of God (Prop. XIV), 214. — The framework of Spinoza's universe and how he came by it, 216.

— The mediaeval framework of the universe, 218. — How a modified form of the mediaeval framework presented itself to the mind of Spinoza, 219. — Unfolding Spinoza's criticism of that framework and his conclusion that God is material, 221. — History of the conception of the materiality of God and how little it has to do with Spinoza's similar conclusion, 222.

II. Properties, Attributes, and Modes 224

Origin of the doctrine of the infinite number of attributes, 225. — Enumeration of attributes in mediaeval philosophy and Descartes, 226. — Mediaeval attributes called *propria* by Spinoza: the meaning of *propria*, 227. — Spinoza's various lists of *propria*, 230. — Attributes: the logical steps by which Spinoza has arrived at the two known attributes, and why he calls them extension and thought, 232. — *Forma corporeitatis* as the origin of extension, 234. — Modes: (a) Immediate infinite modes; how Spinoza came by them, 236. — The names for the immediate infinite mode of thought, 238. — Meaning of *intellectus*, 238. — Meaning of *idea Dei*, 239. — The names for the immediate infinite mode of extension, 242. — Why the immediate infinite modes are called "Sons of God," 243. — (b) Mediate infinite mode: only one; how Spinoza came by it, 243. — Meaning of *facies totius universi*, 244. — (c) Finite or particular modes, 249. — Meaning of the *res fixae aeternaeque*, 249. — Varieties of possibility and necessity, 252. — *Natura naturans* and *natura naturata*: influence of Thomas Aquinas, 253. — How the attributes of extension and thought may be conceived as really distinct, one without the assistance of the other, and still not imply a plurality in the nature of substance, 255. — Attribute of extension not to be confused with the popular conception of the corporeality of God, 258.

CHAPTER VIII

INFINITY OF EXTENSION 262

Spinoza's statement and refutation of the case of his opponents who deny infinite extension, 262. — How Spinoza seems to misrepresent his opponents and to commit the fallacy of equivocation, 265. — Descartes not the one meant by his opponents, 268. — Method to be employed in arriving at an explanation of Spinoza's position, 270. — Aristotle and his followers on infinity, 271. — How Crescas establishes the existence of an infinite extension, 275. — Explanation of Spinoza's *first* "example" and its corresponding *first* "distinction," 281. — Explanation of Spinoza's *second* "example" and its corresponding *second* "distinction: the infinite and the indefinite," 286. — Explanation of Spinoza's *third* "example" and its corresponding *third* "distinction," 291.

CHAPTER IX

THE CAUSALITY OF GOD 296

I. Materiality and Causality of God 296

Traditional conception of omnipresence of God brought to its logical conclusion by Spinoza (Prop. XV), 296. — Variety of characterizations of Spinoza's conception of God: Deism, Atheism, Acosmism, Pantheism, 298. — Immateriality and causality two fundamental characteristics of the traditional God, 301. — Threefold causality of the traditional God reduced by Spinoza to efficient causality, 302. — Conventional classification of God's efficient causality adopted by Spinoza and applied to his God, 303. — God a *universal* cause (Prop. XVI), 304. — God an *efficient* cause not in the restricted sense of *emanative* cause, 306. — God an *essential* cause, 307. — God a *first* cause, 307.

II. God as Free Cause 308

God a *principal* and *free* cause (Prop. XVII), 308. — Spinoza's definition of freedom, 309. — Mediaeval conception of will and intelligence in divine causality and Spinoza's refutation thereof, 312. — Spinoza's refutation of the view that God omitted to create things which He could create, 314. — Spinoza's contention that the mediaeval conception of the homonymy of divine intelligence and will amounts to an assertion of necessary causality, 316. — Denial of chance and causelessness, 318.

III. The Meaning of Immanent Cause 319

Distinction between external and internal causes, 319. — Two kinds of internal or immanent causes, 321. — Meaning of "transcendent," 322. — Meaning of Spinoza's conception of God as *immanent* cause (Prop. XVIII), 323. — Immanent as whole or universal, 324. — The two kinds of "whole," 325. — Two differences mentioned by Spinoza between the "whole" and the conceptual universal; how they are traceable to Aristotle, 326. — Conjectural addition of a third difference: the concrete and the abstract universal, 327.

IV. God as Conscious Cause 328

God as a conscious but non-volitional cause, 328.

CHAPTER X

DURATION, TIME, AND ETERNITY 331

I. The Story of Duration 331

Analysis of Plotinus' discussion of time: definite and indefinite time, 332. — How the term duration was given to indefinite time, 335. — Three forms of the Plotinian conception of duration in Arabic and Hebrew texts, 336. — First form: in opposition to Aristotle's definition of time, 337. — Second form: supplementary to Aristotle's definition of time, 338. — Third form: similar to Aristotle's definition of time, 339. — Etymology of Arabic and Hebrew terms for duration, 340. — Duration in Augustine: meaning of *distentio*, 342. — Common characteristics of duration in scholastic philosophy, 343. — Duration in Descartes and Locke, 345. — General characteristics of duration, 346.

II. Duration and Time in Spinoza 347

Formal definition of duration in *Cogitata Metaphysica*, and its three verbal differences from that of Descartes, 347. — Reason for first verbal difference: substitution of "attribute" for "mode," 348. — Reason for second verbal difference: addition of term "actuality," 349. — Reason for third verbal difference: addition of term "created," 350. — Relation of duration to existence, 351. — Definition of time in *Cogitata Metaphysica*, 353. — Problem of the subjectivity of time, 355. — Duration and time in letter to Meyer, 356. — Duration and time in *Ethics*, 357.

III. Eternity 358

Difference between Platonic and Aristotelian conceptions of eternity, and the reasons for that difference, 358. — Platonic conception of eternity in Plotinus, 361. — Platonic and Aristotelian conceptions of eternity in Arabic and Hebrew philosophic texts, 361. — Platonic and Aristotelian conceptions of eternity in Latin philosophic texts, 364. — Three common elements in mediaeval conceptions of eternity when applied to God: (a) not infinite time, (b) immutability, (c) identity of essence and existence, 366. — Only two of these three elements adopted by Spinoza as properties to be applied exclusively to God: (a) not infinite time or duration; examples of defective uses of the term "eternity," 366; — (b) identity of essence and existence, 367. — Meaning of Spinoza's comparison of the eternal existence of God with "eternal truths," 368. — Problem of the applicability of duration to God, 369.

CHAPTER XI

MODES 370

Structure of Propositions XIX-XXXVI, 370. — Spinoza's philosophy in its relation to emanation, 371. — Infinite modes: meaning of "eternal" when applied to God (Props. XIX-XX), 375. — Meaning of "eternal" and "infinite" when applied to immediate modes (Prop. XXI), 376. — The mediate infinite and eternal mode (Prop. XXII), 378. — Introduction of the use of the term "mode" (Prop. XXIII), 379. — The threefold sense in which God is said to be the cause of the infinite and eternal modes: (a) as their efficient cause (Prop. XXIV), 380; — (b) as their immanent cause (Prop. XXV), 383; — (c) as their free cause (Prop. XXVI), 385. — Meaning of freedom and Spinoza's denial of it (Prop. XXVII), 385. — Finite modes or *res singulares*, 387. — Where do they come from? 388. — Spinoza's apparent explanation (Prop. XXVIII), 389. — An explanation which does not explain, 392. — Certain historical explanations: (a) Finitude an illusion, 393. — (b) Matter as a *principium individuationis*, 393. — (c) Cabalistic *zimzum*, 394. — (d) "Emergent emanation," 395. — Spinoza's real explanation, 397. — Conclusion of the discussion of modes: no contingency in modes; all of them traceable to God as their cause (Prop. XXIX), 398.

CHAPTER XII

NECESSITY AND PURPOSELESSNESS 400

I. Intellect, Will, and Power 400

Mediaeval belief in design in the causality of God and freedom in the action of man, 400. — Design in God expressed in terms of attributes of *life, intellect, will, and power*, 401. — How Spinoza denies design by attacking these attributes, 401. — (a) Attribute of *life*: is to be included under *power*, 402. — (b) Attributes of *intellect and will*: (1) *first method of attack*: For God to act by intelligence and will as conceived by the mediaevals is the same as to act by necessity, 402; — (2) *second method of attack*: Intellect and will do not pertain to the essence of God and belong to *natura naturata* (Props. XXX-XXXI), 402. — Hence there is no freedom of will in God (Prop. XXXII): definition of will and its freedom, 405. — Hence, the world could not have been produced by God in another manner and in another order than that in which it has been produced (Prop. XXXIII): history of the problem, 408. — Continuation of the same problem in Scholia I and II of Proposition XXIII: analysis of Scholium II, 410. — Two mediaeval theories of divine will: (1) arbitrary and (2) for the sake of

some good, 416. — Unfolding of Spinoza's criticism of the second view; explanation of his reference to "fate," 418. — (c) Attribute of *power*: the identity of power with the essence of God makes for necessity in God's causality (Props. XXXIV-XXXV), 421.

II. Final Causes 422

How problem of final causes is introduced by Spinoza, 422. — Spinoza's denial of final causes by his reducing them to efficient causes (Prop. XXXVI): historical background, 423. — Appendix to Part I as a Scholium to Proposition XXXVI, continuing the discussion of final causes, 425. — Analysis of the Appendix: (a) Statement of the case for final causes, and its literary background, 425. — Spinoza's explanation of the origin of the belief in final causes, 426. — Problem of evil, 430. — (b) Four arguments against final causes, 431. — (c) Some erroneous views arising from the belief in final causes: relativity of good and evil, 436. — Problem of moral evil or sin, 438.

VOLUME II

(ETHICS, II)

CHAPTER XIII

BODY AND MIND 3

I. God and Man 3

The subject-matter of physics and metaphysics and their order of sequence, 3. — Why Spinoza started with God, 4. — The main topics of Spinoza's philosophy, 5. — The conception of man as a microcosm: its historical background, 7. — Structure of Propositions I-XIII, 8.

II. Extension and Thought in God 8

God as Thought (Prop. I), 8. — God as Extension (Prop. II), 10. — Three views as to God's relation to the world and His knowledge of it, 12. — Disregarding altogether one of these views, Spinoza criticizes the other two (Prop. III), 15. — Spinoza's own view, 16. — Three difficulties with regard to God's knowledge of particular things — (a) *First difficulty*: How can God know the plurality of things? Several solutions of this problem, 18. — Spinoza's adoption of one of these solutions (Prop. IV), 20. — The attributes only appear as distinct from each other (Props. V-VI), 22. — In reality they are all one in God (Prop. VII), 23. — Identity in God of *intellectus*, *intelligens*, and

intelligibile, 24. — (b) *Second difficulty*: How can God know non-existent things? Mediaeval solution of the problem, 27. — Spinoza's solution (Prop. VIII): influence of Gersonides, 29. — (c) *Third difficulty*: How can God know finite or material things? Spinoza's solution of this problem (Prop. IX), 32.

III. Body and Mind in Man 33

Man not a substance (Prop. X), 33. — Man a complexity of substances according to Aristotle, 35. — Unfolding Spinoza's arguments that man is not a substance, 36. — Meaning of the phrase "pertaining to the essence of a thing," 37. — Definition of mind: analysis of Proposition XI, 42. — "human mind," 42. — "first thing," 44. — "actual being," 45. — "idea," 46. — "individual" thing 'actually' existing," 48. — Divine origin of the mind: its meaning and historical background, 49. — Change in mind with the change in its object (Prop. XII), 51. — The body as the object of the idea which constitutes the human mind (Prop. XIII), 52. — Relation of mind to body: traditional conception of the separability of mind from body, 53. — Hypothetical construction of Spinoza's argument against it, 55. — Meaning of Spinoza's assertion that all things are "animate," 56. — Signs of demarcation between the different minds, 59. — Summary of interpretation of Spinoza's discussion of mind, 61. — Variations in minds caused by variations in bodies, 62.

IV. The Nature of Bodies 64

Structure of the supplements after Proposition XIII, 64. — Nature of simple bodies, 65. — Laws of motion, 67. — Nature of composite bodies, 68. — The human body, 69.

CHAPTER XIV

THE COGNITIVE FACULTIES 71

Structure of Propositions XIV-XLIX, 71.

I. Sensation 72

Analyses of various theories of sensation: (a) Aristotle, 72. — (b) Telesius, 73. — (c) Descartes, 75. — Analysis of Spinoza's theory of sensation: perception of one's own body (Prop. XIV), 76. — Sensation and perception (Prop. XV), 77. — Perception of external bodies (Prop. XVI), 78. — Sensation not purely subjective (Prop. XVII), 79.

CONTENTS

xxi

II. Imagination and Memory 80

Transition from external to internal senses (Prop. XVII), 80. — Memory and two kinds of imagination according to Aristotle, 81. — The two kinds of imagination according to Spinoza, 82. — Relation of memory to imagination according to Spinoza, 84. — Meaning of Spinoza's contrast between *rerum imagines* and *rerum figurae*, 85. — Physiological basis of imagination, 87. — Recollection (Prop. XVIII), 88.

III. Consciousness and Reason 90

Meaning of *idea ideae* in Aristotle, 90. — Consciousness in Aristotle and mediaeval authors, 91. *Idea ideae* in Spinoza, 93. — Consciousness and reason (Props. XIX-XXIII), 95.

CHAPTER XV

TRUTH 98

External and internal criteria of truth in Aristotle and mediaeval philosophy: correspondence, self-evidence, and self-consistency, 98. — How these criteria of truth are reflected in the statements of Spinoza: "adequate" and "true," 99. — Clearness, distinctness, and certainty as properties of the internal criterion of truth, 101. — The internal criterion of truth as used by Spinoza, 102. — (1) Inadequate knowledge (Props. XXIV-XXXI), 106. — *Communis naturae ordo*, 108. — (2) Adequate knowledge, *first kind*: the idea of God and ideas related to God (Prop. XXXII), 109. — Ideas not positively false (Prop. XXXIII), 110. — Adequate knowledge, *second kind*: simple ideas (Prop. XXXIV), 112. — Classification of untrue ideas, 113. — Meaning of falsity (Props. XXXV-XXXVI), 114. — Adequate knowledge, *third kind*: (a) common notions and (b) syllogistic conclusions deduced from common notions (Props. XXXVII-XL), 117. — Common notions or axioms as the immediate premises of demonstrations, 118. — Maimonides' classification of the immediate premises of syllogisms and how it is reflected in Spinoza (Prop. XL, Schol. I), 119. — Second notions as used by Maimonides and by the scholastics, and how Maimonides' use is reflected in Spinoza, 120. — Transcendentals, universals, and common notions, 123. — Spinoza's common notions as Aristotle's axioms of physics and common sensibles, 125. — Spinoza's own characterization of his common notions (Props. XXXVIII-XXXIX), 126. — Summary of discussion of Spinoza's common notions, 128. — The nature of syllogistic conclusions deduced from common notions (Prop. XL), 129.

CHAPTER XVI

THE THREE STAGES OF KNOWLEDGE 131

Classifications of the various types of knowledge (Prop. XL, Schol. 2), 131. — Threefold and fourfold classifications, 131. — Influence of Saadia, 132. — (1) First kind of knowledge in two forms: *first form*, 134; — *second form*, 136. — (2) Second kind of knowledge: deductions by syllogistic reasoning also in two forms, 138. — *First form* of deduction, 139. — *Second form* of deduction, 140. — (3) Third kind of knowledge; its various characterizations reducible to one: definition, 140. — Spinoza's conception of definition: (*a*) of things uncreated, 142; — (*b*) of things created, 143. — Terms by which the three kinds of knowledge are designated, 145. — Distinguishing characteristics of the three kinds of knowledge with respect to their derivation, 149. Validity of the three kinds of knowledge (Prop. XLI), 151. — Second and third kinds of knowledge as criteria of the truth of the first kind (Prop. XLII), 152. — The mind itself as the criterion of the truth of the second and third kinds of knowledge: no infinite regress in thought (Prop. XLIII), 152. — Difference between the second and third kinds of knowledge, 155. — Meaning of Spinoza's third kind of knowledge or "intuitive science": its derivation, 155. — Subject-matter of second kind of knowledge, 158. — *Sub quadam aeternitatis specie*: its historical background and its meaning in Spinoza (Props. XLIV–XLVI), 159. — Subject-matter of the third kind of knowledge (Prop. XLVII), 162. — Difference between the knowledge of the common notions and the knowledge of God, 162. — Outline of Spinoza's classifications of knowledge, 163.

CHAPTER XVII

WILL 164

How the problem of will is introduced by Spinoza, 164. — Analysis of Aristotle's conception of will, 164. — How this Aristotelian conception of will is reflected in the statements of Spinoza: (*a*) Distinction between desire and will, 166. — (*b*) Will only a universal, 168. — (*c*) Identity of will and intellect (Prop. XLIX), 169. — Spinoza's arguments that the will is not free (Props. XLVIII–XLIX), 172. — Answers to objections against the denial of the freedom of the will: analysis of Scholium to Proposition XLIX, 173.

(ETHICS, III)

CHAPTER XVIII

EMOTIONS 180

General characterization of the last three parts of the *Ethics*, 180. — Emotions and virtues, 181. — Structure of Part III, 185.

I. Actions and Passions	185
Analysis of Descartes' discussion of actions, passions, and emotions, 185. — Analysis of Descartes' conception of the relation between mind and body, 187. — Spinoza's criticism: (a) Criticism of Descartes' definition of actions and passions; his own definition of the terms (Prop. I), 188. — (b) Criticism of Descartes' conception of the relation between mind and body (Prop. II), 189, — and of his view with regard to actions and passions of the mind (Prop. III), 192. — (c) Criticism of Descartes' definition of emotions; Spinoza's own definition: his use of terms <i>affectus</i> , <i>affectio</i> , <i>passio</i> , <i>commotio</i> , 192.	
II. Conatus and Primary Passive Emotions	195
Conatus of the body: Principle of self-preservation (Props. IV–VIII), 195. — Conatus and <i>δρμη</i> , 196. — Self-preservation and self-destruction, 197. — Conatus in inanimate objects, 199. — Conatus of mind and conatus of mind and body (Props. IX–XI), 201. — <i>Conatus</i> , <i>voluntas</i> , <i>appetitus</i> , <i>cupiditas</i> , 203. — Desire, pleasure, pain, 204. — <i>Laetitia</i> , <i>tristitia</i> , 206. — Primary passive emotions, 207.	
III. Derivative Passive Emotions	208
List of derivative passive emotions, 208. — Difference between primary and derivative passive emotion (Props. XII–XIII): definitions of love and hatred, 211. — Laws of emotions: (a) Association of emotions (Props. XIV–XVI), 213, — of which <i>animi fluctuatio</i> , in three forms, is an example (Props. XVII–XVIII), 214. — (b) Imitation of emotions, including (1) participation of emotions (Props. XIX–XXIV), (2) affirmation and denial of emotions (Props. XXV–XXVII), and (3) certain consequences of imitation of emotions (Props. XXVIII–XXXII), 215. — Classification of derivative passive emotions in Propositions XXXIII–LVII, 217.	
IV. Active Emotions	218
Definition of active emotions (Props. LVIII–LIX), 218. — Meaning of the terms <i>fortitudo</i> , <i>animositas</i> , and <i>generositas</i> : influence of Thomas Aquinas, 218.	

(ETHICS, IV)

CHAPTER XIX

VIRTUES	221
Two conceptions of Scriptural Law: naïve and rationalistic, 221. — Spinoza's criticism of both these conceptions of Scriptural Law and also of the ethics of philosophers, 222. — Structure of Part IV of the <i>Ethics</i> , 223.	

I. Emotions and Virtues	224
Prevalent view as to dependence of virtue upon knowledge or will, 224. — Unfolding Spinoza's criticism of this view, 225. — Emotions cannot be removed by knowledge (Prop. I), 226. — Emotions cannot be removed by will (Props. II-VII), 227. — Meaning of good and evil (Prop. VIII), 229. — The removability of weaker emotions by stronger emotions (Props. IX-XVIII), 230. — How reason masters the emotions, 231.	
II. Happiness and Virtue	233
The dictates of reason: structure of Propositions XIX-LXXXVIII, 233. — Aristotle's <i>Nicomachean Ethics</i> as it outlined itself in the mind of Spinoza, 233. — The aiming at some good (Prop. XIX), 235. — Self-preservation as the good (Prop. XX), 236. — Virtue, 237. — Happiness (Props. XXI-XXII), 238. — Virtue and reason (Props. XXIII-XXV), 239. — The life of reason (Props. XXVI-XXVIII), 239.	
III. Society and the State	240
The relation between ethics and politics in Aristotle and Spinoza, 240. — Spinoza's theory of society: analogy between the individual organism (Props. XXIX-XXXI) and the social organism (Props. XXXII-XXXVII), 241. — Three salient features of Spinoza's social theory and their historical background, 244. — The civil state, 246. — Organic conception of the civil state (Props. XXXVIII-XL, 249. — The meaning of cessation of life in the individual and in the social group: the existence of the Jews, 249.	
IV. Catalogue of Virtues	251
Structure of Propositions XLI-LXXIII, 251. — The Aristotelian basis of Spinoza's definition of virtue or goodness, 251. — How Spinoza's list of virtues and vices is to be studied, 253. — The free man of Spinoza and the wise man of the Stoics (Props. LXVII-LXXIII), 255.	

(ETHICS, V)

CHAPTER XX

LOVE, IMMORTALITY, AND BLESSEDNESS	261
I. A Metaphysic for Bilious Souls	261
Structure of Part V of the <i>Ethics</i> , 261. — Remedies for the emotions, 263. — The remediability of the emotions, 264. — The meta-	

physical basis of Spinoza's remedies for the ailments of the soul (Prop. I), 265. — The six remedies for those ailments (Props. II-X), 266. — The love for God as the sovereign remedy (Props. XI-XV), 272. — Same old Rock of Salvation: purpose of Spinoza's new conception of God, 273.

II. Love and Impersonality of God 274

Unfolding Spinoza's argument against the personality of God, 274. — The traditional concept of man's love for God, 275. — Its four salient features, 276. — How they are reflected in Spinoza's writings (Props. XV-XVI), 279. — The traditional concept of God's love for man, 283. — Why rejected by Spinoza (Prop. XVII), 285. — Advantages of the denial of God's love for man (Props. XVIII-XX), 286.

III. Immortality and the Intellectual Love of God 289

Love for God and immortality, 289. — Two conceptions of the immortality of the soul, 289. — One of these conceptions is adopted by Spinoza (Props. XXI-XXIII), 291. — Why not also the immortality of the body? 293. — Unfolding Spinoza's two possible answers to this question, 294. — Immortality and pre-existence of the soul, 296. — How immortality can be personal, 297. — A foretaste of immortality during lifetime (Props. XXIV-XXVII), 298. — Man's consciousness of the eternity of his mind (Props. XXVIII-XXXI), 300. — Intellectual love of God (Prop. XXXII), 302. — Intellectual knowledge of God, 306. — Eternity of the intellectual love of God (Prop. XXXIII), 307. — Evidence for immortality (Prop. XXXIV), 309. — In what sense God can be said to love man (Props. XXXV-XXXVI), 310. — Explanation of Spinoza's cryptic reference to "glory" in the Bible, 311. — Immortality universal (Prop. XXXVII), 317. — Individuality of immortal souls (Prop. XXXVIII), 318. — Moral virtues and immortality: history of problem and Spinoza's treatment of it (Props. XXXIX-XL), 320. — Evidence that Spinoza's theory of immortality was intended as a criticism of the view of Uriel Acosta, 322.

IV. The Religion of Reason 325

Transition from the problems of the love of God and immortality to the problem of revelation, 325. — Popular and philosophic religion: Spinoza's description of popular Judaism, 326. — Philosophic Judaism as outlined by Maimonides, 327. — The latter adopted by Spinoza in his religion of reason with two modifications (Props. XLI-XLII), 328. — Conclusion: the *Ethics* and the *Tractatus Theologico-Politicus*, 329.

CHAPTER XXI

WHAT IS NEW IN SPINOZA? 331

Four acts of daring in establishing long-envisaged principle of unity of nature by pressing old arguments to their logical conclusion, 331. — (*a*) Attribution of extension to God, 333. — (*b*) Denial of design and purpose in God, 335. — (*c*) Insistence upon the inseparability of soul from body: analysis of Spinoza's theory of the soul, 336. — (*d*) Elimination of freedom of the will from human actions, 339. — Thumb-nail sketch of Spinoza's philosophy, 339. — Four characteristics of his conception of substance, one of them the infinity of its attributes, 341. — No logical justification for the assumption of infinite attributes, 342. — Psychological reason for this assumption: the influence of tradition, 344. — Spinoza's radical departure from traditional theology: denial of the personality of God, 345. — The God of Spinoza and the God of Aristotle, 346. — Spinoza unconscious of the significance of this radical departure, 347. — Spinoza the theological rationalizer, 348. — Spinoza the philosopher and Spinoza the preacher, 350. — Summary of Spinoza's philosophy as unfolded in this work, 352.

LIST OF EDITIONS OF TEXTS USED 357

INDEX OF REFERENCES 367

INDEX OF TERMS 393

A. Latin 393

B. Greek 398

C. Hebrew 399

D. Arabic 400

INDEX OF SUBJECTS AND NAMES 401