
Acknowledgments

I would like to thank: my colleagues in the Department of Spanish and Portuguese at the University of California, Davis, and in the University of California Comparative Iberian Studies Working Group; the University of Toronto Press, particularly Siobhan McMenemy and Mark Thompson, for support of this project; Onésimo T. Almeida; Silvia Bermúdez; Leopoldo M. Bernucci; Sérgio Campos Matos; Thomas Harrington, Francisca González-Arias, Carmen Pereira-Muro, and Sharon Roseman, for helping me to formulate the ideas presented in chapter 3; and my external reviewers, for substantive and helpful feedback.

An earlier, abbreviated version of chapter 2 was published as "Antero de Quental, *Iberista*: Iberianism as Organizing Principle and Evolving Intellectual Commitment," (*Iberoamericana*, Nueva época, 8, no. 1 [September 2008]: 45–60). Chapter 4 builds from the articles "Portugal na visão unamuniana da Ibéria como unidade dialética" (*Estudos Avançados*, 24 [69] [2010]: 61–78), "Iberian Anguish: Unamuno's Influence on Miguel Torga" (*Luso-Brazilian Review* 49, no. 2 [2012]: 188–206), and "A Poetry of Flesh and Bone: Miguel de Unamuno and Miguel Torga" (*Portuguese Studies* 30, no. 1 (2014): 21–36), though its focus and argument are broader. All translations from the Portuguese, Spanish, Catalan, and Galician are mine except where otherwise noted. I take full responsibility for errors of fact, translation, or transcription.



IBERIANISM AND CRISIS

Spain and Portugal at the Turn of the Twentieth Century

