

RESEARCH PAPER

EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS TO INITIAL VALUE PROBLEMS FOR NONLINEAR FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS ON THE SEMI-AXIS

Tiberiu Trif

Abstract

The purpose of the paper is to investigate the global existence of solutions to initial value problems for nonlinear fractional differential equations on the semi-axis. More precisely, it deals with the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha} x(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in (0, \infty), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1-\alpha} x(t) = x_0, \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, D_{0+}^{α} denotes the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α , and $f : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Unlike all the previous papers dealing with the problem of existence of solutions to (*), this problem is solved here by constructing a special locally convex space which is metrizable and complete. Then Schauder's fixed point theorem enables to provide sufficient conditions on f , ensuring that (*) possesses at least one solution. The growth conditions imposed to f are weaker than other similar conditions already used in the literature.

MSC 2010: Primary 34A08; Secondary 26A33, 34A12

Key Words and Phrases: initial value problems, Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, existence of solutions, semi-axis

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}^+ := (0, \infty)$ denote the set of all positive real numbers, let α be a real number such that $0 < \alpha < 1$, and let $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. The purpose of our paper is to provide sufficient conditions on f ensuring the existence of solutions to the nonlinear fractional differential equation

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha} x(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (1.1)$$

where D_{0+}^{α} is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α . We are looking for solutions to (1.1) in the space $C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, consisting of all functions $x \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ for which the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1-\alpha} x(t)$ exists in $\mathbb{R}$. More precisely, we submit every solution x of (1.1) to an initial condition of the form

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1-\alpha} x(t) = x_0. \quad (1.2)$$

We work under the following hypothesis on f :

(H). There exist $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ as well as two functions $p, q : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $p \in C_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $q \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and

$$|f(t, x)| \leq p(t)|x| + q(t) \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.3)$$

We notice that our hypothesis (H) is weaker than that imposed by Kou, Zhou and Yan [3, Eq. (3.5)]. They worked under the assumption that f satisfies (1.3), but required that p and q are bounded. In what follows we do not require the boundedness of neither p nor q .

On the other hand, Zhao and Ge [7] worked in their paper under the assumption that f satisfies an inequality of the form

$$|f(t, x)| \leq p(t)\omega\left(\frac{|x|}{1+t^{\alpha-1}}\right).$$

Although ω can be an arbitrary continuous nondecreasing function, it is assumed that $p \in L^1([0, \infty))$. In what follows we do not require neither the integrability of p over $[0, \infty)$.

We notice also that Kou, Zhou and Yan [3] did not work on the whole space $C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, but only on its subspace defined by

$$E := \left\{ x \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+) \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t^{1-\alpha} x(t)}{1+t^2} = 0 \right\}.$$

Endowed with an appropriate norm, E becomes a Banach space. A similar remark is valid for the paper by Zhao and Ge [7], too. Unlike them, in our paper we are working on the whole space $C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. We endow it with the topology induced by a sufficient family of seminorms. With respect to

this topology, $C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ becomes a Hausdorff locally convex space which is metrizable and complete.

2. Preliminaries

For the reader's convenience, in this section we briefly recall some basic facts on Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals and Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives (see the monographs by Miller and Ross [4] or Podlubny [6] for further details).

DEFINITION 2.1. The Riemann-Liouville fractional primitive of order α of a function $x : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$I_{0+}^{\alpha}x(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds,$$

provided the right side is pointwise defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$.

For instance, $I_{0+}^{\alpha}x(t)$ is defined for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ whenever x belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, where $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is the space of all real-valued functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$ which are Lebesgue integrable over every bounded subinterval of $\mathbb{R}^+$.

DEFINITION 2.2. The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α of a function $x : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha}x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha}x(s)ds,$$

provided the right side is pointwise defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$.

REMARK 2.1. ([2, p. 611]) One has

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha}I_{0+}^{\alpha}x = x \quad \text{for all } x \in C(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

REMARK 2.2. ([2, Proposition 2.4]) If $x \in C(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is such that $D_{0+}^{\alpha}x \in C(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ for which

$$I_{0+}^{\alpha}D_{0+}^{\alpha}x(t) = x(t) + ct^{\alpha-1} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

REMARK 2.3. If $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying the hypothesis (H), and $x \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then the function

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto f(t, x(t)) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.1}$$

belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

P r o o f. Indeed, clearly the function (2.1) belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}^+)$. By (1.3) we have

$$|f(t, x(t))| \leq p(t)|x(t)| + q(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

We have $q \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$. On the other hand, the function

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto p(t)|x(t)| \in \mathbb{R}$$

lies in $C_{1-(\alpha-\beta)}(\mathbb{R}^+) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ because $p \in C_\beta(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $x \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Consequently, the function (2.1) belongs to $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$. $\square$

LEMMA 2.1. *If $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying the hypothesis (H), and $x \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{1-\alpha} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x(s)) ds = 0.$$

P r o o f. Let

$$M_1 := \sup_{s \in (0,1]} s^\beta p(s) s^{1-\alpha} |x(s)|, \quad M_2 := \sup_{s \in (0,1]} s^{1-\alpha} q(s),$$

and let $M := \max\{M_1, M_2\}$. For every $t \in (0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{1-\alpha} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x(s)) ds \right| \\ & \leq t^{1-\alpha} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (p(s)|x(s)| + q(s)) ds \\ & = t^{1-\alpha} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\alpha-\beta-1} s^\beta p(s) s^{1-\alpha} |x(s)| ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\alpha-1} s^{1-\alpha} q(s) ds \right) \\ & \leq M t^{1-\alpha} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\alpha-\beta-1} ds + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\alpha-1} ds \right) \\ & = M t^{1-\alpha} \left(t^{2\alpha-\beta-1} B(\alpha, \alpha-\beta) + t^{2\alpha-1} B(\alpha, \alpha) \right) \\ & = M B(\alpha, \alpha-\beta) t^{\alpha-\beta} + M B(\alpha, \alpha) t^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

whence the conclusion comes. $\square$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function satisfying the hypothesis (H). A function $x \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a solution of the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.2) if and only if it is a solution of the Volterra*

integral equation

$$x(t) = x_0 t^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x(s)) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (2.2)$$

P r o o f. Suppose first that $x \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfies (1.1)–(1.2). Then we have $x \in C(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, hence $D_{0+}^\alpha x \in C(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ due to (1.1) and Remark 2.3. By virtue of Remark 2.2 we conclude that there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$x(t) = ct^{\alpha-1} + I_{0+}^\alpha D_{0+}^\alpha x(t) = ct^{\alpha-1} + I_{0+}^\alpha f(t, x(t)) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (2.3)$$

By Lemma 2.1, (1.2), and (2.3) we deduce that $c = x_0$, hence x satisfies (2.2).

Conversely, suppose that $x \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfies (2.2). According to Remark 2.3, the function (2.1) belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Since $D_{0+}^\alpha t^{\alpha-1} = 0$, by (2.2) and Remark 2.1 it follows that

$$D_{0+}^\alpha x(t) = D_{0+}^\alpha I_{0+}^\alpha f(t, x(t)) = f(t, x(t)) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

hence x satisfies (1.1). On the other hand, by (2.2) and Lemma 2.1 it follows that x satisfies (1.2), too. $\square$

3. The locally convex space $C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$

On the linear space $X := C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ consider the family $P := (p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of semi-norms $p_n : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, defined by

$$p_n(x) := \sup_{t \in (0, n]} t^{1-\alpha} |x(t)|, \quad x \in X.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}$ denote the topology induced by P on X . Then $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is a Hausdorff locally convex space. The family

$$\mathcal{B} := \{B_{r,n} \mid r \in \mathbb{R}^+, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

consisting of balls

$$B_{r,n} := \{x \in X \mid p_n(x) \leq r\}$$

is a neighbourhood-base at the origin of X with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. Moreover, since $\{B_{1/m,n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable neighbourhood-base at the origin of X , the topology $\mathcal{T}$ is metrizable. It can be proved that the topology $\mathcal{T}_\rho$ induced on X by the metric ρ , defined by

$$\rho(x, y) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{p_n(x-y)}{1 + p_n(x-y)}, \quad x, y \in X,$$

coincides with $\mathcal{T}$.

THEOREM 3.1. *A sequence (x_k) of functions in X converges to 0 with respect to $\mathcal{T}$ if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) (x_k) converges uniformly to 0 on every compact set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^+$;
- (ii) $\left(t^{1-\alpha}x_k(t)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 when $t \rightarrow 0+$ and $k \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to t , i.e., for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$t^{1-\alpha}|x_k(t)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0 \text{ and all } t \in (0, \delta). \quad (3.1)$$

P r o o f. *Necessity.* Suppose that (x_k) is a sequence of functions in X , converging to 0 with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. In order to prove (i), let C be a nonempty compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^+$, and let $a := \min C$, $b := \max C$. Then we have

$$0 < a \leq b < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad C \subseteq [a, b].$$

Next let $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\varepsilon' := \varepsilon a^{1-\alpha}$, and let n be a natural number such that $n \geq b$. Since $B_{\varepsilon', n}$ is a neighbourhood of 0 with respect to $\mathcal{T}$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_k \in B_{\varepsilon', n}$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Then for all $k \geq k_0$ and all $t \in C$ we have

$$a^{1-\alpha}|x_k(t)| \leq t^{1-\alpha}|x_k(t)| \leq p_n(x_k) \leq \varepsilon',$$

whence

$$|x_k(t)| \leq \varepsilon' a^{\alpha-1} = \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, (x_k) converges uniformly to 0 on C .

In order to prove (ii), let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $B_{\varepsilon, 1}$ is a neighbourhood of 0 with respect to $\mathcal{T}$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p_1(x_k) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Then for all $k \geq k_0$ and all $t \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$t^{1-\alpha}|x_k(t)| \leq p_1(x_k) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Sufficiency. Suppose now that (x_k) is a sequence of functions in X satisfying (i) and (ii). In order to prove that (x_k) converges to 0, let V be an arbitrary neighbourhood of 0 with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B_{\varepsilon, n} \subseteq V$. By (ii) it follows that there exists $\delta > 0$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (3.1) holds.

If $\delta > n$, then we have $p_n(x_k) \leq \varepsilon$, hence $x_k \in B_{\varepsilon, n} \subseteq V$ for all $k \geq k_0$.

If $\delta \leq n$, then set $C := [\delta, n]$ and $\varepsilon' := \varepsilon/n^{1-\alpha}$. By (i) it follows that there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x_k(t)| \leq \varepsilon' \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_1 \text{ and all } t \in C.$$

From this inequality we deduce that

$$t^{1-\alpha}|x_k(t)| \leq \varepsilon' t^{1-\alpha} \leq \varepsilon' n^{1-\alpha} = \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_1 \text{ and all } t \in C. \quad (3.2)$$

By (3.1) and (3.2) we conclude that $p_n(x_k) \leq \varepsilon$, i.e., $x_k \in B_{\varepsilon,n} \subseteq V$ for all $k \geq \max\{k_0, k_1\}$. Consequently, (x_k) converges to 0 with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. $\square$

THEOREM 3.2. *The metrizable locally convex space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is complete.*

P r o o f. Let (x_k) be a Cauchy sequence in $(X, \mathcal{T})$. We show first that $(x_k(t))$ is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ arbitrarily chosen. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Set $\varepsilon' := \varepsilon t^{1-\alpha}$ and select a natural number n such that $n \geq t$. Since $B_{\varepsilon',n}$ is a neighbourhood of 0 with respect to $\mathcal{T}$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_k - x_\ell \in B_{\varepsilon',n}$ for all $k, \ell \geq k_0$. Then for all $k, \ell \geq k_0$ we have

$$t^{1-\alpha}|x_k(t) - x_\ell(t)| \leq p_n(x_k - x_\ell) \leq \varepsilon',$$

whence $|x_k(t) - x_\ell(t)| \leq \varepsilon'/t^{1-\alpha} = \varepsilon$. In conclusion, $(x_k(t))$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let $x : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$x(t) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

We claim that

$$(x_k - x)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ converges uniformly to 0 on every compact set } C \subset \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (3.3)$$

Indeed, let C be any nonempty compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^+$, and let $a := \min C$, $b := \max C$. Then we have

$$0 < a \leq b < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad C \subseteq [a, b].$$

Next let $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\varepsilon' := \varepsilon a^{1-\alpha}$, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \geq b$. Since $B_{\varepsilon',n}$ is a neighbourhood of 0 with respect to $\mathcal{T}$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_k - x_\ell \in B_{\varepsilon',n}$ for all $k, \ell \geq k_0$. Then for all $k, \ell \geq k_0$ and all $t \in C$ we have

$$a^{1-\alpha}|x_k(t) - x_\ell(t)| \leq t^{1-\alpha}|x_k(t) - x_\ell(t)| \leq p_n(x_k - x_\ell) \leq \varepsilon',$$

whence

$$|x_k(t) - x_\ell(t)| \leq \varepsilon'/a^{1-\alpha} = \varepsilon.$$

Letting $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that

$$|x_k(t) - x(t)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0 \text{ and all } t \in C.$$

Therefore (3.3) holds, as claimed. In particular, by (3.3) it follows that $x \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

Next we claim that

$$\begin{cases} \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there exists } k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that} \\ t^{1-\alpha}|x_k(t) - x(t)| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } k \geq k_0 \text{ and all } t \in (0, 1). \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $B_{\varepsilon,1}$ is a neighbourhood of 0 with respect to $\mathcal{T}$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_k - x_\ell \in B_{\varepsilon,1}$ for all $k, \ell \geq k_0$. Then we have

$$t^{1-\alpha}|x_k(t) - x_\ell(t)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k, \ell \geq k_0 \text{ and all } t \in (0, 1).$$

Letting $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that

$$t^{1-\alpha}|x_k(t) - x(t)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0 \text{ and all } t \in (0, 1),$$

hence (3.4) holds.

Finally, we claim that $x \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. In order to show that the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1-\alpha}x(t)$ exists in $\mathbb{R}$ it suffices to show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $a > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the inequality

$$|t^{1-\alpha}x(t) - s^{1-\alpha}x(s)| \leq \varepsilon$$

holds for all $t, s \in (0, a)$ with $|t - s| < \delta$. So let $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily chosen. By (3.4) it results that there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$t^{1-\alpha}|x_k(t) - x(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0 \text{ and all } t \in (0, 1).$$

Select a natural number k such that $k \geq k_0$. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1-\alpha}x_k(t)$ exists in $\mathbb{R}$, there exist $a > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|t^{1-\alpha}x_k(t) - s^{1-\alpha}x_k(s)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{for all } t, s \in (0, a) \text{ with } |t - s| < \delta.$$

Without losing the generality we may assume that $a \leq 1$. Then for all $t, s \in (0, 1)$ with $|t - s| < \delta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |t^{1-\alpha}x(t) - s^{1-\alpha}x(s)| \\ & \leq t^{1-\alpha}|x(t) - x_k(t)| + |t^{1-\alpha}x_k(t) - s^{1-\alpha}x_k(s)| + s^{1-\alpha}|x_k(s) - x(s)| \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1-\alpha}x(t)$ exists in $\mathbb{R}$ and $x \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, as claimed.

By (3.3) and (3.4), based on Theorem 3.1, it follows that $(x_k - x)$ converges to 0, i.e., (x_k) converges to x with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. $\square$

THEOREM 3.3. *Let Y be a subset of the metrizable locally convex space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) Y is pointwise bounded on $\mathbb{R}^+$;
- (ii) Y is equicontinuous on $\mathbb{R}^+$;
- (iii) Y is equiconvergent at $0+$, i.e., for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $y \in Y$ and all $t \in (0, \delta)$ one has

$$\left| t^{1-\alpha}y(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1-\alpha}y(t) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then Y is relatively compact in $(X, \mathcal{T})$.

P r o o f. Let $\mathbb{R}_0^+ := [0, \infty)$. On the linear space $\tilde{X} := C(\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ we consider the compact convergence topology $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$, induced by the family $\tilde{P} := (\tilde{p}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of semi-norms $\tilde{p}_n : \tilde{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, defined by

$$\tilde{p}_n(\tilde{x}) := \max_{t \in [0, n]} |\tilde{x}(t)|, \quad \tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}.$$

It is well-known that $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{T}})$ is a locally convex space which is metrizable and complete.

Associate with every function $x \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ the function $\tilde{x} : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\tilde{x}(t) := \begin{cases} t^{1-\alpha}x(t) & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1-\alpha}x(t) & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

By (i), (ii), and (iii) it follows that $\tilde{Y} := \{\tilde{y} \mid y \in Y\}$ is pointwise bounded and equicontinuous on $\mathbb{R}_0^+$. By Ascoli's theorem it follows that $\tilde{Y}$ is relatively compact in $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{T}})$.

In order to prove that Y is relatively compact in $(X, \mathcal{T})$, let (y_k) be any sequence in Y , and let $(\tilde{y}_k)$ be the corresponding sequence in $\tilde{Y}$. Since $\tilde{Y}$ is relatively compact, there exist $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{X}$ as well as a subsequence $(\tilde{y}_{k_j})$ of $(\tilde{y}_k)$ such that $(\tilde{y}_{k_j})$ converges to $\tilde{y}$ with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$. Then $(\tilde{y}_{k_j} - \tilde{y})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to 0 on every compact set $C \subset \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Let $y : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by $y(t) := \tilde{y}(t)/t^{1-\alpha}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Obviously, we have $y \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. By a reasoning similar to that already used in the proof of claim (3.3) one can easily deduce that

$$(y_{k_j} - y)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ converges uniformly to 0 on every compact set } C \subset \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (3.5)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily chosen. Since $(\tilde{y}_{k_j} - \tilde{y})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to 0 on $[0, 1]$, there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\tilde{y}_{k_j}(t) - \tilde{y}(t)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } j \geq j_0 \text{ and all } t \in [0, 1],$$

i.e.,

$$t^{1-\alpha}|y_{k_j}(t) - y(t)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } j \geq j_0 \text{ and all } t \in (0, 1), \quad (3.6)$$

By (3.5) and (3.6), based on Theorem 3.1, it follows that $(y_{k_j} - y)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0, i.e., $(y_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to y with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. Consequently, Y is relatively compact in $(X, \mathcal{T})$. $\square$

4. Main result

THEOREM 4.1. *If β is a real number such that $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$, and $p \in C_\beta(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $q \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ are nonnegative functions, then for every $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ the linear integral equation*

$$y(t) = y_0 t^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (p(s)y(s) + q(s)) ds \quad (4.1)$$

has a unique solution $y \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

P r o o f. Obviously, it suffices to show that (4.1) has a unique solution in $C_{1-\alpha}([0, h])$ for each $h > 0$, where $C_{1-\alpha}([0, h])$ is the linear space consisting of all functions $y \in C((0, h])$ for which the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1-\alpha}y(t)$ exists in $\mathbb{R}$. When endowed with the norm

$$\|y\|_{1-\alpha} := \sup_{t \in (0, h]} t^{1-\alpha}|y(t)|,$$

$C_{1-\alpha}([0, h])$ becomes a Banach space.

Let $h > 0$, and let $T : C_{1-\alpha}([0, h]) \rightarrow C_{1-\alpha}([0, h])$ be the operator defined by

$$(Ty)(t) := y_0 t^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (p(s)y(s) + q(s)) ds$$

for all $y \in C_{1-\alpha}([0, h])$ and all $t \in (0, h]$. By Lemma 2.1 it follows that T is well defined. We claim that for all $y, z \in C_{1-\alpha}([0, h])$, all $t \in (0, h]$, and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$t^{1-\alpha} |(T^n y)(t) - (T^n z)(t)| \leq P^n K_n t^{n(\alpha-\beta)} \|y - z\|_{1-\alpha}, \quad (4.2)$$

where T^n denotes the n th iterate of T ,

$$P := \|p\|_\beta = \sup_{t \in (0, h]} t^\beta p(t) \quad \text{and} \quad K_n := \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(k(\alpha - \beta))}{\Gamma(\alpha + k(\alpha - \beta))}.$$

We proceed by induction on n . Let $y, z \in C_{1-\alpha}([0, h])$, and let $t \in (0, h]$. In order to prove that (4.2) holds for $n = 1$, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 t^{1-\alpha} |(Ty)(t) - (Tz)(t)| &\leq \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) |y(s) - z(s)| ds \\
 &= \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t s^\beta p(s) s^{1-\alpha} |y(s) - z(s)| s^{\alpha-\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\
 &\leq \frac{Pt^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|y - z\|_{1-\alpha} \int_0^t s^{\alpha-\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\
 &= P \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha - \beta)} t^{\alpha-\beta} \|y - z\|_{1-\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (4.2) holds indeed for $n = 1$. Assuming that (4.2) holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us prove that it holds for $n + 1$, too. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 t^{1-\alpha} |(T^{n+1}y)(t) - (T^{n+1}z)(t)| &= t^{1-\alpha} |T(T^n y)(t) - T(T^n z)(t)| \\
 &\leq \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) |(T^n y)(s) - (T^n z)(s)| ds \\
 &= \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t s^\beta p(s) s^{1-\alpha} |(T^n y)(s) - (T^n z)(s)| s^{\alpha-\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\
 &\leq \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t P^{n+1} K_n s^{n(\alpha-\beta)} \|y - z\|_{1-\alpha} s^{\alpha-\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\
 &= P^{n+1} K_n \frac{\Gamma((n+1)(\alpha - \beta))}{\Gamma(\alpha + (n+1)(\alpha - \beta))} t^{(n+1)(\alpha-\beta)} \|y - z\|_{1-\alpha} \\
 &= P^{n+1} K_{n+1} t^{(n+1)(\alpha-\beta)} \|y - z\|_{1-\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (4.2) holds for $n + 1$. By (4.2) we deduce that

$$\|T^n y - T^n z\|_{1-\alpha} \leq P^n K_n h^{n(\alpha-\beta)} \|y - z\|_{1-\alpha}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $y, z \in C_{1-\alpha}([0, h])$.

Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_0(\alpha - \beta) \geq 2$. Since Γ is increasing on $[2, \infty)$, for every $n > n_0$ one has

$$K_n = K_{n_0} \prod_{k=n_0+1}^n \frac{\Gamma(k(\alpha - \beta))}{\Gamma(\alpha + k(\alpha - \beta))} < K_{n_0} \prod_{k=n_0+1}^n \frac{\Gamma(k(\alpha - \beta))}{\Gamma((k+1)(\alpha - \beta))},$$

whence

$$K_n < \frac{K_{n_0} \Gamma((n_0 + 1)(\alpha - \beta))}{\Gamma((n + 1)(\alpha - \beta))}.$$

Therefore, for all $n > n_0$ and all $y, z \in C_{1-\alpha}([0, h])$ one has

$$\|T^n y - T^n z\|_{1-\alpha} \leq \frac{P^n h^{n(\alpha-\beta)} K_{n_0} \Gamma((n_0 + 1)(\alpha - \beta))}{\Gamma((n + 1)(\alpha - \beta))} \|y - z\|_{1-\alpha}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P^n h^{n(\alpha-\beta)} K_{n_0} \Gamma((n_0+1)(\alpha-\beta))}{\Gamma((n+1)(\alpha-\beta))} = 0,$$

it follows that for sufficiently large n the operator T^n is a contraction. By virtue of a well known generalization of Banach's contraction principle (see, for instance, Agarwal, Meehan and O'Regan [1, p. 9] or Ortega and Rheinboldt [5, 12.1.1, pp. 383–385]) T has a unique fixed point in $C_{1-\alpha}([0, h])$. In other words, (4.1) has a unique solution in $C_{1-\alpha}([0, h])$. $\square$

We are now in the position to state and prove the main result of our paper.

THEOREM 4.2. *If $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying the hypothesis (H) and the parameters α and β are such that $2\alpha - \beta > 1$, then for every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.2) has at least one solution $x \in C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$.*

P r o o f. (a) According to Lemma 2.2, it suffices to show that the Volterra integral equation (2.2) has at least one solution in $X := C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be the operator defined by

$$(Tx)(t) := x_0 t^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x(s)) ds$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. By Lemma 2.1 it follows that T is well defined. We need to prove that T has at least one fixed point $x \in X$.

Further, let $y_0 := 1 + |x_0|$, and let $y \in X$ be the unique solution to the linear integral equation (4.1). Then we have $y(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Indeed, otherwise it would exist $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $y(t_0) = 0$ and $y(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (0, t_0)$. But then, according to (4.1) we would have

$$0 = y(t_0) = y_0 t_0^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_0} (t_0 - s)^{\alpha-1} (p(s)y(s) + q(s)) ds > 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

In the complete Hausdorff locally convex space $(X, \mathcal{T})$, introduced in Section 3, consider the set defined by

$$Z := \{x \in X \mid |x(t)| \leq y(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^+\}.$$

Since the convergence of a sequence in X with respect to $\mathcal{T}$ implies the pointwise convergence of that sequence (see Theorem 3.1), it is immediately seen that Z is a nonempty closed convex subset of X .

We claim that $T(Z) \subseteq Z$. Indeed, for every $z \in Z$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tz)(t)| &\leq |x_0|t^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, z(s))| ds \\ &\leq y_0 t^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (p(s)|z(s)| + q(s)) ds \\ &\leq y_0 t^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (p(s)y(s) + q(s)) ds \\ &= y(t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

whence $Tz \in Z$.

(b) Next we claim that T is continuous on Z . To this end, let x be any point in Z , and let V be an arbitrary neighbourhood of Tx in X with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. Choose $r > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Tx + B_{r,n} \subseteq V$. Set

$$M_1 := \sup_{s \in (0,1]} s^\beta p(s) s^{1-\alpha} y(s), \quad M_2 := \sup_{s \in (0,1]} s^{1-\alpha} q(s),$$

and $M := \max\{M_1, M_2\}$. Further, let $z \in Z$ and $t \in (0, 1]$ be arbitrarily chosen. Since

$$|f(s, z(s))| \leq p(s)|z(s)| + q(s) \leq p(s)y(s) + q(s)$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, a computation similar to that performed in the proof of Lemma 2.1 shows that

$$\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, z(s))| ds \leq M(B(\alpha, \alpha-\beta)t^{2\alpha-\beta-1} + B(\alpha, \alpha)t^{2\alpha-1}). \quad (4.4)$$

Pick $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{2Mn^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (B(\alpha, \alpha-\beta)\delta^{2\alpha-\beta-1} + B(\alpha, \alpha)\delta^{2\alpha-1}) \leq \frac{r}{2}. \quad (4.5)$$

After that, put $A := \max_{t \in [\delta, n]} y(t)$. Since f is uniformly continuous on the compact set $[\delta, n] \times [-A, A]$, there exists $p > 0$ such that

$$|f(s, u) - f(s, v)| \leq \frac{r\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)}{2n}$$

for all $s \in [\delta, n]$ and all $u, v \in [-A, A]$ with $|u - v| \leq p$.

Set $q := p\delta^{1-\alpha}$ and $U := (x + B_{q,n}) \cap Z$. Clearly, U is a neighbourhood of x in Z with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. It remains to prove that $T(U) \subseteq V$ in order to establish the continuity of T at x . Note first that for every $z \in U$ we have

$z - x \in B_{q,n}$, hence $s^{1-\alpha}|z(s) - x(s)| \leq q$ for all $s \in (0, n]$. Therefore, for all $s \in [\delta, n]$ one has $|z(s) - x(s)| \leq q/s^{1-\alpha} \leq q/\delta^{1-\alpha} = p$, whence

$$|f(s, z(s)) - f(s, x(s))| \leq \frac{r\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)}{2n}. \quad (4.6)$$

Now let $z \in U$ and $t \in (0, n]$ be arbitrarily chosen. If $t \in (0, \delta]$, then due to (4.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tz)(t) - (Tx)(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, z(s)) - f(s, x(s))| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, z(s))| ds + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, x(s))| ds \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2M}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (B(\alpha, \alpha - \beta) t^{2\alpha-\beta-1} + B(\alpha, \alpha) t^{2\alpha-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{2M}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (B(\alpha, \alpha - \beta) \delta^{2\alpha-\beta-1} + B(\alpha, \alpha) \delta^{2\alpha-1}), \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} t^{1-\alpha} |(Tz)(t) - (Tx)(t)| &\quad (4.7) \\ &\leq \frac{2Mn^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (B(\alpha, \alpha - \beta) \delta^{2\alpha-\beta-1} + B(\alpha, \alpha) \delta^{2\alpha-1}) \leq \frac{r}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (4.5). If $t \in [\delta, n]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tz)(t) - (Tx)(t)| &\quad (4.8) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\delta (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, z(s)) - f(s, x(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\delta^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, z(s)) - f(s, x(s))| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\delta (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, z(s)) - f(s, x(s))| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^\delta (\delta-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\delta-s}{t-s} \right)^{1-\alpha} (|f(s, z(s))| + |f(s, x(s))|) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^\delta (\delta-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, z(s))| ds + \int_0^\delta (\delta-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, x(s))| ds, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\delta (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, z(s)) - f(s, x(s))| ds \\ \leq 2M(B(\alpha, \alpha - \beta)\delta^{2\alpha-\beta-1} + B(\alpha, \alpha)\delta^{2\alpha-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

by virtue of (4.4). On the other hand, due to (4.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\delta^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, z(s)) - f(s, x(s))| ds \\ \leq \frac{r\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)}{2n} \cdot \frac{(t-\delta)^\alpha}{\alpha} < \frac{r\Gamma(\alpha)}{2n} n^\alpha = \frac{r\Gamma(\alpha)}{2n^{1-\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

From (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} t^{1-\alpha} |(Tz)(t) - (Tx)(t)| &\leq n^{1-\alpha} |(Tz)(t) - (Tx)(t)| \\ &\leq \frac{2Mn^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (B(\alpha, \alpha - \beta)\delta^{2\alpha-\beta-1} + B(\alpha, \alpha)\delta^{2\alpha-1}) + \frac{r}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$t^{1-\alpha} |(Tz)(t) - (Tx)(t)| \leq r \quad (4.11)$$

by virtue of (4.5). Now due to (4.7) and (4.11) we may conclude that

$$p_n(Tz - Tx) = \sup_{t \in (0, n]} t^{1-\alpha} |(Tz)(t) - (Tx)(t)| \leq r,$$

whence $Tz - Tx \in B_{r,n}$. Therefore $Tz \in Tx + B_{r,n} \subseteq V$. Since $z \in U$ was arbitrarily chosen, we deduce that $T(U) \subseteq V$, hence T is continuous at x .

(c) Finally, we claim that the image $T(Z)$ is relatively compact in X . To prove this, we show that $T(Z)$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) in Theorem 3.3. From (4.3) it follows that $T(Z)$ is pointwise bounded on $\mathbb{R}^+$. In order to establish the equicontinuity of $T(Z)$ on $\mathbb{R}^+$, let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be arbitrarily chosen. Select real numbers a and b such that $0 < a < t_0 < b$ and set

$$M_1 := \sup_{s \in (0, b]} s^\beta p(s) s^{1-\alpha} y(s), \quad M_2 := \sup_{s \in (0, b]} s^{1-\alpha} q(s),$$

and $M := \max\{M_1, M_2\}$. Further, let $z \in Z$ and $t \in [a, b]$. Since

$$|f(s, z(s))| \leq p(s)|z(s)| + q(s) \leq p(s)y(s) + q(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

for all $t \geq t_0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, z(s)) ds \right| &\leq \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (p(s)y(s) + q(s)) ds \\ &\leq M \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (s^{\alpha-\beta-1} + s^{\alpha-1}) ds \\ &\leq M(a^{\alpha-\beta-1} + a^{\alpha-1}) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\left| \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, z(s)) ds \right| \leq \frac{M(a^{\alpha-\beta-1} + a^{\alpha-1})}{\alpha} |t - t_0|^\alpha. \quad (4.12)$$

We have also

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^{t_0} ((t-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_0-s)^{\alpha-1}) f(s, z(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^{t_0} ((t_0-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}) (p(s)y(s) + q(s)) ds \\ &\leq M \int_0^{t_0} ((t_0-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}) (s^{\alpha-\beta-1} + s^{\alpha-1}) ds \\ &\leq M(a^{\alpha-\beta-1} + a^{\alpha-1}) \int_0^{t_0} ((t_0-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}) ds, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^{t_0} ((t-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_0-s)^{\alpha-1}) f(s, z(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M(a^{\alpha-\beta-1} + a^{\alpha-1})}{\alpha} (|t - t_0|^\alpha - |t^\alpha - t_0^\alpha|). \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &|(Tz)(t) - (Tz)(t_0)| \\ &\leq |x_0| \cdot |t^{\alpha-1} - t_0^{\alpha-1}| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, z(s)) ds \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_0^{t_0} ((t-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_0-s)^{\alpha-1}) f(s, z(s)) ds \right|, \end{aligned}$$

by taking into consideration (4.12) and (4.13) we deduce that

$$|(Tz)(t) - (Tz)(t_0)| \leq |x_0| \cdot |t^{\alpha-1} - t_0^{\alpha-1}| + \frac{2M(a^{\alpha-\beta-1} + a^{\alpha-1})}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} |t - t_0|^\alpha \quad (4.14)$$

for all $z \in Z$ and all $t \in [t_0, b]$. A similar reasoning shows that (4.14) holds also for all $z \in Z$ and all $t \in [a, t_0]$. By (4.14) it follows that $T(Z)$ is equicontinuous at t_0 .

It remains to establish the equiconvergence of $T(Z)$ at $0+$. Note first that for all $z \in Z$ one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1-\alpha}(Tz)(t) = x_0$$

due to Lemma 2.1. Therefore, for each $z \in Z$ and each $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| t^{1-\alpha}(Tz)(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1-\alpha}(Tz)(t) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, z(s))| ds \\ & \leq \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (p(s)y(s) + q(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

But Lemma 2.1 ensures also that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1-\alpha} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (p(s)y(s) + q(s)) ds = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

By (4.15) and (4.16) it follows immediately that $T(Z)$ satisfies the condition (iii) in Theorem 3.3.

In conclusion, Z is a closed convex subset of the complete locally convex space $(X, \mathcal{T})$, while $T : Z \rightarrow Z$ is a continuous operator with the property that $T(Z)$ is relatively compact in X . By virtue of Schauder's fixed point theorem (see, for instance, [1, Theorem 8.3]) T has at least one fixed point in Z . In other words, the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.2) has at least one solution $x \in Z$. $\square$

We conclude our paper with an example illustrating the applicability of Theorem 4.2.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Consider the initial value problem for the fractional differential equation

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{2/3} x(t) = \left(\frac{1}{t^{1/4}} + \ln t \right) x(t)^{1/3} \ln(1 + x(t)^{2/3}) + \frac{1}{t^{1/3}}, & t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1/3} x(t) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

Here $\alpha = 2/3$, while

$$f(t, x) = \left(\frac{1}{t^{1/4}} + \ln t \right) x^{1/3} \ln(1 + x^{2/3}) + \frac{1}{t^{1/3}}.$$

Choosing $p(t) := \frac{1}{t^{1/4}} + \ln t$ and $q(t) := 1/t^{1/3}$, we see that $p \in C_{1/4}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $q \in C_{1/3}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and (1.3) holds. Therefore, the hypothesis (H) is satisfied with $\beta = 1/4$. Since $2\alpha - \beta - 1 > 0$, Theorem 4.2 guarantees that the initial value problem (4.17) has at least one solution $x \in C_{1/3}(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

We point out that neither Theorem 3.1 in the paper by Kou, Zhou and Yan [3], nor Theorem 4.1 in the paper by Zhao and Ge [7] can be applied to (4.17).

References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, M. Meehan, D. O'Regan, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001).
- [2] D. Delbosco, L. Rodino, Existence and uniqueness for a nonlinear fractional differential equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **204** (1996), 609–625.
- [3] C. Kou, H. Zhou, Y. Yan, Existence of solutions of initial value problems for nonlinear fractional differential equations on the half-axis. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74** (2011), 5975–5986.
- [4] K.S. Miller, B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*. John Wiley, N. York (1993).
- [5] J.M. Ortega, W.C. Rheinboldt, *Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables*. Academic Press, N. York and London (1970). SIAM, 1987
- [6] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*. Academic Press, San Diego etc. (1999).
- [7] X. Zhao, W. Ge, Unbounded solutions for a fractional boundary value problem on the infinite interval. *Acta Appl. Math.* **109** (2010), 495–505.

Babeş-Bolyai University
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Kogălniceanu Str., no. 1
Cluj-Napoca – 400084, ROMANIA

e-mail: ttrif@math.ubbcluj.ro

Received: September 24, 2012

Please cite to this paper as published in:

Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., Vol. **16**, No 3 (2013), pp. 595–612;
 DOI:10.2478/s13540-013-0038-3