



DOI: 10.2478/s12175-014-0275-x Math. Slovaca **64** (2014), No. 5, 1277–1290

PRINCIPAL BUNDLE STRUCTURE ON JET PROLONGATIONS OF FRAME BUNDLES

J. Brajerčík* — M. Demko* — D. Krupka**

(Communicated by Július Korbaš)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the structure of a principal bundle on the r-jet prolongation J^rFX of the frame bundle FX over a manifold X. Our construction reduces the well-known principal prolongation W^rFX of FX with structure group G_n^r . For a structure group of J^rFX we find a suitable subgroup of G_n^r . We also discuss the structure of the associated bundles. We show that the associated action of the structure group of J^rFX corresponds with the standard actions of differential groups on tensor spaces.

©2014 Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences

1. Introduction

The concept of jet prolongations of principal and associated bundles is a fundamental tool in higher order differential geometry, the theory of differential invariants, and in applications (see, e.g., Brajerčík [2], Doupovec and Mikulski [3], Janyška [4], Kolář, Michor and Slovák [7], Kowalski and Sekizawa [9], Krupka [11], Kureš [13], Paták and Krupka [15]). This paper is a contribution to the structure theory of the prolongations.

One of the structure problems is the existence of a principal bundle structure on a jet prolongation of a principal bundle. This problem was originally studied by Kolář. His analysis was based on the works by Ehresmann and Libermann.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 58A20; Secondary 53C10, 55R10. Keywords: principal bundle, frame bundle, structure group, prolongation, associated bundle.

The first and third authors acknowledge support of the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10932002) and of the Czech Science Foundation (Grant 201/09/0981). This research was also supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency (Grant MVTS SK-CZ-0006-09) and by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (Grant KONTAKT MEB0810005). The first two authors are also grateful to the Ministry of Education of the Slovak Republic (Grant VEGA 1/0577/10). The first author was also supported by the University of Prešov, Slovakia, and its Faculty of Humanities and Natural Sciences.

For a principal G-bundle P over an n-dimensional manifold X he introduced a new principal bundle W^rP , where a structure group is the (r,n)-prolongation of G, denoted by G_n^r [5,6]. Later, a modified prolongation formula was stated in [10], and the theory was explained in a more systematic way in [7] and [12].

The principal prolongation W^rP has found numerous applications in the geometry of differential invariants, calculus of variations, etc. In most of them, the underlying principal bundle was a bundle FX of frames over X. It was shown, in particular, that many applications utilize only the subgroup L_n^r , the differential group, and do not require properties of the whole structure group G_n^r . Geometrically it means, that in many applications only a reduction of G_n^r to a subgroup is needed (e.g., differential invariants, natural Lagrange structures, gauge theories). In the case of a frame bundle FX over a manifold X it is possible to use reduction of W^rFX to the bundle $F^{r+1}X$ of frames of order r+1, where the structure group G_n^r is reduced to the differential group L_n^{r+1} ([12]).

Jet prolongations of FX are also studied by Libermann in connection with prolongations of higher order connections [14]. She showed that the first jet prolongation J^1FX can be naturally identified with the principal bundle of second order semi-holonomic frames over X (see also [8]). Also a correspondence between the bundle of semi-holonomic r-jets of sections of FX and the bundle of semi-holonomic frames of order r+1 over X was described.

The aim of this paper is to study the existence of principal bundle structures on holonomic frame bundle of order r, J^rFX . Our main result consists in finding a Lie group which defines a principal bundle structure of J^rFX . The construction gives us a reduction of the principal prolongation W^rFX to J^rFX . We also study the associated actions of this newly introduced group on the corresponding associated fibre bundles. These actions generalize the standard tensor actions of differential groups to a broader class of type fibres.

Note that the prolongation procedure presented in this paper can be applied to an arbitrary principal L_n^1 -bundle P. As an example we can take a principal L_n^1 -bundle J^rP over the bundle C^rP of r-th order connections of P for any r (see, e.g., [1]).

2. Preliminaries

Let G be a Lie group, and denote by T_n^rG the set of all r-jets with source at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and target in G. T_n^rG is a closed submanifold of $J^r(\mathbb{R}^n, G)$ of r-jets with source in \mathbb{R}^n and target in G.

Let $S, T \in T_n^r G$, $S = J_0^r s$, $T = J_0^r t$, where $s, t : \mathbb{R}^n \to G$, be any elements. The rule

$$\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{T} = J_0^r(s \cdot t),$$

where $(s \cdot t)(x) = s(x) \cdot t(x)$ is the group multiplication in G, defines a structure of Lie group on $T_n^r G$.

Let us consider the r-th differential group of \mathbb{R}^n , denoted L_n^r , which consists of all invertible r-jets of mappings $\alpha\colon\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ with source and target at the origin $0\in\mathbb{R}^n$, and multiplication is given by the composition of jets. Each element $A=J_0^r\alpha\in L_n^r$ defines a mapping $\varphi(A)\colon T_n^rG\to T_n^rG$ by the formula $\varphi(A)(\mathcal{S})=\mathcal{S}\circ A^{-1}$. $\varphi(A)$ is an automorphism of the Lie group T_n^rG , and the mapping $A\mapsto \varphi(A)$ is a homomorphism of the Lie group L_n^r into the group Aut T_n^rG of automorphisms of T_n^rG . The exterior semi-direct product $L_n^r\times_\varphi T_n^rG$, associated with the homomorphism φ (see [12]), is a Lie group with the multiplication

$$(A, \mathcal{S}) \cdot (B, \mathcal{T}) = (A \cdot B, \mathcal{S} \cdot (\mathcal{T} \circ A^{-1})),$$

where $A, B \in L_n^r$, $S, T \in T_n^r G$. This Lie group is called the (r, n)-prolongation of G and is denoted by G_n^r .

Let F^rX denote the set of all r-frames, i.e., invertible r-jets $Z = J_0^r\zeta$ with source at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and target in the n-dimensional manifold X. F^rX is endowed with a natural structure of principal bundle with the structure group L_n^r and is called the bundle of r-frames over X.

Let P be a principal bundle over an n-dimensional manifold X, let π be its projection. Let J^rP denote the r-jet prolongation of P. The r-jet of a section γ of P at a point $x \in X$ is denoted by $\Upsilon = J_x^r \gamma$.

Consider the fibre product $W^rP = F^rX \oplus J^rP$, i.e., the submanifold in $F^rX \times J^rP$ of pairs (Z,Υ) such that Z and Υ belong to the fibre over the same point of X. For every $(Z,\Upsilon) \in W^rP$, $Z = J_0^r\zeta$, $\Upsilon = J_x^r\gamma$, where $x = \zeta(0)$, and every $(A,\mathcal{S}) \in G_n^r$, $A = J_0^r\alpha$, $\mathcal{S} = J_0^rs$, we put

$$(Z,\Upsilon)\cdot (A,\mathcal{S})=(Z\cdot A,\Upsilon\cdot (\mathcal{S}\circ Z^{-1}))=(J_0^r(\zeta\circ\alpha),J_x^r(\gamma\cdot (s\circ\zeta^{-1}))), \qquad (1)$$

where $(\gamma \cdot (s \circ \zeta^{-1}))(x) = \gamma(x) \cdot s(\zeta^{-1}(x))$ is the right action of G on P. Then (1) is a right action of the group G_n^r on W^rP which defines a structure of principal G_n^r -bundle on W^rP . W^rP is called the *(principal) r-prolongation* of P.

In this paper we consider a frame bundle over an n-dimensional manifold X instead of P. A frame at a point $x \in X$ is a pair $\Xi = (x, \xi)$, where $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n)$ is an ordered basis of the tangent space T_xX . The set of all frames in all points of X is denoted by FX and the structure $\mu \colon FX \to X$ is a principal bundle with the structure group $Gl_n(R)$ (the general linear group of \mathbb{R}^n); the dimension of a fibre is n^2 .

For every chart (U, φ) , $\varphi = (x^i)$, on X, $x \in U$, the associated chart (V, ψ) , $\psi = (x^i, x^i_j)$, on FX, is defined by $V = \mu^{-1}(U)$, and

$$x^{i}(\Xi) = x^{i}(\mu(\Xi)), \quad \xi_{j} = x_{j}^{i}(\Xi) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right)_{r},$$

where $\Xi \in V$.

FX can be identified with the bundle F^1X of all invertible 1-jets with source at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and target in X. To every $\Xi = (x, \xi) \in FX$, $x \in U$, we assign a 1-jet $Z = J_0^1 \zeta$ such that $\zeta(0) = x$ and $D_j(x^i \circ \zeta)(0) = x_j^i(\Xi)$. This

defines a bijection between the bundles FX and F^1X . Due to this identification, in what follows, we will use the notation FX also for F^1X .

Let us consider a local trivialization of the principal bundle FX. For every $x \in X$ there exists an open subset $U \subset X$ and a diffeomorphism ϕ , such that the diagram

$$\mu^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\phi} U \times L_n^1$$

$$\mu \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow p_1$$

$$U \xrightarrow{\text{id}} U \qquad (2)$$

commutes. By p_1 (p_2) we denote the projection onto the first (second) component. Let $J_0^1 \zeta \in \mu^{-1}(U)$, $\zeta(0) = x$, and let $t_z : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be a translation of \mathbb{R}^n given by $t_z(w) = w - z$. Then an identification $\phi : \mu^{-1}(U) \to U \times L_n^1$, associated with a chart (U, φ) , is defined by $J_0^1 \zeta \mapsto (\zeta(0), J_0^1 \tilde{\zeta})$, where $\tilde{\zeta} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$\tilde{\zeta} = t_{\varphi(x)} \circ \varphi \circ \zeta. \tag{3}$$

If $\Xi = J_0^1 \zeta \in \mu^{-1}(U)$, and (a_j^i) are the (first) canonical coordinates on L_n^1 , then $\phi(\Xi) = (\mu(\Xi), A)$, where $a_i^i(A) = x_i^i(\Xi)$.

Let $FX \times L_n^1 \ni (\Xi, A) \mapsto \Xi \cdot A \equiv R_A(\Xi) \in FX$ be a right action of the structure group L_n^1 on FX, in the corresponding coordinates given by

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i \circ R_A = x^i, \quad \bar{x}^i_j = x^i_j \circ R_A = x^i_k \cdot a^k_j(A).$$

The mapping $\chi = p_2 \circ \phi \colon \mu^{-1}(U) \to L_n^1$ satisfies

$$\chi(R_A(\Xi)) = \chi(\Xi) \cdot A \tag{4}$$

for every $\Xi \in \mu^{-1}(U)$ and every $A \in L_n^1$.

By J^rFX we denote the r-jet prolongation of FX. The r-jet of a section γ of FX, at a point $x \in X$, is denoted by $J_x^r\gamma$, and the assignment $x \mapsto J^r\gamma(x) = J_x^r\gamma$ is the r-jet prolongation of γ . The canonical jet projections $\mu^{r,0} \colon J^rFX \to FX$ (the target projection), and $\mu^r \colon J^rFX \to X$ (the source projection), are defined by $\mu^{r,0}(J_x^r\gamma) = \gamma(x)$, and $\mu^r(J_x^r\gamma) = x$, respectively. With a chart (U,φ) we also associate a chart (V^r,ψ^r) on J^rFX , where $V^r = (\mu^r)^{-1}(U)$, and $\psi^r = (x^i,x^i_j,x^i_{j,k_1},x^i_{j,k_1k_2},\ldots,x^i_{j,k_1k_2\ldots k_r})$.

It is well known that J^rFX has a structure of fibre bundle with the standard fibre $T_n^rL_n^1=J_0^r(\mathbb{R}^n,L_n^1)$ (the manifold of all jets of mappings from $\mathbb{R}^n\to L_n^1$ with source in $0\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and target in L_n^1). To describe a fibre bundle structure on J^rFX , we take a local trivialization of FX, consisting of pairs (U,ϕ) (see (2)), and we introduce the corresponding local trivialization of J^rFX . Let (U,φ) be a coordinate chart on X. Over U we have the mapping

$$\Phi \colon J^r F X|_U = (\mu^r)^{-1}(U) \to U \times T_n^r L_n^1, \tag{5}$$

in the form $J^r F X|_U \ni J^r_x \gamma \mapsto (x, J^r_0 \bar{\gamma})$, where $\bar{\gamma} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to L^1_n$ is defined by

$$\bar{\gamma} = \chi \circ \gamma \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ t_{-\varphi(x)}. \tag{6}$$

Obviously, Φ is smooth. Its inverse $\Phi^{-1}: U \times T_n^r L_n^1 \to J^r FX|_U; (x, J_0^r s) \mapsto J_x^r \delta$, with $\delta: U \to FX$, $\delta(y) = \phi^{-1}(y, (s \circ t_{\varphi(x)} \circ \varphi)(y)), y \in U$, is also smooth.

Thus, the collection of pairs (U, Φ) represent the local trivializations of $J^r F X$ such that the diagram

$$J^{r}FX|_{U} \xrightarrow{\Phi} U \times T_{n}^{r}L_{n}^{1}$$

$$\downarrow id \times \rho$$

$$FX|_{U} \xrightarrow{\phi} U \times L_{n}^{1}$$

$$\downarrow p_{1}$$

$$U \xrightarrow{id} U$$

$$(7)$$

commutes. In (7), $\rho: T_n^r L_n^1 \to L_n^1$ is the projection (of the fibred manifold) defined by $T_n^r L_n^1 \ni J_0^r s \mapsto s(0) \in L_n^1$.

3. Principal bundle structure on J^rFX

In contrast with [7,12], in this section we introduce a modified group operation on $T_n^r L_n^1$, denoted *, and a structure of principal bundle on $J^r F X$ with the structure group $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$.

Let us recall that $T_n^r L_n^1$ consists of all jets of smooth mappings from $\mathbb{R}^n \to L_n^1$ with source in $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and target in L_n^1 . The identification $T_n^r L_n^1 = J_0^r (\mathbb{R}^n, L_n^1)$ (closed submanifold of $J^r (\mathbb{R}^n, L_n^1)$) induces a structure of smooth manifold (also a structure of fibred manifold $\rho \colon T_n^r L_n^1 \to L_n^1$). Moreover, $T_n^r L_n^1$ is endowed with a Lie group structure, where multiplication is given as follows (see, e.g., [12]). Let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \in T_n^r L_n^1$, $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s$, $\mathcal{T} = J_0^r t$, where $s, t \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to L_n^1$, then

$$\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{T} = J_0^r(s \cdot t),$$

where $(s \cdot t)(x) = s(x) \cdot t(x)$ (the group multiplication in L_n^1). In what follows we wish to introduce another Lie group structure on $T_n^r L_n^1$. Under the correspondence between the general linear group $Gl_n(\mathbb{R})$ and the differential group L_n^1 we have the following

Lemma 1. For every $S \in L_n^1$ there exists a unique linear automorphism s_0 of \mathbb{R}^n such that $S = J_0^1 s_0$.

As a direct consequence of Lemma 1 we have:

COROLLARY 1. For every $S = J_0^r s \in T_n^r L_n^1$ there exists a unique linear automorphism $s_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $s(0) = J_0^1 s_0$.

The mapping s_0 is said to be associated with S.

For every $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \in T_n^r L_n^1$, we define a multiplication on $T_n^r L_n^1$, denoted *, by

$$S * \mathcal{T} = J_0^r(s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1})), \tag{8}$$

where \cdot denotes the group multiplication in L_n^1 , and $s_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the mapping associated with \mathcal{S} .

Lemma 2. $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ is a Lie group.

Proof. $T_n^r L_n^1$ has a structure of smooth manifold. Obviously, $\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{T} \in T_n^r L_n^1$ for every $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \in T_n^r L_n^1$.

Further, we show that $(S * T) * \mathcal{U} = S * (T * \mathcal{U})$. Let $S, T, \mathcal{U} \in T_n^r L_n^1$, $S = J_0^r s$, $T = J_0^r t$, $\mathcal{U} = J_0^r u$, $s, t, u : \mathbb{R}^n \to L_n^1$. By Lemma 1, there exist mappings $s_0, t_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $s(0) = S = J_0^1 s_0$, $t(0) = T = J_0^1 t_0$. If we denote $S * T = J_0^r v$, then by $(8), v(0) = (s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1}))(0) = s(0) \cdot t(0) = S \cdot T$, and the mapping $v_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ associated with S * T is of the form $v_0 = s_0 \circ t_0$. Indeed, $J_0^1(s_0 \circ t_0) = J_0^1 s_0 \cdot J_0^1 t_0 = S \cdot T = v(0)$, and $s_0 \circ t_0$ is linear, thus, by Corollary 1, we get $v_0 = s_0 \circ t_0$. Further,

$$\begin{split} (\mathcal{S}*\mathcal{T})*\mathcal{U} &= J_0^r(s\cdot(t\circ s_0^{-1}))*J_0^r u = J_0^r(s\cdot(t\circ s_0^{-1})\cdot(u\circ(s_0\circ t_0)^{-1})) \\ &= J_0^r(s\cdot(t\circ s_0^{-1})\cdot(u\circ t_0^{-1}\circ s_0^{-1})) = J_0^r(s\cdot(t\cdot(u\circ t_0^{-1})\circ s_0^{-1})) \\ &= J_0^rs*J_0^r(t\cdot(u\circ t_0^{-1})) = \mathcal{S}*(\mathcal{T}*\mathcal{U}). \end{split}$$

The identity element of * defined on $T_n^r L_n^1$ is $\mathcal{E} = J_0^r e$, where $e : \mathbb{R}^n \to L_n^1$ is the constant mapping assigning the identity matrix $E \in L_n^1$ to every $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The corresponding mapping $e_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with the property $J_0^1 e_0 = e(0)$ is $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. Note, that \mathcal{E} coincides with the identity element of $(T_n^r L_n^1, \cdot)$.

The inverse S^{-1} of an element $S = J_0^r s \in T_n^r L_n^1$ is given by $S^{-1} = J_0^r s^{-1}$, where $s^{-1}(z) = ((s \circ s_0)(z))^{-1}$. The mapping associated with S^{-1} is s_0^{-1} .

Denoting for a moment the group multiplication in L_n^1 by $\Psi,$ we obtain (8) in the form

$$\mathcal{S}*\mathcal{T}=J^r_{(S,T)}\Psi\circ J^r_0(s\times (t\circ s_0^{-1}))=J^r_{(S,T)}\Psi\circ (\mathcal{S},\mathcal{T})\circ (J^r_0\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n},J^r_0s_0^{-1}).$$

Since the composition of jets is smooth, the product S * T depends smoothly on S and T, and we see that the group structure in $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ is compatible with its smooth structure. Thus $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ is a Lie group.

Let $(a_j^i, a_{j,k}^i, a_{j,kl}^i)$ denote the coordinates on $T_n^2 L_n^1$, let (b_j^i) be the second canonical coordinates on L_n^1 , i.e., $a_k^i \cdot b_j^k = \delta_j^i$. Then, for any $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \in T_n^2 L_n^1$, the

coordinate expressions of * on $T_n^2 L_n^1$ are

$$\begin{split} a^i_j(\mathcal{S}*\mathcal{T}) &= a^i_k(\mathcal{S}) a^k_j(\mathcal{T}), \quad b^i_j(\mathcal{S}*\mathcal{T}) = b^i_k(\mathcal{T}) b^k_j(\mathcal{S}), \\ a^i_{j,k}(\mathcal{S}*\mathcal{T}) &= a^i_{l,k}(\mathcal{S}) a^l_j(\mathcal{T}) + a^i_l(\mathcal{S}) a^l_{j,m}(\mathcal{T}) b^m_k(\mathcal{S}), \\ a^i_{j,kl}(\mathcal{S}*\mathcal{T}) &= a^i_{m,kl}(\mathcal{S}) a^m_j(\mathcal{T}) + a^i_{m,k}(\mathcal{S}) a^m_{j,p}(\mathcal{T}) b^p_l(\mathcal{S}) \\ &\quad + a^i_{m,l}(\mathcal{S}) a^m_{j,p}(\mathcal{T}) b^p_k(\mathcal{S}) + a^i_m(\mathcal{S}) a^m_{j,pq}(\mathcal{T}) b^q_l(\mathcal{S}) b^p_k(\mathcal{S}), \end{split}$$

and the coordinates of the identity element \mathcal{E} of $T_n^r L_n^1$ are

$$a_i^i(\mathcal{E}) = \delta_j^i, \qquad a_{j,k_1k_2...k_m}^i(\mathcal{E}) = 0, \quad 1 \le m \le r.$$
 (9)

Unless otherwise stated, from now on by the Lie group $T_n^r L_n^1$ we mean $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$. Now we are in a position to define an action of $T_n^r L_n^1$ on $J^r F X$. Let $\Upsilon = J_x^r \gamma \in J^r F X$, where $\gamma \colon U \to F X$ is a smooth section, $x \in U \subset X$. Using the local trivialization of F X, mentioned in Section 2, and Lemma 1, we have

LEMMA 3. For every $\Upsilon = J_x^r \gamma \in J^r FX$ there exists a unique invertible smooth mapping $\gamma_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to X$ such that $\gamma_0(0) = x$, $J_0^1 \gamma_0 = \gamma(x)$, and $\tilde{\gamma}_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, defined by (3), is a linear mapping.

The mapping γ_0 is said to be associated with Υ . Let $\mathcal{S} \in T_n^r L_n^1$, $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s$. We define a mapping $J^r F X \times T_n^r L_n^1 \ni (\Upsilon, \mathcal{S}) \mapsto \Upsilon * \mathcal{S} \in J^r F X$ by

$$\Upsilon * \mathcal{S} = J_x^r (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})). \tag{10}$$

Lemma 4. (10) defines a right action of $T_n^r L_n^1$ on $J^r F X$.

Proof. Let $\Upsilon = J_x^r \gamma \in J^r F X$, $\gamma \colon U \to F X$ and $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \in T_n^r L_n^1$, $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s$, $\mathcal{T} = J_0^r t$. Let us denote $\Upsilon * \mathcal{S} = J_x^r (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) = J_x^r \delta$. First, we notice that the corresponding mapping δ_0 associated with $J_x^r \delta$ is equal to $\gamma_0 \circ s_0$. Indeed,

$$(\gamma_0 \circ s_0)(0) = \gamma_0(s_0(0)) = \gamma_0(0) = x = \delta_0(0).$$

Further,

$$x_j^i(J_0^1(\gamma_0 \circ s_0)) = D_j(x^i(\gamma_0 \circ s_0))(0) = D_k(x^i \circ \gamma_0)(s_0(0)) \cdot D_j(a^k \circ s_0)(0)$$

$$= D_k(x^i \circ \gamma_0)(0) \cdot D_j(a^k \circ s_0)(0) = x_k^i(J_0^1\gamma_0) \cdot a_j^k(J_0^1s_0)$$

$$= x_k^i(\gamma(x)) \cdot a_j^k(s(0)),$$

and

$$x_i^i(\delta(x)) = x_i^i((\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1}))(x)) = x_k^i(\gamma(x)) \cdot a_i^k(s(0)).$$

Thus $\delta(x) = (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1}))(x) = J_0^1(\gamma_0 \circ s_0)$. Moreover, denoting $\omega_0 = \gamma_0 \circ s_0$, by (3) we have that

$$\tilde{\omega}_0 = t_{\varphi(x)} \circ \varphi \circ \gamma_0 \circ s_0 = \tilde{\gamma}_0 \circ s_0$$

is linear, and therefore, using Lemma 3, we can conclude that

$$\delta_0 = \gamma_0 \circ s_0. \tag{11}$$

Now, by (10) and (11) we can write

$$\begin{split} (\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) * \mathcal{T} &= J_x^r((\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) \cdot (t \circ (\gamma_0 \circ s_0)^{-1})) \\ &= J_x^r((\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1} \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) \\ &= J_x^r(\gamma \cdot ((s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1})) \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) = \Upsilon * (\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{T}). \end{split}$$

Finally, it is obvious that $\Upsilon * \mathcal{E} = J_x^r(\gamma \cdot (e \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) = J_x^r \gamma = \Upsilon$, because e(z) = E for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $(x^i, x^i_j, x^i_{j,k}, x^i_{j,kl})$ denote the fibred coordinates on J^2FX , and let y^j_k be the inverse matrix of x^i_j . For any $\Upsilon \in J^2FX$, $S \in T^2_nL^1_n$, the coordinate expressions of (10) on J^2FX are given by

$$x^{i}(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = x^{i}(\Upsilon),$$

$$x^{i}_{j}(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = x^{i}_{k}(\Upsilon)a^{k}_{j}(\mathcal{S}), \quad y^{i}_{j}(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = b^{i}_{k}(\mathcal{S})y^{k}_{j}(\Upsilon),$$

$$x^{i}_{j,k}(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = x^{i}_{l,k}(\Upsilon)a^{l}_{j}(\mathcal{S}) + x^{i}_{l}(\Upsilon)a^{l}_{j,m}(\mathcal{S})y^{m}_{k}(\Upsilon),$$

$$x^{i}_{j,kl}(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = x^{i}_{m,kl}(\Upsilon)a^{m}_{j}(\mathcal{S}) + x^{i}_{m,k}(\Upsilon)a^{m}_{j,p}(\mathcal{S})y^{p}_{l}(\Upsilon)$$

$$+ x^{i}_{m,l}(\Upsilon)a^{m}_{j,p}(\mathcal{S})y^{p}_{k}(\Upsilon) + x^{i}_{m}(\Upsilon)a^{m}_{j,pq}(\mathcal{S})y^{q}_{l}(\Upsilon)y^{p}_{k}(\Upsilon).$$

$$(12)$$

THEOREM 1. J^rFX with the right action (10) becomes a principal $T_n^rL_n^1$ -bundle.

Proof. J^rFX has a structure of fibre bundle with the standard fibre $T_n^rL_n^1$; its local trivialization is described in Section 2. The action (10) of $T_n^rL_n^1$ on J^rFX is free. Indeed, if we suppose that for some $\Upsilon = J_x^r\gamma \in J^rFX$ and $S = J_0^rS \in T_n^rL_n^1$, we have $\Upsilon * S = \Upsilon$, then by (10),

$$J_r^r(\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) = J_r^r \gamma. \tag{13}$$

This implies $(\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1}))(x) = \gamma(x) \cdot s(0) = \gamma(x)$, which gives us s(0) = E (identity element of L_n^1), i.e., $a_j^i(s(0)) = a_j^i(\mathcal{S}) = \delta_j^i$, because the action of L_n^1 on FX is free. Further, from (13), $x_{j,k}^i(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = x_{j,k}^i(\Upsilon)$, and using (12), we get $a_{j,k}^i(\mathcal{S}) = 0$. Since relations similar to equations (12) hold for any r, continuing analogously, we finally get that $a_{j,k_1k_2...k_m}^i(\mathcal{S}) = 0$ for all $1 \leq m \leq r$, which by (9) means that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{E}$ and (10) is free.

Finally, using the local trivialization of J^rFX , consisting of the collection of pairs (U, Φ) , where the diffeomorphism Φ (5) is defined by (6), we shall show that Φ is equivariant with respect to the right action (10) of $T_n^rL_n^1$ on J^rFX and the group operation (8) on $T_n^rL_n^1$. Let $\Upsilon = J_x^r\gamma \in J^rFX|_U$ and $\mathcal{S} = J_0^rs \in T_n^rL_n^1$. Let us denote $\tau = p_2 \circ \Phi$. We wish to show that $\tau(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = \tau(\Upsilon) * \mathcal{S}$. We have $\tau(\Upsilon) = J_0^r\bar{\gamma}$ with $\bar{\gamma} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to L_n^1$ given by (6), and by (8) we get

$$\tau(\Upsilon) * \mathcal{S} = J_0^r(\bar{\gamma} \cdot (s \circ \bar{\gamma}_0^{-1})) = J_0^r((\chi \circ \gamma \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ t_{-\varphi(x)}) \cdot (s \circ \bar{\gamma}_0^{-1})), \tag{14}$$

where $\bar{\gamma}_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is associated with $\bar{\gamma}$, i.e., $J_0^1 \bar{\gamma}_0 = \bar{\gamma}(0) = \chi(\gamma(x))$.

In addition, let us denote $\Upsilon * \mathcal{S} = J_x^r(\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) = J_x^r \delta$, where $\gamma_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to X$ is associated with Υ . Then $\tau(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = J_0^r \bar{\delta}$, where $\bar{\delta}$ is defined by (6), and using (4), we get

$$\bar{\delta} = \chi \circ (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})) \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ t_{-\varphi(x)}$$

$$= (\chi \circ \gamma \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ t_{-\varphi(x)}) \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ t_{-\varphi(x)}).$$
(15)

Using (6) and according to Corollary 1 and Lemma 3, we have

$$J_0^1 \bar{\gamma}_0 = \bar{\gamma}(0) = \chi(\gamma(x)) = \chi(J_0^1 \gamma_0) = J_0^1 \tilde{\gamma}_0,$$

where both $\bar{\gamma}_0$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ are linear. Corollary 1 gives us that $\bar{\gamma}_0 = \tilde{\gamma}_0$, and (3) implies $\gamma_0^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ t_{-\varphi(x)} = \bar{\gamma}_0^{-1}$. Using it, $J_0^r \bar{\delta}$ for $\bar{\delta}$ (15) coincides with (14) which means that $\tau(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = \tau(\Upsilon) * \mathcal{S}$. Since Υ and \mathcal{S} are arbitrary, this completes the proof.

4. Prolongation of associated bundles

Let Q be a left L_n^1 -manifold and let F_QX be a bundle with fibre Q, associated with the principal L_n^1 -bundle FX; a point of F_QX is, by definition, the equivalence class $[\Xi, q]$ of a pair $(\Xi, q) \in FX \times Q$ with respect to the right action

$$((\Xi, q), A) \mapsto (\Xi \cdot A, A^{-1} \cdot q)$$

of L_n^1 on $FX \times Q$.

Let $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ be a Lie group as in Section 3. Consider the mapping

$$T_n^r L_n^1 \times T_n^r Q \to T_n^r Q; \quad (J_0^r s, J_0^r f) \mapsto J_0^r (s \cdot (f \circ s_0^{-1})).$$
 (16)

Lemma 5. (16) defines a left action of $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ on $T_n^r Q$.

Proof. The proof is a modification of the proof of Lemma 2. \Box

The action (16) will be denoted by $J_0^r s * J_0^r f = J_0^r (s \cdot (f \circ s_0^{-1})).$

Let J^rFX be a principal $T_n^rL_n^1$ -bundle with the structure group $(T_n^rL_n^1,*)$. Using (16) we can construct a bundle $(J^rFX)_Y$ with type fibre $Y = T_n^rQ$, associated with J^rFX . The group $(T_n^rL_n^1,*)$ acts on $J^rFX \times Y$ by the formula

$$((J_x^r \gamma, J_0^r f), \mathcal{S}) \to (J_x^r \gamma * \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{-1} * J_0^r f),$$

where $S^{-1} = J_0^r s^{-1}$ is the inverse of $S = J_0^r s \in (T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ defined in the proof of Lemma 2. The corresponding invertible linear mapping $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, associated with S^{-1} , is s_0^{-1} . Thus we can write

$$(J_x^r \gamma * \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{-1} * J_0^r f) = (J_x^r (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})), J_0^r (s^{-1} \cdot (f \circ s_0))), \tag{17}$$

where $\gamma_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to X$ is the mapping associated with the r-jet $J_x^r \gamma$.

THEOREM 2. The r-jet prolongation $J^r F_Q X$ of $F_Q X$ has a structure of fibre bundle with fibre $T_n^r Q$, associated with the principal $T_n^r L_n^1$ -bundle $J^r F X$.

Proof. Let $(J^rFX)_Y$ be a fibre bundle with fibre $Y = T_n^rQ$, associated with the principal $T_n^rL_n^1$ -bundle J^rFX . We are going to show that there exists an isomorphism of manifolds $\Psi \colon (J^rFX)_Y \to J^rF_QX$, commuting with the projections onto the base X of FX.

Let $\gamma_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to X$ be the mapping associated with $J_x^r \gamma \in J^r F X$, where $\gamma \colon U \to F X$ is a local section over an open subset $U \subset X$, $x \in U$. Putting

$$\gamma_Q(z,q) = [\gamma\gamma_0(z), q] \tag{18}$$

we obtain a mapping $\gamma_Q \colon \gamma_0^{-1}(U) \times Q \to F_Q X$. Consider

$$\Psi \colon (J^r F X)_Y \to J^r F_Q X; \quad [J_x^r \gamma, J_0^r f] \mapsto J_x^r \beta,$$

where $\beta(y) = \gamma_Q(\gamma_0^{-1}(y), f(\gamma_0^{-1}(y)))$, i.e., $\beta = \gamma_Q \circ (\operatorname{id}_{\gamma_0^{-1}(U)} \times f) \circ \gamma_0^{-1}$. Clearly, β is a local section of F_QX defined on $U \subset X$. To show that Ψ is a well-defined mapping, take any pair $(J_x^r \gamma', J_0^r f') \in [J_x^r \gamma, J_0^r f]$. There exists $\mathcal{S} \in (T_n^r L_n^1, *)$, $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s$, such that

$$(J_r^r \gamma', J_0^r f') = (J_r^r \gamma * \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{-1} * J_0^r f).$$

In (17), $(J_x^r \gamma * \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{-1} * J_0^r f) = (J_x^r (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})), J_0^r (s^{-1} \cdot (f \circ s_0)))$, denote $\delta = \gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})$ and $h = s^{-1} \cdot (f \circ s_0)$. Consider the r-jet $J_x^r (\delta_Q \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\delta_0^{-1}(U)} \times h) \circ \delta_0^{-1})$ and take its representative $y \mapsto \delta_Q(\delta_0^{-1}(y), h(\delta_0^{-1}(y)))$. In view of (18), we have

$$\delta_{Q}(\delta_{0}^{-1}(y), h(\delta_{0}^{-1}(y))) = [\delta(y), h(\delta_{0}^{-1}(y))]$$

$$= [(\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_{0}^{-1}))(y), (s^{-1} \cdot (f \circ s_{0}))(\delta_{0}^{-1}(y))]$$

$$= [\gamma(y) \cdot s(\gamma_{0}^{-1}(y)), s^{-1}(\delta_{0}^{-1}(y)) \cdot f(s_{0}(\delta_{0}^{-1}(y)))].$$

Using $s^{-1}(y) = (s \circ s_0(y))^{-1}$ and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\delta_{Q}(\delta_{0}^{-1}(y),h(\delta_{0}^{-1}(y)))\\ &= \left[\gamma(y)\cdot s(\gamma_{0}^{-1}(y)),\, \left((s\circ s_{0})(\delta_{0}^{-1}(y))\right)^{-1}\cdot f\left(s_{0}(\delta_{0}^{-1}(y))\right)\right]\\ &= \left[\gamma(y)\cdot s(\gamma_{0}^{-1}(y)),\, \left((s\circ s_{0}\circ s_{0}^{-1}\circ \gamma_{0}^{-1})(y)\right)^{-1}\cdot (f\circ s_{0}\circ s_{0}^{-1}\circ \gamma_{0}^{-1})(y)\right]\\ &= \left[\gamma(y)\cdot s(\gamma_{0}^{-1}(y)),\, \left(s(\gamma_{0}^{-1}(y))\right)^{-1}\cdot (f\circ \gamma_{0}^{-1})(y)\right]\\ &= \left[\gamma(y),\, f\circ \gamma_{0}^{-1}(y)\right] = \left(\gamma_{Q}\circ (\mathrm{id}_{\gamma_{0}^{-1}(U)}\times f)\circ \gamma_{0}^{-1}\right)(y). \end{split}$$

This proves the independence of the r-jet $J_x^r(\gamma_Q \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\gamma_0^{-1}(U)} \times f) \circ \gamma_0^{-1})$ of the choice of $(J_x^r \gamma', J_0^r f') \in [J_x^r \gamma, J_0^r f]$. Thus

$$\Psi \colon (J^r FX)_Y \to J^r F_Q X; \quad [J^r_x \gamma, J^r_0 f] \mapsto J^r_x \left(\gamma_Q \circ (\operatorname{id}_{\gamma_0^{-1}(U)} \times f) \circ \gamma_0^{-1} \right)$$

is a well-defined mapping. Moreover, it can be verified that this mapping has the inverse Ψ^{-1} defined by the formula

$$\Psi^{-1} \colon J^r F_Q X \to (J^r F X)_Y; \quad J_x^r \beta \mapsto \left[J_x^r \gamma, J_0^r (p_2 \circ \gamma_Q^{-1} \beta \gamma_0) \right],$$

where γ is any local section of FX over $U \subset X$, $x \in U$, and $p_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \times Q \to Q$ is the second projection. Thus Ψ is a bijection. The differentiability of both Ψ and Ψ^{-1} follows from the differentiability of γ_Q and the composition of jets. The commutativity of Ψ with the projections onto X is obvious.

5. Reduction of W^rFX to J^rFX

Let P (resp. P_1) be a principal G-bundle (resp. G_1 -bundle) over a manifold X. We say that P is a reduction of P_1 if there exists a pair (ν_X, ν) , where $\nu: G \to G_1$ is an injective homomorphism of Lie groups and $\nu_X: P \to P_1$ is a homomorphism of principal fibre bundles over id_X , i.e., ν_X is smooth with $\mathrm{proj}\,\nu_X = \mathrm{id}_X$ and $\nu_X(p \cdot g) = \nu_X(p) \cdot \nu(g)$ for all $p \in P$ and $g \in G$.

The aim of this section is to show that the principal $T_n^r L_n^1$ -bundle $J^r F X$ with the structure group $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ is a reduction of the principal $(L_n^1)_n^r$ -bundle $W^r F X$.

Consider the mapping ν assigning to $\mathcal{S} \in T_n^r L_n^1$, $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s$, the element $\nu(\mathcal{S}) \in (L_n^1)_n^r$ defined by the formula

$$\nu(S) = (J_0^r s_0, J_0^r s),$$

where s_0 is the mapping associated with S. Clearly, ν is a well-defined mapping. Let $S = J_0^r s$, $\mathcal{T} = J_0^r t$ be elements of the Lie group $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$. Since $S * \mathcal{T} = J_0^r u$, where $u = s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1})$ and for the mapping $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, associated with $J_0^r u$, we have $u_0 = s_0 \circ t_0$ (see proof of Lemma 2), we can write

$$\nu(\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{T}) = (J_0^r(s_0 \circ t_0), J_0^r(s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1}))).$$

Additionally, with respect to the operation defined on $(L_n^1)_n^r$, we have

$$\nu(\mathcal{S}) \cdot \nu(\mathcal{T}) = (J_0^r s_0, J_0^r s) \cdot (J_0^r t_0, J_0^r t) = (J_0^r (s_0 \circ t_0), J_0^r (s \cdot (t \circ s_0^{-1}))).$$

Thus ν is a homomorphism of groups. Clearly, ν is an injective smooth mapping, and therefore we can conclude that ν is an injective immersion of the Lie group $(T_n^r L_n^1, *)$ to $(L_n^1)_n^r$.

Now, consider

$$\nu_X : J^r F X \to W^r F X; \quad J_x^r \gamma \mapsto (J_0^r \gamma_0, J_x^r \gamma),$$

where $\gamma_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to X$ is the mapping associated with $J_x^r \gamma$. It is easy to see that ν_X is a well-defined injective smooth mapping and $\operatorname{proj} \nu_X = \operatorname{id}_X$. We are going to show that

$$\nu_X(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = \nu_X(\Upsilon) \cdot \nu(\mathcal{S}) \tag{19}$$

for all $\Upsilon \in J^r F X$ and $S \in (T_n^r L_n^1, *)$.

First, we notice that the mapping associated with $\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}$, where $\Upsilon = J_x^r \gamma$, $\mathcal{S} = J_0^r s$, is equal to $\gamma_0 \circ s_0$ (see Proof of Lemma 4). Now, we can write

$$\nu_X(\Upsilon * \mathcal{S}) = \nu_X(J_x^r \gamma * J_0^r s) = \nu_X(J_x^r (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})))$$
$$= (J_0^r (\gamma_0 \circ s_0), J_x^r (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1})))$$

and (using the action of $(L_n^1)_n^r$ on W^rFX)

$$\nu_X(\Upsilon) \cdot \nu(\mathcal{S}) = (J_0^r \gamma_0, J_x^r \gamma) \cdot (J_0^r s_0, J_0^r s) = \left(J_0^r (\gamma_0 \circ s_0), \, J_x^r (\gamma \cdot (s \circ \gamma_0^{-1}))\right).$$

Thus (19) is true.

Summarizing, we obtain the following main result of this paper.

THEOREM 3. The principal bundle J^rFX with the structure group $(T_n^rL_n^1,*)$ is a reduction of the principal $(L_n^1)_n^r$ -bundle W^rFX .

This is analogous to the result on reduction of W^rFX to the principal bundle $F^{r+1}X$ with the structure group L_n^{r+1} (see [12]).

Remark 1. We have an injective homomorphism of Lie groups

$$\iota \colon L_n^{r+1} \to T_n^r L_n^1, \quad J_0^{r+1} \alpha \mapsto J_0^r \tilde{\alpha},$$
 (20)

where $\tilde{\alpha} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to L_n^1$ is for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$\tilde{\alpha}(z) = J_0^1(t_z \circ \alpha \circ t_{-\alpha_0^{-1}(z)}),$$

and $\alpha_0 \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a linear mapping satisfying $J_0^1 \alpha_0 = J_0^1 \alpha$.

Using (20) and the corresponding local trivializations of principal bundles $F^{r+1}X$ and J^rFX we obtain that $F^{r+1}X$ is a reduction of J^rFX . Thus, we have the sequence of reductions

$$F^{r+1}X \longrightarrow J^rFX \longrightarrow W^rFX$$

Remark 2. Let \bar{F}^2X be the *semi-holonomic* frame bundle of order 2. In [14], it is stated that there exists a natural diffeomorphism from J^1FX onto the principal bundle \bar{F}^2X (without any reference to the principal bundle structure on J^1FX). Considering the *holonomic* frame bundle F^2X , this statement transforms into the following one: The mapping

$$\iota_X : F^2 X \to J^1 F X; \quad \iota_X(J_0^2 \zeta) = J_x^1(J^1 \zeta \circ \zeta^{-1}),$$

is a homomorphism of principal fibre bundles over id_X .

Remark 3. Let Q be a left L_n^1 -manifold. By the general prolongation theory, T_n^rQ has a (canonical) structure of a left L_n^{r+1} -manifold. For any $J_0^{r+1}\alpha\in L_n^{r+1}$, $J_0^rf\in T_n^rQ$, a left action of L_n^{r+1} on T_n^rQ is given by

$$J_0^{r+1}\alpha \cdot J_0^r f = J_0^r (\bar{\alpha} \cdot (f \circ \alpha^{-1})), \tag{21}$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ is defined by

$$\bar{\alpha}(z) = J_0^1(t_z \circ \alpha \circ t_{-\alpha^{-1}(z)}).$$

Denoting $\iota(J_0^{r+1}\alpha) = J_0^r\tilde{\alpha}$, and $\alpha_0 \circ \alpha^{-1} = \beta$, we have

$$J_0^{r+1}\alpha \cdot J_0^r f = J_0^r (\bar{\alpha} \cdot (f \circ \alpha^{-1}))$$

$$= J_0^r ((\bar{\alpha} \circ \alpha \circ \alpha_0^{-1} \circ \alpha_0 \circ \alpha^{-1}) \cdot (f \circ \alpha_0^{-1} \circ \alpha_0 \circ \alpha^{-1}))$$

$$= J_0^r ((\tilde{\alpha} \cdot (f \circ \alpha_0^{-1})) \circ (\alpha_0 \circ \alpha^{-1}))$$

$$= (J_0^r \tilde{\alpha} * J_0^r f) \cdot J_0^r \beta.$$
(22)

Let us denote by $\pi_n^{r+1,1}\colon L_n^{r+1}\to L_n^1$ the canonical jet projection, by $\iota_n^{1,r+1}\colon L_n^1\to L_n^{r+1}$ the canonical injective Lie group morphism, and put $K_n^{r+1,1}=\operatorname{Ker}\pi_n^{r+1,1}$. Then L_n^{r+1} is the interior semi-direct product of $\iota_n^{1,r+1}(L_n^1)$ and $K_n^{r+1,1}$.

Consider the subgroup $\iota(L_n^{r+1})$ of $T_n^r L_n^1$, defined by ι (20). Then (22) means that the left action (21) of L_n^{r+1} on $T_n^r Q$ corresponds with the action (16) of $\iota(L_n^{r+1})$ on $T_n^r Q$ through the element $J_0^r \beta \in K_n^{r+1,1}$.

Remark 4. The action (16) of $T_n^r L_n^1$ on $T_n^r Q$ is in some sense more general than the left action (21) of L_n^{r+1} on $T_n^r Q$ given by the general prolongation theory. Consider a vector bundle with type fibre Q with $\dim Q = m$. Let Q be a left L_m^1 -manifold. Let (z^I) denote coordinates on Q, $1 \le I \le m$. Then (16) allows us to consider actions of L_m^1 on Q of the form

$$\bar{z}^I = P_J^I(x^k)z^J,$$

where $P_J^I : U \to L_m^1$ are arbitrary smooth mappings.

The first author wishes to thank Professor Donghua Shi for kind hospitality and discussions during his stay at Beijing Institute of Technology, China,

REFERENCES

- BRAJERČÍK, J.: Invariant variational problems on principal bundles and conservation laws, Arch. Math. (Brno) 47 (2011), 357–366.
- [2] BRAJERČÍK, J.: Second order differential invariants of linear frames, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 15 (2010), 14–25.
- [3] DOUPOVEC, M.—MIKULSKI, W. M.: Reduction theorems for principal and classical connections, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 26 (2010), 169–184.
- [4] JANYSKA, J.: Higher order Utiyama-like theorem, Rep. Math. Phys. 58 (2006), 93–118.
- KOLÁŘ, I.: Canonical forms on the prolongations of principal fibre bundles, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 16 (1971), 1091–1106.
- [6] KOLÁŘ, I.: On the prolongations of geometric object fields, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.) 17 (1971), 437–446.
- [7] KOLÁŘ, I.—MICHOR, P. W.—SLOVÁK, J.: Natural Operations in Differential Geometry, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [8] KOLÁŘ, I.—VADOVIČOVÁ, I.: On the structure function of a G-structure, Math. Slovaca 35 (1985), 277–282.
- [9] KOWALSKI, O.—SEKIZAWA, M.: Invariance of the naturally lifted metrics on linear frame bundles over affine manifolds, Publ. Math. Debrecen (To appear).

J. BRAJERČÍK — M. DEMKO — D. KRUPKA

- [10] KRUPKA, D.: A setting for generally invariant Lagrangian structures in tensor bundles, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. XXII (1974), 967–972.
- [11] KRUPKA, D.: Natural Lagrangian structures. In: Differential Geometry. Banach Center Publ. 12, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1984, pp. 185–210.
- [12] KRUPKA, D.—JANYŠKA, J.: Lectures on Differential Invariants, Folia Fac. Sci. Natur. Univ. Masaryk. Brun. Math. 1, Masaryk Univ., Brno, 1990.
- [13] KUREŠ, M.: Torsions of connections on tangent bundles of higher order. In: Proc. 17th Winter School "Geometry and Physics", (J. Slovák, M. Čadek, eds.); Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. 54 (1998), 65–73.
- [14] LIBERMANN, P.: Introduction to the theory of semi-holonomic jets, Arch. Math. (Brno) 33 (1997), 173–189.
- [15] PATÁK, A.—KRUPKA, D. Geometric structure of the Hilbert-Yang-Mills functional, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 5 (2008), 387–405.

Received 11. 1. 2012 Accepted 16. 7. 2012 *Department of Physics, Mathematics and Techniques University of Prešov Ul. 17. novembra 1 SK-081 16 Prešov SLOVAKIA

E-mail: jan.brajercik@unipo.sk milan.demko@unipo.sk

** School of Mathematics
Beijing Institute of Technology
5 South Zhongguancun Street, Haidian zone
Beijing 100081
CHINA

Department of Mathematics La Trobe University Melbourne, Victoria 3086 AUSTRALIA

Department of Mathematics University of Ostrava 30. dubna 22 CZ-701 03 Ostrava CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail: krupka@physics.muni.cz