

CONVERGENCE FIELD OF ABEL'S SUMMATION METHOD

PETER LETAVAJ

(Communicated by Ján Borsík)

ABSTRACT. Let $F(A)$ denote the set of all bounded sequences summable by Abel's method. It is known, that $F(A)$ is a linear subspace of the linear metric space (S, ρ) of all bounded sequences endowed with the sup metric. It is shown in [KOSTYRKO, P.: *Convergence fields of regular matrix transformations 2*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **40** (2008), 143–147] that the convergence field of a regular matrix transformation is a σ -porous set. We show that $F(A)$ is very porous in S .

©2012
Mathematical Institute
Slovak Academy of Sciences

Introduction

In the whole text the sequences are written by denotation (a_n) and the starting index is zero.

DEFINITION A. Let (a_n) be the sequence, $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Let (s_n) be the corresponding sequence of partial sums $s_n = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n$. The sequence (s_n) (the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$) is said to be limitable by Abel (summable by Abel) to the number s

(to the sum s) if there is $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = s$. We will use denotation

$$A\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = s \quad A\text{-}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = s.$$

(C.f. [2, p. 216].)

Let (S, ρ) be the metric space of all bounded real sequences endowed with the metric ρ , $\rho(a, b) = \sup \{|a_n - b_n| : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$, $a = (a_n)$, $b = (b_n)$ and let

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 40A05.

Keywords: Abel's method, convergence field, porosity.

$F(A)$ denote the set of all $(a_n) \in S$ where $A\text{-}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ exists and is finite. $F(A)$ is a linear subspace of (S, ρ) . (See [2, p. 216].)

Let (Y, η) be a metric space, $M \subset Y$. Let $y \in Y$, $\delta > 0$ and

$$B(y, \delta) = \{x \in Y : \eta(x, y) < \delta\}.$$

We put

$$\gamma(y, \delta, M) = \sup\{t > 0 : (\exists z \in B(y, \delta))(B(z, t) \subseteq B(y, \delta) \ \& \ B(z, t) \cap M = \emptyset)\}.$$

If such $t > 0$ does not exist we put $\gamma(y, \delta, M) = 0$.

The numbers

$$\underline{p}(y, M) = \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(y, \delta, M)}{\delta} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{p}(y, M) = \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(y, \delta, M)}{\delta}$$

are called lower and upper porosity of the set M at y .

If $\overline{p}(y, M) > 0$, then M is porous at y .

If for each $y \in Y$ $\overline{p}(y, M) > 0$ holds, then M is porous in Y .

If $\underline{p}(y, M) > 0$, then M is very porous at y .

If for each $y \in Y$ $\underline{p}(y, M) > 0$ holds, then M is very porous in Y . (See [3].)

1. Results

DEFINITION 1. Let s be a sequence of real numbers; $s = (s_n)$. We put

$$A\text{-}\liminf s = \liminf_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \quad \text{and} \quad A\text{-}\limsup s = \limsup_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n,$$

where (a_n) is the sequence such that (s_n) is the sequence of partial sums of the sequence (a_n) .

Remark 1. $A\text{-}\liminf s$ and $A\text{-}\limsup s$ are defined correctly, because the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ exists. (sequence (a_n) is bounded and $0 < x < 1$)

Remark 2. If $A\text{-}\liminf s = A\text{-}\limsup s = \xi$ then $A\text{-}\lim s = \xi$. (Compare with Definition 1 and Definition A.)

LEMMA 1. *There is a sequence $s = (s_n)$ of zeroes and units such that*

$$A\text{-}\liminf s = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A\text{-}\limsup s = 1.$$

Proof. Let $a = (a_n)$ is the corresponding sequence of reals to the sequence $s = (s_n)$ such that (s_n) is the sequence of partial sums of the sequence (a_n) . The sequence (a_n) has the values of the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$.

Denote p_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, the indices, where the sequence (a_n) is of value 1 and q_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, the indices, where the sequence (a_n) is of value -1 . (s_n) is a sequence of 0 and 1, hence

$$p_1 < q_1 \quad \text{and} \quad p_k < q_k < p_{k+1} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1)$$

It means if we have the sequence (a_n) fulfilling (1) then (s_n) is the sequence of 0 and 1.

Let us explore the set of the sequences (a_n) satisfying (1) and corresponding limits $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$; where $a_n \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and let us create the sequence (a_n) satisfying (1) in the following way.

- 1) $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}, a_0 = 1, a_1 = -1$ ($p_1 = 0, q_1 = 1$)

Note that this inequality holds:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

(Disregarding the next members of the sequence (a_n) , but a_n has to be of the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ and has to satisfy (1).)

- 2) We will find $x_2: x_1 < x_2 < 1$ and $1 - x_2^{q_1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$.

$$p_2: q_1 < p_2 \text{ and } x_2^{p_2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \text{ (} a_{p_2} = 1 \text{)}$$

$$x_3: x_2 < x_3 < 1 \text{ and } 1 - x_3^{p_2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$$

$$q_2: p_2 < q_2 \text{ and } x_3^{q_2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \text{ (} a_{q_2} = -1 \text{)}$$

$$(a_n = 0 \text{ for each } n, q_1 < n < q_2, n \neq p_2).$$

Note that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x_2^n \leq \frac{1}{3}$ for any members of (a_n) , $n > p_2$, $a_n \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and (a_n) satisfying (1).

Note that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x_3^n \geq 1 - \frac{1}{3}$ for any members of (a_n) , $n > q_2$, $a_n \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and (a_n) satisfying (1).

- 3) Let there are $p_k, q_k, x_{2k-2}, x_{2k-1}$.

We will find $x_{2(k+1)-2} = x_{2k}: x_{2k-1} < x_{2k} < 1$ and $1 - x_{2k}^{q_k} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{k+2}$.

$$p_{k+1}: q_k < p_{k+1} \text{ and } x_{2k}^{p_{k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{k+2} \text{ (} a_{p_{k+1}} = 1 \text{)}$$

$$x_{2(k+1)-1} = x_{2k+1}: x_{2k} < x_{2k+1} < 1 \text{ and } 1 - x_{2k+1}^{p_k} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{k+2}$$

$$q_{k+1}: p_{k+1} < q_{k+1} \text{ and } x_{2k+1}^{q_{k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{k+2} \text{ (} a_{q_{k+1}} = -1 \text{)}$$

$$(a_n = 0 \text{ for each } n, q_k < n < q_{k+1}, n \neq p_{k+1}).$$

Then for any admissible determination of members of the sequence a_n , where $n > p_{k+1}$ holds:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x_{2k}^n \leq \frac{1}{k+2}$$

and for any admissible determination of members of the sequence (a_n) , $n > q_{k+1}$ holds:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x_{2k+1}^n \geq 1 - \frac{1}{k+2}.$$

Thus $A\text{-}\liminf s = 0$ and $A\text{-}\limsup s = 1$. □

LEMMA 2. *Let $s = (s_n)$ be the sequence such that $0 \leq s_n \leq 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then the next inequality holds: $0 \leq A\text{-}\liminf s \leq A\text{-}\limsup s \leq 1$.*

Proof.

a) We will use the set of the sequences such that

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}^0 : \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

(It is the same as $s_N \geq 0$.) We want to show, that $0 \leq A\text{-}\liminf s$, i.e., $\liminf_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \geq 0$. We will show, that for each $x \in (0, 1)$ and for each $N \in \mathbb{N}^0$: $\sum_{n=0}^N a_n x^n \geq 0$. By induction with respect to N .

$$0) \quad \sum_{n=0}^0 a_n x^n \geq 0 \text{ (Because } (a_n) \text{ fulfills (2).)}$$

$$N) \quad \text{Let } \sum_{n=0}^N a_n x^n \geq 0 \text{ hold, for all } (a_n) \text{ fulfilling (2).}$$

$$N+1) \quad \text{Then } \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n x^n + a_{N+1} x^{N+1}$$

$$a_1) \text{ if } a_{N+1} \geq 0 \text{ then } \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} a_n x^n \geq 0 \text{ (using N).}$$

$$a_2) \text{ if } a_{N+1} < 0 \text{ then}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N+1} a_n x^n \geq \sum_{n=0}^N a_n x^n + a_{N+1} x^N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n x^n + (a_N + a_{N+1}) x^N \geq 0.$$

$$\text{The inequality holds, because } \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} a_n \geq 0, x \in (0, 1) \text{ and because of N).}$$

Hence $0 \leq A\text{-}\liminf s$.

b) Let (t_n) be the sequence such that $t_n = 1 - s_n$. Then $0 \leq t_n \leq 1$ and by a) we have $A\text{-}\limsup s = A\text{-}\limsup 1 - t = 1 - A\text{-}\liminf t \leq 1$. (We used: $A\text{-}\limsup -t = -A\text{-}\liminf t$.)

Thus (using a) and b)) the Lemma 2 holds. $\square$

LEMMA 3. *Let $s = (s_n)$ be the sequence such that $a \leq s_n \leq b$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^0$. Then the next inequality holds: $a \leq A\text{-}\liminf s \leq A\text{-}\limsup s \leq b$.*

Proof. Let (r_n) be the sequence that for each member of (r_n) holds: $r_n = \frac{s_n - a}{b - a}$. The sequence (r_n) fulfills the assumptions of the Lemma 2, thus $0 \leq A\text{-}\liminf r \leq A\text{-}\limsup r \leq 1$. Let us multiply these inequalities by $(b - a)$ and then add a . We obtain the assertion of Lemma 3. $\square$

THEOREM. Let (S, ρ) be the space of all bounded sequences of reals endowed with the metric ρ . Then convergence field

$$F(A) = \{x = (x_n) \in S : A\text{-}\lim x \text{ exists}\}$$

is a very porous set.

Proof. Let us estimate the expression $\underline{p}(x, F(A)) = \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(x, \delta, F(A))}{\delta}$ where

$$\gamma(x, \delta, F(A)) = \sup\{t > 0 : (\exists z \in B(x, \delta)) (B(z, t) \subseteq B(x, \delta) \wedge B(z, t) \cap F(A) = \emptyset)\}.$$

1) Let (x_n) be such that

$$A\text{-}\lim x = a. \quad (3)$$

Let us make δ -neighbourhood of x , $B(x, \delta) = \{z \in S : \rho(z, x) < \delta\}$. Denote by y_0 the sequence from the Lemma 1, $y_0 \in S$. Let y be the following sequence: $y = x + \frac{\delta}{2}y_0$. This sequence belongs to $B(x, \delta)$ and $A\text{-}\lim y$ does not exist. Precisely:

$$a = A\text{-}\liminf y < A\text{-}\limsup y = a + \frac{\delta}{2}. \quad (4)$$

(See (3) and Lemma 1.)

Let us make $\frac{\delta}{5}$ -neighbourhood of y , i.e. $B(y, \frac{\delta}{5})$. Let z belong to $B(y, \frac{\delta}{5})$, i.e. $z = y + \frac{\delta}{5}z_0$, where $z_0 \in B(\mathbb{O}, 1)$. $\mathbb{O} = (c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where $c_n = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^0$. Then the next inequalities holds:

$$a - \frac{\delta}{5} \leq A\text{-}\liminf z \leq a + \frac{\delta}{5} \quad (5)$$

$$a + \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{\delta}{5} \leq A\text{-}\limsup z \leq a + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{5}. \quad (6)$$

(See (4) and Lemma 2.)

(5) and (6) imply $A\text{-}\liminf z \leq a + \frac{\delta}{5} < a + \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{\delta}{5} \leq A\text{-}\limsup z$. Thus z is not A -limitable for each $z \in B(y, \frac{\delta}{5})$. Thus $\underline{p}(x, F(A)) = \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(x, \delta, F(A))}{\delta} \geq \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\delta}{5}}{\delta} = \frac{1}{5}$ if $x \in F(A)$.

2) Let (x_n) be such that $A\text{-}\lim x$ does not exist. Boundedness of x and Lemma 3 imply existence of u, v such that

$$u = A\text{-}\liminf x \quad (7)$$

$$v = A\text{-}\limsup x. \quad (8)$$

Let

$$2\delta < v - u. \quad (9)$$

Let us make δ -neighbourhood of x , $B(x, \delta)$. Denote by y_0 the sequence from Lemma 1. Let y be the following sequence: $y = x + \frac{\delta}{2}y_0$.

$$u - \frac{\delta}{2} \leq A\text{-}\liminf y \leq u + \frac{\delta}{2} \quad (10)$$

$$v - \frac{\delta}{2} \leq A\text{-}\limsup y \leq v + \frac{\delta}{2} \quad (11)$$

(From (7), (8) and Lemma 1.)

From (9) and (10) we get

$$A\text{-}\liminf y < v - \frac{3\delta}{2}. \quad (12)$$

(11) and (12) imply:

$$A\text{-}\liminf y < v - \frac{3\delta}{2} < v - \frac{\delta}{2} \leq A\text{-}\limsup y. \quad (13)$$

Thus $y \notin F(A)$.

Let us make $\frac{\delta}{5}$ -neighbourhood of y , $B(y, \frac{\delta}{5})$. Let z belong to $B(y, \frac{\delta}{5})$, i.e. $z = y + \frac{\delta}{5}z_0$, where $z_0 \in B(\mathbb{O}, 1)$. From (13) and Lemma 2 we obtain

$$A\text{-}\liminf z < v - \frac{3\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{5} = v - \frac{13\delta}{10} \quad (14)$$

and

$$A\text{-}\limsup z \geq v - \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{\delta}{5} = v - \frac{7\delta}{10}. \quad (15)$$

(14) and (15) imply $A\text{-}\liminf z < A\text{-}\limsup z$, for each $z \in B(y, \frac{\delta}{5})$.

Thus $B(y, \frac{\delta}{5}) \cap F(A) = \emptyset$. Thus

$$\underline{p}(x, F(A)) = \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(x, \delta, F(A))}{\delta} \geq \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta}{\delta} = \frac{1}{5}$$

if $x \notin F(A)$.

Using 1.) and 2.) we get that $F(A)$ is very porous set in (S, ρ) . □

REFERENCES

- [1] KOSTYRKO, P.: *Convergence fields of regular matrix transformations 2*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **40** (2008), 143–147.
- [2] ŠALÁT, T.: *Nekonečné rady*, Akademie nakladatelství Československé akademie věd, Praha 1974 (Slovak).
- [3] ZAJÍČEK, L.: *Porosity and σ -porosity*, Real Anal. Exchange **13** (1987-88), 314–350.

Received 13. 1. 2010
Accepted 8. 11. 2010

*Faculty of Mathematics
Physics and Informatics
Comenius University
Mlynská dolina
SK-842 48 Bratislava
SLOVAKIA
E-mail: peterletavaj@gmail.com*