

ARGUMENT ESTIMATES OF CERTAIN MEROMORPHICALLY P-VALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH A FAMILY OF LINEAR OPERATOR

M. K. AOUF — A. SHAMANDY — A. O. MOSTAFA — F. EL-EMAM

(Communicated by Lajos Molnar)

ABSTRACT. Making use of linear operator we investigate some inclusion relationships and some argument properties of certain meromorphically p -valent functions.

©2011
Mathematical Institute
Slovak Academy of Sciences

1. Introduction

Let Σ_p denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form:

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k-p} z^{k-p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the punctured open unit disc $U^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$. If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in $U = U^* \cup \{0\}$, we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in U$), if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ in U , with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$), such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, ($z \in U$). Furthermore, if $g(z)$ is univalent in U , then the following equivalence relationship holds true (see [3] and [9]):

$$(\forall z \in U)(f(z) \prec g(z)) \iff (f(0) = g(0) \ \& \ f(U) \subset g(U)).$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45.

Keywords: meromorphically multivalent functions, Hadamard product, linear operator, argument estimates.

For functions $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, given by (1.1) and $g(z) \in \Sigma_p$ defined by

$$g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k-p} z^{k-p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1.2)$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k-p} b_{k-p} z^{k-p} = (g * f)(z). \quad (1.3)$$

Now, we define the function $\varphi_p(a, c; z)$ by

$$\varphi_p(a, c; z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} z^{k-p} \quad (1.4)$$

$$(a \in \mathbb{R}; \quad c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \quad \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}; \quad z \in U^*),$$

where $(x)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(x)_k = \frac{\Gamma(x+k)}{\Gamma(x)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ x(x+1) \dots (x+k-1) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Corresponding to the function $\varphi_p(a, c; z)$, we define a linear operator $L_p(a, c)$ on Σ_p by the following Hadamard product (see [8]):

$$L_p(a, c)f(z) = \varphi_p(a, c; z) * f(z) \quad (f \in \Sigma_p). \quad (1.5)$$

Corresponding to the function $\varphi_p(a, c; z)$, defined by (1.4), we introduce a function $\varphi_p^\lambda(a, c; z)$ by

$$\varphi_p(a, c; z) * \varphi_p^\lambda(a, c; z) = \frac{1}{z^p(1-z)^{p+\lambda}} \quad (1.6)$$

$$(a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \quad \lambda > -p; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}; \quad z \in U^*),$$

which leads us to the following family of linear operators $L_p^\lambda(a, c)$ analogous to $L_p(a, c)$:

$$L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) = \varphi_p^\lambda(a, c; z) * f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_k(p+\lambda)_k}{(a)_k k!} a_{k-p} z^{k-p} \quad (1.7)$$

$$(a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \quad \lambda > -p; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}; \quad f \in \Sigma_p; \quad z \in U^*).$$

It is easily verified from (1.6) and (1.7) that

$$z(L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))' = (\lambda + p)L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f(z) - (\lambda + 2p)L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$z(L_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f(z))' = aL_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) - (a+p)L_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f(z). \quad (1.9)$$

For a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ and $\mu > 0$, the integral operator $F_{\mu,p}: \Sigma_p \rightarrow \Sigma_p$ is defined by

$$F_{\mu,p}(f)(z) = \frac{\mu}{z^{\mu+p}} \int_0^z t^{\mu+p-1} f(t) dt = \left(z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu}{\mu+k} z^{k-p} \right) * f(z) \quad (\mu > 0; \quad z \in U). \quad (1.10)$$

It follows from (1.10) that

$$z(L_p^\lambda(a, c)F_{\mu,p}(f)(z))' = \mu L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) - (\mu + p)L_p^\lambda(a, c)F_{\mu,p}(f)(z). \quad (1.11)$$

The operator $F_{\mu,p}(f)(z)$ was investigated by many authors (see for example [6] and [13]).

We note that

- (i) $L_p^0(p, 1)f(z) = L_p^1(p+1, 1)f(z) = f(z)$ and $L_p^1(p, 1)f(z) = \frac{2pf(z)+zf'(z)}{p}$;
- (ii) $L_1^{\lambda-1}(n+1, 1)f(z) = I_{n,\lambda}f(z)$ ($\lambda > 0$; $n > -1$) (Yuan et al. [14]);
- (iii) $L_1^\lambda(a, c)f(z) = L^\lambda(a, c)f(z)$ (Aghalary [1]);
- (iv) $L_1^{\lambda-1}(a, c)f(z) = I_\lambda(a, c)f(z)$ ($\lambda > 0$) (Cho and Noor [4]);
- (v) $L_p^n(a, a)f(z) = D^{n+p-1}f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p(1-z)^{n+p}} * f(z)$ ($n > -p$) (Uralegaddi and Somanatha [12] and Aouf [2]);
- (vi) $L_p^{\mu-p}(\mu+1, 1)f(z) = F_{\mu,p}(f)(z)$ ($\mu > 0$).

Let M be the class of functions $h(z)$ which are analytic and univalent in U and for which $h(U)$ is convex with $h(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{h(z)\} > 0$, $z \in U$.

Now, by using the linear operator $L_p^\lambda(a, c)$, we define a subclass of Σ_p by

$$\Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; h) = \left\{ f : f \in \Sigma_p \text{ and } -\frac{zL_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{pL_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z)} \prec h(z) \quad (h \in M; \quad z \in U) \right\}. \quad (1.12)$$

We also set

$$\Sigma_p^\lambda\left(a, c; \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right) = \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; A, B) \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; \quad z \in U). \quad (1.13)$$

From (1.12) and (1.13) and by using the result of Silverman and Silvia [11], we observe that a function $f(z)$ is in $\Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; A, B)$ if and only if

$$\left| \frac{z(L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z)} + \frac{p(1-AB)}{1-B^2} \right| < \frac{p(A-B)}{1-B^2} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; \quad z \in U). \quad (1.14)$$

In the present paper, we investigate some inclusion relationships and argument properties of certain meromorphically p -valent functions in the punctured open unit disk in connection with the linear operator $L_p^\lambda(a, c)$.

2. The main inclusion relationships

In order to show our main results, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. ([5]) *Let β and v be complex constants and let $h(z)$ be convex (univalent) in U with $h(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{\beta h(z) + v\} > 0$. If $q(z) = 1 + q_1 z + \dots$ is analytic in U , then*

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\beta q(z) + v} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U),$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

LEMMA 2.2. ([9]) *Let $h(z)$ be convex (univalent) in U and $\psi(z)$ be analytic in U with $\operatorname{Re}\{\psi(z)\} \geq 0$. If q is analytic in U and $q(0) = h(0)$, then*

$$q(z) + \psi(z)zq'(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U)$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

LEMMA 2.3. ([10]) *Let $q(z)$ be analytic in U , with $q(0) = 1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$ ($z \in U$). If there exists a point $z_0 \in U$, such that*

$$|\arg q(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha \quad \text{for } |z| < |z_0| \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$|\arg q(z_0)| = \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1). \quad (2.2)$$

Then we have

$$\frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} = i m \alpha, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$m \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(b + \frac{1}{b} \right) \quad \text{when } \arg q(z_0) = \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha, \quad (2.4)$$

$$m \geq -\frac{1}{2} \left(b + \frac{1}{b} \right) \quad \text{when } \arg q(z_0) = -\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha, \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$q(z_0)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \pm i b \quad (b > 0). \quad (2.6)$$

With the help of Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following results:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $h(z) \in M$ with*

$$\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{h(z)\} < \min \left\{ \frac{\lambda + 2p}{p}, \frac{a + p}{p} \right\}$$

$$(a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \quad \lambda > -p; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then

$$\Sigma_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c; h) \subset \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; h) \subset \Sigma_p^\lambda(a+1, c; h).$$

P r o o f. First of all, we show that $\Sigma_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c; h) \subset \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; h)$.

Let $f \in \Sigma_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c; h)$ and set

$$q(z) = -\frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{pL_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z)} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.7)$$

Applying (1.8) in (2.7), we obtain

$$pq(z) - (\lambda + 2p) = -(\lambda + p) \frac{L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f(z)}{L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Differentiating (2.8) logarithmically with respect to z and multiplying by z , we have

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{-pq(z) + \lambda + 2p} = -\frac{z (L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f(z))'}{pL_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f(z)} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.9)$$

from Lemma 2.1, it follows that $q(z) \prec h(z)$ in U , that is, that $f \in \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; h)$.

To prove the second part of Theorem 2.1, let $f \in \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; h)$ and put

$$s(z) = -\frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f(z))'}{pL_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f(z)}$$

then, by using the arguments similar to those detailed above with (1.9), it follows that $s(z) \prec h(z)$ in U , which implies $f \in \Sigma_p^\lambda(a+1, c; h)$. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is thus completed. $\square$

Taking $h(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ ($-1 < B < A \leq 1$) in Theorem 2.1, we have:

COROLLARY 2.1. Let $(1+A)/(1+B) < \min\left\{\frac{\lambda+2p}{p}, \frac{a+p}{p}\right\}$ and $-1 < B < A \leq 1$.

Then

$$\Sigma_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c; A, B) \subset \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; A, B) \subset \Sigma_p^\lambda(a+1, c; A, B).$$

THEOREM 2.2. Let $h(z) \in M$ with $\operatorname{Re}\{h(z)\} < \frac{\mu+p}{p}$, if $f \in \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; h)$, then $F_{\mu,p}(f) \in \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; h)$.

P r o o f. Let $f \in \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; h)$ and set

$$q(z) = -\frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a, c)F_{\mu,p}(f)(z))'}{pL_p^\lambda(a, c)F_{\mu,p}(f)(z)}. \quad (2.10)$$

By applying (1.11) to (2.10), we get

$$pq(z) - (\mu + p) = -\mu \frac{L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z)}{L_p^\lambda(a, c)F_{\mu,p}(f)(z)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Differentiating (2.11) logarithmically with respect to z and multiplying by z , we have

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{-pq(z) + \mu + p} = -\frac{z(L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f(z))'}{pL_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f(z)} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

Hence, by virtue of Lemma 2.1, we conclude that $q(z) \prec h(z)$ in U , which implies that $F_{\mu, p}(f) \in \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; h)$. $\square$

Taking $h(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ ($-1 < B < A \leq 1$) in Theorem 2.2, we have

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $(1+A)/(1+B) < \frac{\mu+p}{p}$ and $-1 < B < A \leq 1$, if $f \in \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; A, B)$, then $F_{\mu, p}(f) \in \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; A, B)$.*

3. Argument properties

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < p$ and*

$$\lambda \geq \frac{p(A-B)}{1+B} - p \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f(z))'}{L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta$$

for some $g(z) \in \Sigma_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c; A, B)$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c)g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha,$$

where α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation

$$\delta = \alpha + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha \cos \frac{\pi}{2} t(A, B)}{\frac{(\lambda+p)(1-B)+p(A-B)}{1-B} + \alpha \sin \frac{\pi}{2} t(A, B)} \right) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$t(A, B) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{p(A-B)}{(\lambda+2p)(1-B^2) - p(1-AB)} \right) \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Let

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{p-\gamma} \left(-\frac{z(L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c)g(z)} - \gamma \right). \quad (3.3)$$

Using the identity (1.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p-\gamma} \left(-\frac{z(L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f(z))'}{L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)g(z)} - \gamma \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p-\gamma} \left(-\frac{\frac{z[z(L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))']'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c)g(z)} + (\lambda+2p)\frac{z(L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c)g(z)}}{\frac{z(L_p^\lambda(a, c)g(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c)g(z)} + \lambda+2p} - \gamma \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By virtue of (3.3) and (3.4), we observe that

$$\frac{1}{p-\gamma} \left(-\frac{z(L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f(z))'}{L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)g(z)} - \gamma \right) = q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{-r(z) + \lambda + 2p},$$

where

$$r(z) = -\frac{z(L_p^\lambda(a, c)g(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c)g(z)}.$$

Since $g(z) \in \Sigma_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c; A, B) \subset \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; A, B)$, by Corollary 2.1, then from (1.14) we have

$$r(z) \prec p \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in U).$$

we let

$$-r(z) + \lambda + 2p = \rho e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\phi} \quad (z \in U),$$

then it follows from (1.14) that

$$\frac{(\lambda+p)(1+B) - p(A-B)}{1+B} < \rho < \frac{(\lambda+p)(1-B) + p(A-B)}{1-B}$$

and

$$-t(A, B) < \phi < t(A, B),$$

where t is defined by (3.2).

Let h be a function which maps U onto the angular domain $\{\omega : |\arg \omega| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta\}$ with $h(0) = 1$. Applying Lemma 2.2, for this h with $\psi(z) = \frac{1}{-r(z) + \lambda + 2p}$, we see that $\operatorname{Re}\{q(z)\} > 0$ in U and hence $q(z) \neq 0$ in U . If there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that the conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied, then by Lemma 2.3, we have (2.3) under the restrictions (2.4)–(2.5).

At first, we suppose that $q(z_0)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = ib$ ($b > 0$). Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \left[-\frac{1}{p-\gamma} \left(\frac{z_0 (L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f(z_0))'}{L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)g(z_0)} + \gamma \right) \right] \\ &= \arg \left(q(z_0) + \frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{-r(z_0) + \lambda + 2p} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha + \arg \left(1 + im\alpha (\rho e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\phi})^{-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{m\alpha \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-\phi)}{\rho + m\alpha \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-\phi)} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha \cos \frac{\pi}{2}t(A, B)}{\frac{(\lambda+p)(1-B)+p(A-B)}{1-B} + \alpha \sin \frac{\pi}{2}t(A, B)} \right) = \frac{\pi}{2}\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where δ and $t(A, B)$ are given by (3.1) and (3.2), respectively. This contradicts to the assumption of our theorem.

Next, we suppose that $q(z_0)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = -ib$ ($b > 0$). Applying the same method as the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \left[-\frac{1}{p-\gamma} \left(\frac{z_0 (L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f(z_0))'}{L_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)g(z_0)} + \gamma \right) \right] \\ &\leq -\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha \cos \frac{\pi}{2}t(A, B)}{\frac{(\lambda+p)(1-B)+p(A-B)}{1-B} + \alpha \sin \frac{\pi}{2}t(A, B)} \right) = -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where δ and $t(A, B)$ are given by (3.1) and (3.2), respectively, which contradicts the assumption. Therefore we complete the proof of our theorem. $\square$

Taking $\lambda = \mu - p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $a = \mu$ and $c = 1$ in Theorem 3.1, we have

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < p$ and*

$$\mu \geq \frac{p(A-B)}{1+B} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(zf''(z) + (\mu + p + 1)f'(z))}{zg'(z) + (\mu + p)g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta$$

for some $g(z) \in \Sigma_p$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{z(zg''(z) + (\mu + p + 1)g'(z))}{zg'(z) + (\mu + p)g(z)} + p \right| < p$$

then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha,$$

where α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) is the solution of equation (3.1) with $\lambda = \mu - p$.

Taking $\lambda = n$ ($n > -p$) and $a = c$ in Theorem 3.1, we have:

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < p$ and*

$$n \geq \frac{p(A-B)}{1+B} - p \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(D^{n+p}f(z))'}{D^{n+p}g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta$$

for some $g(z) \in \Sigma_p$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{z(D^{n+p}g(z))'}{D^{n+p}g(z)} + p \right| < p \quad (z \in U),$$

then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(D^{n+p-1}f(z))'}{D^{n+p-1}g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha,$$

where α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) is the solution of equation (3.1) with $\lambda = n$ ($n > -p$).

Taking $\delta = 1$, $\lambda = 0$, $a = p$, $A = c = 1$ and $B = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we have:

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$. If*

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(zf''(z) + (1+2p)f'(z))}{zg'(z) + 2pg(z)} \right\} > \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p)$$

for some $g(z) \in \Sigma_p$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{z(zg''(z) + (1+2p)g'(z))}{zg'(z) + 2pg(z)} + p \right| < p$$

then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \gamma.$$

Remark 3.1. The results of Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3 were also obtained by Lashin [7].

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $\gamma > p$ and*

$$\lambda \geq \frac{p(A-B)}{1+B} - p \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{z(L_p^{\lambda+1}(a,c)f(z))'}{L_p^{\lambda+1}(a,c)g(z)} + \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta$$

for some $g(z) \in \Sigma_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c; A, B)$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c)g(z)} + \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha,$$

where α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) is the solution of equation (3.1).

The proof of the above theorem is much akin to that of Theorem 3.1. The details may be omitted.

THEOREM 3.3. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < p$ and

$$a \geq \frac{p(A-B)}{1+B} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c)g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta$$

for some $g(z) \in \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; A, B)$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a+1, c)g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha,$$

where α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) is the solution of equation (3.1) with $\lambda = a - p$.

The proof of the Theorem 3.3 is much akin to that of Theorem 3.1 and so the details involved may be omitted.

Taking $\lambda = \mu - p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $a = \mu$ and $c = 1$ in Theorem 3.3, we have:

COROLLARY 3.4. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < p$ and

$$\mu \geq \frac{p(A-B)}{1+B} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta$$

for some $g(z) \in \Sigma_p$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + p \right| < p$$

then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(F_{\mu,p}(f)(z))'}{F_{\mu,p}(g)(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha,$$

where α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) is the solution of equation (3.1) with $\lambda = \mu - p$.

Remark 3.2. The above result was also obtained by Lashin [7].

From Corollaries 2.1, 3.1 and 3.4, we have the following remark:

Remark 3.3. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < p$ and

$$\mu \geq \frac{p(A-B)}{1+B} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(zf''(z) + (\mu + p + 1)f'(z))}{zg'(z) + (\mu + p)g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta$$

for some $g(z) \in \Sigma_p$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{z(zg''(z) + (\mu + p + 1)g'(z))}{zg'(z) + (\mu + p)g(z)} + p \right| < p$$

then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(F_{\mu,p}(f)(z))'}{F_{\mu,p}(g)(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\sigma,$$

where $\sigma (0 < \sigma \leq 1)$ is the solution of the equation

$$\alpha = \sigma + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sigma \cos \frac{\pi}{2} t(A, B)}{\frac{\mu(1-B)+p(A-B)}{1-B} + \sigma \sin \frac{\pi}{2} t(A, B)} \right)$$

and $\alpha (0 < \alpha \leq 1)$ is the solution of the equation

$$\delta = \alpha + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha \cos \frac{\pi}{2} t(A, B)}{\frac{\mu(1-B)+p(A-B)}{1-B} + \alpha \sin \frac{\pi}{2} t(A, B)} \right),$$

where

$$t(A, B) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{p(A-B)}{(\mu + p)(1-B^2) - p(1-AB)} \right).$$

Taking $\delta = 1$, $\lambda = 0$, $a = p$, $A = c = 1$ and $B = 0$ in Theorem 3.3, we have:

COROLLARY 3.5. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$. If

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p)$$

for some $g(z) \in \Sigma_p$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + p \right| < p$$

then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(F_{p,p}(f)(z))'}{F_{p,p}(g)(z)} \right\} > \gamma.$$

From Corollaries 2.1, 3.3 and 3.5, we have the following remark:

Remark 3.4. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$. If

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(zf''(z) + (1+2p)f'(z))}{zg'(z) + 2pg(z)} \right\} > \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p)$$

for some $g(z) \in \Sigma_p$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{z(zg''(z) + (1+2p)g'(z))}{zg'(z) + 2pg(z)} + p \right| < p$$

then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(F_{p,p}(f)(z))'}{F_{p,p}(g)(z)} \right\} > \gamma.$$

Letting $g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p}$ in Remark 3.4, we have:

Remark 3.5. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$. If

$$-\operatorname{Re} \{ z^{p+1}(zf''(z) + (1+2p)f'(z)) \} > p\gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p),$$

then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \{ z^{p+1}(F_{p,p}(f)(z))' \} > \gamma.$$

Remark 3.6. By taking $\delta = A = 1$, $\mu = p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B = 0$ in Remark 3.3, we obtain the result of Remark 3.4.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $\gamma > p$ and

$$a \geq \frac{p(A-B)}{1+B} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{z(L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c)g(z)} + \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta$$

for some $g(z) \in \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; A, B)$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{z(L_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a+1, c)g(z)} + \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha,$$

where α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) is the solution of equation (3.1) with $\lambda = a - p$.

THEOREM 3.5. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < p$ and

$$\mu \geq \frac{p(A-B)}{1+B} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(L_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c)g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta$$

for some $g(z) \in \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; A, B)$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a, c) F_{\mu, p}(f)(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c) F_{\mu, p}(g)(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha,$$

where α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) is the solution of equation (3.1) with $\lambda = \mu - p$.

Proof. Let

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{p - \gamma} \left(-\frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a, c) F_{\mu, p}(f)(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c) F_{\mu, p}(g)(z)} - \gamma \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Using the identity (1.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p - \gamma} \left(-\frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a, c) f(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c) g(z)} - \gamma \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p - \gamma} \left(-\frac{z [z (L_p^\lambda(a, c) F_{\mu, p}(f)(z))']'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c) F_{\mu, p}(g)(z)} + (\mu + p) \frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a, c) F_{\mu, p}(f)(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c) F_{\mu, p}(g)(z)} - \gamma \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By virtue of (3.5) and (3.6), we observe that

$$\frac{1}{p - \gamma} \left(-\frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a, c) f(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c) g(z)} - \gamma \right) = q(z) + \frac{z q'(z)}{-\rho(z) + \mu + p},$$

where

$$\rho(z) = -\frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a, c) F_{\mu, p}(g)(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c) F_{\mu, p}(g)(z)}.$$

The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 3.5 is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and so we omit the details involved. $\square$

THEOREM 3.6. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $\gamma > p$ and

$$\mu \geq \frac{p(A - B)}{1 + B} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a, c) f(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c) g(z)} + \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta$$

for some $g(z) \in \Sigma_p^\lambda(a, c; A, B)$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{z (L_p^\lambda(a, c) F_{\mu, p}(f)(z))'}{L_p^\lambda(a, c) F_{\mu, p}(g)(z)} + \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha,$$

where α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) is the solution of equation (3.1) with $\lambda = \mu - p$.

REFERENCES

- [1] AGHALARY, R.: *Some properties of a certain family of meromorphically univalent functions defined by integral operator*, Kyungpook Math. J. **48** (2008), 379–385.
- [2] AOUF, M. K.: *New criteria for multivalent meromorphic starlike function of order alpha*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **69** (1993), 66–70.
- [3] BULBOACA, T.: *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results*, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [4] CHO, N. E.—NOOR, K. I.: *Inclusion properties for certain classes of meromorphic functions associated with the Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **320** (2006), 779–786.
- [5] EENIGENBERG, P.—MILLER, S. S.—MOCANU, P. T.—READE, M. O.: *On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination*, Gen. Inequal. **3** (1983), 339–348.
- [6] KUMAR, V.—SHUKLA, S. L.: *Certain integrals for classes of p -valent meromorphic functions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **25** (1982), 85–97.
- [7] LASHIN, A. Y.: *Argument estimates of certain meromorphically p -valent functions*, Soochow J. Math. **33** (2007), 803–812.
- [8] LIU, J. -L.—SRIVASTAVA, H. M.: *A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **259** (2001), 566–581.
- [9] MILLER, S. S.—MOCANU, P. T.: *Differential subordinations and univalent functions*, Michigan Math. J. **28** (1981), 157–171.
- [10] NUNOKAWA, M.: *On the order of strongly starlikeness of strongly convex functions*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **69** (1993), 234–237.
- [11] SILVERMAN, H.—SILVIA, E. M.: *Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions*, Canad. J. Math. **37** (1985), 48–61.
- [12] URALEGADDI, B. A.—SOMANATHA, C.: *Certain classes of meromorphic multivalent functions*, Tamkang J. Math. **23** (1992), 223–231.
- [13] YANG, D.: *On a class of meromorphic starlike multivalent functions*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **24** (1996), 151–157.
- [14] YUAN, S. -M.—LIU, Z. -M.—SRIVASTAVA, H. M.: *Some inclusion relationships and integral-preserving properties of certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **337** (2008), 505–515.

Received 27. 5. 2009

Accepted 21. 8. 2009

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Mansoura University

Mansoura 35516

EGYPT

E-mail: mkaouf127@yahoo.com

shamandy16@hotmail.com

adelaeg254@yahoo.com

fatma_elemam@yahoo.com