

ON CONTINUITY PROPERTIES OF SOME CLASSES
OF VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS

K. M. NARALENKOV

(Communicated by Ján Borsík)

ABSTRACT. We extend the VBG^* property to the context of vector-valued functions and give some characterizations of this property. Necessary and sufficient conditions for vector-valued VBG^* functions to be continuous or weakly continuous, except at most on a countable set, are obtained.

©2011
Mathematical Institute
Slovak Academy of Sciences

1. Introduction

This paper discusses the continuity properties of some classes of functions that occur in the theory of vector-valued integration. The familiar result states that in the scalar case a function of bounded variation on an interval has all the unilateral limits at each point of the interval. In the vector case similar properties of functions of *weakly bounded variation* were studied in connection with some problems in the theory of stochastic differential equations (see [9] and the references therein). Other contributions to this subject were made in [2] and [3]. Among the results, established in those papers, the following two come close to ours:

- a separably-valued function of weakly bounded variation is weakly continuous, except at most on a countable set [2];
- a Banach space X does not contain an isomorphic copy of c_0 if and only if each X -valued function of weakly bounded variation is *regulated* [3].

The class of functions of *generalized weakly bounded variation in the restricted sense* (the VBG^* class, in short), introduced in [12], is associated with the

Henstock-Stieltjes integration process and has applications, for example, in proving integration-by-parts type theorems for this integral. In the present paper we give additional characterizations of VBG^* functions and demonstrate that both the afore mentioned results can be extended in a natural way to the VBG^* class. More recent papers to which our ideas may be relevant are [4] and [13].

For the most part our notation and terminology are standard, or can be found in [7].

Throughout this paper $[a, b]$ will denote a fixed non-degenerate interval of the real line and I its closed non-degenerate subinterval. X denotes a real Banach space and X^* its dual. The closed unit ball of X is denoted by B_X . Given $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ and $A \subset X$, $\Delta f(I)$ and $\text{absco}(A)$ denote the *increment* of f on I and the *absolutely convex hull* of A . If E is a subset of the real line, then $\text{Int } E$, \overline{E} , and ∂E will denote the *interior* of E , the *closure* of E , and the *boundary* of E , respectively. Finally, \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{L} will refer to the classes of at most countable and Lebesgue negligible subsets of the real line, respectively.

2. VB^* functions

We begin with the notion of weakly bounded variation on a set.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ and let E be a non-empty subset of $[a, b]$. f is said to be *of weakly bounded variation in the restricted sense* (VB^*) on E if there exists a positive number M such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \Delta f(I_k) \right\| \leq M$$

for each finite collection of pairwise non-overlapping intervals $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^K$ with $\partial I_k \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and for each finite collection of scalars $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^K$ with $\max_k |\lambda_k| \leq 1$.

We denote by $\mathbf{W}_*(f, E)$ the lower bound of those M .

It follows from Definition 2.1 that a VB^* function f on E is necessarily bounded on $[a, b]$. We should observe at this point that in the case where $X = \mathbb{R}$ this notion is equivalent to the classical notion of a VB^* function on a set under the hypothesis that the function involved is bounded on $[a, b]$ (see [10, Lemma 5.3.8]).

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $\Lambda \subset B_{X^*}$.

(a) Λ is said to be w^* - λ -norming for some $\lambda \geq 1$ (or w^* -norming, in short) if

$$\inf_{\|x\|=1} \sup_{x^* \in \Lambda} |x^*(x)| \geq \lambda^{-1};$$

(b) Λ is said to be w^* -thin if $\Lambda = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n$ so that $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \subset \dots$ and Λ_n is w^* -non-norming, that is

$$\inf_{\|x\|=1} \sup_{x^* \in \Lambda_n} |x^*(x)| = 0 \quad \text{for all } n;$$

(c) Λ is w^* -thick if Λ is not w^* -thin.

Remark 1. By the Hahn-Banach Separation Theorem [7, Theorem 3.18], Λ is w^* -norming if and only if $\overline{\text{absco}}^{w^*}(\Lambda) \supset rB_{X^*}$ for some positive r .

As an illustration, if X contains no isomorphic copy of c_0 , then any *James boundary* of X is w^* -thick [8]. The reader should refer to Nygaard's survey [15] for an extensive study of thick sets. Now we make the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let $\Lambda \subset B_{X^*}$, $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ and let E be a non-empty subset of $[a, b]$. f is VB_{Λ}^* on E if x^*f is VB^* function on E for each $x^* \in \Lambda$.

Remark 2. A standard argument shows that f is VB_{Λ}^* on E if and only if for each $x^* \in \Lambda$ there exists a positive number M such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^K |\Delta(x^*f)(I_k)| \leq M$$

for each finite collection of pairwise non-overlapping intervals $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^K$ with $\partial I_k \cap E \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.1 below states that in the case where Λ is w^* -thick all the above sums are uniformly bounded. Note that Alexiewicz actually proved this fact, cf. [2, Theorem 3], by using a different characterization of the w^* -thickness [14, Theorem 3.5]. Our proof based on Definition 2.2 is included for completeness.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ and let $\Lambda \subset B_{X^*}$ be w^* -thick. If f is VB_{Λ}^* on $E \subset [a, b]$, then

$$\sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \mathbf{W}_*(x^*f, E) < \infty.$$

Proof. For each positive integer m let $\Lambda_m = \{x^* \in \Lambda : \mathbf{W}_*(x^*f, E) \leq m\}$. Then $\Lambda = \bigcup_m \Lambda_m$ and $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \subset \dots$. As Λ is w^* -thick, there exist M and $r > 0$ such that $\overline{\text{absco}}^{w^*}(\Lambda_M) \supset rB_{X^*}$. It is evident that $\mathbf{W}_*(x^*f, E) \leq M$ for each

$x^* \in \text{absco}(\Lambda_M)$. We next show that the same inequality is fulfilled for each $x^* \in \overline{\text{absco}}^{w^*}(\Lambda_M)$.

Let $\{x_\alpha^*\}$ be a net in $\text{absco}(\Lambda_M)$ w^* -convergent to x^* . Fix a finite collection of non-overlapping intervals $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^K$ with $\partial I_k \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and compute

$$\sum_{k=1}^K |\Delta(x^*f)(I_k)| = \lim_{\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^K |\Delta(x_\alpha^*f)(I_k)| \right\} \leq M.$$

Finally, for each $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ we have $\mathbf{W}_*(x^*f, E) \leq Mr^{-1}$. \square

The converse of Lemma 2.1 reads:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\Lambda \subset B_{X^*}$. If f is $VB_{B_{X^*}}^*$ on $[a, b]$ whenever $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is VB_Λ^* on $[a, b]$, then Λ is w^* -thick.*

Proof. On the contrary, assume Λ is w^* -thin. By [1, Corollary 2.4], there exists a series $\sum_n x_n$ in X such that $\sum_n |x^*(x_n)| < \infty$ for each $x^* \in \Lambda$ and $\sum_n |x_0^*(x_n)| = \infty$ for some $x_0^* \in B_{X^*}$.

Let $\{b_n\}$ be a fixed sequence such that $a = b_1 < b_2 < \dots$ and $\lim_n b_n = b$. Define a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ by $f = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right) \chi_{[b_N, b_{N+1})}$. Then for each $x^* \in \Lambda$ we have $\mathbf{W}_*(x^*f, [a, b]) \leq \sum_n |x^*(x_n)| < \infty$ which means that f is VB_Λ^* on $[a, b]$. On the other hand, $\mathbf{W}_*(x_0^*f, [a, b]) \geq \sum_n |x_0^*(x_n)| = \infty$. This is the desired contradiction. \square

DEFINITION 2.4. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ and let E be a non-empty subset of $[a, b]$. f is said to be *of outside bounded variation in the restricted sense* (*outside VB^**) on E if there exists a positive number M such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^K \Delta f(I_k) \right\| \leq M$$

for each finite collection of pairwise non-overlapping intervals $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^K$ with $\partial I_k \cap E \neq \emptyset$. We denote by $\mathbf{V}_*(f, E)$ the lower bound of those M .

In fact three function classes, namely VB_Λ^* in the case where Λ is w^* -thick, VB^* and outside VB^* , coincide. We present complete proof of this important fact for the reader's convenience.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$, $E \subset [a, b]$ and let $\Lambda \subset B_{X^*}$ be w^* -thick. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) f is VB_Λ^* on E ;
- (ii) f is VB^* on E ;
- (iii) f is outside VB^* on E .

Proof.

(i) \implies (ii). Fix a finite collection of pairwise non-overlapping intervals $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^K$ with $\partial I_k \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and a finite collection of scalars $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^K$ with $\max_k |\lambda_k| \leq 1$. Choose $x_0^* \in X^*$ so that $\|x_0^*\| = 1$ and

$$x_0^* \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \Delta f(I_k) \right) = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \Delta f(I_k) \right\|.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \Delta f(I_k) \right\| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \Delta(x_0^* f)(I_k) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K |\Delta(x_0^* f)(I_k)| \leq \mathbf{W}_*(x_0^* f, E) \leq \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \mathbf{W}_*(x^* f, E). \end{aligned}$$

Now it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\mathbf{W}_*(f, E) \leq \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \mathbf{W}_*(x^* f, E) < \infty$.

(ii) \implies (iii). This implication is obvious.

(ii) \implies (i). Fix a finite collection of pairwise non-overlapping intervals $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^K$ with $\partial I_k \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $x^* \in B_{X^*}$. We let λ_k denote $\text{sgn}\{\Delta(x^* f)(I_k)\}$ and have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^K |\Delta(x^* f)(I_k)| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \Delta(x^* f)(I_k) \right| \\ &\leq \|x^*\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \Delta f(I_k) \right\| \leq \mathbf{W}_*(f, E). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) \implies (i). Fix a finite collection of pairwise non-overlapping intervals $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^K$ with $\partial I_k \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $x^* \in B_{X^*}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^K |\Delta(x^* f)(I_k)| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k: \Delta(x^* f)(I_k) > 0} \Delta(x^* f)(I_k) \right| + \left| \sum_{k: \Delta(x^* f)(I_k) < 0} \Delta(x^* f)(I_k) \right| \\ &\leq \|x^*\| \cdot \left(\left\| \sum_{k: \Delta(x^* f)(I_k) > 0} \Delta f(I_k) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{k: \Delta(x^* f)(I_k) < 0} \Delta f(I_k) \right\| \right) \leq 2 \mathbf{V}_*(f, E). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3. It is useful to note that

$$\mathbf{V}_*(f, E) \leq \mathbf{W}_*(f, E) = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \mathbf{W}_*(x^* f, E) \leq 2 \mathbf{V}_*(f, E),$$

where f is a VB^* function on a set E .

COROLLARY 2.2.1. *Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ and $E \subset [a, b]$. Then f is VB^* on E if and only if f is VB^* on \overline{E} .*

Proof. The corollary follows easily from Theorem 2.2 and [10, Lemma 5.3.9].

□

DEFINITION 2.5. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ and let E be a non-empty subset of $[a, b]$. f is said to be of *generalized weakly bounded variation in the restricted sense* (VBG^*) on E if E can be written as a countable union of sets on each of which f is VB^* .

Remark 4. It follows from Corollary 2.2.1 that if f is VBG^* on an \mathcal{F}_σ -set E , then E can be written as a countable union of *closed* sets on each of which f is VB^* .

3. Strong continuity of VBG^* functions

In order to study the continuity properties of VBG^* functions, we first introduce some standard notation. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$. The oscillation of f at a point $t \in [a, b]$ is defined by

$$\omega f(t) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \omega f([t - \delta, t + \delta] \cap [a, b]),$$

where $\omega f(I)$ represents $\sup\{\|f(u) - f(v)\| : u, v \in I\}$. It is easy to verify that the set $D_\alpha(f) = \{t \in [a, b] : \omega f(t) \geq \alpha\}$ is closed for each real number α .

Further denote by $D(f)$ the set of discontinuities of f on $[a, b]$. We make note of the fact that $D(f) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{\frac{1}{n}}(f)$.

Recall that a vector-valued function defined on I is said to be *regulated* on I , if it has discontinuities of the first kind only. In other words, such a function has all the unilateral limits at each point of I . That a real-valued function of bounded variation on I is regulated on I is well-known. However, in the vector case the situation changes.

We begin with a simple example, showing that there exists a VB^* function f on $[0, 1]$ which is discontinuous *everywhere* on $[0, 1]$.

Example 1. Let $\{r_n\}$ be a listing of the rational numbers in $[0, 1]$ and define $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow c_0$ by $f(r_n) = e_n$ and $f(t) = 0$ if t is irrational. It is clear that f is discontinuous everywhere on $[0, 1]$ while $\mathbf{W}_*(f, [0, 1]) = 1$.

In [3], the following theorem was established.

THEOREM A. (O. Blasco et al., 2000) *X does not contain an isomorphic copy of c_0 if and only if each VB^* function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is regulated on $[a, b]$.*

The proof of Theorem A is based on the Bessaga-Pelczyński Theorem (see e.g. [11, Proposition 2.e.4]). As to the continuity properties of real-valued VBG^* functions, a classical result states that the set of discontinuities of a real-valued VBG^* function on $[a, b]$ is *at most countable* (see, e.g., [6, Theorem 2.10.1]). In the vector case we present Theorem 3.1 which extends the necessity part of Theorem A to the class VBG^* .

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose that X does not contain an isomorphic copy of c_0 and let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ be VBG^* on $E \subset [a, b]$. Then the set $D(f) \cap E$ is at most countable.*

Proof. Only the case where E is uncountable is interesting. It follows from Corollary 2.2.1 that $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ so that f is VB^* on each E_n and $E_n = \overline{E_n}$.

On the contrary, assume the set $D(f) \cap E$ is uncountable, then so is the set $D_{\alpha}(f) \cap E_{n_0}$ for some positive number α and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. This set is closed. Hence, by the Cantor-Bendixson Theorem, we have $D_{\alpha}(f) \cap E_{n_0} = P \cup Q$ where P is a perfect set and Q is at most countable. Fix a point $c \in P$ and a positive number δ . Choose an interval $I_1 \subset (c - \delta, c + \delta)$ so that $c \in \partial I_1$, $((c - \delta, c + \delta) \setminus I_1) \cap P \neq \emptyset$, and $\|\Delta f(I_1)\| > \alpha/4$. We continue this process for infinitely many steps and arrive at an infinite sequence of mutually disjoint intervals $\{I_k\}$ for

which $\partial I_k \cap P \neq \emptyset$ and $\|\Delta f(I_k)\| > \alpha/4$. We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^K |x^*(\Delta f(I_k))| = \sum_{k=1}^K |\Delta(x^*f)(I_k)| \leq \mathbf{W}_*(f, P) < \infty$$

for all $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ and for all $K \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x^*(\Delta f(I_k))| < \infty$ for all $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ and, by the Bessaga-Pełczyński Theorem, the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta f(I_k)$ converges. Thus, we obtain a contradiction with $\|\Delta f(I_k)\| > \alpha/4$ for all k . \square

COROLLARY 3.1.1. *Suppose that X is weakly sequentially complete (in particular, reflexive) and let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ be VBG^* on $E \subset [a, b]$. Then the set $D(f) \cap E$ is at most countable.*

COROLLARY 3.1.2. *Suppose that X does not contain an isomorphic copy of c_0 and let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ be VBG^* on $E \subset [a, b]$. Then $f|_E$ has a separable range.*

4. Weak continuity of vector-valued functions

In this section we study the weak continuity properties of vector-valued functions. Given $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$, f is said to be *weakly continuous* at a point $t \in [a, b]$ provided that x^*f is continuous at t for each $x^* \in X^*$. In this case $D_w(f) = \bigcup_{x^* \in X^*} D(x^*f)$ is the set of weak discontinuities of f on $[a, b]$.

Once again, we begin with a simple example, showing that there exists a VB^* function f on the unit interval $[0, 1]$ which is weakly discontinuous *everywhere* on $[0, 1]$. Here R will denote the Banach space of regulated real-valued functions defined on $[0, 1]$ that are continuous on the right with the norm of a function $x(\cdot) \in R$ defined by $\|x\| = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |x(t)|$.

Example 2. Define $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ by $f(t) = \chi_{[t, 1]}$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$, $x_s^* \in R^*$ by $x_s^*(x) = x(s)$ for each $s \in [0, 1]$, and $x_{1-0}^* \in R^*$ by $x_{1-0}^*(x) = x(1) - x(1-0)$. It is clear that $\mathbf{W}_*(f, [0, 1]) = 1$. However, $x_s^*f(t) = \chi_{[0, s]}(t)$ for each $s \in [0, 1]$ and $x_{1-0}^*f(t) = \chi_{\{1\}}(t)$. This, in turn, means that $D_w(f) = [0, 1]$. Note that R is non-separable. As the set $\{x_s^*: s \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]\}$ is countable and w^* -1-norming, R^* is w^* -separable though.

Nevertheless a separably-valued VB^* function is weakly discontinuous on at most a countable set of points:

THEOREM B. (A. Alexiewicz, 1951) *Let X be separable and let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ be VB^* on $[a, b]$. Then $D_w(f)$ is at most countable.*

The proof of this theorem presented in [2] depends in an essential way on the fact that a VB^* function on $[a, b]$ is *scalarly regulated*. It is unclear whether a result similar to Theorem B could be valid for VBG^* functions. However, by introducing some new definitions, we have been able in one or two respects to prove more.

Let \mathcal{N} denote a fixed class of subsets of the real line such that

- (i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{N}$;
- (ii) $N \in \mathcal{N}$ whenever $N \subset N_1$ and $N_1 \in \mathcal{N}$;
- (iii) $\bigcup_i N_i \in \mathcal{N}$ whenever $N_1, N_2, \dots \in \mathcal{N}$.

The elements of the class \mathcal{N} will be named \mathcal{N} -sets. \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{L} provide important examples of such classes.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ and let $E \subset [a, b]$.

- (a) f is said to be \mathcal{N} -scalarly continuous on E provided that $D(x^*f) \cap E$ is an \mathcal{N} -set for each $x^* \in X^*$;
- (b) f is said to be \mathcal{N} -weakly continuous on E provided that $D_w(f) \cap E$ is an \mathcal{N} -set.

Note that an \mathcal{N} -weakly continuous function on E is necessarily \mathcal{N} -scalarly continuous on E . On the other hand, Example 2 shows that a \mathcal{C} -scalarly continuous function may not be \mathcal{C} -weakly continuous. The next theorem will give a simple sufficient condition for the \mathcal{N} -weak continuity of bounded separably-valued functions.

THEOREM 4.1. (cf. [17, Lemma 1]) *Suppose that X^* is separable and $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is \mathcal{N} -scalarly continuous on $E \subset [a, b]$ and bounded on $[a, b]$. Then f is \mathcal{N} -weakly continuous on E .*

Proof. Let $\{x_n^* : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable set dense in X^* . Write N for

$$\bigcup_n (D(x_n^*f) \cap E)$$

and M for $\sup_{t \in [a, b]} \|f(t)\|$. Clearly, N is an \mathcal{N} -set. Fix $x^* \in X^*$, a point $t_0 \in E \setminus N$, and a positive number ε . Now choose x_m^* so that $\|x^* - x_m^*\| < \varepsilon/4M$.

Next, choose a positive number δ so that $|x_m^*f(t) - x_m^*f(t_0)| < \varepsilon/2$ for all $t \in [a, b] \cap (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & |x^*f(t) - x^*f(t_0)| \\ & \leq |x^*f(t) - x_m^*f(t)| + |x_m^*f(t) - x_m^*f(t_0)| + |x_m^*f(t_0) - x^*f(t_0)| \\ & < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [a, b] \cap (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$. Thus, $D_w(f) \cap E = N$ and the theorem is proved. \square

COROLLARY 4.1.1. *Suppose that X^* is separable and $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is VBG^* on $E \subset [a, b]$. Then f is \mathcal{C} -weakly continuous on E .*

In the situation in which X^* is w^* -separable we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for the \mathcal{N} -weak continuity.

THEOREM 4.2. (cf. [4, Lemma 2.1]) *Suppose that X^* is w^* -separable and let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) f is \mathcal{N} -weakly continuous on $E \subset [a, b]$;
- (ii) f is \mathcal{N} -scalarly continuous on E and there exists an \mathcal{N} -set N such that for each sequence $\{t_n\}$ in $[a, b]$ that converges to a point $t \in E \setminus N$ the sequence $\{f(t_n)\}$ contains a weakly convergent subsequence.

Proof.

(i) \implies (ii). It is clear that the set $N = D_w(f) \cap E$ has the desired properties.

(ii) \implies (i). Let $\{x_k^* : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable set w^* -dense in X^* . Note that the set $\{x_k^* : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ separates points of X . Write N_1 for $\bigcup_k (D(x_k^*f) \cap E)$.

Clearly, N_1 is an \mathcal{N} -set. Fix $t \in E \setminus (N \cup N_1)$. We claim that f is weakly continuous at t . Choose $\{t_n\}$ convergent to t arbitrarily. It is evident that $x_k^*f(t_n) \rightarrow x_k^*f(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all k . As the set $\{x_k^* : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ separates points of X , each subsequence of $\{f(t_n)\}$ contains a further subsequence weakly convergent to $f(t)$. It follows that $\{f(t_n)\}$ is weakly convergent to $f(t)$. Thus we have $D_w(f) \cap E \subset N \cup N_1$. This in turn means that f is \mathcal{N} -weakly continuous on E . \square

COROLLARY 4.2.1. *Suppose that X^* is w^* -separable and let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ be \mathcal{N} -scalarly continuous on $E \subset [a, b]$. If there exists a closed \mathcal{N} -set N such that $f|_{[a, b] \setminus N}$ has a relatively weakly compact range, then f is \mathcal{N} -weakly continuous on E .*

Proof. Fix a point $t \in E \setminus N$ and a sequence $\{t_n\}$ in $[a, b]$ that converges to t . As the set N is closed, with no loss of generality we may assume $t_n \notin N$ for all n . It therefore follows that the set $\{f(t_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is relatively weakly compact. Thus the sequence $\{f(t_n)\}$ contains a weakly convergent subsequence and (ii) of the previous theorem holds. \square

COROLLARY 4.2.2. (cf. [5, Theorem 3]) *Suppose that X^* is w^* -separable and let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ be VBG^* on $E \subset [a, b]$. If there exists a closed countable set C such that $f|_{[a, b] \setminus C}$ has a relatively weakly compact range, then f is \mathcal{C} -weakly continuous on E .*

In conclusion it is worth remarking that a \mathcal{C} -weakly continuous function necessarily has a *separable* range.

Remark 5. Suppose that $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is \mathcal{C} -weakly continuous on $E \subset [a, b]$. Then $f|_E$ has a separable range. Indeed, as the set $D_w(f) \cap E$ is at most countable, it suffices to show that $f(E \setminus D_w(f))$ is separable. It is clear that f is weakly continuous on the separable set $E \setminus D_w(f)$. Hence, the set $f(E \setminus D_w(f))$ is w -separable. Let S be at most countable and w -dense in $f(E \setminus D_w(f))$. By the Mazur Theorem, we have $\overline{\text{span}}(S) = \overline{\text{span}}^w(S) \supset f(E \setminus D_w(f))$ which is what we desired.

The above discussion reveals the following open question.

PROBLEM. Suppose that X is separable and let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ be VBG^* on $E \subset [a, b]$. Is f necessarily \mathcal{C} -weakly continuous on E ?

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Yuri Zhrebyov for useful discussions during the writing of this paper and the anonymous referee for his/her relevant suggestions for changes.

REFERENCES

- [1] ABRAHAMSEN, T. A.—NYGAARD, O.—PÖLDVERE, M.: *On weak integrability and boundedness in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **314** (2006), 67–74.
- [2] ALEXIEWICZ, A.: *Continuity of vector-valued functions of bounded variation*, Studia Math. **12** (1951), 133–142.
- [3] BLASCO, O.—GREGORI, P.—CALABUIG J. M.: *Finite semivariation and regulated functions by means of bilinear maps*, Real Anal. Exchange **26** (2000/01), 603–608.
- [4] CALABUIG, J. M.—RODRÍGUEZ, J.—SÁNCHEZ-PÉREZ, E. A.: *Weak continuity of Riemann integrable functions in Lebesgue-Bochner spaces*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **26** (2010), 241–248.
- [5] EDWARDS, D. A.: *On the continuity properties of functions satisfying a condition of Sirvint's*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. II **8** (1957), 58–67.

- [6] ENE, V.: *Real Functions—Current Topics*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1603, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [7] FABIAN, M. et al: *Functional Analysis and Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*. CMS Books Math./OuvragesMath. SMC 8, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [8] FONF, V. P.: *Weakly extremal properties of Banach spaces*, Mat. Zametki **45** (1989), No. 6, 83–92, 112 (Russian)
[English translation: Math. Notes **45** (1989), 488–494].
- [9] KENDALL, D. G.—MOYAL, J. E.: *On the continuity properties of vector-valued functions of bounded variation*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. II **8** (1957), 54–57.
- [10] PENG YEE LEE—VÝBORNÝ, R.: *The Integral: an Easy Approach after Kurzweil and Henstock*. Austral. Math. Soc. Lect. Ser. 14, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [11] LINDENSTRAUSS, J.—TZAFRIRI, L.: *Classical Banach Spaces. I. Sequence Spaces*. Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3) 92, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [12] NARALENKOV, K. M.: *On integration by parts for Stieltjes-type integrals of Banach space-valued functions*, Real Anal. Exchange **30** (2004/05), 235–260.
- [13] NARALENKOV, K.: *On Denjoy type extensions of the Pettis integral*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **60** (2010), 737–750.
- [14] NYGAARD, O.: *Boundedness and surjectivity in normed spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **32** (2002), 149–165.
- [15] NYGAARD, O.: *Thick sets in Banach spaces and their properties*, Quest. Math. **29** (2006), 59–72.
- [16] SAKS, S.: *Theory of the Integral*, Dover Publications Inc., Mineola, NY, 1964.
- [17] CHONGHU WANG—KANG WAN: *On the weak property of Lebesgue of $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$* , Rocky Mountain J. Math. **31** (2001), 697–703.

Received 17. 6. 2009

Accepted 2. 3. 2010

*Department of Mathematical Methods and
Information Technologies
Moscow State Institute of International Relations
Vernadskogo Ave. 76
119454 Moscow
RUSSIAN FEDERATION
E-mail: naralencov@gmail.com*