

# ON SOME NEW GENERALIZED DIFFERENCE SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY A SEQUENCE OF MODULI

GÜLCAN ATICI\* — ÇİĞDEM A. BEKTAŞ\*\*

(Communicated by Władysław Wilczyński)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define the new generalized difference sequence spaces  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$ ,  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_1(\Delta_v^m)$  and  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_\infty(\Delta_v^m)$ . We also study some inclusion relations between these spaces.

©2011  
Mathematical Institute  
Slovak Academy of Sciences

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\omega$  be the set of all sequences of real or complex numbers and  $\ell_\infty$ ,  $c$  and  $c_0$  be the linear spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences  $x = (x_k)$  with complex terms, respectively, normed by

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_k |x_k|$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , the set of positive integers.

Throughout this paper, let  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$  be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to  $\infty$  such that  $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$ ,  $\lambda_1 = 1$ . The generalized de la Vallée-Pousin mean is defined by

$$t_n(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} x_k,$$

where  $I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n]$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

The difference sequence spaces was first introduced by Kızmaz [9] and then the concept was generalized by Et and Çolak [7].

A function  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a modulus function if

- (i)  $f(t) = 0$  iff  $t = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $f(t + u) \leq f(t) + f(u)$ , for all  $t, u \geq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $f$  is increasing,
- (iv)  $f$  is continuous from the right at 0.

Since  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq f(|x - y|)$ , it follows from condition (iv) that  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ . A modulus may be unbounded or bounded.

Ruckle [13] used the idea of a modulus function to construct some spaces of complex sequences. Maddox [11] investigated and discussed some properties of the three sequence spaces defined using a modulus function  $f$ . After, Malkowsky and Savaş [12] defined some  $\lambda$ -sequence spaces by using a modulus function. Later on Et [6] defined some sequence spaces by using a modulus function. Recently, Bektaş and Çolak [1] introduced some new sequence spaces by using a sequence of moduli  $F = (f_k)$ .

Let  $X, Y \subset \omega$ . Then we shall write ([10])

$$M(X, Y) = \bigcap_{x \in X} x^{-1} * Y = \{a \in \omega : ax \in Y \text{ for all } x \in X\}.$$

The set  $X^\alpha = M(X, \ell_1)$  is called Köthe-Toeplitz dual or the  $\alpha$ -dual of  $X$ . If  $X \subset Y$ , then  $Y^\alpha \subset X^\alpha$ . It is clear that  $X \subset (X^\alpha)^\alpha = X^{\alpha\alpha}$ . If  $X = X^{\alpha\alpha}$ , then  $X$  is called an  $\alpha$ -space. In particular, an  $\alpha$ -space is called a Köthe space or a perfect sequence space.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a sequence space. Then  $X$  is called:

- (i) Solid (or normal), if  $(\alpha_k x_k) \in X$  whenever  $(x_k) \in X$  for all sequences  $(\alpha_k)$  of scalar with  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ .
- (ii) Monotone, provided  $X$  contains the canonical preimages of all its step-spaces.
- (iii) Perfect, if  $X = X^{\alpha\alpha}$ .
- (iv) Symmetric, if  $(x_k) \in X$  implies  $(x_{\pi(k)}) \in X$ , where  $\pi(k)$  is a permutation of  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- (v) A sequence algebra, if  $(x_k), (y_k) \in X$  implies  $(x_k y_k) \in X$ .

It is well known that if  $X$  is perfect, then  $X$  is normal [8].

We use the following inequality throughout this paper ([10])

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq D \{ |a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k} \} \quad (1)$$

where  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  are complex numbers,  $D = \max(1, 2^{G-1})$  and  $G = \sup_k p_k < \infty$ .

## 2. Main results

In this section we introduce some new sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus functions.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of moduli,  $X$  be a locally convex Hausdorff topological linear spaces whose topology is determined by a set  $Q$  of continuous seminorms  $q$  and  $p = (p_k)$  be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. By  $\omega(X)$  we shall denote the space of all sequences defined over  $X$ . Let  $v = (v_k)$  be any fixed sequence of nonzero complex numbers. Now we define the following sequence spaces. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed, then

$$[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_1(\Delta_v^m) = \left\{ x \in \omega(X) : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k - L))]^{p_k} = 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } L \right\},$$

$$[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m) = \left\{ x \in \omega(X) : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k))]^{p_k} = 0 \right\},$$

$$[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_\infty(\Delta_v^m) = \left\{ x \in \omega(X) : \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k))]^{p_k} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\Delta_v^0 x = (v_k x_k), \\ (\Delta_v x_k) = (v_k x_k - v_{k+1} x_{k+1}), \\ (\Delta_v^m x_k) = (\Delta_v^{m-1} x_k - \Delta_v^{m-1} x_{k+1}),$$

and so that  $\Delta_v^m x_k = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} v_{k+i} x_{k+i}$ .

The above sequence spaces contain some unbounded sequences for  $m \geq 1$ . For example, let  $X = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f_k(x) = x$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q(x) = |x|$ ,  $\lambda_n = n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $v = (1, 1, \dots)$  and  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(k^m) \in [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_\infty(\Delta_v^m)$  but  $(k^m) \notin \ell_\infty$ .

In the case  $f_k(x) = x$  for every  $k$  and  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we shall write  $[V, \lambda, p, q]_Z(\Delta_v^m)$  and  $[V, \lambda, F, q]_Z(\Delta_v^m)$  instead of  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_Z(\Delta_v^m)$ , respectively. If we choose  $f_k(x) = x$  and  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_Z(\Delta_v^m) = [V, \lambda, q]_Z(\Delta_v^m)$ .

Throughout the paper  $Z$  will denote any one of the notation 0, 1 or  $\infty$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** Let the sequence  $(p_k)$  be bounded. Then  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_Z(\Delta_v^m)$  are linear spaces over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The proof is easy and thus omitted.

**THEOREM 2.3.**  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0 (\Delta_v^m)$  is a paranormed (need not to be totally paranormed) space with

$$G_\Delta(x) = \sup_n \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k))]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

where  $M = \max_k \left( 1, \sup_k p_k \right)$ .

**Proof.** From Theorem 2.2, for each  $x \in [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0 (\Delta_v^m)$ ,  $G_\Delta(x)$  exists. Clearly  $G_\Delta(x) = G_\Delta(-x)$ . It is trivial that  $\Delta_v^m x_k = 0$  for  $x = \theta$ . Hence, we get  $G_\Delta(\theta) = 0$ . By Minkowski's inequality, we have  $G_\Delta(x + y) \leq G_\Delta(x) + G_\Delta(y)$ . Now we show that the scalar multiplication is continuous. Let  $\eta$  be any fixed complex numbers. By definition of  $f_k$  for all  $k$ , we have  $x \rightarrow \theta$  implies  $G_\Delta(\eta x) \rightarrow 0$ . Similarly we have  $x$  fixed and  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  implies  $G_\Delta(\eta x) \rightarrow 0$ . Finally  $x \rightarrow \theta$  and  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  implies  $G_\Delta(\eta x) \rightarrow 0$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.4.** Let  $F = (f_k)$  and  $G = (g_k)$  be two sequences of moduli. For any two sequences  $p = (p_k)$  and  $t = (t_k)$  of strictly positive real numbers and any two seminorms  $q_1, q_2$  we have

- (i)  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_Z (\Delta_v^m) \cap [V, \lambda, G, p, q]_Z (\Delta_v^m) \subset [V, \lambda, F + G, p, q]_Z (\Delta_v^m)$ ,
- (ii)  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q_1]_Z (\Delta_v^m) \cap [V, \lambda, F, p, q_2]_Z (\Delta_v^m) \subset [V, \lambda, F, p, q_1 + q_2]_Z (\Delta_v^m)$ ,
- (iii) if  $q_1$  is stronger than  $q_2$ , then  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q_1]_Z (\Delta_v^m) \subset [V, \lambda, F, p, q_2]_Z (\Delta_v^m)$ ,
- (iv) if  $q_1$  is equivalent to  $q_2$ , then  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q_1]_Z (\Delta_v^m) = [V, \lambda, F, p, q_2]_Z (\Delta_v^m)$ ,
- (v)  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q_1]_Z (\Delta_v^m) \cap [V, \lambda, F, t, q_2]_Z (\Delta_v^m) \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof is omitted.

**THEOREM 2.5.** Let  $X$  stands for  $[V, \lambda, F, q]_Z$  and  $m \geq 1$ . Then  $X(\Delta_v^{m-1}) \subset X(\Delta_v^m)$  and inclusions are strict. In general  $X(\Delta_v^i) \subset X(\Delta_v^m)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$  and the inclusions are strict.

**Proof.** We give the proof for  $[V, \lambda, F, q]_\infty$  only. In a similar way we proceed for  $[V, \lambda, F, q]_1$  and  $[V, \lambda, F, q]_0$ . Let  $x \in [V, \lambda, F, q]_\infty (\Delta_v^{m-1})$ . Then we have

$$\sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(\Delta_v^{m-1} x_k))] < \infty.$$

Since  $f_k$  is a modulus for each  $k$  and so non-decreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k (q (\Delta_v^m x_k))] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k (q (\Delta_v^{m-1} x_k - \Delta_v^{m-1} x_{k+1}))] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k (q (\Delta_v^{m-1} x_k))] + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k (q (\Delta_v^{m-1} x_{k+1}))] < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $[V, \lambda, F, q]_\infty (\Delta_v^{m-1}) \subset [V, \lambda, F, q]_\infty (\Delta_v^m)$ . Proceeding in this way one will have  $[V, \lambda, F, q]_\infty (\Delta_v^i) \subset [V, \lambda, F, q]_\infty (\Delta_v^m)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ . The sequence  $x = (k^m)$ , for example, belongs to  $[V, \lambda, F, q]_\infty (\Delta_v^m)$ , but does not belong to  $[V, \lambda, F, q]_\infty (\Delta_v^{m-1})$  for  $f_k(u) = u$  (for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Therefore the inclusions are strict.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $0 < p_k \leq t_k$  and  $(t_k/p_k)$  be bounded. Then*

- (i)  $[V, \lambda, F, t, q]_0 (\Delta_v^m) \subset [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0 (\Delta_v^m)$ ,
- (ii)  $[V, \lambda, F, t, q]_1 (\Delta_v^m) \subset [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_1 (\Delta_v^m)$ ,
- (iii)  $[V, \lambda, F, t, q]_\infty (\Delta_v^m) \subset [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_\infty (\Delta_v^m)$ .

**Proof.** We shall prove only (i). The other inclusions can be proved similarly. Let  $x \in [V, \lambda, F, t, q]_0 (\Delta_v^m)$ . Write  $w_k = [f_k (q (\Delta_v^m x_k))]^{t_k}$  and  $\mu_k = \frac{p_k}{t_k}$ , so that  $0 < \mu < \mu_k \leq 1$  for each  $k$ .

We define the sequences  $(u_k)$  and  $(s_k)$  as follows:

Let  $u_k = w_k$  and  $s_k = 0$  if  $w_k \geq 1$ , and let  $u_k = 0$  and  $s_k = w_k$  if  $w_k < 1$ . Then it is clear that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $w_k = u_k + s_k$ ,  $w_k^{\mu_k} = u_k^{\mu_k} + s_k^{\mu_k}$ . Now it follows that  $u_k^{\mu_k} \leq u_k \leq w_k$  and  $s_k^{\mu_k} \leq s_k$ . Therefore

$$\lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_n} w_k^{\mu_k} \leq \lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_n} w_k + \left( \lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_n} s_k \right)^\mu.$$

Hence  $x \in [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0 (\Delta_v^m)$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.7.** *For a sequence of moduli  $F = (f_k)$ ,*

$$[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_1 (\Delta_v^m) \subset [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_\infty (\Delta_v^m)$$

*assuming*

$$\sup_k [f_k(t)]^{p_k} < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \quad (2)$$

**P r o o f.** Let  $x \in [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_1(\Delta_v^m)$ . By using the definition of modulus function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k))]^{p_k} \\ & \leq D \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k - L))]^{p_k} + D \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(L))]^{p_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $D = \max(1, 2^{G-1})$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k))]^{p_k} \\ & \leq D \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k - L))]^{p_k} + D \sup_k [f_k(q(L))]^{p_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_1(\Delta_v^m)$ , we get the result by (2).  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Let  $0 < \inf p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty$ . Then for a sequence of moduli  $F = (f_k)$  the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $[V, \lambda, p, q]_\infty(\Delta_v^m) \subseteq [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_\infty(\Delta_v^m)$ ,
- (ii)  $[V, \lambda, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m) \subseteq [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_\infty(\Delta_v^m)$ ,
- (iii)  $\sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(t)]^{p_k} < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**P r o o f.** It is trivial that (i) implies (ii). Let (ii) hold and suppose that (iii) does not hold. Then for some  $t > 0$

$$\sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(t)]^{p_k} = \infty$$

and therefore there exists an increasing sequence  $(n_i)$  of positive integers such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{n_i}} \sum_{k \in I_{n_i}} [f_k(i^{-1})]^{p_k} > i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3)$$

Define  $x = (x_k)$  such that

$$\Delta_v^m x_k = \begin{cases} i^{-1}, & k \in I_{n_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $x \in [V, \lambda, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$ , but by (3),  $x \notin [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_\infty(\Delta_v^m)$  which contradicts (ii). Hence (iii) must hold. Therefore (ii) implies (iii).

Let (iii) hold and  $x \in [V, \lambda, p, q]_\infty(\Delta_v^m)$ . Suppose that  $x \notin [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_\infty(\Delta_v^m)$ . Then we have

$$\sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k))]^{p_k} = \infty. \quad (4)$$

Let  $q(\Delta_v^m x_k) = t$  for each  $k$ . Then by (4)

$$\sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(t)]^{p_k} = \infty,$$

which contradicts (iii). Hence (i) must hold. Thus (iii) implies (i). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $1 < p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty$ . Then for a sequence  $F = (f_k)$  of moduli, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m) \subseteq [V, \lambda, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$ ,
- (ii)  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m) \subseteq [V, \lambda, p, q]_\infty(\Delta_v^m)$ ,
- (iii)  $\inf_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(t)]^{p_k} > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**Proof.**

(i) implies (ii) is obvious.

(ii) implies (iii). Let (ii) hold and suppose that (iii) does not hold. Then for some  $t > 0$

$$\inf_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(t)]^{p_k} = 0$$

and therefore there exists an increasing sequence  $(n_i)$  of positive integers such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{n_i}} \sum_{k \in I_{n_i}} [f_k(i)]^{p_k} < \frac{1}{i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (5)$$

Define the sequence  $x = (x_k)$  such that

$$\Delta_v^m x_k = \begin{cases} i, & k \in I_{n_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus by (5),  $x \in [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$  but  $x \notin [V, \lambda, p, q]_\infty(\Delta_v^m)$  which contradicts (ii). Hence (iii) must hold.

Let (iii) hold and suppose that  $x \in [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$  but  $x \notin [V, \lambda, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k))]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6)$$

For given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $n^*$  such that  $q(\Delta_v^m x_k) \geq \varepsilon$  and  $k \in I_{n^*}$ . Therefore

$$[f_k(\varepsilon)]^{p_k} \leq [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k))]^{p_k}$$

and consequently by (6), we have

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(\varepsilon)]^{p_k} = 0,$$

which contradicts (iii). Hence (i) must hold. Whence (iii) implies (i).  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.10.** *Let  $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty$ . Then the inclusion*

$$[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m) \subseteq [V, \lambda, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$$

*holds iff*

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(t)]^{p_k} = \infty \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \quad (7)$$

**Proof.** For the necessity let  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m) \subseteq [V, \lambda, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$  and suppose that (7) does not hold. Then there exist an increasing sequence  $(n_i)$  of positive integers and a number  $t_0 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{n_i}} \sum_{k \in I_{n_i}} [f_k(t_0)]^{p_k} \leq K < \infty, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (8)$$

Define  $x = (x_k)$  such that

$$\Delta_v^m x_k = \begin{cases} t_0, & k \in I_{n_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus by (8),  $x \in [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m)$  but  $x \notin [V, \lambda, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$ . Hence (7) must hold.

For the sufficiency suppose that (7) hold and let  $x \in [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m)$ . Then for each  $n$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k))]^{p_k} \leq K < \infty \quad (9)$$

for some  $K > 0$ . Suppose that  $x \notin [V, \lambda, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$ . Then for given  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  there exist an integer  $n^*$  such that  $q(\Delta_v^m x_k) \geq \varepsilon_0$  for  $k \in I_{n^*}$ . Therefore

$$[f_k(\varepsilon_0)]^{p_k} \leq [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k))]^{p_k}$$

and hence for each  $k$  we get, by (9), that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(\varepsilon_0)]^{p_k} \leq K < \infty$$

for some  $K > 0$ . This contradicts (7) and hence

$$[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m) \subseteq [V, \lambda, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m).$$

□

**THEOREM 2.11.** *Let  $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty$ . Then the inclusion*

$$[V, \lambda, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m) \subseteq [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$$

*holds iff*

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(t)]^{p_k} = 0 \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \quad (10)$$



**Proof.** For the necessity let  $[V, \lambda, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m) \subseteq [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$  and suppose that (10) does not hold. Then for some  $t_0 > 0$ , we have

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(t_0)]^{p_k} = L \neq 0. \quad (11)$$

Define  $x = (x_k)$  by  $x_k = t_0 \sum_{u=0}^{k-m} \binom{m+k-u-1}{k-u}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , so  $\Delta_v^m x_k = t_0$ . Thus  $x \notin [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$  by (11), but  $x \in [V, \lambda, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m)$  which contradicts the inclusion. Hence (10) must hold.

For the sufficiency suppose that (10) hold and  $x \in [V, \lambda, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m)$ . Then for every  $k$

$$q(\Delta_v^m x_k) \leq K < \infty$$

for some  $K > 0$ . Therefore

$$[f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k))]^{p_k} \leq [f_k(K)]^{p_k}$$

and hence, by (10),

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(q(\Delta_v^m x_k))]^{p_k} \leq \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f_k(K)]^{p_k} = 0.$$

Thus  $x \in [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$ , i.e.,  $[V, \lambda, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m) \subseteq [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.12.** *The sequence spaces  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_Z(\Delta_v^m)$  are not solid for  $m \geq 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $X = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f_k(x) = x$  and  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q(x) = |x|$ ,  $v = (1, 1, \dots)$  and  $\lambda_n = n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(x_k) = (k^m) \in [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m)$  but  $(\alpha_k x_k) \notin [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m)$  when  $\alpha_k = (-1)^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m)$  is not solid. The other cases can be proved on considering similar examples.  $\square$

From the above theorem we may give the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.13.** *The sequence spaces  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_Z(\Delta_v^m)$  are not perfect for  $m \geq 1$ .*

**THEOREM 2.14.** *The sequence spaces  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_1(\Delta_v^m)$  and  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m)$  are not symmetric for  $m \geq 1$ .*

**Proof.** Under the restrictions on  $X$ ,  $p$ ,  $f_k$ ,  $q$ ,  $v$  and  $\lambda$  as given in the proof of Theorem 2.12, consider the sequence  $x = (k^m)$ , then  $x \in [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m)$ . Let  $(y_k)$  be a rearrangement of  $(x_k)$ , which is defined as follows:

$$(y_k) = \{x_1, x_2, x_4, x_3, x_9, x_5, x_{16}, x_6, x_{25}, x_7, x_{36}, x_8, x_{49}, x_{10}, \dots\}.$$

Then  $(y_k) \notin [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_{\infty}(\Delta_v^m)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** The space  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_0(\Delta_v^m)$  is not symmetric for  $m \geq 2$ .

**THEOREM 2.15.** *The sequence spaces  $[V, \lambda, F, p, q]_Z(\Delta_v^m)$  are not sequence algebras.*

**Proof.** Under the restrictions on  $X$ ,  $p$ ,  $f_k$ ,  $q$ ,  $v$  and  $\lambda$  as given in the proof of Theorem 2.12, consider the sequence  $x = (k^{m-2})$  and  $y = (k^{m-2})$ , then  $x, y \in [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_Z(\Delta_v^m)$  but  $x.y \notin [V, \lambda, F, p, q]_Z(\Delta_v^m)$ .  $\square$

# REFERENCES

- [1] BEKTAŞ, Ç. A.—ÇOLAK, R.: *Generalized strongly almost summable difference sequences of order  $m$  defined by a sequence of moduli*, Demonstratio Math. **40** (2007), 581–591.
- [2] ESI, A.: *Some classes of generalized difference paranormed sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequences*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. **11** (2009), 536–545.
- [3] ESI, A.—TRIPATHY, B. C.: *On some generalized new type difference sequence spaces defined by a Modulus function in a seminormed space*, Fasc. Math. **40** (2008), 15–24.
- [4] ESI, A.—TRIPATHY, B. C.—SARMA, B.: *On some new type generalized difference sequence spaces*, Math. Slovaca **57** (2007), 475–482.
- [5] ESI, A.—IŞIK, M.: *Some generalized difference sequence spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math. **3** (2005), 241–247.
- [6] ET, M.: *Spaces of Cesáro difference sequences of order  $r$  defined by a Modulus function in a locally convex space*, Taiwanese J. Math. **10** (2006), 865–879.
- [7] ET, M.—ÇOLAK, R.: *On some generalized difference sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math. **21** (1995), 377–386.
- [8] KAMTHAN, P. K.—GUPTA, M.: *Sequence Spaces and Series*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1981.
- [9] KIZMAZ, H.: *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **24** (1981), 169–176.
- [10] MADDUX, I. J.: *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1970.
- [11] MADDUX, I. J.: *Sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **100** (1986), 161–166.
- [12] MALKOWSKY, E.—SAVAŞ, E.: *Some  $\lambda$ -sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **36** (2000), 219–228.
- [13] RUCKLE, W. H.: *FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Canad. J. Math. **25** (1973), 973–978.
- [14] WILANSKY, A.: *Summability through Functional Analysis*. Nort-Holland Mathematics Studies 85, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1984.

Received 20. 3. 2009

Accepted 13. 5. 2009

\*Department of Mathematics

Muş Alparslan University

MUŞ, 49100

TURKEY

E-mail: gatici23@hotmail.com

\*\*Department of Mathematics

Firat University

ELAZIG, 23119

TURKEY

E-mail: cigdemas78@hotmail.com