

# $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS OF GENERALIZED HECKE PAIRS

MASSOUD AMINI

(Communicated by Anatolij Dvurečenskij)

ABSTRACT. We generalize the concept of Hecke pairs and study representations of the corresponding  $C^*$ -algebra. We introduce the notions of covariant pairs and matrix unit pairs of representations in this general setting and show that covariant pairs are exactly faithful matrix unit pairs.

©2011

Mathematical Institute  
Slovak Academy of Sciences

## 1. Introduction

A discrete *Hecke pair* is a pair  $(G, H)$  where  $G$  is a discrete group and  $H$  is a subgroup. It is assumed that every double coset  $HgH$  contains just finitely many left cosets [1]. Covariant representations (*covariant pairs*) of Hecke pairs [1] and corresponding  $C^*$ -algebras [4] are studied. The idea behind a Hecke pair is that the group  $G$  acts on the homogeneous space  $G/H$  by translation and this action is used in the defining condition of covariant pairs.

The objective of this paper is to consider a more general situation where a discrete group  $G$  acts on a semi convo  $K$  ([3]). The notion of (semi) convo or a hypergroup [2] could be considered as a generalization of the quotient space of a group by a subgroup. Another example is the dual space of a compact group [2]. We refer the reader to [2] for detailed definition and more details.

The pair  $(G, K)$  endowed with the left and right actions  $\gamma_L, \gamma_R: G \times K \rightarrow K$  is called a *generalized Hecke pair*. We write  $t \cdot a := \gamma_L(t, a)$  and  $a \cdot t := \gamma_R(t, a)$ , for

$t \in G$  and  $a \in K$ . We also write  $G \cdot a := \{s \cdot a : s \in G\}$  and  $t \cdot K := \{t \cdot b : b \in K\}$ , for  $t \in G, a \in K$ . We assume that

$$t \cdot (s \cdot a) = (ts) \cdot a, \quad (a \cdot t) \cdot s = a \cdot (ts), \quad t \cdot (a \cdot s) = (ts) \cdot a \quad (t \cdot a) \cdot s = a \cdot (ts)$$

for  $t, s \in G, a \in K$ . Let  $e$  be the identity element of  $K$ . We assume that  $G \cdot e = K$  and take a minimal set  $J \subseteq G$  of representatives such that each  $a \in K$  is of the form  $t \cdot e$ , for a unique  $t \in J$ .

Let  $\tilde{K}$  be the hypergroup of “quotients” of  $K$ , constructed as follows: Take a copy  $\bar{K} = \{\bar{a} : a \in K\}$  of  $K$  and put  $\tilde{K} = \bar{K} * K = \{\bar{a} * b : a, b \in K\}$  with convolution and involution defined in such a way that  $\tilde{K}$  is a hypergroup. We identify  $a \in K$  and  $\bar{a} \in \bar{K}$  with  $\bar{e} * a \in \tilde{K}$  and  $\bar{a} * e \in \tilde{K}$ , respectively. For  $a \in K$  and  $x \in \tilde{K}$ , write  $a \leq x$  if  $\bar{e} * a = x$ . When  $K = G/H$  we get  $\tilde{K} = G//H$  which is partially ordered by inclusion, and  $a = uH \leq x = HgH$  is equivalent to  $uH \subseteq HgH$ , which is in turn equivalent to  $HuH = HgH$ . Given  $x \in \tilde{K}$ , put  $K_x = \{u \in K : u \leq x\}$ . We assume that  $K_x$  is finite, for each  $x \in \tilde{K}$ . This is the analog of the condition in [1] that every double coset  $HgH$  contains just finitely many left cosets. We also put  $J_x = \{t \in J : t \cdot e \leq x\}$ , for  $x \in \tilde{K}$ .

The corresponding Hecke algebra is the  $*$ -algebra  $M(\tilde{K})$  of bounded measures on  $\tilde{K}$ . Dealing with elements of this algebra, we use the notation  $x \in \tilde{K}$  for the Dirac measure (point mass)  $\delta_x \in M(\tilde{K})$ . In particular, for  $x, y \in \tilde{K}$ ,  $x * y$  is a convex combination of point masses (a probability measure on  $\tilde{K}$ ). Also dealing with subsets of  $\tilde{K}$  we use  $x$  to denote the singleton  $\{x\}$ . On  $K$ , we consider the  $*$ -algebra  $c_0(K)$  of complex function vanishing at infinity (i.e. those functions  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a finite set  $I \subseteq K$  with  $|f| \leq \varepsilon$  off  $I$ ). This is a  $C^*$ -algebra in sup-norm with respect to the pointwise multiplication and complex conjugate involution. We use  $a \in K$  to denote both the characteristic function  $\chi_{\{a\}}$  of the singleton  $\{a\}$  as an element in  $c_0(K)$ , and the singleton  $\{a\}$  itself, as a subset of  $K$ . In particular, in the former case we see relations such as  $ab = 0$ , when  $a \neq b$ , which means that the pointwise multiplication of  $\chi_{\{a\}}$  and  $\chi_{\{b\}}$  are identically zero, and in the latter case, for a measure  $m$  on  $K$ ,  $m(a)$  means  $m(\{a\})$ . A similar convention is used for  $\tilde{K}$ .

**LEMMA 1.1.** *With the above notation,  $\tilde{K}$  is a hypergroup with respect to the convolution and involution*

$$(i) \quad (\delta_x * \delta_y)(z) = \sum_{a \in K} \delta_x(\bar{e} * a) \delta_y(\bar{a} * z), \quad \text{for } x, y, z \in \tilde{K},$$

$$(ii) \quad (\bar{a} * b)^- = \bar{b} * a, \quad \text{for } a, b \in K.$$

In particular, for  $f, g \in \ell^1(\tilde{K})$ ,

$$(iii) \quad f * g(\bar{e} * b) = \sum_{a \in K} f(\bar{e} * a)g(\bar{a} * b),$$

$$(iv) \quad f^*(\bar{e} * b) = \bar{f}(\bar{b} * e),$$

for  $a, b \in K$ .

Note that the above hypergroup structure on  $\tilde{K}$  is different from the structure presented in [2] for  $\tilde{K} = G//H$ , when  $K = G/H$ .

## 2. Covariant representations

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $(G, K)$  be a generalized discrete Hecke pair,  $\nu$  be a non-degenerate representation of  $c_0(K)$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $V$  be a  $*$ -representation of  $M(\tilde{K})$  on the same Hilbert space, we say that  $(\nu, V)$  is

(i) a *matrix unit pair* if

$$\{\nu(a)V(\bar{a} * b)\nu(b) : a, b \in K\}$$

is a set of matrix units in  $B(\mathcal{H})$ .

(ii) a *covariant pair* if

$$V(x)\nu(a)V(y) = \sum_{s \in J_{\bar{x}}} \sum_{t \in J_y} \nu(a \cdot s)V((\bar{e} \cdot s^{-1}) * (t \cdot e))\nu(a \cdot t),$$

for each  $a \in K$ ,  $x, y \in \tilde{K}$ , where  $e$  is the identity of  $K$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** For a pair  $(\nu, V)$ , the following are equivalent:

$$(i) \quad V(x)\nu(b) = \sum_{t \in J_{\bar{x}}} \nu(b \cdot t)V(x)\nu(a) \quad (b \in K, x \in \tilde{K}),$$

$$(ii) \quad \nu(a)V(x) = \sum_{s \in J_x} \nu(a)V(x)\nu(a \cdot s) \quad (a \in K, x \in \tilde{K}),$$

$$(iii) \quad \nu(a)V(x)\nu(b) = 0, \text{ unless } \bar{a} * b = x \quad (a, b \in K, x \in \tilde{K}).$$

If  $(\nu, V)$  is a matrix unit pair, then these are equivalent to:

$$(iv) \quad \|V_a(x)\| \leq m(K_x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (a \in K, x \in \tilde{K}),$$

where  $V_a(x)$  is the restriction of the operator  $V(x)$  to the closed subspace  $\nu(a)\mathcal{H}$ .

**P r o o f.** The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is proved by taking adjoints. Suppose (ii), then for  $a, b \in K$  and  $x \in \tilde{K}$ ,

$$\nu(a)V(x)\nu(b) = \sum_{s \in J_x} \nu(a)V(x)\nu(a \cdot s)\nu(b) = 0$$

unless  $a \cdot s = b$ , for some  $s \in J_x$ . Let  $a = t \cdot e$  and  $b = u \cdot e$ , for some  $t, u \in J$ , then  $u \cdot e = (t \cdot e) \cdot s = (ts) \cdot e$ . Hence  $(t^{-1}u) \cdot e = s \cdot e \leq x$  which implies that

$$\bar{a} * b = (t \cdot e)^- * (u \cdot e) = (\bar{e} \cdot t^{-1}) * (u \cdot e) = \bar{e} * ((t^{-1}u) \cdot e) = \bar{e} * (s \cdot e) = x.$$

Conversely if  $\bar{a} * b = x$ , and  $a = t \cdot e$ ,  $b = u \cdot e$ , then  $a \cdot (t^{-1}u) = (tt^{-1}u) \cdot e = u \cdot e = b$  and  $t^{-1}u \in J_x$ . Thus (ii) implies (iii).

Next, assume (iii), then since  $\nu$  is non-degenerate, to prove (ii) it is enough to show that for each  $a, b \in K$ ,  $x \in \tilde{K}$ , and  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\nu(a)V(x)\nu(b)\xi = \sum_{s \in J_x} \nu(a)V(x)\nu(a \cdot s)\nu(b)\xi.$$

By assumption, the left hand side is zero unless  $x = \bar{a} * b$ , and clearly the right hand side is zero unless  $a \cdot s = b$ , for some  $s \in J_x$ , which again happens exactly when  $x = \bar{a} * b$ . Thus (iii) implies (ii).

Now suppose that  $(\nu, V)$  is a matrix unit pair such that (i) holds. Let  $x \in \tilde{K}$  and  $a = e \cdot s \in K$ , where  $s \in G$ , then for each  $t \in J_{\bar{x}}$ , the matrix unit

$$\nu(a \cdot t)V((\bar{e})\nu(a) = \nu(a \cdot t)V((\bar{e} * (t \cdot e))\nu(a) = \nu(e \cdot st)V((\bar{e} \cdot (st)^{-1}) * (s \cdot e))\nu(e \cdot s)$$

is a partial isometry with initial projection  $\nu(a)$  and final projection  $\nu(a \cdot t)$ , and the final projections are orthogonal for different values of  $t \in J_{\bar{x}}$  (since  $a \cdot t \neq a \cdot u$ , for  $t, u \in J_{\bar{x}}$  with  $t \neq u$ ). For each  $\xi \in \nu(a)\mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(x)\xi\|^2 &= \|V(x)\nu(a)\xi\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{t \in J_{\bar{x}}} \nu(a \cdot t)V(x)\nu(a)\xi \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{t \in J_{\bar{x}}} \|\nu(a \cdot t)V(x)\nu(a)\xi\|^2 = |J_{\bar{x}}| \|\xi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (i) implies (iv) in this case.

Conversely, suppose  $(\nu, V)$  is a matrix unit pair such that (iv) holds. Let  $x \in \tilde{K}$  and  $a = e \cdot s \in K$ , where  $s \in G$ , and set  $P = \sum_{t \in J_{\bar{x}}} \nu(a \cdot t)$ , then  $P$  is an

orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal{H}$  and for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\nu(a \cdot t)V(x)\nu(a)$  is a partial isometry whose initial space contains  $\nu(a)\xi$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 |J_{\bar{x}}| \|\nu(a)\xi\|^2 &\geq \|V_a(x)\|^2 \|\nu(a)\xi\|^2 \\
 &\geq \|V(x)\nu(a)\xi\|^2 \\
 &= \|PV(x)\nu(a)\xi\|^2 + \|(1-P)V(x)\nu(a)\xi\|^2 \\
 &= \sum_{t \in J_{\bar{x}}} \|\nu(a \cdot t)V(x)\nu(a)\xi\|^2 + \|(1-P)V(x)\nu(a)\xi\|^2 \\
 &= \sum_{t \in J_{\bar{x}}} \|\nu(a)\xi\|^2 + \|(1-P)V(x)\nu(a)\xi\|^2 \\
 &= |J_{\bar{x}}| \|\nu(a)\xi\|^2 + \|(1-P)V(x)\nu(a)\xi\|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

and so  $(1-P)V(x)\nu(a)\xi = 0$ . Therefore  $V(x)\nu(a) = PV(x)\nu(a)$ , which is (i).  $\square$

We say that a pair  $(\nu, V)$  is *faithful* if it satisfies in any of the above first three equivalent conditions.

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Covariant pairs are exactly faithful matrix unit pairs.*

**Proof.** For  $a, b \in K$  put  $v_{a,b} = \nu(a)V(\bar{a} * b)\nu(b)$ . If  $(\nu, V)$  is a covariant pair, then for  $a, b, c \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{a,b}v_{b,c} &= \nu(a)V(\bar{a} * b)\nu(b)V(\bar{b} * c)\nu(c) \\
 &= \sum_{s \in J_{\bar{b}*a}} \sum_{t \in J_{\bar{b}*c}} \nu(a)\nu(b \cdot s)V((\bar{e} \cdot s^{-1}) * (t \cdot e))\nu(b \cdot t)\nu(c).
 \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $J$ , there is exactly one  $s \in J_{\bar{b}*a}$  such that  $a = b \cdot s$  and exactly one  $t \in J_{\bar{b}*c}$  such that  $c = b \cdot t$ , and if  $u \in J$  is the unique element such that  $b = u \cdot e$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{a} * c &= (s^{-1} \cdot \bar{b}) * (b \cdot t) = (s^{-1} \cdot (\bar{e} \cdot u^{-1})) * ((u \cdot e) \cdot t) \\
 &= (\bar{e} \cdot (s^{-1}u^{-1}u)) * (e \cdot t) = (\bar{e} \cdot (s^{-1})) * (e \cdot t),
 \end{aligned}$$

hence  $v_{a,b}v_{b,c} = \nu(a)V(\bar{a} * c)\nu(c) = v_{a,c}$ . Similarly  $v_{a,b}^* = v_{b,a}$  and  $v_{a,b}v_{c,d} = 0$ , unless  $b = c$ , and so  $(\nu, V)$  is a matrix unit pair. If in the covariant pair condition, we put  $y = \bar{e} * e$ , we get equality (i) of Lemma 1.3, since  $J_y = \{1\}$ , where  $1 \in G$  is the identity element, which shows that  $(\nu, V)$  is faithful.

Conversely, if  $(\nu, V)$  is a faithful matrix unit pair, then for each  $a \in K$ ,  $x, y \in \tilde{K}$ , and  $s \in J_{\bar{x}}, t \in J_y$ , we have  $x = (a \cdot s)^- * a$  and  $y = \bar{a} * (a \cdot t)$  and so by conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 1.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(x)\nu(a)V(y) &= V(x)\nu(a)\nu(a)V(y) \\
 &= \sum_{s \in J_{\bar{x}}} \sum_{t \in J_y} \nu(a \cdot s)V(x)\nu(a)\nu(a)V(y)\nu(a \cdot t) \\
 &= \sum_{s \in J_{\bar{x}}} \sum_{t \in J_y} \nu(a \cdot s)V((a \cdot s)^- * a)\nu(a)\nu(a)V(\bar{a} * (a \cdot t))\nu(a \cdot t) \\
 &= \sum_{s \in J_{\bar{x}}} \sum_{t \in J_y} v_{a \cdot s, a} v_{a, a \cdot t} = \sum_{s \in J_{\bar{x}}} \sum_{t \in J_y} v_{a \cdot s, a \cdot t} \\
 &= \sum_{s \in J_{\bar{x}}} \sum_{t \in J_y} \nu(a \cdot s)V((\bar{e} \cdot s^{-1}) * (t \cdot e))\nu(a \cdot t),
 \end{aligned}$$

hence  $(\nu, V)$  is a covariant pair.  $\square$

*Example 2.4.* Let  $M$  be the representation of  $c_0(K)$  on  $\ell^2(K)$  defined by

$$M(f)(\delta_a) = f(a)\delta_a \quad (f \in c_0(K), \quad a \in K)$$

where  $\delta_a$  is the characteristic function  $\chi_{\{a\}}$  as an element of  $\ell^2(K)$ , and  $\rho$  be the right regular representation of  $\tilde{K}$  on  $\ell^2(K)$  defined by

$$\rho(x)(\delta_a) = \sum_{t \in J_{\bar{x}}} \delta_{a \cdot t} \quad (x \in \tilde{K}, \quad a \in K).$$

Then  $(M, \rho)$  is a covariant pair.

To see this, for  $\xi, \zeta \in \ell^2(K)$ , consider the rank-one operators  $(\xi \otimes \zeta)(\eta) = \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle \xi$ ,  $\eta \in \ell^2(K)$ , and observe that  $M(a) = \delta_{a \cdot t} \otimes \delta_a$ . Then

$$\rho(x)M(a) = \sum_{t \in J_{\bar{x}}} \delta_{a \cdot t} \otimes \delta_a \quad (x \in \tilde{K}, \quad a \in K).$$

Hence

$$M(a)\rho(\bar{a} * b)M(b) = \sum_{t \in J_{\bar{b} * a}} (\delta_a \otimes \delta_a)(\delta_{b \cdot t} \otimes \delta_b) = \delta_a \otimes \delta_b,$$

for each  $x \in \tilde{K}$ ,  $a, b \in K$ . Therefore  $(M, \tilde{\rho})$  is a faithful matrix unit pair, and so a covariant pair.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $(G, K)$  be a generalized discrete Hecke pair,  $\nu$  be a non-degenerate representation of  $c_0(K)$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $V$  be a  $*$ -representation of  $M(\tilde{K})$  on the same Hilbert space, then*

- (i)  $(\nu, V)$  is a matrix unit pair if and only if there is a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and a representation  $\tilde{V}$  of  $M(\tilde{K})$  on  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \ell^2(K)$  such that  $(\nu, V)$  is unitarily equivalent to  $(1 \otimes M, \tilde{V})$  and

$$(1 \otimes M(a))\tilde{V}(\bar{a} * b)(1 \otimes M(b)) = 1 \otimes M(a)\rho(\bar{a} * b)M(b),$$

for each  $a, b \in K$ .

- (ii)  $(\nu, V)$  is a covariant pair if and only if there is a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $(\nu, V)$  is unitarily equivalent to the covariant representation  $(1 \otimes M, 1 \otimes \rho)$  on  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \ell^2(K)$ .

In particular,  $\nu$  is equivalent to a multiple of  $M$  if and only if  $(\nu, V)$  is a covariant pair, for some  $V$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(\nu, V)$  is a matrix unit pair on  $\mathcal{H}$  and consider matrix units  $v_{a,b} = \nu(a)V(\bar{a} * b)\nu(b)$ , and put  $\mathcal{K} = \nu(e)\mathcal{H}$ , then by non-degeneracy of  $\nu$ ,  $\nu(a)\xi \mapsto v_{e,a}\xi \otimes \delta_a$  ( $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ ) extends to a unitary isomorphism  $\Psi$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\mathcal{K} \otimes \ell^2(K)$  such that  $\text{Ad } \Psi(v_{a,b}) = 1 \otimes (\delta_a \otimes \delta_a)$ , for  $a, b \in K$ . Then

$$\text{Ad } \Psi(\nu(a)) = \text{Ad } \Psi(v_{a,b}) = 1 \otimes (\delta_a \otimes \delta_a) = 1 \otimes M(a),$$

for  $a \in K$ , thus  $\text{Ad } \Psi \circ \nu = 1 \otimes M$ . Similarly the representation  $\tilde{V} = \text{Ad } \Psi \circ V$  of  $M(\tilde{K})$  on  $\mathcal{K} \otimes \ell^2(K)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes M(a))\tilde{V}(\bar{a} * b)(1 \otimes M(b)) &= \text{Ad } \Psi(\nu(a)V(\bar{a} * b)\nu(b)) = \text{Ad } \Psi(v_{a,b}) \\ &= 1 \otimes (\delta_a \otimes \delta_a) \\ &= 1 \otimes (M(a))\rho(\bar{a} * b)(1 \otimes M(b)), \end{aligned}$$

for  $a, b \in K$ . This proves half of (i). The converse follows easily as the relation stated in (i) between  $M$  and  $\tilde{V}$  implies that  $(1 \otimes M, \tilde{V})$  is a matrix unit pair.

Next suppose that  $(\nu, V)$  is a covariant pair, and let  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\Psi$ , and  $\tilde{V}$  be as in (i). Then  $(1 \otimes M, \tilde{V}) = (\text{Ad } \Psi \circ \nu, \text{Ad } \Psi \circ V)$  is covariant and, by (i) and Lemma 2.2(iii),

$$(1 \otimes M(a))\tilde{V}(x)(1 \otimes M(b)) = 1 \otimes (M(a))\rho(x)(1 \otimes M(b)),$$

for  $a, b \in K$ ,  $x \in \tilde{K}$ . It follows that  $\tilde{V} = 1 \otimes \rho$ , which proves half of (ii). The other half follows from the fact that  $(1 \otimes M, 1 \otimes \rho)$  is a covariant pair. Finally, observe that if a unitary  $\Psi$  intertwines  $\nu$  and  $1 \otimes M$ , then  $V = \text{Ad } \Psi^* \circ (1 \otimes \rho)$  is a representation of  $M(\tilde{K})$  such that  $(\nu, V)$  is a covariant pair.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *With the above notation, for every matrix unit pair  $(\nu, V)$ , there is a representation  $W$  of  $M(\tilde{K})$  such that  $(\nu, W)$  is a covariant pair.*

REFERENCES

- [1] AN HUEF, A.—KALISZEWSKI, S.—RAEBURN, I.: *Covariant representations of Hecke algebras and imprimitivity for crossed products by homogeneous spaces*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **212** (2008), 2344–2357.
- [2] BLOOM, W. R.—HEYER, H.: *Harmonic Analysis of Probability Measures on Hypergroups*, Walter De Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.
- [3] JEWETT, R. I.: *Spaces with an abstract convolution of measures*, Adv. Math. **18** (1975), 1–101.
- [4] KALISZEWSKI, S.—LANDSTAD, M.—QUIGG, J.: *Hecke  $C^*$ -algebras, Schichting completions, and Morita equivalence*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **51** (2008), 657–695.

Received 27. 2. 2009

Accepted 6. 2. 2010

*Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences  
Tarbiat Modares University  
P.O.Box 14115-134  
Tehran  
IRAN  
E-mail: mamini@modares.ac.ir*