

SYNAPTIC ALGEBRAS

DAVID J. FOULIS

Dedicated to Dr. Sylvia Pulmannová on the occasion of her 70th birthday

(Communicated by Anatolij Dvurečenskij)

ABSTRACT. A synaptic algebra is both a special Jordan algebra and a spectral order-unit normed space satisfying certain natural conditions suggested by the partially ordered Jordan algebra of bounded Hermitian operators on a Hilbert space. The adjective “synaptic”, borrowed from biology, is meant to suggest that such an algebra coherently “ties together” the notions of a Jordan algebra, a spectral order-unit normed space, a convex effect algebra, and an orthomodular lattice.

©2010
Mathematical Institute
Slovak Academy of Sciences

1. Introduction

Our purpose in this article is introduce and study a class of partially ordered algebraic structures, which we call *synaptic algebras*, that are simultaneously spectral order-unit normed spaces [8] and special Jordan algebras, and that also incorporate convex effect algebras [12] and orthomodular lattices [3, 14]. We have borrowed from biology the adjective ‘synaptic’, which is derived from the Greek word ‘*sunaptein*’, meaning *to join together*. A synaptic algebra (Definition 1.1 below) is required to satisfy certain natural conditions suggested by an important spacial case, namely the partially ordered Jordan algebra of bounded Hermitian operators on a Hilbert space.

The generalized Hermitian (GH) algebras introduced and studied by Sylvia Pulmannová and the author in [9, 10] are synaptic algebras that satisfy a rather

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47L30; Secondary 47B15, 46L70.
Keywords: spectral order-unit normed space, special Jordan algebra, convex effect algebra, orthomodular lattice, generalized Hermitian algebra, convex effect algebra, projections, square roots, carriers, absolute value, polar decomposition, quadratic mapping, Sasaki mapping, invertible element, regular element, simple element, spectral resolution, spectrum.

strong additional condition on bounded ascending sequences of pairwise commuting elements — see Section 6 below for the details. Example 1.2 below exhibits a commutative synaptic algebra which, in general, fails to be a GH-algebra, showing that synaptic algebras are proper generalizations of GH-algebras. In the sequel, we use the symbols \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{N} for the ordered field of real numbers and the set of positive integers, respectively. Also, we use ‘iff’ as an abbreviation for ‘if and only if’, and the symbol ‘:=’ means ‘equals by definition’.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let R be a linear associative algebra with unity element 1 over \mathbb{R} and let A be a (real) vector subspace of R . If $a, b \in A$ and $B \subseteq A$, we write $a C b$ iff a and b commute (i.e. $ab = ba$)¹ and we define

$$C(a) := \{b \in A : a C b\}, \quad C(B) := \bigcap_{b \in B} C(b), \quad \text{and} \quad CC(a) := C(C(a)).$$

The vector space A is a *synaptic algebra* with *enveloping algebra* R iff the following conditions are satisfied:

- SA1. A is a partially ordered archimedean real vector space with positive cone $A^+ = \{a \in A : 0 \leq a\}$, $1 \in A^+$ is an order unit in A , and $\|\cdot\|$ is the corresponding order-unit norm.²
- SA2. If $a \in A$ then $a^2 \in A^+$.
- SA3. If $a, b \in A^+$, then $aba \in A^+$.
- SA4. If $a \in A$ and $b \in A^+$, then $aba = 0 \implies ab = ba = 0$.
- SA5. If $a \in A^+$, there exists $b \in A^+ \cap CC(a)$ such that $b^2 = a$.
- SA6. If $a \in A$, there exists $p \in A$ such that $p = p^2$ and, for all $b \in A$, $ab = 0 \iff pb = 0$.
- SA7. If $1 \leq a \in A$, there exists $b \in A$ such that $ab = ba = 1$.
- SA8. If $a, b \in A$, $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \leq \dots$ is an ascending sequence of pairwise commuting elements of $C(b)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a - a_n\| = 0$, then $a \in C(b)$.

We define $P := \{p \in A : p = p^2\}$. Elements $p \in P$ are called *projections*. We define the *unit interval* E in A by $E := \{e \in A : 0 \leq e \leq 1\}$. Elements $e \in E$ are called *effects*.³

If R is a von Neumann algebra, then the real vector space A of all self-adjoint elements in R is a synaptic algebra. More generally, the self-adjoint elements in a Rickart C^* -algebra ([13, §3]), and in particular in an AW^* -algebra ([15]), form a synaptic algebra. Additional examples of synaptic algebras are: JW-algebras

¹We understand that a product of elements of A is the product as calculated in R , which may or may not belong to A .

²See Definition 1.6 below.

³Actually, E is a so-called *convex effect algebra* ([12]).

([17]), AJW-algebras ([17, §20]), JB-algebras ([2]), and the ordered special Jordan algebras studied by Sarymsakov, *et al.* [16]. All the foregoing examples are norm complete, but the commutative synaptic algebra in the following example need not be norm complete.

Example 1.2. Let \mathcal{F} be a field of subsets of a nonempty set X , let A be the commutative and associative real linear algebra, with pointwise operations, of all functions $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (i) $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \implies f^{-1}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}$ and
- (ii) $\{f(x) : x \in X\}$ is finite.

Then, with the pointwise partial order, A is a synaptic algebra with A as its own enveloping algebra. The projections in A are the characteristic set functions (indicator functions) of sets in \mathcal{F} .

STANDING ASSUMPTIONS 1.3. In the sequel, we assume that A is a synaptic algebra with enveloping algebra⁴ R , that E is the set of effects in A , and that P is the set of projections in A . We understand that both E and P are partially ordered by the restrictions of the partial order \leq on A . To avoid triviality, we assume that $1 \neq 0$. As is customary, we shall identify each real number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with the element $\lambda 1 \in A$, so that \mathbb{R} is a one-dimensional linear subspace of A . If n is one of $1, 2, \dots, 8$, then $[SA_n]$ will always refer to the corresponding condition in Definition 1.1.

By [SA2], $a \in A \implies a^2 \in A$, hence A is organized into a special Jordan algebra under the Jordan product $a \circ b := \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba) = \frac{1}{2}[(a+b)^2 - a^2 - b^2] \in A$ for all $a, b \in A$. Clearly, $1 \circ a = a \circ 1 = a$, i.e., A is a *unital* Jordan algebra.

Remarks 1.4. Let $a, b, c \in A$. Then $a C b \implies ab = ba = a \circ b \in A$. As $a^2 \in A$ and $a C a^2$, it follows that $a^3 = a \circ a^2 \in A$, and by induction, $a^n \in A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, A is closed under the formation of real polynomials in a . Let $c := 2(a \circ b)$. Then $aba = a \circ c - a^2 \circ b \in A$, hence $aba \in A$. Thus, $acb + bca = (a+b)c(a+b) - aca - bcb \in A$.

LEMMA 1.5. *If $a, b \in A^+$ and $a C b$, then $ab = ba \in A^+$.*

Proof. Assume that $a, b \in A^+$ and $a C b$. By Remarks 1.4, $ab = ba \in A$. By [SA5], there exist $x \in A^+ \cap CC(a)$ and $y \in A^+ \cap CC(b)$ such that $a = x^2$ and $b = y^2$. As $x \in CC(a)$ and $a C b$, we have $x C b$; hence, as $y \in CC(b)$, it follows that $x C y$. Therefore, $xy = yx \in A$ by Remarks 1.4, and we have $(xy)^2 = x^2y^2 = ab$. Consequently, $ab \in A^+$ by [SA2]. \square

⁴We shall not be concerned with the detailed structure of the enveloping algebra R — we regard R merely as an arena in which to study A , E , and P .

By [SA1], A is an *order-unit normed space* according to the following definition (adapted to our present notation).

DEFINITION 1.6. An *order-unit normed space* [1, pp. 67–69] is a partially ordered real vector space A with a distinguished element $1 \in A$, called the *unit*, such that:

- (i) A is *archimedean*, i.e., if $a, b \in A$ and $na \leq b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $-a \in A^+$.
- (ii) $0 < 1$ and 1 is an *order unit*⁵ in A , i.e., for every $a \in A$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a \leq n \cdot 1$.⁶

The *order-unit norm* $\|\cdot\|$ on A is defined by

- (iii) $\|a\| := \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < \lambda \text{ and } -\lambda \leq a \leq \lambda\}$.

The order-unit norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a *bona fide* norm on A , and it is related to the partial-order structure of A by the following properties,⁷ which we shall use routinely in the sequel: For all $a, b \in A$,

$$-\|a\| \leq a \leq \|a\|, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{if } -b \leq a \leq b, \text{ then } \|a\| \leq \|b\|.$$

If $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in A and $a \in A$, the notation $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$, or simply $a_n \rightarrow a$, will mean that a is the limit of $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the norm topology, i.e., that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a - a_n\| = 0$.

LEMMA 1.7. Let $a, b \in A$ and $0 < \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:

- (i) $-\lambda \leq a \leq \lambda \iff a^2 \leq \lambda^2$.
- (ii) $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$.
- (iii) $0 \leq a, b \implies \|a - b\| \leq \max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\}$.
- (iv) $\|a \circ b\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$.
- (v) If $a \leq b$, then $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$.

Proof. If $-\lambda \leq a \leq \lambda$, then $0 \leq \lambda - a, \lambda + a$, and as $(\lambda - a)C(\lambda + a)$, Lemma 1.5 implies that $0 \leq (\lambda - a)(\lambda + a) = \lambda^2 - a^2$. Conversely, suppose that $a^2 \leq \lambda^2$. Then $0 \leq (\lambda - a)^2$ by [SA2], whence $0 \leq (\lambda^2 - a^2) + (\lambda - a)^2 = 2(\lambda^2 - \lambda a)$ and since $0 < \lambda$, it follows that $a \leq \lambda$. As $a^2 \leq \lambda^2$, we also have $(-a)^2 \leq \lambda^2$, whence $-a \leq \lambda$, i.e., $-\lambda \leq a$, proving (i).

Part (ii) follows from (i).

To prove (iii), we can assume that $\|a\| \leq \|b\|$. As $0 \leq b$, we have $a \leq \|a\| \leq \|b\| \leq \|b\| + b$, whence $a - b \leq \|b\|$. Also, as $0 \leq a$, we have $b \leq \|b\| \leq \|b\| + a$, whence $b - a \leq \|b\|$, and therefore $-\|b\| \leq a - b \leq \|b\|$. Consequently, $\|a - b\| \leq \|b\| = \max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\}$. To prove (iv), it will be sufficient by normalization to

⁵Some authors use the terminology “strong order unit”.

⁶Recall that we are identifying $n \in \mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $n1$.

⁷See [1, Proposition II.1.2] and [11, Proposition 7.12 (c)]

prove that $\|a\| = \|b\| = 1 \implies \|a \circ b\| \leq 1$. Thus, we assume $\|a\| = \|b\| = 1$, so that $\|a \pm b\| \leq 2$, and therefore by (ii), $\|(a \pm b)^2\| \leq 4$. Consequently, by (iii),

$$\|a \circ b\| = \frac{1}{4} \|(a+b)^2 - (a-b)^2\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \max\{\|(a+b)^2\|, \|(a-b)^2\|\} \leq 1.$$

If $a C b$, then $ab = a \circ b$, so (v) follows immediately from (iv). \square

2. Square roots, projections, and carriers

Remarks 2.1. Let $a, b \in A$. Then:

(i) By [SA4] with $b = 1$, we have $a^2 = 0 \implies a = 0$.

(ii) If $0 \leq a, b$ and $a + b = 0$, then $0 \leq a = -b \leq 0$, whence $a = b = 0$.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $0 \leq a \in A$. Then there exists a unique $r \in A$ such that $0 \leq r$ and $r^2 = a$; moreover, $r \in CC(a)$.

Proof. Suppose that $0 \leq a \in A$. By [SA5], there exists $b \in CC(a)$ such that $0 \leq b$ and $b^2 = a$. As $a \in C(a)$, we have $a C b$. Suppose also that $r \in A$ with $0 \leq r$, $r^2 = a$. Obviously, $r C a$, whence $b C r$. It will be sufficient to prove that $r = b$.

By [SA5], there exists $s \in CC(b)$ such that $0 \leq s$ and $s^2 = b$. As $b, r \in C(b)$, we have $s C b$ and $s C r$. By [SA5] again, there exists $t \in CC(r)$ such that $0 \leq t$ and $t^2 = r$. As $b, r \in C(r)$, we have $t C b$ and $t C r$.

Since $s C b$ and $s C r$, it follows that $s C (b - r)$, hence $s(b - r) = s \circ (b - r) \in A$. Likewise, since $t C b$ and $t C r$, we have $t(b - r) \in A$. Moreover, as $b^2 = r^2 = a$, it follows that

$$(s(b - r))^2 + (t(b - r))^2 = (s^2 + t^2)(b - r)^2 = (b + r)(b - r)^2 = (b^2 - r^2)(b - r) = 0.$$

But $0 \leq (s(b - r))^2$ and $0 \leq (t(b - r))^2$ by [SA2], whence $(s(b - r))^2 = (t(b - r))^2 = 0$, so $s(b - r) = t(b - r) = 0$ by Remarks 2.1.

As $s(b - r) = 0$, it follows that $b(b - r) = s^2(b - r) = 0$. Likewise, $r(b - r) = t^2(b - r) = 0$, whence $(b - r)^2 = b(b - r) - r(b - r) = 0$, and by Remarks 2.1.(i), $r = b$. \square

If $0 \leq a \in A$, then of course, the unique element r in Theorem 2.2 is called the *square root* of a , and in what follows we denote it in the usual way as $a^{1/2}$.

Remarks 2.3. Let $p \in P$. Then, as $p = p^2$, [SA2] implies that $0 \leq p$. Also, $(1 - p)^2 = 1 - 2p + p^2 = 1 - p$, so $1 - p \in P$, and therefore $0 \leq 1 - p$, i.e., $p \leq 1$. Consequently, $0 \leq p \leq 1$, and it follows that $P \subseteq E$.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $e \in E$ and $p \in P$. Then the following conditions are mutually equivalent:*

- (i) $e \leq p$.
- (ii) $e = ep = pe$.
- (iii) $e = pep$.
- (iv) $e = ep$.
- (v) $e = pe$.

Proof.

(i) \implies (ii). Assume that $e \leq p$ and let $d := p - e$. Then $0 \leq e, d, 1 - p$, $e + d = p$, and

$$(1 - p)e(1 - p) + (1 - p)d(1 - p) = (1 - p)p(1 - p) = 0.$$

By [SA3], $0 \leq (1 - p)e(1 - p)$, $(1 - p)d(1 - p)$, and it follows from Remarks 2.1.(ii) that $(1 - p)e(1 - p) = (1 - p)d(1 - p) = 0$. Therefore, by [SA4], $(1 - p)e = e(1 - p) = 0$, i.e., $e = pe = ep$.

(ii) \implies (iii) \implies (iv). Follows from $p = p^2$.

(iv) \iff (v). By [SA4], $e = ep \implies e(1 - p) = 0 \implies (1 - p)e(1 - p) = 0 \implies (1 - p)e = 0 \implies e = pe$, and the converse implication follows by symmetry.

(v) \implies (i). Assume (v). Since (iv) \iff (v), we have $pe = ep = e$, so $(1 - e)p = p(1 - e) = p - e$, whence $0 \leq p - e$ by Lemma 1.5, and therefore $e \leq p$. \square

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $e \in E$. Then:*

- (i) $e^2 \in E$ with $0 \leq e^2 \leq e$.
- (ii) $2e - e^2 \in E$.
- (iii) $e - e^2 \in E$ with $e - e^2 \leq e, 1 - e$.

Proof. By [SA2], $0 \leq e^2$, and as $eC(1 - e)$ with $0 \leq e, 1 - e$, Lemma 1.5 implies that $0 \leq e(1 - e)$, whence $0 \leq e^2 \leq e \leq 1$, proving (i). Also, $0 \leq (1 - e)^2 = 1 - 2e + e^2$, so by (i), $0 \leq e + (e - e^2) = 2e - e^2 \leq 1$, proving (ii). Part (iii) follows from (i) and (ii). \square

Obviously, E is a convex set, and by Remarks 2.3, $P \subseteq E$. The following theorem characterizes, in various ways, those effects $p \in E$ that are projections.

THEOREM 2.6. *If $p \in E$, then the following conditions are mutually equivalent:*

- (i) $p \in P$.
- (ii) If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, and $e \in E$, then $\lambda e \leq p \iff e \leq p$.

- (iii) p is an extreme point of the convex set E .
- (iv) If $e, f, e + f \in E$, then $e, f \leq p \implies e + f \leq p$.
- (v) If $e \in E$ and $e \leq p, 1 - p$, then $e = 0$.

Proof.

(i) \implies (ii). Suppose $p \in P$, $e \in E$, and $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then $0 \leq \lambda e \leq e \leq 1$, so $\lambda e \in E$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4, $\lambda e \leq p \iff \lambda ep = \lambda e \iff ep = e \iff e \leq p$.

(ii) \implies (iii) Assume (ii) and suppose that $p = \lambda e + (1 - \lambda)f$ with $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $e, f \in E$. Then $\lambda e \leq p$, whence $e \leq p = \lambda e + (1 - \lambda)f$, therefore $(1 - \lambda)e \leq (1 - \lambda)f$, and it follows that $e \leq f$. Similarly, $f \leq e$, so $e = f = p$.

(iii) \implies (i) Assume (iii). By parts (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.5, $p^2, 2p - p^2 \in E$, and since $p = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}(2p - p^2)$, (iii) implies that $p = p^2 = 2p - p^2$, whence $p \in P$.

(i) \implies (iv) Assume that $p \in P$, $e, f, e + f \in E$, and $e, f \leq p$. Then by Theorem 2.4, $e = pep$ and $f = pfp$. As $e + f \in E$, we have $0 \leq 1 - (e + f)$, whence by [SA3], $0 \leq p(1 - e - f)p$, i.e., $e + f = pep + pfp \leq p^2 = p$.

(iv) \implies (v) Assume (iv) and suppose that $e \in E$ with $e \leq p, 1 - p$. Then $e, p \in E$, $0 \leq e + p \leq 1$, and $e, p \leq p$, whence $e + p \leq p$ by (iv), and therefore $e \leq 0$. But $0 \leq e$, so $e = 0$.

(v) \implies (i) Assume (v). By Lemma 2.5.(iii), $0 \leq p - p^2 \leq p, 1 - p$, whence $p = p^2$ by (v). \square

THEOREM 2.7. *Let $a \in A$. Then there exists a unique projection $p \in P$ such that, for all $b \in A$, $ab = 0 \iff pb = 0$.*

Proof. By [SA6], there exists $p \in P$ such that, for all $b \in A$, $ab = 0 \iff pb = 0$. Suppose $q \in P$ and, for all $b \in A$, $ab = 0 \iff qb = 0$. Putting $b = 1 - p$, we find that $a(1 - p) = 0$, whence $q(1 - p) = 0$, i.e., $q = qp$, and therefore $q \leq p$ by Theorem 2.4. By symmetry, $p \leq q$, so $p = q$, proving the uniqueness of p . \square

DEFINITION 2.8. If $a \in A$, then the unique projection p in Theorem 2.7 is called the *carrier projection* of (or for) a and is denoted by a° . Thus, $a^\circ \in P$ and, for all $b \in A$, $ab = 0 \iff a^\circ b = 0$.

LEMMA 2.9. *Let $a, b \in A$ and $p \in P$. Then:*

- (i) $pb = 0 \iff bp = 0$.
- (ii) $pa = a \iff ap = a$.
- (iii) $aa^\circ = a^\circ a = a$.
- (iv) $ab = 0 \iff ba = 0$.

Proof. By [SA4] and the fact that $0 \leq p$, we have $pb = 0 \implies bpb = 0 \implies bp = 0$, whence $pb = 0 \implies bp = 0$. A similar argument yields the converse, proving (i). By (i), $pa = a \iff (1-p)a = 0 \iff a(1-p) = 0 \iff ap = a$, proving (ii). As $a^\circ \in P$, we have $a^\circ(1-a^\circ) = 0$, so $a(1-a^\circ) = 0$, i.e., $aa^\circ = a$, whence $a^\circ a = a$ by (ii), proving (iii). To prove (iv), assume that $ab = 0$. Then $a^\circ b = 0$, so $ba^\circ = 0$ by (i). Also, $a = a^\circ a$ by (iii), whereupon $ba = ba^\circ a = 0$. Thus, $ab = 0 \implies ba = 0$, and the converse follows by symmetry. \square

THEOREM 2.10. *Let $a, b \in A$. Then:*

- (i) $a = 0 \iff a^\circ = 0$.
- (ii) $a \in P \iff a = a^\circ$.
- (iii) a° is the smallest projection $p \in P$ such that $a = ap$.
- (iv) If $e \in E$, then e° is the smallest projection $p \in P$ such that $e \leq p$.
- (v) $ab = 0 \iff ab^\circ = 0 \iff a^\circ b^\circ = 0$.
- (vi) $a^\circ \in CC(a)$.
- (vii) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(a^n)^\circ = a^\circ$.
- (viii) If $0 \leq a \leq b$, then $a^\circ \leq b^\circ$.

Proof.

(i) and (ii) are obvious from the definition of a° .

(iii) We have $aa^\circ = a$ by Lemma 2.9.(iii). Suppose that $p \in P$ and $a = ap$. Then $a(1-p) = 0$, whence $a^\circ(1-p) = 0$, so $a^\circ = a^\circ p$, and therefore $a^\circ \leq p$ by Theorem 2.4.

(iv) Part (iv) is a consequence of (iii) and Theorem 2.4.

(v) By Lemma 2.9.(iv),

$$ab = 0 \iff ba = 0 \iff b^\circ a = 0 \iff ab^\circ = 0 \iff a^\circ b^\circ = 0.$$

(vi) Suppose that $c \in C(a)$ and let $d := (1-a^\circ)ca^\circ + a^\circ c(1-a^\circ)$. Thus, $d \in A$ (see Remarks 1.4), and as $aa^\circ = a$, we have

$$ad = a(1-a^\circ)ca^\circ + aa^\circ c(1-a^\circ) = 0 + ac(1-a^\circ) = ca(1-a^\circ) = 0,$$

and therefore

$$0 = a^\circ d = 0 + a^\circ c(1-a^\circ) = a^\circ c - a^\circ ca^\circ, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad a^\circ c = a^\circ ca^\circ.$$

Also, as $a^\circ d = 0$, Lemma 2.9 implies that $0 = da^\circ = (1-a^\circ)ca^\circ$, i.e., $ca^\circ = a^\circ ca^\circ$. Therefore $ca^\circ = a^\circ ca^\circ = a^\circ c$, so $c \in C(a^\circ)$.

(vii) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As $aa^\circ = a$, we have $a^n a^\circ = a^n$, whence $(a^n)^\circ \leq a^\circ$ by (iii). We have to prove that $a^\circ \leq (a^n)^\circ$. Put $q := 1 - (a^n)^\circ$. By (vi), $C(a^n) \subseteq C((a^n)^\circ)$, whence $a C q$. Evidently, $a^n q = 0$, so there is a smallest positive integer k such that $a^k q = 0$. If k is even, then $a^{k/2} q a^{k/2} = 0$, so $a^{k/2} q = 0$ by [SA4], contradicting the minimality of k . Therefore, k is odd

and $a^{k+1}q = 0$, whence $a^{(k+1)/2}qa^{(k+1)/2} = 0$, so $a^{(k+1)/2}q = 0$ by [SA4] again, whereupon $k \leq (k+1)/2$, i.e., $k = 1$. Therefore, $aq = 0$, whence $a = a(a^n)^\circ$, and again by (iii), $a^\circ \leq (a^n)^\circ$.

(viii) Suppose that $0 \leq a \leq b$. The case $b = 0$ is trivial, so we assume that $b \neq 0$. Let $\lambda := \|b\|^{-1}$, $e := \lambda a$, and $f := \lambda b$. Clearly, $e, f \in E$, $e \leq f$, $e^\circ = a^\circ$, and $f^\circ = b^\circ$. By (iv), $e \leq f \leq f^\circ \in P$, whence $e^\circ \leq f^\circ$, i.e., $a^\circ \leq b^\circ$. \square

3. Absolute value and polar decomposition

If $a \in A$, then by [SA2], $0 \leq a^2$, so we can formulate the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.1. If $a \in A$, then the *absolute value* of a is defined and denoted by $|a| := (a^2)^{1/2}$. Also we define $a^+ := \frac{1}{2}(|a| + a)$ and $a^- := \frac{1}{2}(|a| - a)$.

REMARKS 3.2. Let $a \in A$. Obviously, $0 \leq |a| = |-a|$ and $|a|^2 = a^2$. Also, $C(a) \subseteq C(a^2) \subseteq C(|a|)$, and therefore $|a|, a^+, a^- \in CC(a)$. Moreover, $a = a^+ - a^-$, $|a| = a^+ + a^-$, $a^+a^- = a^-a^+ = 0$, and $a^- = (-a)^+$.

THEOREM 3.3. Let $a \in G$, $p := (a^+)^\circ$, and $q := (a^-)^\circ$. Then:

- | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| (i) $p, q \in CC(a)$ | (ii) $pC a $ and $qC a $ |
| (iii) $pa = ap = a^+$. | (iv) $qa = aq = -a^-$. |
| (v) $0 \leq p a = a p = a^+$. | (vi) $0 \leq q a = a q = a^-$. |
| (vii) $pq = qp = 0$. | (viii) $p + q = a^\circ$. |

Proof.

(i) As $C(a) \subseteq C(a^+)$ and $C(a^+) \subseteq C((a^+)^\circ)$, we have $C(a) \subseteq C(p)$. Likewise, as $a^- = (-a)^+$ and $C(a) = C(-a)$, we have $C(a) \subseteq C(q)$.

(ii) As $|a| \in C(a)$, (ii) follows from (i).

(iii) By (i), $pa = ap$. Also, $a^+ = (a^+)^\circ a^+ = pa^+$, and since $a^+a^- = 0$, it follows that $pa^- = 0$, whence $pa = p(a^+ - a^-) = a^+$.

(iv) By (iii), $-qa = q(-a) = (-a)^+ = a^-$.

(v) By (ii), $pC|a|$, and as in the proof of (iii), $p|a| = p(a^+ + a^-) = a^+$. As $0 \leq p$ and $0 \leq |a|$, we have $0 \leq p|a|$ by Lemma 1.5.

(vi) The proof of (vi) is similar to the proof of (v).

(vii) As $a^+a^- = 0$, we have $pa^- = 0$, whence $pq = 0$.

(viii) By (vii), $(p + q)^2 = p^2 + q^2 = p + q$, so $p + q \in P$. By (iii) and (iv), $a(p + q) = a^+ - a^- = a$, whence $a^\circ \leq p + q$ by Theorem 2.10.(iii). Let $r := 1 - a^\circ$. Then $r \in P$ and $0 = ar = a^+r - a^-r$, i.e., $a^+r = a^-r$. Consequently, $a^+r = pa^+r = pa^-r = pqa^-r = 0$ by (vii), and it follows that $pr = 0$. Likewise, $qr = 0$, so $(p + q)r = 0$, and therefore $(p + q)a^\circ = (p + q)(1 - r) = p + q$, i.e., $p + q \leq a^\circ$ by Theorem 2.4; hence $p + q = a^\circ$. \square

COROLLARY 3.4. *If $0 \leq a, b \in A$ and $a C b$, then $a^2 \leq b^2 \iff a \leq b$.*

Proof. Assume the hypotheses and suppose that $a^2 \leq b^2$. As $0 \leq (b-a)^2$, we have

$$0 \leq (b-a)^2 + b^2 - a^2 = 2(b^2 - ab), \quad \text{whence } 0 \leq (b-a)b. \quad (1)$$

Also, by parts (vii), (viii), and (iii) of Theorem 2.10,

$$a^\circ = (a^2)^\circ \leq (b^2)^\circ = b^\circ, \quad \text{whence } ab^\circ = a. \quad (2)$$

Let $c := (b-a)^+$ and $d := (b-a)^-$. Then by Remarks 3.2 and parts (v) and (vi) of Theorem 3.3, $b \in C(b-a) \subseteq C(c) \cap C(d)$, and we have

$$b C c, \ b C d, \ c C d, \ 0 \leq c, \ 0 \leq d, \ dc = 0, \ \text{and } b-a = c-d. \quad (3)$$

By (1) and (3),

$$0 \leq (b-a)b = (c-d)b = cb - db. \quad (4)$$

Since $d C (cb - db)$ and $0 \leq d$, it follows from (4), (3), and Lemma 1.5 that $0 \leq d(cb - db) = -d^2b$, i.e., $d^2b \leq 0$. Likewise, as $0 \leq d^2$, $0 \leq b$, and $b C d^2$, we also have $0 \leq d^2b$; hence $d^2b = 0$, and consequently

$$d^\circ b = (d^2)^\circ b = 0, \quad \text{so } db = 0, \quad \text{whence } db^\circ = 0. \quad (5)$$

As $c \in C(b) \subseteq C(b^\circ)$, $0 \leq c$, and $0 \leq b^\circ$, we have $0 \leq cb^\circ$ by Lemma 1.5, whence by (5), (3), and (2),

$$0 \leq cb^\circ = (c-d)b^\circ = (b-a)b^\circ = bb^\circ - ab^\circ = b-a.$$

Conversely, suppose that $a \leq b$, i.e., $0 \leq b-a$. As $a C b$, we have $a C (b-a)$, and it follows from Lemma 1.5 that $0 \leq a(b-a) = ab - a^2$, i.e., $a^2 \leq ab$. Similarly, $0 \leq (b-a)b = b^2 - ab$, whence $ab \leq b^2$, and it follows that $a^2 \leq b^2$. \square

DEFINITION 3.5. If $a \in A$, then the *sgnum* of a is defined and denoted by $\text{sgn}(a) := (a^+)^\circ - (a^-)^\circ$.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let $a \in A$. Then:*

- (i) $\text{sgn}(a) \in CC(a)$.
- (ii) $\text{sgn}(a)^2 = a^\circ$.
- (iii) $\text{sgn}(a)a = a\text{sgn}(a) = |a|$.
- (iv) $\text{sgn}(a)|a| = |a|\text{sgn}(a) = a$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3.(i), $C(a) \subseteq C((a^+)^\circ) \cap C((a^-)^\circ)$, from which (i) follows. Part (ii) follows from parts (vii) and (viii) of Theorem 3.3, and parts (iii) and (iv) are consequences of parts (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 3.3. \square

The formula $a = \text{sgn}(a)|a| = |a|\text{sgn}(a)$ in Theorem 3.6 is called the *polar decomposition* of a .

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let $a, b \in A$. Then:*

- (i) $ab = 0 \iff |a||b| = 0$.
- (ii) $|a|^\circ = a^\circ$.

Proof. We have $ab = 0 \implies |a||b| = \operatorname{sgn}(a)ab\operatorname{sgn}(b) = 0$, and conversely, $|a||b| = 0 \implies ab = \operatorname{sgn}(a)|a||b|\operatorname{sgn}(b) = 0$, proving (i). Arguing as above, we find that $|a|b = 0 \iff ab = 0$, whence $|a|^\circ = a^\circ$, proving (ii). \square

4. Quadratic, compression, and Sasaki mappings

DEFINITION 4.1. If $a \in A$, the mapping $J_a: A \rightarrow A$ defined by $J_a(b) := aba$ for all $b \in A$ is called the *quadratic mapping* determined by a . If $p \in P$, the quadratic mapping J_p is called the *compression* on A with *focus* p .

THEOREM 4.2. *If $a \in A$, then the quadratic mapping $J_a: \rightarrow A$ is both linear and order preserving.*

Proof. Obviously, J_a is linear. Suppose that $0 \leq h \in A$. By [SA3], $0 \leq |a|h|a|$, and we define $k := (|a|h|a|)^{1/2}$. Thus, $k^2|a|^\circ = |a|h|a||a|^\circ = |a|h|a| = k^2$, so by (ii) and parts (vii) and (iii) of Theorem 2.10,

$$k^\circ = (k^2)^\circ \leq |a|^\circ = a^\circ, \quad \text{whence} \quad ka^\circ = k. \quad (1)$$

Let $w := \operatorname{sgn}(a)$. Then by parts (ii) and (iv) of Theorem 3.6, $w^2 = a^\circ$ and $a = w|a| = |a|w$; hence by (1)

$$0 \leq (wkw)^2 = wkw^2kw = wka^\circ kw = wk^2w = w|a|h|a|w = aha = J_a(h).$$

Suppose $b, c \in A$ with $b \leq c$, and put $h := c - b$. Then $0 \leq h$, therefore $0 \leq J_a(h) = J_a(c) - J_a(b)$, whence $J_a(b) \leq J_a(c)$, i.e., J_a is order preserving. \square

Remark 4.3. Condition [SA3] requires that $a, b \in A^+ \implies aba \in A^+$; however, by Theorem 4.2, we now have the stronger result $b \in A^+ \implies aba \in A^+$ for all $a \in A$.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $a, b \in A$ and $p \in P$. Then:*

- (i) $\|J_a(b)\| \leq \|a^2\|\|b\| = \|a\|^2\|b\|$.
- (ii) $J_a: A \rightarrow A$ is norm continuous.
- (iii) If $p \neq 0$, then $\|p\| = 1$.
- (iv) $\|J_p(a)\| \leq \|a\|$.

P r o o f. As $-\|b\| \leq b \leq \|b\|$, we have

$$-\|b\|a^2 = a(-\|b\|)a \leq aba \leq a\|b\|a = \|b\|a^2,$$

whence $\|aba\| \leq \|(\|b\|a^2)\| = \|a^2\|\|b\|$. By Lemma 1.7.(ii), $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$, proving (i), and (ii) follows from (i). Also by Lemma 1.7.(ii), $\|p\|^2 = \|p^2\| = \|p\|$, from which (iii) follows, and (iv) is a consequence of (i) and (iii). \square

Let $p \in P$ and $e \in E$. By Theorem 4.2, J_p is linear and order preserving. Clearly, $J_p(1) = p \in P \subseteq E$. By Theorem 2.4, $e \leq p \implies J_p(e) = e$. Also, if $J_p(e) = 0$, then $pep = 0$, whence $pe = ep = 0$, so $e \leq 1 - p$. Conversely, as a consequence of [4, Corollary 4.6], compressions on A are characterized as in the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let $J: A \rightarrow A$ be a linear and order-preserving mapping such that $J(1) \leq 1$ and, for every $e \in E$, $e \leq J(1) \implies J(e) = e$. Then $p := J(1) \in P$ and $J = J_p$.*

LEMMA 4.6. *Let $a \in A$ and $p \in P$. Then:*

- (i) $a \in C(p) \iff a = J_p(a) + J_{1-p}(a)$.
- (ii) $C(p)$ is norm closed in A .

P r o o f. If $a \in C(p)$, it is clear that $a = pap + (1-p)a(1-p)$. Conversely, if $a = pap + (1-p)a(1-p)$ then $pa = pap = ap$, proving (i). Define the mapping $c_p: A \rightarrow A$ by $c_p(a) := J_p(a) + J_{1-p}(a)$. By Lemma 4.4.(ii), c_p is norm continuous, and by (i), $C(p)$ is its set of fixed points, proving (ii). \square

THEOREM 4.7. *Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in A and suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a \in A$. Then:*

- (i) *If $a_n \leq b \in A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $a \leq b$.*
- (ii) *If $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots$, then a is the supremum (least upper bound) of $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in A .*
- (iii) *The positive cone A^+ is norm closed in A .*

P r o o f. By hypothesis, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$N_m \leq n \implies a_n - a \leq \|a_n - a\| \leq 1/m \implies a_n \leq a + 1/m. \quad (1)$$

(i) Assume the hypothesis of (i). Then, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a - b \leq a - a_{N_m}$. Let $p := ((a - b)^+)^{\circ} \in CC(a - b)$. Then, $(a - b)^+ = p(a - b) = p(a - b)p = J_p(a - b)$, so by Lemma 4.4.(iv) and (1), for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(a - b)^+ = J_p(a - b) \leq J_p(a - a_{N_m}) \leq \|J_p(a - a_{N_m})\| \leq \|a - a_{N_m}\| \leq 1/m,$$

whence $m(a-b)^+ \leq 1$, and since A is archimedean, it follows that $(a-b)^+ \leq 0$. But $0 \leq (a-b)^+$, so $(a-b)^+ = 0$, and consequently, $a-b = -(a-b)^- \leq 0$, i.e., $a \leq b$.

(ii) By (1), for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_{N_m} \leq a + 1/m;$$

hence $a_n \leq a + 1/m$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $m(a_n - a) \leq 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and since A is archimedean, it follows that $a_n - a \leq 0$, i.e., $a_n \leq a$. If $a_n \leq b \in A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then by (i), $a \leq b$; hence a is the least upper bound of $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

(iii) Let $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in A^+ and suppose that $c_n \rightarrow c$. Then $-c_n \rightarrow -c$, and as $-c_n \leq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (i) implies that $-c \leq 0$, i.e., $c \in A^+$. \square

By combining the quadratic mapping J_a with the carrier, we obtain the *Sasaki mapping* on A as per the following definition.

DEFINITION 4.8. For each $a \in A$, the *Sasaki mapping*⁸ $\phi_a: A \rightarrow P$ is defined by $\phi_a(b) := (J_a(b))^\circ = (aba)^\circ$ for all $b \in B$.

THEOREM 4.9. Let $a, b, c \in A$. Then:

- (i) $\phi_a(b) \leq \phi_a(1) = a^\circ$.
- (ii) $0 \leq b \leq c \implies \phi_a(b) \leq \phi_a(c)$.
- (iii) If $0 \leq b$, then $\phi_a(b)c = 0 \implies \phi_a(c)b = 0$.
- (iv) If $0 \leq b, c$, then $\phi_a(b)c = 0 \iff \phi_a(c)b = 0$.
- (v) If $0 \leq b$, then $\phi_a(b) = \phi_a(b^\circ)$.

Proof.

(i) As $abaa^\circ = aba$, Theorem 2.10.(iii) implies that $\phi_a(b) = (aba)^\circ \leq a^\circ$. Also, $\phi_a(1) = (a^2)^\circ = a^\circ$ by Theorem 2.10.(vii).

(ii) Assume that $0 \leq b \leq c$. Then $0 \leq J_a(b) \leq J_a(c)$, so $\phi_a(b) \leq \phi_a(c)$ by Theorem 2.10.(viii).

(iii) Suppose that $0 \leq b$ and $\phi_a(b)c = 0$. Then $(aba)^\circ c = 0$, whence $abac = 0$, and therefore $(aca)b(aca) = ac(abac)a = 0$, whereupon $acab = 0$ by [SA4], and it follows that $(aca)^\circ b = 0$, i.e., $\phi_a(c)b = 0$.

(iv) Follows from (iii).

(v) Suppose that $0 \leq c$. We have $\phi_a(c)b = 0 \iff \phi_a(c)b^\circ = 0$, and as $0 \leq b^\circ$, it follows from (iv) that $\phi_a(c)b^\circ = 0 \iff \phi_a(b^\circ)c = 0$. Consequently, $\phi_a(b)c = 0 \iff \phi_a(b^\circ)c = 0$. Putting $c = 1 - \phi_a(b^\circ)$, we find that $\phi_a(b) = \phi_a(b)\phi_a(b^\circ)$, hence $\phi_a(b) \leq \phi_a(b^\circ)$. Similarly, putting $c = 1 - \phi_a(b)$, we obtain $\phi_a(b^\circ) \leq \phi_a(b)$. \square

⁸The terminology derives from the fact that, for $p \in P$, the restriction of ϕ_p to P is a so-called *Sasaki projection* on P [14, p. 99]. See Theorem 5.6 below.

THEOREM 4.10. *Let $0 \neq v \in P$ and define $vAv := J_v(A) = \{vav : a \in A\} = \{b \in A : b = bv = vb\}$. Then vAv is norm-closed in A and, with the partial order inherited from A , vAv is a synaptic algebra with unit v and enveloping algebra vRv .⁹ Moreover, the order-unit norm on vAv is the restriction to vAv of the order-unit norm on A , and for all $a, b \in vAv$, we have: $a \circ b, a^\circ, |a|, a^+, a^-, \text{sgn}(a) \in vAv$; $J_a(A) \subseteq vAv$; $\phi_a(A) \subseteq vAv$; and $0 \leq a \implies a^{1/2} \in vAv$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.4.(ii), $J_v : A \rightarrow A$ is norm continuous, and since vAv is the set of fixed points of J_v , it follows that vAv is a norm-closed linear subspace of A . Let $b \in vAv$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b \leq n = n1$; hence $b = J_v(b) \leq nJ_v(1) = nv$, so v is an order unit in vAv . By a similar argument, if $0 \leq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then $-\lambda \leq b \leq \lambda \implies -\lambda v \leq b \leq \lambda v$; conversely, $-\lambda v \leq b \leq \lambda v \implies -\lambda \leq b \leq \lambda$ follows from the fact that $0 \leq v \leq 1$; hence $\|b\| = \inf\{0 < \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : -\lambda v \leq b \leq \lambda v\}$. Thus, [SA1] holds for vAv .

That vAv satisfies [SA2]–[SA4] is obvious. If $0 \leq b \in vAv$, then, since $b = bv = vb$ and $b^{1/2} \in CC(b)$, we have $0 \leq vb^{1/2}v = vb^{1/2} = b^{1/2}v$ with $(vb^{1/2})^2 = b$; hence $b^{1/2} = vb^{1/2}$ by the uniqueness of square roots (Theorem 2.2), and it follows that $b^{1/2} \in vAv$. Thus, vAv satisfies [SA5]. If $b \in vAv$, we again have $b = bv = vb$, whence $b^\circ \leq v$, and since $b^\circ \in CC(b)$, it follows easily that $b^\circ \in vAv$. Thus, vAv satisfies [SA6].

To show that vAv satisfies [SA7], suppose that $v \leq b \in vAv$. Then $1 = v + (1 - v) \leq b + 1 - v$ with $b = vb = bv$. By [SA7], there exists $c \in A$ such that $1 = c(b + 1 - v) = (b + 1 - v)c$. Applying J_v to both sides of the latter equation, we find that $v = vcbv = vbcbv = vcvb = bvcv$, and since $vcv \in vAv$, it follows that vAv satisfies [SA7]. Obviously, vAv inherits condition [SA8] from A . We omit the completely straightforward proofs of the remaining assertions of the theorem. \square

5. Orthomodularity of the projection lattice

DEFINITION 5.1. The mapping $^\perp : P \rightarrow P$ is defined by $p^\perp := 1 - p$ for all $p \in P$. If $p, q \in P$, we say that p is *orthogonal* to q , in symbols $p \perp q$, iff $p \leq q^\perp$.

We note that $p \perp q \implies q \perp p$ and that $p \perp p \iff p = 0$. In this section we are going to prove that, with $p \mapsto p^\perp := 1 - p$ as the *orthocomplementation*, P is a *orthomodular lattice* as per the following definition ([3, 14]).

⁹In dealing with the synaptic algebra vAv in the presence of the synaptic algebra A , we cannot follow the convention (previously adopted for A) of identifying real numbers λ with multiples λv of the unit element v .

DEFINITION 5.2. Let X be a partially ordered set (poset). A mapping $x \mapsto x^\perp$ from X to X is called an *involution* iff it is order reversing ($x \leq y \implies y^\perp \leq x^\perp$) and of period 2 ($(x^\perp)^\perp = x$) for all $x, y \in X$. An *orthomodular poset* (OMP) is a partially ordered set X with a smallest element 0, a largest element 1, and an involution $^\perp: X \rightarrow X$, called the *orthocomplementation*, such that, for all $x, y \in X$:

- (i) The infimum (greatest lower bound) $x \wedge x^\perp$ of x and x^\perp exists in X and $x \wedge x^\perp = 0$.
- (ii) If $x \leq y^\perp$, then the supremum (least upper bound) $x \vee y$ exists in X .
- (iii) If $x \leq y$, then $y = x \vee (x^\perp \wedge y)$.

An *orthomodular lattice* (OML) is an OMP X that is a lattice (i.e., every pair $x, y \in X$ has an infimum $x \wedge y$ and a supremum $x \vee y$ in X .)

Let X be a poset and let $a, b, x, y \in X$. If we write $a = x \wedge y$, or $x \wedge y = a$, we mean that the infimum (greatest lower bound) $x \wedge y$ of x and y exists in X and that it equals a . A similar convention applies to an existing supremum (least upper bound) $b = x \vee y$ of x and y in X . An involution $x \mapsto x^\perp$ on X gives rise to a *De Morgan duality* on X whereby existing infima are converted to suprema and *vice versa*. For instance, if $a = x \wedge y$, then $a^\perp = x^\perp \vee y^\perp$. Also, if X has a smallest element 0 and a largest element 1, then $0^\perp = 1$ and $1^\perp = 0$. Obviously, the mapping $p \mapsto p^\perp = 1 - p$ (respectively, $e \mapsto 1 - e$) is an involution on the poset P (respectively, on the poset E), and $a \mapsto -a$ is an involution on A .

Suppose that X is an OMP with $x \mapsto x^\perp$ as the orthocomplementation. Then by Definition 5.2.(i) and De Morgan duality, we have both $x \wedge x^\perp = 0$ and $x \vee x^\perp = 1$, i.e., x^\perp is an *orthogonal complement*, or for short, an *orthocomplement* of x in X . Let $x, y \in X$ with $x \leq y$. Then $x \leq (y^\perp)^\perp$, whence $x \vee y^\perp$ exists in X by Definition 5.2.(ii), and therefore $x^\perp \wedge y = (x \vee y^\perp)^\perp$ exists in X by De Morgan duality. Since $x \leq x \vee y^\perp = (x^\perp \wedge y)^\perp$, it also follows from Definition 5.2.(ii) that the supremum $x \vee (x^\perp \wedge y)$ exists in X . The condition $x \leq y \implies y = x \vee (x^\perp \wedge y)$ in Definition 5.2.(iii) is called the *orthomodular law*.

LEMMA 5.3. For all $p, q \in P$:

- (i) $p C q \implies pq = p \wedge q$.
- (ii) $p \perp q \iff pq = 0$.
- (iii) $p \perp q \implies p \vee q = p + q$.
- (iv) $p \leq q \implies q - p = p^\perp \wedge q \in P$.
- (v) With $p \mapsto p^\perp := 1 - p$ as the orthocomplementation, P is an OMP.

Proof.

(i) Assume that $pq = qp$. Obviously, $(pq)^2 = pq$, so $pq \in P$. Also $p(pq) = pq$ and $q(pq) = pq$, so $pq \leq p, q$ by Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $r \in P$ and $r \leq p, q$.

Again by Theorem 2.4, $rp = pr = r$ and $rq = qr = r$, whence $rpq = r$, i.e., $r \leq pq$. Therefore $pq = p \wedge q$.

(ii) By Theorem 2.4, $p \perp q \iff p \leq 1 - q \iff p = p(1 - q) = p - pq \iff pq = 0$.

(iii) Suppose that $p \perp q$. Then $pq = 0$ by (ii), so $qp = 0$ by Lemma 2.9.(iv), and it follows that $(p+q)^2 = p^2 + q^2 = p+q$, i.e., $p+q \in P$. As $0 \leq p, q$, we have $p, q \leq p+q$. Suppose that $r \in P$ with $p, q \leq r$. Then, by Theorem 2.4, $p = pr$ and $q = qr$, whereupon $p+q = (p+q)r$, i.e., $p+q \leq r$. Therefore, $p+q = p \vee q$.

(iv) Suppose that $p \leq q = (q^\perp)^\perp$. Then by (iii), $p+q^\perp = p \vee q^\perp \in P$, whence $(p+q^\perp)^\perp = p^\perp \wedge q \in P$. But $(p+q^\perp)^\perp = 1 - (p+1-q) = q - p$.

(v) Obviously, 0 is the smallest element and 1 is the largest element in the poset P . In view of (ii), it remains only to show that the orthomodular law holds in P . But, if $p, q \in P$ with $p \leq q$, then by (iv), $q - p = p^\perp \wedge q$ and by (iii), $q = p + (q - p) = p + (p^\perp \wedge q) = p \vee (p^\perp \wedge q)$. \square

THEOREM 5.4. *Let $a \in A$. Then:*

- (i) *If $p, q \in P$, then $\phi_a(p) \perp q \iff p \perp \phi_a(q)$.*
- (ii) *ϕ_a preserves all existing suprema in P , i.e., if $Q \subseteq P$ and $r = \bigvee Q$, then $\phi_a(r) = \bigvee \{\phi_a(q) : q \in Q\}$.*

Proof. Part (i) follows from Theorem 4.9.(iv) and Lemma 5.3.(ii). To prove part (ii), suppose that $Q \subseteq P$ and $r = \bigvee Q$. Then, for all $q \in Q$, $0 \leq r \leq q$, whence $\phi_a(q) \leq \phi_a(r)$ by Theorem 4.9.(ii). Suppose that $t \in P$ and $\phi_a(q) \leq t$ for all $q \in Q$. Then, for all $q \in Q$, $\phi_a(q) \perp t^\perp$, whence, by (i), $q \perp \phi_a(t^\perp)$, i.e., $q \leq (\phi_a(t^\perp))^\perp$, and it follows that $r \leq (\phi_a(t^\perp))^\perp$. Consequently, by (i) again, $\phi_a(r) \perp t^\perp$, i.e., $\phi_a(r) \leq t$, and therefore $\phi_a(r) = \bigvee \{\phi_a(q) : q \in Q\}$. \square

LEMMA 5.5. *Let $p, q, r \in P$. Then:*

- (i) $\phi_p(r) \leq p$.
- (ii) $r \leq p \iff \phi_p(r) = r$.
- (iii) $r \perp p \iff \phi_p(r) = 0$.
- (iv) $p \wedge q$ exists in P and $p \wedge q = p - \phi_p(q^\perp)$.

Proof.

(i) By Theorems 4.9.(i) and 2.10.(ii), $\phi_p(r) \leq p^\circ = p$.

(ii) If $r \leq p$, then $r = pr = rp$, so $\phi_p(r) = (prp)^\circ = r^\circ = r$. The converse implication follows from (i).

(iii) By Lemma 5.3.(ii), [SA4], and Theorem 2.10.(i), $p \perp r \iff pr = 0 \iff prp = 0 \iff (prp)^\circ = 0 \iff \phi_p(r) = 0$.

(iv) Let $t := (\phi_p(q^\perp))^\perp = 1 - \phi_p(q^\perp) \in P$. By (i), $\phi_p(q^\perp) \leq p$, whence $pC\phi_p(q^\perp)$ and $p\phi_p(q^\perp) = \phi_p(q^\perp)$. Therefore, by parts (i) and (iv) of Lemma 5.3,

$$p \wedge t = pt = tp = p - \phi_p(q^\perp) = p \wedge (\phi_p(q^\perp))^\perp \in P. \quad (1)$$

By (1), $p \wedge t \perp \phi_p(q^\perp)$, whence by Theorem 5.4.(i), $\phi_p(p \wedge t) \perp q^\perp$, i.e. $\phi_p(p \wedge t) \leq q$. But, $p \wedge t \leq p$, whence by (ii), $\phi_p(p \wedge t) = p \wedge t$, and we have $p \wedge t \leq q$. Thus $p \wedge t \leq p, q$. Suppose $r \in P$ and $r \leq p, q$. By (ii), $\phi_p(r) = r \leq q$, so $\phi_p(r) \perp q^\perp$, and therefore $r \perp \phi_p(q^\perp)$ by Theorem 5.4.(i); hence, $r \leq (\phi_p(q^\perp))^\perp = t$. But $r \leq p$; hence $r \leq p \wedge t$ by (1), and it follows that $p \wedge t = p \wedge q$. \square

THEOREM 5.6. *P is an OML and, for all $p, q \in P$, $\phi_p(q) = p \wedge (p^\perp \vee q)$.*

Proof. Let $p, q \in P$. Then by Lemma 5.5.(iv), $p \wedge q$ exists in P , so by De Morgan duality, $p \vee q = (p^\perp \wedge q^\perp)^\perp$ also exists in P . Therefore, P is an OML. Also, as $p^\perp \leq p^\perp \vee q$, we have $p^\perp \vee q = p^\perp \vee (p \wedge (p^\perp \vee q))$ by the orthomodular law; hence, by Theorem 5.4.(ii) and parts (iii) and (ii) of Lemma 5.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_p(q) &= \phi_p(p^\perp) \vee \phi_p(q) = \phi_p(p^\perp \vee q) \\ &= \phi_p(p^\perp \vee (p \wedge (p^\perp \vee q))) \\ &= \phi_p(p^\perp) \vee \phi_p(p \wedge (p^\perp \vee q)) = p \wedge (p^\perp \vee q). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Two elements p and q of an orthomodular lattice are said to be *compatible* (or to *commute*) iff $p = (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge q^\perp)$ [14, p. 20]. By a standard argument (e.g., [7, Theorem 3.11]), if $p, q \in P$, then p and q are compatible in the foregoing sense iff pCq .

6. Synaptic versus GH-algebras

Every generalized Hermitian (GH) algebra G [9, Definition 2.1] is a synaptic algebra. Indeed, [SA1] follows from [9, Theorem 4.2] and parts (ii), (iii), and (iv) of [9, Definition 2.1] imply [SA2]–[SA4]. Also, [SA5] follows from [9, Theorem 4.5], [9, Theorem 5.2] implies [SA6], and [SA7] is a consequence of [10, Lemma 4.1]. Finally, by [9, Lemma 6.6.(iii)], G satisfies [SA8]; hence G is a synaptic algebra.

By [9, Definition 2.1.(vii)], a generalized Hermitian algebra G has the following *commutative Vigier*¹⁰ *property*:

[CV] *Every bounded ascending sequence $g_1 \leq g_2 \leq \dots$ of pairwise commuting elements in G has a supremum g in G and $g \in CC(\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$.*

¹⁰See [6, Section 5] for the origin of the terminology

Clearly, a synaptic algebra A is a GH-algebra iff it satisfies [CV]. The condition [CV] is quite strong¹¹ (see [9, Section 4]), and the main impetus for the formulation in Definition 1.1 is to replace [CV] by some of its algebraic consequences [SA5], [SA6], and [SA7], accompanied by the considerably weaker condition [SA8].

As an indication of the extent to which synaptic algebras generalize GH-algebras, we may consider the commutative case. The projections in a commutative GH-algebra form a σ -complete Boolean algebra; moreover, every σ -complete Boolean algebra can be realized as the (Boolean) lattice of projections in a commutative GH-algebra [10, Theorem 5.7]. On the other hand, the projections in a commutative synaptic algebra form a Boolean algebra, which need not be σ -complete; moreover, *every Boolean algebra B can be realized as the (Boolean) lattice of projections in a commutative synaptic algebra*. Indeed, by Stone's theorem, B can be represented as the field \mathcal{F} of compact open subsets of a totally disconnected Hausdorff space X , and the projection lattice of the commutative synaptic algebra A in Example 1.2 is isomorphic to B .

7. Invertible and regular elements

As we now show, the results in [10, Section 4] pertaining to invertible and von Neumann regular elements of a GH-algebra G go through for our synaptic algebra A , although we must be a little careful since the proof of [10, Lemma 4.1] depends on the property [CV]. As usual, an element $a \in A$ is *invertible* iff there exists a (necessarily unique) element $a^{-1} \in A$ such that $aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a = 1$. If a is invertible, it is clear that $a^{-1} \in CC(a)$ and that $a^\circ = 1$.

LEMMA 7.1. *Let $a \in A$. Then:*

- (i) *If $0 \leq a$ and a is invertible, then $0 \leq a^{-1}$.*
- (ii) *a is invertible iff $|a|$ is invertible, and if a is invertible, then $|a|^{-1} = |a^{-1}|$.*

Proof.

(i) Suppose $0 \leq a$ and a is invertible. As $aC(a^{-1})^2$ and $0 \leq (a^{-1})^2$, Lemma 1.5 implies that $0 \leq a(a^{-1})^2 = a^{-1}$.

(ii) Let $s := \text{sgn}(a)$. By Theorem 3.6, $s \in CC(a)$, $s^2 = a^\circ$, $sa = as = |a|$, and $s|a| = |a|s = a$. Suppose a is invertible. As $s \in CC(a)$, we have sCa^{-1} and $|a|(sa^{-1}) = (sa^{-1})|a| = 1$; hence $|a|$ is invertible and $|a|^{-1} = sa^{-1}$. Also, $s^2 = a^\circ = 1$, and by (i), $0 \leq sa^{-1}$. But, $(sa^{-1})^2 = s^2(a^{-1})^2 = (a^{-1})^2$, whence

¹¹For instance, as a consequence of [CV], the orthomodular lattice of projections in a GH-algebra is necessarily σ -complete [9, Theorem 5.4].

$|a|^{-1} = sa^{-1} = |sa^{-1}| = |a^{-1}|$. Conversely, if $|a|$ is invertible, it is clear that a is invertible with $a^{-1} = s|a|^{-1}$. \square

THEOREM 7.2. *If $a \in A$, then a is invertible iff there exists $0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\epsilon \leq |a|$.*

Proof. Suppose first that a is invertible. Then, by Lemma 7.1, $|a|$ is invertible. As 1 is an order unit, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a|^{-1} \leq n$, and since $|a|$ commutes with $n - |a|^{-1}$, Lemma 1.5 implies that $0 \leq (n - |a|^{-1})|a|$, i.e., $1 \leq n|a|$. Consequently, with $0 < \epsilon := 1/n$, we have $\epsilon \leq |a|$.

Conversely, suppose $0 < \epsilon \leq |a|$. Then $1 \leq \epsilon^{-1}|a|$; hence by [SA7], $\epsilon^{-1}|a|$ is invertible, and it follows that $|a|$ is invertible with $|a|^{-1} = \epsilon^{-1}(\epsilon^{-1}|a|)^{-1}$. Thus a is invertible by Lemma 7.1. \square

DEFINITION 7.3. Let $a \in A$.

- (i) a is *von Neumann regular* iff there exists $b \in A$ such that $ab, ba \in A$ and $aba = a$.
- (ii) a is *regular* iff there exists $0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\epsilon a^\circ \leq |a|$.

Obviously, 0 is both von Neumann regular and regular. The proof of the following theorem is virtually identical¹² to the proof of [10, Theorem 4.5].

THEOREM 7.4. *If $0 \neq a \in A$, then the following conditions are mutually equivalent:*

- (i) a is *von Neumann regular*.
- (ii) *There exists $r \in a^\circ A a^\circ$ such that $ar = ra = a^\circ$.*
- (iii) a is *invertible in the synaptic algebra $a^\circ A a^\circ$.*
- (iv) a is *regular*.

COROLLARY 7.5. *If $a \in A$, then a is invertible iff a is regular and $a^\circ = 1$.*

If $0 \neq a \in A$ and a is regular, then the (necessarily unique) inverse of a in $a^\circ A a^\circ$ (Theorem 7.4) is called the *pseudo-inverse* of a in A , and by definition, the pseudo-inverse of 0 is 0. If a is regular, it is not difficult to show that the pseudo-inverse of a belongs to $CC(a)$.

THEOREM 7.6. *If $a \in A$, then a is regular iff both a^+ and a^- are regular.*

Proof. Let $p := (a^+)^{\circ}$ and $q := (a^-)^{\circ}$. Then by Theorem 3.3, $p, q \in CC(a)$, $p + q = a^\circ$, $pq = pa^- = 0$, $qp = qa^+ = 0$, $pa = pa^+ = a^+$, and $qa = qa^- = a^-$.

Suppose that a is regular. Then there exists $0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\epsilon(p + q) = \epsilon a^\circ \leq |a| = a^+ + a^-$, so $\epsilon p = p(\epsilon(p + q)) \leq p(a^+ + a^-) = a^+ = |a^+|$, whence a^+ is regular. Likewise, $\epsilon q \leq a^-$, so a^- is regular. Conversely, if both a^+ and a^-

¹²Note that $a^\circ A a^\circ$ is a synaptic algebra by Theorem 4.10.

are regular, there exist $0 < \alpha, \beta$ such that $\alpha p \leq a^+$ and $\beta q \leq a^-$; hence with $\epsilon := \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, we have $\epsilon a^\circ = \epsilon(p + q) \leq a^+ + a^- = |a|$, and it follows that a is regular. \square

COROLLARY 7.7. *$a \in A$ is invertible iff $a^\circ = 1$ and both a^+ and a^- are regular.*

8. Spectral resolution

In this section, we show that the synaptic algebra A is a so-called *spectral order-unit normed space*; hence the results of [8] are at our disposal. In particular, every element in A both determines and is determined by a family of projections — its *spectral resolution*.

As per [8, Definition 1.5 (i)], an element $a \in A$ is *compatible* with a projection $p \in P$ iff $a = J_p(a) + J_{1-p}(a)$. Thus, by Lemma 4.6.(i), $C(p)$ is the set of all elements of A that are compatible with p ; hence, the notation used in [8, Definition 1.5 (i) and ff.] is consistent with our notation in this article.

THEOREM 8.1. *The family $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ is a spectral compression base [8, Definition 1.7] for the order-unit space A .*

Proof. To begin with, we have to show that P is a normal sub-effect algebra of E ([5, Definition 1]). Of course, $0, 1 \in P$, and $p \in P \implies 1 - p = p^\perp \in P$. Also, if $p, q \in P$ with $p + q \leq 1$, then $p + q = p \vee q \in P$ by Lemma 5.3.(iii). Therefore P is a sub-effect algebra of E . Suppose that $d, e, f, d + e + f \in E$ with $p := d + e \in P$ and $q := d + f \in P$. Then $e + q = d + e + f \leq 1$, so $e \leq 1 - q$, and therefore by Theorem 2.4, $e = e(1 - q)$, i.e., $eq = 0$. Also, $d \leq d + f = q$, so $dq = d$ by Theorem 2.4, and it follows that $pq = (d + e)q = dq = d$. By symmetry, $qp = d$; hence by Lemma 5.3.(i), $d = p \wedge q \in P$, and it follows that P is a normal sub-effect algebra of E .

Now let $p, q, r \in P$ with $p + q + r \leq 1$. Then $pq = pr = qr = 0$, $p + r = p \vee r \in P$ and $q + r = q \vee r \in P$, whence, for all $a \in A$,

$$J_{p+r}(J_{q+r}(a)) = (p + r)(q + r)a(q + r)(p + r) = rar = J_r(a),$$

and it follows that $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ is a compression base for A ([5, Definition 2]).

If $e \in E$, then by Theorem 2.10.(vii), e° is the smallest projection p such that $e \leq p$; hence the compression base $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ has the projection cover property ([8, Definition 1.4]).

Let $a \in A$ and let $p := (a^+)^\circ$. Then by parts (i), (iii), and (vi) of Theorem 3.3, we have $C(a) \subseteq C(p)$, $J_p(a) = pap = pa = a^+ \geq 0$, and $J_{1-p}(a) = (1 - p)a(1 - p) = (1 - p)a = a - pa = a - a^+ = -a^- \leq 0$. Thus, the compression base $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ has the comparability property ([8, Definition 1.6]), and therefore $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ is a spectral compression base for A . \square

If $a \in A$, it is clear that, for all $p \in P$,
 $p \leq 1 - a^\circ \iff a^\circ p = 0 \iff ap = pa = 0 \iff (a \in C(p) \text{ \& } J_p(a) = pap = 0)$.
 Therefore, as per [8, Theorem 2.1 and ff.], the mapping $': A \rightarrow P$ defined by $a' := 1 - a^\circ$ for all $a \in A$ is effective as the *Rickart mapping* on A . We note that, for $p \in P$, we have $p' = 1 - p = p^\perp$.

Let $a, b \in A$. In [8] the notation $b \in CPC(a)$ means that, for all $p \in P$, $a \in C(p) \implies b \in C(p)$. Thus, $b \in CPC(a) \iff C(a) \cap P \subseteq C(b)$; hence, $CC(a) \subseteq CPC(a)$. For instance, by [8, Lemmas 2.1.(vi), 2.4.(iv)], $a^\circ, |a|, a^+ \in CPC(a)$, but for our synaptic algebra A , we have the (possibly) stronger conditions $a^\circ, |a|, a^+ \in CC(a)$.

In view of the remarks above, we can translate the results in [8] into our present formalism by replacing a' by $1 - a^\circ$, a'' by a° , and p' by $p^\perp = 1 - p$ for all $a \in A$ and all $p \in P$. Moreover, if $a \in C(p)$, we can replace $J_p(a)$ by pa (or by ap).

DEFINITION 8.2. Let $a \in A$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:

- (i) The *spectral lower and upper bounds* L and U for a are defined by $L := \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda \leq a\}$ and $U := \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq \lambda\}$.
- (ii) The family of projections $(p_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ defined by $p_\lambda := 1 - ((a - \lambda)^+)^{\circ}$ is called the *spectral resolution* of a .
- (iii) The family of projections $(d_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ defined by $d_\lambda := 1 - (a - \lambda)^{\circ}$ is called the family of *eigenprojections* of a . If $d_\lambda \neq 0$, then λ is called an *eigenvalue* of a .

STANDING ASSUMPTIONS 8.3. In what follows: $a \in A$; L and U are the spectral bounds for a ; $(p_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the spectral resolution of a ; and $(d_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the family of eigenprojections for a .

By [8, Theorem 3.1], $-\infty < L \leq U < \infty$, $\|a\| = \max\{|L|, |U|\}$, and $L \leq a \leq U$. The following theorem is a consequence of [8, Theorems 3.3, 3.5, and 3.6].

THEOREM 8.4. For all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$:

- (i) $p_\lambda, d_\lambda \in CC(a)$; hence $p_\lambda C p_\mu$, $p_\lambda C d_\mu$, and $d_\lambda C d_\mu$.
- (ii) $p_\lambda(a - \lambda) \leq 0 \leq (1 - p_\lambda)(a - \lambda)$.
- (iii) $\lambda \leq \mu \implies p_\lambda \leq p_\mu$ and $p_\mu - p_\lambda = p_\mu \wedge (1 - p_\lambda)$.
- (iv) $\lambda < \mu \implies d_\lambda \leq p_\lambda \leq 1 - d_\mu \implies d_\lambda \perp d_\mu$.
- (v) $\mu \geq U \iff p_\mu = 1$.
- (vi) $\lambda < L \implies p_\lambda = 0$, and $L < \lambda \implies 0 < p_\lambda$.
- (vii) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then $p_\alpha = \bigwedge\{p_\mu : \alpha < \mu \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
- (viii) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then $p_\alpha - d_\alpha = \bigvee\{p_\lambda : \alpha > \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

By [8, Theorem 3.4, Remark 3.1, and Corollary 3.1], we have the following theorem and corollary.

THEOREM 8.5. *Suppose that $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda_0 < L < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n = U$, and let $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda_{i-1} \leq \gamma_i \leq \lambda_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Define $u_i := p_{\lambda_i} - p_{\lambda_{i-1}}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and let $\epsilon := \max\{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then:*

$$u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in P \cap CC(a), \quad \sum_{i=1}^n u_i = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| a - \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i u_i \right\| \leq \epsilon.$$

According to Theorem 8.5, a can be written as a norm-convergent integral $a = \int_{L-0}^U \lambda dp_\lambda$ of Riemann-Stieltjes type; hence a not only determines, but it is determined by its spectral resolution.

COROLLARY 8.6. *There exists an ascending sequence $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots$ in $CC(a)$ such that each a_n is a finite linear combination of projections in the family $(p_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$.*

DEFINITION 8.7. A real number ρ belongs to the *resolvent set* of a iff there is an open interval I in \mathbb{R} with $\rho \in I$ such that $p_\lambda = p_\rho$ for all $\lambda \in I$. The *spectrum* of a , in symbols $\text{spec}(a)$, is defined to be the complement in \mathbb{R} of the resolvent set of a .

As is proved in [8], $\text{spec}(a)$ has all of the expected basic properties. For instance, by [8, Theorem 4.3], $\text{spec}(a)$ is a closed nonempty subset of the closed interval $[L, U] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $L = \inf(\text{spec}(a)) \in \text{spec}(a)$, $U = \sup(\text{spec}(a)) \in \text{spec}(a)$, and $\|a\| = \sup\{|\alpha| : \alpha \in \text{spec}(a)\}$. By [8, Theorem 4.4], $a \in A^+ \iff \text{spec}(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$, and by [8, Corollary 5.1], $a \in P \iff \text{spec}(a) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$. As a consequence of [8, Theorem 4.2], every isolated point of $\text{spec}(a)$ is an eigenvalue of a , and every eigenvalue of a belongs to $\text{spec}(a)$.

DEFINITION 8.8. An element in A is *simple* iff it is a finite linear combination of pairwise commuting projections.

The following result is a consequence of [8, Theorems 5.2 and 5.3].

THEOREM 8.9. *The simple elements of A are precisely those with finite spectrum. Let a be a simple element of A . Then a can be written uniquely as $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i$, where $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n$, $0 \neq u_i \in P$, and $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i = 1$. Moreover, a is regular, $|a| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| u_i$, $\|a\| = \max\{|\alpha_i| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $a^\circ = \sum_{\alpha_i \neq 0} u_i$, and $u_i = d_{\alpha_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

As a consequence of Corollary 8.6, each element $a \in A$ is the norm limit (hence by Theorem 4.7.(ii) also the supremum) of an ascending sequence of pairwise commuting simple elements, and it follows that the simple elements in A (hence by Theorem 8.9, also the regular elements in A) are norm-dense in A .

THEOREM 8.10. *If $b \in A$, then $b \mathrel{C} a$ iff $b \mathrel{C} p_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $p_\lambda \in CC(a)$; hence $b \mathrel{C} a$ implies that $b \mathrel{C} p_\lambda$. Conversely, suppose that $b \mathrel{C} p_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the ascending sequence in Corollary 8.6. As $a_n \in CC(a)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the elements of the sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ commute with each other. As each a_n is a finite linear combination of projections p_λ , we have $a_n \in C(b)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and it follows from [SA8] that $a \in C(b)$. \square

THEOREM 8.11. *$C(a)$ is norm-closed in A and, with the partial order inherited from A , $C(a)$ is a synaptic algebra with unit 1 and enveloping algebra R . Let $b, c \in C(a)$. Then: $b \circ c, b^\circ, |b|, b^+, b^-, J_b(c), \phi_b(c) \in C(a)$; $0 \leq b \implies b^{1/2} \in C(a)$; and the spectral resolution and family of eigenprojections of b are the same whether calculated in A or in $C(a)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $C(a)$ and $b_n \rightarrow b \in A$. Then by Theorem 8.10, $b_n \in C(p_\lambda)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and it follows from Lemma 4.6.(ii) that $b \in C(p_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $b \in C(a)$ by Theorem 8.10, whence $C(a)$ is norm-closed in A . The remainder of the proof is omitted as it is completely straightforward \square

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professor Robert Piziak of Baylor University who kindly read the draft manuscript of this article and provided helpful suggestions which greatly improved the final version.

REFERENCES

- [1] ALFSEN, E. M.: *Compact Convex Sets and Boundary Integrals*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [2] ALFSEN, E. M.—SHULTZ, F. W.—STØRMER, E.: *A Gelfand-Neumark theorem for Jordan algebras*, Adv. Math. **28** (1978), 11–56.
- [3] BERAN, L.: *Orthomodular Lattices, An Algebraic Approach*. Math. Appl. 18, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, 1985.
- [4] FOULIS, D. J.: *Compressions on partially ordered abelian groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), 3581–3587.
- [5] FOULIS, D. J.: *Compression bases in unital groups*, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys. **44** (2005), 2191–2198.
- [6] FOULIS, D. J.: *Square roots and inverses in e -rings*, Rep. Math. Phys. **58** (2006), 325–341.

- [7] FOULIS, D. J.—PULMANNOVÁ, S.: *Monotone sigma-complete RC-groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **73** (2006), 304–324.
- [8] FOULIS, D. J.—PULMANNOVÁ, S.: *Spectral resolution in an order unit space*, Rep. Math. Phys. **62** (2008), 323–344.
- [9] FOULIS, D. J.—PULMANNOVÁ, S.: *Generalized Hermitian algebras*, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys. **48** (2009), 1320–1333.
- [10] FOULIS, D. J.—PULMANNOVÁ, S.: *Regular elements in generalized Hermitian Algebras*, Math. Slovaca (To appear).
- [11] GOODEARL, K. R.: *Partially Ordered Abelian Groups with Interpolation*. Math. Surveys Monogr. 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [12] GUDDER, S.—PULMANNOVÁ, S.—BUGAJSKI, S.—BELTRAMETTI, E.: *Convex and linear effect algebras*, Rep. Math. Phys. **44** (1999), 359–379.
- [13] HANDELMAN, D.—HIGGS, D.—LAWRENCE, J.: *Directed abelian groups, countably continuous rings, and Rickart C^* -algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **21** (1980), 193–202.
- [14] KALMBACH, G.: *Orthomodular Lattices*, Academic Press, London-New York, 1983.
- [15] KAPLANSKY, I.: *Projections in Banach algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **53** (1951), 235–249.
- [16] SARYMSAKOV, T. A.—AYUPOV, SH. A.—KHADZHIEV, DZH.—CHILIN, V. I.: *Ordered Algebras*, Fan, Tashkent, 1983 (Russian).
- [17] TOPPING, D. M.: *Jordan Algebras of Self-Adjoint Operators*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1965).

Received 18. 12. 2008

Accepted 26. 8. 2009

*Emeritus Professor of
Mathematics and Statistics
University of Massachusetts
USA*

*Postal Address:
1 Sutton Court
Amherst, MA 01002
USA*

E-mail: foulis@math.umass.edu