

SOME TOPOLOGICAL AND GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZED EULER SEQUENCE SPACE

EMRAH EVREN KARA — MAHPEYKER ÖZTÜRK — METİN BAŞARIR

(Communicated by Michal Zając)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the Euler sequence space $e^r(p)$ of non-absolute type and prove that the spaces $e^r(p)$ and $l(p)$ are linearly isomorphic. Besides this, we compute the α -, β - and γ -duals of the space $e^r(p)$. The results proved herein are analogous to those in [ALTAY, B.—BAŞAR, F.: *On the paranormed Riesz sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **26** (2002), 701–715] for the Riesz sequence space $r^q(p)$. Finally, we define a modular on the Euler sequence space $e^r(p)$ and consider it equipped with the Luxemburg norm. We give some relationships between the modular and Luxemburg norm on this space and show that the space $e^r(p)$ has property (H) but it is not rotund (R).

©2010
Mathematical Institute
Slovak Academy of Sciences

1. Introduction

By w , we denote the space of all real valued sequences. Any vector subspace of w is called as a sequence space. We write l_∞, c, c_0 for the sequence spaces of all bounded, convergent and null sequences, respectively. Also by, bs, cs, l_1 and l_p we denote the spaces of all bounded, convergent, absolutely and p -absolutely convergent series, respectively; where $1 < p < \infty$.

A linear topological space X over the real field \mathbb{R} is said to be a paranormed space if there is a subadditive function $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(\theta) = 0$, $g(x) = g(-x)$ and scalar multiplication is continuous, i.e., $|\alpha_n - \alpha| \rightarrow 0$ and $g(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ imply $g(\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x) \rightarrow 0$ for all α 's in \mathbb{R} and all x 's in X , where

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46A45, 46B45; Secondary 46E30, 46B20.

Keywords: Euler sequence space, paranormed sequence space, α -, β -, γ -duals, property (H), rotund property, LUR property.

θ is the zero vector in the linear space X . Assume here and after that (p_k) be a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers with $\sup p_k = H$ and $M = \max\{1, H\}$. Then the linear spaces $l(p)$ and $l_\infty(p)$ were defined by Maddox [9] as follows:

$$l(p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sum_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$l_\infty(p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}$$

which are the complete space paranormed by

$$g_1(x) = \left(\sum_k |x_k|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \quad \text{and} \quad g_2(x) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_k|^{\frac{p_k}{M}}$$

iff $\inf p_k > 0$, respectively. We assume throughout $(p_k)^{-1} + (p'_k)^{-1} = 1$ provided $1 < \inf p_k \leq H < \infty$ and denote the collection of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} by \mathcal{F} , where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

For the sequence spaces λ and μ , define the set $S(\lambda, \mu)$ by

$$S(\lambda, \mu) = \{z = (z_k) \in w : xz = (x_k z_k) \in \mu \text{ for all } x \in \lambda\}. \quad (1.1)$$

With the notation of (1.1), the α -, β - and γ -duals of a sequence space λ , which are respectively denoted by $\lambda^\alpha, \lambda^\beta$ and λ^γ , are defined by

$$\lambda^\alpha = S(\lambda, l_1), \quad \lambda^\beta = S(\lambda, cs), \quad \lambda^\gamma = S(\lambda, bs).$$

If a sequence space λ paranormed by h contains a sequence (b_n) with the property that for every $x \in \lambda$ there is a unique sequence of scalars (α_n) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h \left(x - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k b_k \right) = 0$$

then (b_n) is called a Schauder basis (or briefly basis) for λ . The series $\sum \alpha_k b_k$ which has the sum x is then called the expansion of x with respect to (b_n) and written as $x = \sum \alpha_k b_k$.

Let λ and μ be two sequence spaces and $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of real numbers a_{nk} , where $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we say that A defines a matrix mapping from λ into μ , and we denote it by writing $A: \lambda \rightarrow \mu$, if for every sequence $x = (x_k) \in \lambda$ the sequence $Ax = \{(Ax)_n\}$, the A -transform of x , is in μ ; where

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_k a_{nk} x_k \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.2)$$

For simplicity in notation, here and in what follows, the summation without limits runs from 0 to ∞ . By $(\lambda: \mu)$, we denote the class of all matrices A such that $A: \lambda \rightarrow \mu$. Thus, $A \in (\lambda: \mu)$ if and only if the series on the right side of (1.2)

converges for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x \in \lambda$, and we have $Ax = \{(Ax)_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mu$ for all $x \in \lambda$. A sequence x is said to be A -summable to α if Ax converges to α which is called as the A -limit of x .

The matrix domain λ_A of an infinite matrix A in a sequence space λ is defined by

$$\lambda_A = \{x = (x_k) \in w : Ax \in \lambda\} \quad (1.3)$$

which is a sequence space. In the most cases, the new sequence space λ_A generated by the limitation matrix A from a sequence space λ is the expansion or the contraction of the original space λ .

Altay, Başar and Mursaleen [3], Altay and Başar [2], Altay and Polat [4] and Polat and Başar [11] introduced the Euler sequence spaces e_p^r and e_∞^r , e_0^r and e_c^r , $e_0^r(\Delta)$, $e_c^r(\Delta)$ and $e_\infty^r(\Delta)$, $e_0^r(\Delta^{(m)})$ and $e_c^r(\Delta^{(m)})$, respectively, where $1 \leq p < \infty$. The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the Euler sequence space $e^r(p)$ and to determine its α -, β - and γ -duals. Furthermore, we show that the Euler sequence space $e^r(p)$ equipped with the Luxemburg norm has property (H) but it is not rotund, so it is not (LUR).

2. The generalized Euler sequence space $e^r(p)$ of non-absolute type

We introduce the sequence space $e^r(p)$, as the set of all sequences such that E^r -transforms of them are in the space $l(p)$, that is

$$e^r(p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j \right|^{p_k} < \infty, \right. \\ \left. 0 < p_k \leq H < \infty \right\}$$

where E^r denotes the method of Euler means of order r defined by the matrix $E^r = (e_{nk}^r)$

$$e_{nk}^r = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k} (1-r)^{n-k} r^k, & 0 \leq k \leq n \\ 0, & k > n \end{cases}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It is known that the method E^r is regular for $0 < r < 1$ and we assume unless stated otherwise that $0 < r < 1$. With the notation (1.3) we can redefine the space $e^r(p)$ by

$$e^r(p) = (l(p))_{E^r} \quad (2.1)$$

If λ is any normed or paranormed sequence space then we call the matrix domain λ_{E^r} as the Euler sequence space.

If $(p_k) = p$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then we write e_p^r instead of $e^r(p)$ (see [3]).

Define the sequence $y = \{y_k(r)\}$, which will be frequently used, as the E^r -transform of a sequence $x = (x_k)$, i.e.,

$$y_k(r) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.2)$$

Now, we may begin with the following theorem which is essential in the text.

THEOREM 1. $e^r(p)$ is a complete linear topological space paranormed by g defined by

$$g(x) = \left(\sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j \right|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \quad (0 < p_k \leq H < \infty).$$

Proof. The linearity of $e^r(p)$ with respect to the coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication follows from the inequalities which are satisfied for $x, y \in e^r(p)$ (see [7, p. 30]).

$$\begin{aligned} g(x+y) &= \left(\sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j (x_j + y_j) \right|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j \right|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j y_j \right|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

and for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [8])

$$|\alpha|^{p_k} \leq \max \{1, |\alpha|^M\}. \quad (2.4)$$

It is clear that $g(\theta) = 0$ and $g(x) = g(-x)$ for all $x \in e^r(p)$. Again the inequalities (2.3) and (2.4) yield the subadditivity of g and

$$g(\alpha x) = \max\{1, |\alpha|\} g(x).$$

Let $\{x^n\}$ be any sequence of the points of the space $e^r(p)$ such that $g(x^n - x) \rightarrow 0$ and (α_n) also be any sequence of scalars such that $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$. Then, since the inequality

$$g(x^n) \leq g(x) + g(x^n - x)$$

holds by subadditivity of g , $\{g(x^n)\}$ is bounded and thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\alpha_n x^n - \alpha x) &= \left[\sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j (\alpha_n x_j^n - \alpha x_j) \right|^{p_k} \right]^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\leq |\alpha_n - \alpha| g(x^n) + |\alpha| g(x^n - x) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is to say that the scalar multiplication is continuous. Hence, g is a paranorm on the space $e^r(p)$. It remains to prove the completeness of the space $e^r(p)$. Let $\{x^i\}$ be any Cauchy sequence in the space $e^r(p)$, where $x^i = \{x_0^{(i)}, x_1^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, \dots\}$. Then, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer $n_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$g(x^i - x^j) < \varepsilon \quad (2.5)$$

for all $i, j \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$. Using the definition of g we obtain for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$|(E^r x^i)_k - (E^r x^j)_k| \leq \left[\sum_k |(E^r x^i)_k - (E^r x^j)_k|^{p_k} \right]^{\frac{1}{M}} < \varepsilon$$

for $i, j \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$ which leads us to the fact that $\{(E^r x^0)_k, (E^r x^1)_k, \dots\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathbb{R} is complete, it converges, say $(E^r x^i)_k \rightarrow (E^r x)_k$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Using the infinitely many limits $(E^r x)_0, (E^r x)_1, \dots$, we define the sequence $\{(E^r x)_0, (E^r x)_1, \dots\}$. By the (2.5) for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i, j \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$

$$\sum_{k=0}^m |(E^r x^i)_k - (E^r x^j)_k|^{p_k} \leq (g(x^i - x^j))^M < \varepsilon^M. \quad (2.6)$$

Take any $i \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$. First let $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6) and then $m \rightarrow \infty$, to obtain $g(x^i - x) \leq \varepsilon$. Finally, taking $\varepsilon = 1$ in (2.6) and letting $i \geq n_0(1)$ we have by Minkowski's inequality for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\left[\sum_{k=0}^m |(E^r x)_k|^{p_k} \right]^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq g(x^i - x) + g(x^i) \leq 1 + g(x^i)$$

which implies that $x \in e^r(p)$. Since $g(x^i - x) < \varepsilon$ for all $i \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$ it follows that $x^i \rightarrow x$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ whence we have shown that $e^r(p)$ is complete. \square

Therefore, one can easily check that the absolute property does not hold on the space $e^r(p)$, that is $g(x) \neq g(|x|)$ for at least one sequence in the space $e^r(p)$, and this says that $e^r(p)$ is a sequence space of non-absolute type; where $|x| = (|x_k|)$.

THEOREM 2. *The Euler sequence space $e^r(p)$ of non-absolute type is linearly isomorphic to the space $l(p)$, where $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$.*

P r o o f. To prove the theorem, we should show the existence of a linear bijection between the spaces $e^r(p)$ and $l(p)$ for $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$. With the transformation T from $e^r(p)$ to $l(p)$ by $x \mapsto y = Tx$. The linearity of T is trivial. Further, it is obvious that $x = \theta$ whenever $Tx = \theta$ and hence T is injective. Let $y \in l(p)$ and define the sequence $x = \{x_k(r)\}$ by

$$x_k(r) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (r-1)^{k-j} r^{-k} y_j \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j \right|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} &= \left(\sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \delta_{kj} x_j \right|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &= \left(\sum_k |y_k|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} = g_1(y) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{kj} are Kronecker delta's. Thus, we have that $x \in e^r(p)$. Consequently, T is surjective and is paranorm preserving. Hence, T is a linear bijection and this says us that the spaces $e^r(p)$ and $l(p)$ are linearly isomorphic, as was desired. \square

Suppose that $1 < p_k \leq s_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, it was well-known that $l(p) \subset l(s)$ which leads us to the immediate consequence that $e^r(p) \subset e^r(s)$.

We shall quote some lemmas which are needed in proving our theorems.

LEMMA 1.

(i) Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A \in (l(p) : l_1)$ if and only if there exists an integer $B > 1$ such that

$$\sup_{K \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{n \in K} a_{nk} B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty.$$

(ii) Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A \in (l(p) : l_1)$ if and only if

$$\sup_{K \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{n \in K} a_{nk} \right|^{p_k} < \infty.$$

LEMMA 2.

(i) Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A \in (l(p) : l_\infty)$ if and only if there exists an integer $B > 1$ such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |a_{nk} B^{-1}|^{p'_k} < \infty \quad (2.7)$$

(ii) Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A \in (l(p) : l_\infty)$ if and only if

$$\sup_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{nk}|^{p_k} < \infty. \quad (2.8)$$

LEMMA 3. Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A \in (l(p) : c)$ if and only if (2.7), (2.8) hold, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = \beta_k \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2.9)$$

also holds.

THEOREM 3. Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the set $D_1(p)$ as follows:

$$D_1(p) = \bigcup_{B>1} \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \sup_{K \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{n \in K} \binom{n}{k} (r-1)^{n-k} r^{-n} a_n B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty \right\}.$$

Then, $[e^r(p)]^\alpha = D_1(p)$.

Proof. Let us define the matrix B^r whose rows are the product of the rows of the matrix $E^{\frac{1}{r}}$ with the sequence $a = (a_n)$. Therefore, we easily obtain by bearing in mind the relation (2.2) that ([3])

$$a_n x_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (r-1)^{n-k} r^{-n} a_n y_k = (B^r y)_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.10)$$

Thus, we observe by (2.10) that $(a_n x_n) = ax \in l_1$ whenever $x \in e^r(p)$ if and only if $B^r y \in l_1$ whenever $y \in l(p)$. This means that $a = (a_n) \in [e^r(p)]^\alpha$ if and only if $B^r \in (l(p) : l_1)$. Then we derive by Lemma 1 with B^r instead of A that

$$\sup_{K \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{n \in K} \binom{n}{k} (r-1)^{n-k} r^{-n} a_n B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty.$$

This yields desired consequence that $[e^r(p)]^\alpha = D_1(p)$. \square

THEOREM 4. Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the sets $D_2(p)$ and D as follows:

$$D = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \binom{j}{k} (r-1)^{j-k} r^{-j} a_j \text{ exists for each } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and

$$D_2(p) = \bigcup_{B>1} \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{j}{k} (r-1)^{j-k} r^{-j} a_j B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty \right\}.$$

Then $[e^r(p)]^\beta = D \cap D_2(p)$ and $[e^r(p)]^\gamma = D_2(p)$.

Proof. Consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x_k &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (r-1)^{k-j} r^{-k} y_j \right] a_k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\sum_{j=k}^n \binom{j}{k} (r-1)^{j-k} r^{-j} a_j \right] y_k = (T^r y)_n \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where $T^r = (t_{nk}^r)$ is defined by

$$t_{nk}^r = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{j}{k} (r-1)^{j-k} r^{-j} a_j, & 0 \leq k \leq n \\ 0, & k > n \end{cases}$$

for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ([3]). Thus, we deduce from Lemma 3 with (2.11) that $ax = (a_k x_k) \in cs$ whenever $x = (x_k) \in e^r(p)$ if and only if $T^r y \in c$ whenever $y = (y_k) \in l(p)$. That is to say that $a = (a_k) \in [e^r(p)]^\beta$ if and only if $T^r \in (l(p) : c)$. Therefore, we derive from Lemma 3 with (2.7) and (2.9) that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{j}{k} (r-1)^{j-k} r^{-j} a_j B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \binom{j}{k} (r-1)^{j-k} r^{-j} a_j \quad \text{exists for each } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

which shows that $[e^r(p)]^\beta = D \cap D_2(p)$.

As this, we see from Lemma 2 with (2.11) that $a = (a_k) \in bs$ whenever $x = (x_k) \in e^r(p)$ if and only if $T^r y \in l_\infty$ whenever $y = (y_k) \in l(p)$. That is to say that $a = (a_k) \in [e^r(p)]^\gamma$ if and only if $T^r \in (l(p) : l_\infty)$. Therefore, we derive from Lemma 2 with (2.7) that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{j}{k} (r-1)^{j-k} r^{-j} a_j B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty$$

which shows that $[e^r(p)]^\gamma = D_2(p)$. □

THEOREM 5. Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the set $D_3(p)$ and $D_4(p)$ by

$$D_3(p) = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \sup_{K \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{n \in K} \binom{n}{k} (r-1)^{n-k} r^{-n} a_n \right|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}$$

$$D_4(p) = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \sup_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{j}{k} (r-1)^{j-k} r^{-j} a_j \right|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}$$

Then, $[e^r(p)]^\alpha = D_3(p)$, $[e^r(p)]^\beta = D \cap D_4(p)$ and $[e^r(p)]^\gamma = D_4(p)$.

P r o o f. This is easily obtained by proceeding as in the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 above by using the second parts of Lemmas 1, 2 and 3 instead of the first parts. So, we omit the detail. \square

THEOREM 6. Define the sequence $b^{(k)}(r) = \{b_n^{(k)}(r)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of the space $e^r(p)$ for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$b_n^{(k)}(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & n < k \\ \binom{n}{k} (r-1)^{n-k} r^{-n}, & n \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Then, the sequence $\{b^{(k)}(r)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basis for the space $e^r(p)$ and any $x \in e^r(p)$ has unique representation of the form

$$x = \sum_k \alpha_k(r) b^{(k)}(r)$$

where $\alpha_k(r) = (E^r x)_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. ([3])

3. Some geometric properties of the space $e^r(p)$

In this section, we study some geometric properties of the space $e^r(p)$. Firstly, we remind them.

Let $X = (X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space and $B(X)$ and $S(X)$ be the closed unit ball and the unit sphere of X , respectively. A point $x \in S(X)$ is an *H-point* if for any sequence (x_n) in X such that $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the weak convergence of (x_n) to x implies $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If every point in $S(X)$ is an *H-point* of $B(X)$, then X is said to have the (H) *property*. A point $x \in S(X)$ is an *extreme point* if for every $x, y \in S(X)$ the equality $2x = y + z$ implies $z = y$. A point $x \in S(X)$ is a *locally uniformly rotund point* if for any sequence (x_n) in $B(X)$ such that $\|x_n + x\| \rightarrow 2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there holds $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A Banach space X is said to be *rotund* if every point of the unit sphere is an extreme point of $B(X)$. If every of $S(X)$ is a (*LUR*)-point of $B(X)$, then X is said to be *locally uniformly rotund (LUR)*. It is known that if X is *LUR*, then it is (*R*) and possesses property (H). ([12])

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Euler sequence space e_p^r was defined by Altay, Başar and Mursaleen [3] as follows:

$$e_p^r = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j \right|^p < \infty \right\}$$

and this space equipped with the norm

$$\|x\|_{e_p^r} = \left(\sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In [10], Altay, Başar and Mursaleen proved some geometric properties, Banach-Saks and weak Banach-Saks properties of the space e_p^r . Now we assume that $p_k \geq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $x \in e^r(p)$, let

$$\rho(x) = \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j \right|^{p_k}$$

and define the Luxemburg norm on $e^r(p)$ by

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 1 \right\}, \quad x \in e^r(p).$$

Now, we give some propositions which are related with $\rho(x)$ and Luxemburg norm.

PROPOSITION 1. *The functional ρ is convex modular on $e^r(p)$.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\rho(x) = 0 \iff x = 0$ and $\rho(\alpha x) = \rho(x)$ for all scalar α with $|\alpha| = 1$. Let $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$. By the convexity of the function $t \mapsto |t|^{p_k}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\alpha x + \beta y) &= \\ &= \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j (\alpha x_j + \beta y_j) \right|^{p_k} \\ &= \sum_k \left| \alpha \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j + \beta \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j y_j \right|^{p_k} \\ &\leq \alpha \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j \right|^{p_k} + \beta \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j y_j \right|^{p_k} \\ &= \alpha \rho(x) + \beta \rho(y). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $e^r(p)$ is a modular space. □

PROPOSITION 2. *For $x \in e^r(p)$, the modular ρ on $e^r(p)$ satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) *If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $\alpha^M \rho\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) \leq \rho(x)$ and $\rho(\alpha x) \leq \alpha \rho(x)$.*
- (ii) *If $\alpha > 1$, then $\rho(x) \leq \alpha^M \rho\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)$.*
- (iii) *If $\alpha \geq 1$, then $\rho(x) \leq \alpha \rho(x) \leq \rho(\alpha x)$.*

P r o o f.

(i) Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(x) &= \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j \right|^{p_k} \\
 &= \sum_k \left| \alpha \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j \frac{x_j}{\alpha} \right|^{p_k} \\
 &= \sum_k \alpha^{p_k} \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j \frac{x_j}{\alpha} \right|^{p_k} \\
 &\geq \sum_k \alpha^M \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j \frac{x_j}{\alpha} \right|^{p_k} \\
 &= \alpha^M \sum_k \left| \alpha \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j \frac{x_j}{\alpha} \right|^{p_k} \\
 &= \alpha^M \rho\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the convexity of ρ , we have $\rho(\alpha x) \leq \alpha \rho(x)$, so (i) is obtained.

(ii) is an easy consequence of (i) when α is replaced by $\frac{1}{\alpha}$.

(iii) follows from the convexity of ρ . □

PROPOSITION 3. *For any $x \in e^r(p)$, we have*

- (i) *If $\|x\| < 1$, then $\rho(x) \leq \|x\|$.*
- (ii) *If $\|x\| > 1$, then $\rho(x) \geq \|x\|$.*
- (iii) *$\|x\| = 1$ if and only if $\rho(x) = 1$.*
- (iv) *$\|x\| < 1$ if and only if $\rho(x) < 1$.*
- (v) *$\|x\| > 1$ if and only if $\rho(x) > 1$.*
- (vi) *If $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\|x\| > \alpha$, then $\rho(x) > \alpha^M$.*
- (vii) *If $\alpha \geq 1$, $\|x\| < \alpha$, then $\rho(x) < \alpha^M$.*

P r o o f. See [12, Propositions 2.4, 2.5]. □

PROPOSITION 4. *Let (x_n) be a sequence in $e^r(p)$.*

- (i) *If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = 1$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_n) = 1$,*
- (ii) *If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_n) = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = 0$.*

P r o o f. See [12, Proposition 2.5]. □

LEMMA 4. *Let (x_n) be a sequence in $e^r(p)$. If $\rho(x_n) \rightarrow \rho(x)$ and $x_n(k) \rightarrow x(k)$ for all k , then $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. ([12])*

THEOREM 7. *The space $e^r(p)$ is a Banach space with respect to Luxemburg norm.*

Proof. Let $(x^n) = (x_j^n)$ be a Cauchy sequence in $e^r(p)$. Given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Thus, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|x^n - x^m\| < \varepsilon^M$, for all $n, m \geq N$. By Proposition 3(i), we obtain

$$\rho(x^n - x^m) \leq \|x^n - x^m\| < \varepsilon^M \quad \text{for all } n, m \geq N. \quad (3.1)$$

This implies that

$$\sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j (x_j^n - x_j^m) \right|^{p_k} < \varepsilon^M \quad \text{for } n, m \geq N.$$

For fixed j , we get that

$$|x_j^n - x_j^m| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n, m \geq N.$$

Thus, (x_j^n) be a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathbb{R} is complete for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_j^m \rightarrow x_j$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. So, for fixed j ,

$$|x_j^n - x_j| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq N.$$

By (7.1)

$$\sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j (x_j^n - x_j^m) \right|^{p_k} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n, m \geq N.$$

For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $x_j^m \rightarrow x_j$, so we obtain that $\rho(x^n - x^m) \rightarrow \rho(x^n - x)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus,

$$\sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j (x_j^n - x_j^m) \right|^{p_k} \rightarrow \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j (x_j^n - x_j) \right|^{p_k}$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we have

$$\rho(x^n - x) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } n \geq N.$$

So, $\|x^n - x\| < \varepsilon$. And now, by the linearity of the sequence space $e^r(p)$, we can write

$$x = (x - x^n) + x^n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j \right|^{p_k} \\
 &= \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j (x_j - x_j^n) + \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j^n \right|^{p_k} \\
 &\leq \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j (x_j - x_j^n) \right|^{p_k} + \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} r^j x_j^n \right|^{p_k} \\
 &\leq \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, x is in $e^r(p)$. Hence, the sequence space $e^r(p)$ is a Banach space with respect to Luxemburg norm. \square

THEOREM 8. *The space $e^r(p)$ has property (H).*

Proof. Let $x \in S(e^r(p))$, $x_n \in B(e^r(p))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, weakly, and $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Proposition 3(iii), we have $\rho(x) = 1$. By Proposition 4(i), we obtain that $\rho(x_n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So $\rho(x_n) \rightarrow \rho(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $x_n \rightarrow x$, weakly, i th coordinate mapping $\pi_i: e^r(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $\pi_i(x) = x_i$, is continuous, it implies that $x_n(i) \rightarrow x(i)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from Lemma 4 that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

COROLLARY 1. *For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $(e_p^r, \|x\|_{e_p^r})$ has property (H).*

Remark 1. For a bounded sequence of positive real numbers $p = (p_k)$ with $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the space $e^r(p)$ equipped the Luxemburg norm is not rotund, so it is not (LUR). To see this we put

$$x = x_k(r) = \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{1}{r} \right)^k \right\}, \quad y = y_k(r) = \left\{ k \frac{(r-1)^{k-1}}{r^k} \right\}$$

Then $x, y \in S(e^r(p))$ because $\rho(x) = \rho(y) = 1$. Since $\rho\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = 1$, we have by Proposition 3(iii) that $\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| = 1$. This shows that $e^r(p)$ is not rotund, so it is not (LUR).

REFERENCES

- [1] ALTAY, B.—BAŞAR, F.: *On the paranormed Riesz sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **26** (2002), 701–715.
- [2] ALTAY, B.—BAŞAR, F.: *Some Euler sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Ukrainian Math. J. **57** (2005), 1–17.
- [3] ALTAY, B.—BAŞAR, F.—MURSALEEN: *On the Euler sequence spaces which include the spaces l_p and l_∞* , Inform. Sci. **176** (2006), 1450–1462.

- [4] ALTAY, B.—POLAT, H.: *On some Euler difference sequence spaces*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **30** (2006), 209–220.
- [5] GROSSE-ERDMANN, K.-G.: *Matrix transformations between the sequence space of Maddox*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **180** (1993), 223–238.
- [6] LASCARIDES, C. G.—MADDOX, I. J.: *Matrix transformations between some classes of sequences*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **68** (1970), 99–104.
- [7] MADDOX, I. J.: *Element of Functional Analysis* (2nd ed.), University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [8] MADDOX, I. J.: *Paranormed sequence spaces generated by infinite matrices*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **64** (1968), 335–340.
- [9] MADDOX, I. J.: *Spaces of strongly summable sequences*, Q. J. Math. **18** (1967), 345–355.
- [10] MURSALEEN, M.—BAŞAR, F.—ALTAY, B.: *Some Euler sequence spaces which include the spaces l_p and l_∞ II*, Nonlinear Anal. **65** (2006), 707–717.
- [11] POLAT, H.—BAŞAR, F.: *Some Euler spaces of difference sequences of order m* , Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. **27** (2007), 254–266.
- [12] SANHAN, W.—SUANTAI, S.: *Some geometric properties of Cesaro sequence space*, Kyungpook Math. J. **43** (2003), 191–197.
- [13] ÖZTÜRK, M.—BAŞARIR, M.: *On k -NUC property in some sequence space involving lacunary sequence*, Thai J. Math. **5** (2007), 127–136.
- [14] ÖZTÜRK, M.—BAŞARIR, M.: *On opial property in some sequence space involving lacunary sequence* (Submitted).
- [15] AYDIN, C.—BASAR, F.: *Some generalizations of the sequence space a_p^r* , Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci. **30** (2006), 175–190.
- [16] BASAR, F.—ALTAY, B.—MURSALEEN, M.: *Some generalizations of the space bv_p of p -bounded variation sequences*, Nonlinear Anal. **68** (2008), 273–287.

Received 23. 7. 2008

Accepted 24. 11. 2008

Department of Mathematics
Sakarya University
54187, Sakarya
TURKEY

E-mail: eevrenkara@hotmail.com
mahpeykero@sakarya.edu.tr
basarir@sakarya.edu.tr