

ON LATTICE EMBEDDINGS  
OF A LATTICE INTO ITS INTERVALS

JÁN JAKUBÍK

*(Communicated by Jiří Rachůnek)*

ABSTRACT. In connection with his investigation of convexities generated by fractal lattices, Czédli formulated a conjecture concerning lattice embeddings of a lattice into its intervals. In the present note we modify the conditions from Czédli's conjecture; we consider only intervals having more than two elements. Further, we prove the validity of Czédli's conjecture.

©2010  
Mathematical Institute  
Slovak Academy of Sciences

## 1. Introduction

In connection with his investigation of convexities generated by fractal lattices, Czédli [1] expressed a conjecture concerning the existence of a bounded lattice  $L$  such that certain conditions dealing with lattice embeddings of  $L$  into intervals of  $L$  are satisfied.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the class of all lattices  $L$  having the least element  $0_L$  and the greatest element  $1_L$  with  $L \neq \{0_L, 1_L\}$  such that whenever  $[x, y]$  is an interval of  $L$  with  $[x, y] \neq L$  and  $\text{card}[x, y] \geq 2$ , then

- (i) there exists a lattice embedding  $\varphi_1: L \rightarrow [x, y]$  with  $\varphi_1(0_L) = x$  and  $\varphi_1(1_L) = y$ ;
- (ii) there exists a lattice embedding  $\varphi_2: [x, y] \rightarrow L$  with  $\varphi_2(x) = 0_L$  and  $\varphi_2(y) = 1_L$ ;

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 06D35; Secondary 06F15.

Keywords: bounded lattice, lattice embedding, lexicographic product, homogeneous Boolean algebra.

Supported by VEGA Agency grant 2/7141/27,

by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the contract No. APVV-0071-06 and partially supported by the Slovak Academy of Sciences via the project Center of Excellence – Physics of Information (grant I/2/2005).

- (iii) there exists an interval  $[x_1, y_1]$  in  $L$  with  $\text{card}[x_1, y_1] \geq 2$  such that this interval is not isomorphic to  $L$ .

Czédli conjectured that the class  $\mathcal{C}$  is nonempty. We prove that there exists an infinite set of mutually non-isomorphic lattices which belong to the class  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Further, we will deal with a class  $\mathcal{C}_1$  of lattices which is defined in the same way as the class  $\mathcal{C}$  with the distinction that instead of the relation  $\text{card}[x, y] \geq 2$  we consider now the relation  $\text{card}[x, y] > 2$ ; next, we assume that the condition (iii) is replaced by the condition

- (iv) if  $[x, y]$  is an interval in  $L$  with  $x \neq y$  then this interval fails to be isomorphic to  $L$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{11}$  the class of all lattices  $L \in \mathcal{C}_1$  such that  $L$  is a chain.

We prove that there exists a proper class of mutually non-isomorphic lattices belonging to  $\mathcal{C}_{11}$ . Hence, in particular, the class  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is nonempty.

Dealing with  $\mathcal{C}_{11}$  we use lexicographic products of linearly ordered sets.

From the fact that  $\mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$  we cannot immediately conclude that the class  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is nonempty (since the condition (iv) is essentially stronger than the condition (ii)).

The notion of convexity of lattices is due to Fried (cf. [2]); convexities of lattices have been dealt with in [3] and [4].

## 2. The class $\mathcal{C}_{11}$

Let  $Q$  be the set of all rationals with the natural linear order and let  $Q_0 = [-1, 1]$  be the interval of  $Q$ . Each subset of a linearly ordered set is linearly ordered by the induced order.

Let  $\alpha$  be an infinite cardinal and let  $\beta$  be the first ordinal with  $\text{card } \beta = \alpha$ . Then we have  $\text{cof } \beta = \beta$ , where  $\text{cof } \beta$  is the cofinality of  $\beta$ . The collection of all ordinals having this property is a proper class. For each  $i \in \beta$  let  $Q_i = Q_0$ . We denote by  $H$  the lexicographic product of the system  $(Q_i)_{i \in \beta}$ . The elements of  $H$  are written in the form  $x = (x_i)_{i \in \beta}$  with  $x_i \in Q_i$  for each  $i \in \beta$ .

Let  $L_\beta$  be the set of all  $x \in H$  such that there exists an index  $i(x) \in \beta$  having the property that  $x_i = x_{i(x)}$  for each  $i \in \beta$  with  $i > i(x)$ . Then we have

$$(1) \text{ card } L_\beta = \text{card } \beta.$$

We say that  $L_\beta$  is a restricted lexicographic product of the system  $(Q_i)_{i \in I}$ .

Let  $i_1 \in \beta$  and  $t \in L_\beta$ . We denote

$$L_\beta(i_1, t) = \{x \in L_\beta : x_i = t_i \text{ for each } i \in \beta \text{ with } i \leq i_1\}.$$

From the relation  $\text{cof } \beta = \beta$  we obtain:

**LEMMA 2.1.**  $L_\beta(i_1, t) \simeq L_\beta$  for each  $i_1 \in \beta$  and each  $t \in L_\beta$ .

Let  $u$  and  $v$  be elements of  $L_\beta$  such that

$$u_{i_1} < t_{i_1} < v_{i_1},$$

$$u_i = t_i = v_i \quad \text{for each } i < i_1.$$

Then we have:

**LEMMA 2.2.**  $u < x < v$  for each  $x \in L_\beta(i_1, t)$ .

We consider two distinct elements  $p$  and  $q$  which do not belong to  $L_\beta$ . We put

$$L_\beta^0 = \{p, q\} \cup L_\beta.$$

We define a binary relation  $<_0$  on the set  $L_\beta^0$  as follows:

- (i) we put  $p <_0 q$  and  $p <_0 x$ ,  $x <_0 q$  for each  $x \in L_\beta$ ;
- (ii) for  $x, y \in L_\beta$ , the relation  $x <_0 y$  is equivalent with  $x < y$ .

Hence  $L_\beta^0$  turns out to be a chain with the least element  $p$  and the greatest element  $q$ .

We denote by  $p_0$  the element of  $L_\beta$  such that  $(p_0)_i = -1$  for each  $i \in I$ . Similarly,  $q_0$  is defined to be the element of  $L_\beta$  with  $(q_0)_i = 1$  for each  $i \in I$ . Thus  $p_0$  is the least element of  $L_\beta$  and  $q_0$  is the greatest element of  $L_\beta$ . From this and from the definition of  $<_0$  we obtain:

**LEMMA 2.3.** The intervals  $[p, p_0]$  and  $[q_0, q]$  of the linearly ordered set  $L_\beta^0$  are prime intervals.

**LEMMA 2.4.** Let  $x, y \in L_\beta$ ,  $x < y$ . Then there is  $z \in L_\beta$  with  $x < z < y$ .

**Proof.** There exists  $i_0 \in \beta$  such that  $x_{i_0} < y_{i_0}$  and  $x_i = y_i$  for each  $i \in \beta$  with  $i < i_0$ . Further, there is  $t \in Q_{i_0}$  such that  $x_{i_0} < t < y_{i_0}$ . Also, there exists  $z \in L_\beta$  with  $z_{i_0} = t$ ,  $z_i = x_i$  for  $i < i_0$ . Then  $x < z < y$ .  $\square$

We verified that if  $[x, y]$  is an interval in  $L_\beta$ , then it cannot be a prime interval. As a consequence we obtain:

**LEMMA 2.5.** Let  $[x, y]$  be an interval in  $L_\beta^0$ ,  $[x, y] \neq L_\beta^0$ . Then  $[x, y]$  fails to be isomorphic to  $L_\beta^0$ .

**LEMMA 2.6.** Let  $[x, y]$  be an interval in  $L_\beta^0$  with  $\text{card}[x, y] > 2$ . Then there exists a lattice embedding  $\varphi_1: L_\beta^0 \rightarrow [x, y]$  such that  $\varphi_1(p) = x$  and  $\varphi_1(q) = y$ .

**Proof.** From the relation  $\text{card}[x, y] > 2$  we conclude that there exist  $z, z' \in [x, y]$  with  $z, z' \in L_\beta$ ,  $z < z'$ ,  $z, z' \notin \{p_0, q_0\}$ .

There is  $i_0 \in \beta$  such that  $z_{i_0} < z'_{i_0}$  and  $z_i = z'_i$  for  $i < i_0$ . Further, there is  $c \in Q_{i_0}$  with  $z_{i_0} < c < z'_{i_0}$ . Also, there exists  $t \in L_\beta$  such that  $t_{i_0} = c$  and  $t_i = z_i$  for  $i < i_0$ . Then  $z < t < z'$ , whence  $t \in [x, y]$ .

Moreover, according to Lemma 2.2, we have  $L_\beta(i_0, t) \subseteq [x, y]$ .

According to Lemma 2.1 there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $L_\beta$  onto  $L_\beta(i_0, t)$ . Let us consider a mapping  $\varphi_1: L_\beta^0 \rightarrow [x, y]$  which is defined as follows: we put  $\varphi_1(p) = x$ ,  $\varphi_1(q) = y$  and  $\varphi_1(s) = \varphi(s)$  for each  $s \in L_\beta$ . Then applying Lemma 2.1 again we conclude that  $\varphi_1$  has the desired properties.  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.7.** *Let  $[x, y]$  be an interval in  $L_\beta^0$  with  $\text{card}[x, y] > 2$ . Then there exists a lattice embedding  $\varphi_2: [x, y] \rightarrow L_\beta^0$  such that  $\varphi_2(x) = p$  and  $\varphi_2(y) = q$ .*

**Proof.** As  $[x, y] \subseteq L_s^0$ , it suffices to put  $\varphi_2(x) = p$ ,  $\varphi_2(y) = q$  and  $\varphi_2(z) = z$  for all  $z \in [x, y]$ ,  $z \notin \{x, y\}$ .  $\square$

In view of Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7 we obtain:

**LEMMA 2.8.** *The linearly ordered set  $L_\beta^0$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}_{11}$ .*

If  $\alpha'$  is an infinite cardinal with  $\alpha' \neq \alpha$  and if  $\beta'$  is defined analogously as  $\beta$  above,  $L_{\beta'}^0$  is not isomorphic to  $L_\beta^0$ . Thus we have:

**THEOREM 2.9.** *There exists a proper class of mutually non-isomorphic lattices belonging to  $\mathcal{C}_{11}$ .*

### 3. On Czédli's conjecture

We recall some definitions (cf. [1], [2]).

Let  $L$  be a bounded lattice. If for each  $a_1 < b_1 \in L$  and each  $a_2 < b_2 \in L$  there is a lattice embedding  $\psi: [a_1, b_1] \rightarrow [a_2, b_2]$  with  $\psi(a_1) = a_2$  and  $\psi(b_1) = b_2$  then we say that  $L$  is a quasi-fractal lattice or shortly a quasi-fractal. If  $L$  is isomorphic to each of its nontrivial intervals then  $L$  will be called a fractal lattice or a fractal.

Czédli [1] expressed the conjecture that there exists a quasi-fractal lattice which fails to be fractal.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be as in Section 1. It is easy to verify that for a lattice  $L$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $L$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}$ ;
- (ii)  $L$  is a quasi-fractal and it fails to be a fractal.

# ON LATTICE EMBEDDINGS OF A LATTICE INTO ITS INTERVALS

We denote by  $\mathbb{R}$  the system of all real numbers with the usual linear order. Each nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is considered to be linearly ordered under the order induced from  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a < b$ . Further, let  $x_i \in [a, b]$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ ) where  $a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < x_{n+1} = b$ . We put

$$L_0(n) = [a, b] \setminus \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}, \quad L(n) = [a, b] \setminus \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}.$$

(For any sets  $A$  and  $B$  we denote by  $A \setminus B$  the set of all elements of  $A$  which do not belong to  $B$ .)

If  $a', b'$  belong to  $L(n)$ , then we have to distinguish between the interval  $[a', b']$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and the interval in  $L(n)$  having the endpoints  $a'$  and  $b'$ ; the latter will be denoted by  $[a', b']_{L(n)}$ . Evidently,  $[a', b']_{L(n)} = [a', b'] \cap L(n)$  and  $[a', b']_{L(n)} = [a', b']$  iff  $x_i \notin [a', b']$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

The validity of the following lemma is obvious.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $a_1, b_1 \in L(n)$ ,  $a_1 < b_1$  and let  $[a_1, b_1]_{L(n)} = [a_1, b_1]$ . Then there exists a lattice embedding  $\varphi$  of  $L_0(n)$  into  $[a_1, b_1]$ .*

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $x, y \in L(n)$ ,  $x < y$ . Then there exists a lattice embedding  $\psi_1$  of  $L(n)$  into the interval  $[x, y]_{L(n)}$  with  $\psi_1(a) = x$ ,  $\psi_1(b) = y$ .*

**Proof.** It is easy to see that there exist  $a_1, b_1 \in L(n)$  such that  $x \leq a_1 < b_1 \leq y$ ,  $[a_1, b_1]_{L(n)} = [a_1, b_1]$ . Now it suffices to put  $\psi_1(a) = x$ ,  $\psi_1(b) = y$  and  $\psi_1(t) = \varphi(t)$  for  $t \in L_0(n)$ , where  $\varphi$  is as in Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $x, y \in L(n)$ ,  $x < y$ . Then there exists a lattice embedding  $\psi_2$  of  $[x, y]_{L(n)}$  into  $L(n)$  such that  $\psi_2(x) = a$ ,  $\psi_2(y) = b$ .*

**Proof.** As  $[x, y]_{L(n)} \subseteq L(n)$ , it suffices to put  $\psi_2(x) = a$ ,  $\psi_2(y) = b$ ,  $\psi_2(z) = z$  for all  $z \in L(n)$  with  $x < z < y$ .  $\square$

In view of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 we conclude:

**LEMMA 3.4.**  *$L(n)$  is a quasi-fractal lattice.*

Again, let  $[a_1, b_1]$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Hence  $[a_1, b_1] = [a_1, b_1]_{L(n)}$ . The lattice  $[a_1, b_1]$  is complete. On the other hand, the lattice  $L(n)$  fails to be complete for  $n \geq 1$ . Thus  $[a_1, b_1]$  is not isomorphic to  $L(n)$ . We obtain:

**LEMMA 3.5.**  *$L(n)$  fails to be a fractal lattice.*

If  $m$  and  $n$  are distinct positive integers, then  $L(m)$  is not isomorphic to  $L(n)$ . Thus according to Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 we have:

**THEOREM 3.6.** *There exists an infinite set of mutually nonisomorphic chains such that*

- (i) *each of these chains is a quasi-fractal but it fails to be a fractal;*
- (ii) *each of these chains is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

The following questions remain open:

- a) Does there exist a proper class of mutually nonisomorphic chains belonging to the class  $\mathcal{C}$ ?
- b) Does there exist a denumerable lattice belonging to the class  $\mathcal{C}$ ?

**Acknowledgement.** The author is indebted to the referee for valuable suggestions and remarks.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CZÉDLI, G.: *Some varieties and convexities generated by fractal lattices*, Algebra Universalis **60** (2009), 107–124.
- [2] *E. Fried's Problem Raised in the Problem Session, General Algebra*. Proc. Conf. Krems (R. Mlitz, ed.), North Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Tokyo-Oxford, 1990.
- [3] JAKUBÍK, J.: *On convexities of lattices*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **42** (1992), 325–330.
- [4] LIHOVÁ, J.: *On convexities of lattices*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **72** (2008), 35–43.

Received 1. 2. 2008

Accepted 30. 4. 2008

*Mathematical Institute  
Slovak Academy of Sciences  
Grešákova 6  
SK-040 01 Košice  
SLOVAKIA  
E-mail: kstefan@saske.sk*