

## ON MINIMAL RESIDUATED BOUNDED MAPPINGS IN ATOMISTIC LATTICES

JOSEF NIEDERLE

(Communicated by Tibor Katriňák)

ABSTRACT. Relationship between automorphisms and residuated bounded mappings in atomistic lattices is studied.

©2008  
Mathematical Institute  
Slovak Academy of Sciences

Let  $P$  be an ordered set. For each  $M \subseteq P$  we put

$$\begin{aligned} L(M) &:= \{p \in P : (\forall m \in M)(p \leq m)\} \\ \uparrow M &:= \{p \in P : (\exists m \in M)(m \leq p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $P, Q$  be ordered sets. The set of all isotone mappings of  $P$  to  $Q$  will be denoted by  $Q^{(P)}$ .

Let  $f \in Q^{(P)}$  and  $g \in P^{(Q)}$ . We write  $f \dashv g$  if

$$(\forall l \in P)(\forall m \in Q)(f(l) \leq m \iff l \leq g(m))$$

and say that  $[f, g]$  is an *adjoint pair*.

Clearly,  $f \dashv g$  and  $f' \dashv g'$  implies that  $f' \circ f \dashv g \circ g'$  whenever  $f \in Q^{(P)}$ ,  $g \in P^{(Q)}$ ,  $f' \in R^{(Q)}$  and  $g' \in Q^{(R)}$ .

An isotone mapping  $f \in Q^{(P)}$  is said to be *residuated* or a *left adjoint* if the following equivalent conditions hold:

- (1)  $(\exists g \in P^{(Q)}) \left( (\forall l \in P)(l \leq g \circ f(l)) \ \& \ (\forall m \in Q)(f \circ g(m) \leq m) \right)$ ;
- (2)  $(\exists g \in P^{(Q)})(f \dashv g)$ ;
- (3)  $(\forall m \in Q)(f^{-1} \llbracket L(\{m\}) \rrbracket)$  is a principal ideal in  $P$ .

If  $P$  and  $Q$  are complete lattices, the preceding conditions are also equivalent with

- (4)  $f$  is a  $\vee$ -homomorphism.

Blyth ([1], [2]) may serve as a reference.

Let  $L$  be an atomistic complete lattice. We denote  $R(L)$  the pointwise ordered set of all residuated order endomorphisms  $f$  of  $L$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(1) &= 1 \\ f(y) = 0 &\iff y = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where 0 is the bottom element and 1 is the top element of  $L$ . It is clear that  $R(L)$  is the set of all left adjoints from adjoint pairs of bounded order endomorphisms of  $L$ . The set of all minimal elements in  $R(L)$  is denoted by  $MR(L)$ . It is obvious that each automorphism of  $L$  belongs to  $MR(L)$ , that is  $\text{Aut}(L) \subseteq MR(L)$ . The conjecture formulated by Kuchmei is as follows.

*For each atomistic modular lattice  $L$  of finite length,  $\text{Aut}(L) = MR(L)$ .*

We shall prove that the conjecture is false.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $L$  be an atomistic lattice of finite length. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$L$  is a finite lattice of length at most 2;*
- (ii)  *$\text{Aut}(L) = MR(L)$ .*

The following lemmas and consequently the theorem require the axiom of choice. Recall that every lattice of finite length is complete.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $L$  be an atomistic lattice of finite length. Then*

$$R(L) = \uparrow_{R(L)} MR(L).$$

**Proof.** Let  $h \in R(L)$ . There exists a maximal chain  $C$  in  $R(L)$  such that  $h \in C$ . Define  $f: L \rightarrow L$  by

$$f(y) := \bigwedge \{g(y) : g \in C\}$$

and note that  $f(y) \in \{g(y) : g \in C\}$  as  $\{g(y) : g \in C\}$  is finite. Therefore  $f(1) = 1$  and also  $f(y) = 0$  implies  $y = 0$ . If  $y < z$ , then there exists  $g \in C$  such that  $f(y) = g(y)$  and  $f(z) = g(z)$ , and therefore  $f(y) \leq f(z)$ . Hence  $f$  is isotone. Let  $Y \subseteq L$ . Then there exist  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$  such that  $\bigvee Y = y_1 \vee \dots \vee y_n$ . Consequently, there exists  $g \in C$  such that  $(\forall i)f(y_i) = g(y_i)$  and  $f(\bigvee Y) = g(\bigvee Y)$ . Therefore  $f(\bigvee Y) = g(\bigvee Y) = g(y_1 \vee \dots \vee y_n) = g(y_1) \vee \dots \vee g(y_n) = f(y_1) \vee \dots \vee f(y_n) \leq \bigvee f[Y]$ . As  $f$  is isotone, the converse inequality is also true. Hence  $f$  is a  $\bigvee$ -homomorphism. To sum up,  $f \in MR(L)$  and  $f \leq h$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $L$  be an infinite lattice of length 2. Then there exists a lattice endomorphism in  $MR(L) \setminus \text{Aut}(L)$ .*

**Proof.** Consider an arbitrary countable subset in  $\text{At}(L)$ , say  $A = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ . Define  $f$  by  $f(a_i) := f(a_{i+1})$  and  $f(a) := a$  if  $a \in \text{At}(L) \setminus A$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $L$  be an atomistic lattice. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L$  is a finite lattice of length at most 2;
- (ii)  $\uparrow_{R(L)} \text{Aut}(L) = R(L)$ .

**Proof.**

(i)  $\implies$  (ii): Let  $L$  be a finite atomistic lattice of length at most 2, that is a singleton, a two-element chain or a finite diamond. If  $L$  is a singleton or a two-element chain, then  $R(L) = \{\text{id}_L\}$  and the result is obvious. If  $L$  is a diamond with  $n$  atoms and  $f \in \text{MR}(L)$ , then  $f[\text{At}(L)] = \text{At}(L)$ . In order to prove this claim, denote  $\text{At}_0(L) := \{a \in \text{At}(L) : f(a) \in \text{At}(L)\}$  and  $\text{At}_1(L) := \{a \in \text{At}(L) : f(a) = 1\}$ . As  $1 = f(1) = f(a_1 \vee a_2) = f(a_1) \vee f(a_2)$  for any distinct atoms  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ ,  $f$  is injective on  $\text{At}_0(L)$ . Let  $g$  be an arbitrary bijection of  $\text{At}_1(L)$  onto  $\text{At}(L) \setminus f[\text{At}_0(L)]$ . Then  $f'$  defined by  $f'(a) := f(a)$  if  $a \in \text{At}_0(L)$  and  $f'(a) := g(a)$  if  $a \in \text{At}_1(L)$  belongs to  $R(L)$ . Clearly  $f' \leq f$ . As  $f$  was minimal,  $f' = f$  and therefore  $\text{At}_0(L) = \text{At}(L)$ . Hence  $f \in \text{Aut}(L)$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (i): If  $L$  is not of length at most 2, that is  $L \neq \text{At}(L) \cup \{0, 1\}$ , then take an arbitrary element  $c \in L \setminus \text{At}(L) \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Since  $L$  is atomistic, there exists an atom  $a \in \text{At}(L) \setminus L(\{c\})$ . Define  $f$  by

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &:= a & \text{if } y \in L(\{c\}) \setminus \{0\} \\ f(a) &:= c \\ f(0) &:= 0 \\ f(y) &:= a \vee c & \text{if } y \in L(\{a \vee c\}) \setminus L(\{a\}) \setminus L(\{c\}) \\ f(y) &:= 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that  $f \in R(L)$ . As  $a$  is the image of at least two different elements, namely  $c$  and atoms in  $L(\{c\})$ , there exists no  $g \in \text{Aut}(L)$  such that  $g \leq f$ .

If  $L$  is an infinite lattice of length 2, then the result follows by Lemma 3.  $\square$

The proof of the theorem follows from Proposition 4 and Lemma 2.

The set of all lattice endomorphisms of  $L$  will be denoted  $\text{End}(L)$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Let  $L$  be a finite atomistic lattice. Then  $\text{End}(L) \cap R(L) = \text{Aut}(L)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f \in \text{End}(L) \cap R(L)$ . Then for any two distinct atoms  $a_1, a_2$  we have  $f(a_1) \wedge f(a_2) = f(a_1 \wedge a_2) = f(0) = 0$ , and hence  $(L(\{f(a_1)\}) \cap \text{At}(L)) \cap (L(\{f(a_2)\}) \cap \text{At}(L)) = \emptyset$ . It is immediate that  $|L(\{f(a)\}) \cap \text{At}(L)| = 1$ , and therefore  $f(a) \in \text{At}(L)$ , for any atom  $a$ . Therefore  $f$  defines a bijection on  $\text{At}(L)$ . Moreover, there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $f^n$  is the identity on  $\text{At}(L)$ . As  $f$  is a lattice homomorphism, so is  $f^n$ . If  $b = a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_k$  where  $a_i \in \text{At}(L)$ , then  $f^n(b) = f^n(a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_k) = f^n(a_1) \vee \dots \vee f^n(a_k) = a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_k = b$ . Hence  $f^n = \text{id}_L$ . Finally,  $f \in \text{Aut}(L)$ . The converse inclusion is obvious.  $\square$

For infinite atomistic lattices of length 2 the statement is not true, see Lemma 3.

**PROPOSITION 6.** *Let  $L$  be an atomistic lattice of finite length and  $f \in \text{End}(L) \cap \text{R}(L)$ ,  $g \in \text{End}(L)$  be such that  $f \dashv g$ . Then  $f \in \text{Aut}(L)$  and  $g = f^{-1}$ .*

**P r o o f.** First we shall show that both  $f \circ g$  and  $g \circ f$  are the identity on  $\text{At}(L)$ . It is clear that  $g^{-1}[\{0\}]$  is a principal ideal, say  $L(\{b\})$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $f, g$  are such that  $b$  is maximal. Note that  $f \circ f, g \circ g$  also satisfy the assumptions. If  $a_0, a_1 \in \text{At}(L)$ , then  $f(a_0) \leq f(a_1)$  implies that  $0 \neq f(a_0) = f(a_0) \wedge f(a_1) = f(a_0 \wedge a_1)$  which in turn yields  $a_0 = a_1$ . Hence  $f$  is injective on  $\text{At}(L)$ . Let  $a \in \text{At}(L)$ . If  $a < g(f(a))$ , then there would exist  $c \in \text{At}(L) \cap L(\{g(f(a))\}) \setminus L(\{a\})$ . Then  $f(a) \leq f(a) \vee f(c) \leq f(g(f(a))) = f(a)$ , and hence  $f(c) \leq f(a)$ . Therefore  $a = g(f(a))$ . Suppose that  $\{0\} \neq g^{-1}[\{0\}]$ . There exists  $a \in \text{At}(L) \cap g^{-1}[\{0\}]$ . Then  $g(g(b)) = g(0) = 0$  and  $g(g(f(a))) = g(a) = 0$ . It is clear that  $b < b \vee f(a) \in (g \circ g)^{-1}[\{0\}]$ . This is a contradiction with the maximality of  $b$ . Hence  $0 \neq f(g(a)) \leq a$  and therefore  $f(g(a)) = a$  for each  $a \in \text{At}(L)$ . Let  $y \in L$ . There exist  $a_0, \dots, a_k \in \text{At}(L)$  such that  $y = a_0 \vee \dots \vee a_k$ . Then  $f(g(y)) = f(g(a_0)) \vee \dots \vee f(g(a_k)) = a_0 \vee \dots \vee a_k = y$  and  $g(f(y)) = g(f(a_0)) \vee \dots \vee g(f(a_k)) = a_0 \vee \dots \vee a_k = y$ .  $\square$

If we denote  $\widetilde{\text{R}(L)} := \{[f, g] : f \dashv g \text{ \& } f \in \text{R}(L)\}$  and  $\widetilde{\text{Aut}(L)} := \{[f, f^{-1}] : f \in \text{Aut}(L)\}$ , then Proposition 6 can be formulated as follows.

*Let  $L$  be an atomistic lattice of finite length. Then*

$$\widetilde{\text{R}(L)} \cap (\text{End}(L) \times \text{End}(L)) = \widetilde{\text{Aut}(L)}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] BLYTH, T. S.: *Residuated mappings*, Order **1** (1984), 187–204.
- [2] BLYTH, T. S.: *Lattices and ordered algebraic structures*. Universitext, Springer, London, 2005.
- [3] KUCHMEI, V.: *On maximal sublattices of the direct product of two modular lattices*. In: Summer School on General Algebra and Ordered Sets, Malá Morávka.

Received 28. 10. 2005

Revised 21. 9. 2006

*Katedra algebry a geometrie*

*Masarykova universita*

*Janáčkovo náměstí 2a*

*CZ-602 00 Brno*

*CZECHOSLOVAKIA*

*E-mail: niederle@math.muni.cz*