



DOI: 10.2478/s12175-007-0002-y Math. Slovaca **57** (2007), No. 2, 107–118

# ISOMETRIES AND DIRECT DECOMPOSITIONS OF PSEUDO MV-ALGEBRAS

### Milan Jasem

(Communicated by Anatolij Dvurečenskij)

ABSTRACT. In the paper isometries in pseudo MV-algebras are investigated. It is shown that for every isometry f in a pseudo MV-algebra  $\mathcal{A}=(A,\oplus, ^-, ^\sim, 0, 1)$  there exists an internal direct decomposition  $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}^0\times\mathcal{C}^0$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{C}^0$  commutative such that  $f(0)=1_{C^0}$  and  $f(x)=x_{B^0}\oplus (1_{C^0}\odot (x_{C^0})^-)=x_{B^0}\oplus (1_{C^0}-x_{C^0})$  for each  $x\in A$ .

On the other hand, if  $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{P}^0\times\mathcal{Q}^0$  is an internal direct decomposition of a pseudo MV-algebra  $\mathcal{A}=(A,\oplus,{}^-,{}^\sim,0,1)$  with  $\mathcal{Q}^0$  commutative, then the mapping  $g\colon A\to A$  defined by  $g(x)=x_{P^0}\oplus(1_{Q^0}-x_{Q^0})$  is an isometry in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $g(0)=1_{Q^0}$ .

© 2007 Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences

Isometries in abelian lattice ordered groups were introduced and investigated by S w a m y in [27]. J a k u b í k [7], [8] studied isometries in non-abelian lattice ordered groups and proved that for every isometry g in a lattice ordered group G there exists a uniquely determined direct decomposition  $G = A \times B$  of G with G abelian such that G is a direct decomposition of a lattice ordered group G with G abelian and G is an element of G, then the mapping G defined by G is an isometry in G and G is an element of G, then the mapping G defined by G is an isometry in G and G is an element of G, then the mapping G defined by G is an isometry in G and G is an element of G. Isometries in some types of partially ordered groups have been investigated in [14], [15], [16], [23].

The notion of an MV-algebra was introduced by Chang [1] as an algebraic model of infinite valued logic. In [22] Mundici showed that any MV-algebra is an interval of an abelian lattice ordered group with a strong unit. Isometries in MV-algebras were dealt with by Jakubík [11], [12].

Georgescu and Iorgulescu [4] introduced pseudo MV-algebras as a non-commutative generalization of MV-algebras. Dvurečenskij [2] proved that any pseudo MV-algebra is an interval of a lattice ordered group with a strong unit. A completely different proof of this important result was given by Dvurečenskij and Vetterlein in [3]. Non-commutative MV-algebras were also introduced independently by Rachůnek [26]. His notion of a non-commutative MV-algebra is equivalent to the notion of a pseudo MV-algebra. Further, Rachůnek showed that non-commutative MV-algebras and hence also pseudo MV-algebras are a special kind of bounded DRl-monoids.

DRl-monoids were studied in [17], [19], [20], [21], [24], [25], [29] and isometries in commutative DRl-monoids (called DRl-semigroups) have been investigated in [13], [18], [28].

We recall the definition and some basic properties of a pseudo MV-algebra from [4].

A pseudo MV-algebra is an algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \oplus, {}^-, {}^\sim, 0, 1)$  of type (2, 1, 1, 0, 0) with an additional binary operation  $\odot$  defined by  $y \odot x = (x^- \oplus y^-)^\sim$  such that following axioms hold for all  $x, y, z \in A$ :

- (A1)  $x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$ ;
- (A2)  $x \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus x = x$ ;
- (A3)  $x \oplus 1 = 1 \oplus x = 1$ ;
- (A4)  $1^{\sim} = 0, 1^{-} = 0;$
- (A5)  $(x^- \oplus y^-)^{\sim} = (x^{\sim} \oplus y^{\sim})^-$ ;
- (A6)  $x \oplus (x^{\sim} \odot y) = y \oplus (y^{\sim} \odot x) = (x \odot y^{-}) \oplus y = (y \odot x^{-}) \oplus x;$
- (A7)  $x \odot (x^- \oplus y) = (x \oplus y^{\sim}) \odot y;$
- (A8)  $(x^{-})^{\sim} = x$ .

(In [4] instead of  $\odot$  the symbol  $\cdot$  is used.)

Any pseudo MV-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  can be ordered by the relation  $\leq$  defined by  $x \leq y$  iff  $x^- \oplus y = 1$ . Then  $(A, \leq)$  is a distributive lattice with the least element 0 and the greatest element 1. For the join  $x \vee y$  and the meet  $x \wedge y$  of two elements x and y the following statements are valid:

$$x \vee y = x \oplus (x^{\sim} \odot y), x \wedge y = x \odot (x^{-} \oplus y).$$

Let  $(G, +, \vee, \wedge)$  be a lattice ordered group, u a positive element of G and A the interval [0, u] of G. Then  $(A, \oplus, ^-, ^\sim, 0, u)$  where

$$x \oplus y = (x+y) \wedge u$$
,  $x^- = u - x$ ,  $x^\sim = -x + u$ 

is a pseudo MV-algebra which will be denoted by  $\Gamma(G, u)$ . Moreover,  $x \odot y = (x - u + y) \vee 0$ .

D v u r e č e n s k i j [2] defined a partial binary operation + on a pseudo MV-algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0, 1)$  by putting  $x + y = x \oplus y$  iff  $x \leq y^-$ . Having used this partial operation + he proved that for each pseudo MV-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists a lattice ordered group G with a strong unit u such that  $\mathcal{A} = \Gamma(G, u)$ . The partial operation + on  $\mathcal{A}$  coincides with the operation + as defined in G. Further, the partial order  $\leq$  on A is that induced from the partial order in G.

The direct product of pseudo MV-algebras is defined in the usual way, see e.g. [5].

The internal direct decomposition of an MV-algebra was defined and studied by Jakubík in [9]. Analogously, we can define the two-factor internal direct decomposition of a pseudo MV-algebra.

Let

$$\mathcal{A} = (A, \oplus, \bar{}, \bar{}, 0, 1), \ \mathcal{B} = (B, \oplus_B, \bar{}, B, 0_B, 1_B), \ \mathcal{C} = (C, \oplus_C, \bar{}, C, 0_C, 1_C)$$

be pseudo MV-algebras.

An isomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto the direct product  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$  is called a direct decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

For  $x \in A$  we denote by  $x_B$  ( $x_C$ ) the component of x in  $\mathcal{B}$  ( $\mathcal{C}$ , respectively) with respect to the isomorphism  $\varphi$ .

We denote  $B^0 = \{x \in A : x_C = 0_C\}$ ,  $C^0 = \{x \in A : x_B = 0_B\}$ . Then  $B^0$  and  $C^0$  are subsets of A containing 0. Since  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism, for  $x, y \in B^0$  we have  $(x \oplus y)_C = 0_C$ . Thus  $x \oplus y \in B^0$ . Analogously,  $z \oplus t \in C^0$  for each  $z, t \in C^0$ . Hence the sets  $B^0$  and  $C^0$  are closed under the operation  $\oplus$ .

In a natural way, we introduce the following operations  $^{-_{B^0}}$ ,  $^{\sim_{B^0}}$ ,  $1_{B^0}$  on the set  $B^0$ . Let  $b \in B^0$  and let  $d \in A$  be such that  $d_B = (b^-)_B$  and  $d_C = 0_C$ . Then  $d \in B^0$  and we put  $b^{-_{B^0}} = d$ . Analogously, for  $c \in B^0$  we put  $c^{\sim_{B^0}} = e$ , where e is an element of A such that  $e_B = (c^\sim)_B$ ,  $e_C = 0_C$ . Clearly,  $e \in B^0$ . Further,  $1_{B^0}$  is an element of A such that  $(1_{B^0})_B = 1_B$ ,  $(1_{B^0})_C = 0_C$ .

Similarly we define the operations  $^{-_{C^0}},\,^{\sim_{C^0}},\,1_{C^0}$  on  $C^0.$ 

Then  $\mathcal{B}^0=(B^0,\oplus,{}^-{}_{B^0},{}^\sim{}_{B^0},0,1_{B^0})$  and  $\mathcal{C}^0=(C^0,\oplus,{}^-{}_{C^0},{}^\sim{}_{C^0},0,1_{C^0})$  are pseudo MV-algebras.

In general,  $\mathcal{B}^0$  and  $\mathcal{C}^0$  need not be subalgebras of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Now, we define a mapping  $\varphi^B \colon B \to B^0$ . For  $t \in B$  there exists an element  $z \in A$  such that  $z_B = t$  and  $z_C = 0_C$ . Thus  $z \in B^0$  and we put  $\varphi^B(t) = z$ . Then  $\varphi^B$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{B}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}^0$ . Analogously defined mapping  $\varphi^C$  of C into  $C^0$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{C}$  onto  $C^0$ .

Then the mapping  $\varphi^0$  of A into  $B^0 \times C^0$  given by  $\varphi^0(x) = (\varphi^B(x_B), \varphi^C(x_C))$  is an isomorphism of A onto  $\mathcal{B}^0 \times \mathcal{C}^0$ . This isomorphism  $\varphi^0$  is called an internal direct decomposition of A and we write  $A = B^0 \times \mathcal{C}^0$  in this case.  $\mathcal{B}^0$  and  $\mathcal{C}^0$  are called internal direct factors of A.

For  $x \in A$  we denote by  $x_{B^0}(x_{C^0})$  the component of x in  $B^0(C^0$ , respectively) with the respect to the isomorphism  $\varphi^0$ . Hence  $x_{B^0} = \varphi^B(x_B)$ ,  $x_{C^0} = \varphi^C(x_C)$ ,  $\varphi(x_{B^0}) = (x_B, 0_C)$ ,  $\varphi(x_{C^0}) = (0_B, x_C)$ .

If  $x \in B^0$  and  $y \in C^0$ , then  $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$ .

For each  $x \in A$ ,  $x = x_{B^0} \oplus x_{C^0}$  and if  $x = x_1 \oplus x_2$  where  $x_1 \in B^0$  and  $x_2 \in C^0$ , then  $x_1 = x_{B^0}$  and  $x_2 = x_{C^0}$ .

Further, if  $x, y \in A$ , then  $x \leq y$  iff  $x_{B^0} \leq y_{B^0}$  and  $x_{C^0} \leq y_{C^0}$ .  $B^0$  and  $C^0$  are convex subsets of A. For each  $x, y \in A$ ,  $(x \wedge y)_{B^0} = x_{B^0} \wedge y_{B^0}$ ,  $(x \wedge y)_{C^0} = x_{C^0} \wedge y_{C^0}$ ,  $(x \vee y)_{B^0} = x_{B^0} \vee y_{B^0}$ ,  $(x \vee y)_{C^0} = x_{C^0} \vee y_{C^0}$ .

Throughout the paper  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \oplus, \bar{}, \sim, 0, 1)$  will be a pseudo MV-algebra. Further, we suppose that  $(G, +, \vee, \wedge)$  is a lattice ordered group with a strong unit u such that  $\mathcal{A} = \Gamma(G, u)$  (it is clear that u = 1). Then the above mentioned operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  on A coincide with the lattice operations in G (reduced to the interval [0, u]) and for all  $x, y \in A$  we have:

$$x \oplus y = (x+y) \wedge u$$
,  $x^- = u - x$ ,  $x^\sim = -x + u$ .

Further, if  $x, y \in A$  and  $x \leq y$ , then  $y - x, -x + y \in A$ .

We shall apply these assertions without special references.

For basic properties of lattice ordered groups, see e.g. [6].

**Lemma 1.** Let  $x, y \in A, x \leq y$ . Then the following statements are valid.

- (i)  $(y x) \oplus x = y, x \oplus (-x + y) = y.$
- (ii) Let  $P_x^y = \{z \in A : z \oplus x = y\}$ ,  $Q_x^y = \{t \in A : x \oplus t = y\}$ . Then  $y \odot x^- = y x$  is the least element of  $P_x^y$  and  $x^{\sim} \odot y = -x + y$  is the least element of  $Q_x^y$ .
- (iii) If y x = 1, then y = 1, x = 0.
- (iv) If -x + y = 1, then y = 1, x = 0.

Proof. Let  $x, y \in A$  and  $x \leq y$ .

- (i) Clearly,  $(y-x)\oplus x=[(y-x)+x]\wedge 1=y\wedge 1=y.$  Analogously,  $x\oplus (-x+y)=y.$
- (ii) Since  $(y \odot x^-) \oplus x = y \lor x = y$  and  $x \oplus (x^- \odot y) = x \lor y = y$ , we obtain  $y \odot x^- \in P_x^y$  and  $x^- \odot y \in Q_x^y$ . Let  $z, t \in A$ ,  $z \oplus x = y$ ,  $x \oplus t = y$ . By [4, Proposition 1.12(d)],  $z \ge y \odot x^-$ ,  $t \ge x^- \odot y$ . Therefore  $y \odot x^- = (y-1+1-x) \lor 0$

= y - x is the least element of  $P_x^y$  and  $x^{\sim} \odot y = (-x + 1 - 1 + y) \lor 0 = -x + y$  is the least element of  $Q_x^y$ .

- (iii) If  $y-x=y\odot x^-=1$ , then  $y=y\vee x=(y\odot x^-)\oplus x=1\oplus x=1$  whence  $x^-=1$  and so x=0.
- (iv) Let  $-x + y = x^{\sim} \odot y = 1$ . Then  $y = x \lor y = x \oplus (x^{\sim} \odot y) = x \oplus 1 = 1$ . This yields  $x^{\sim} = 1$ . Hence x = 0.

Georgescu and Iorgulescu [4] defined the distance function  $d: A \times A \to A$  for a pseudo MV-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $d(x, y) = (x \odot y^-) \oplus (y \odot x^-)$ .

Further, it was shown that this distance function has the following properties [4, Proposition 1.35].

- $(P_0) \ d(x,y) = (x \odot y^-) \lor (y \odot x^-),$
- $(P_1) \ d(x,y) = 0 \text{ iff } x = y,$
- $(P_2) d(x,0) = x,$
- $(P_3) d(x,1) = x^-,$
- $(P_4) \ d(x,y) = d(y,x),$
- $(P_5)$   $d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) \oplus d(y,z) \oplus d(x,y),$
- $(P_6)$   $d(x,z) \le d(y,z) \oplus d(x,y) \oplus d(y,z)$ .

Jakubík [11] defined an autometrization of an MV-algebra  $\mathcal{D}$  with the underlying set D as a mapping  $\rho \colon D \times D \to D$  such that  $\rho(x,y) = (x \vee y) - (x \wedge y)$  for each  $x,y \in D$ .

The following lemma shows that Jakubík's autometrization  $\rho(x,y)$  coicides with the distance function d(x,y) of Georgescu and Iorgulescu in any pseudo MV-algebra.

**Lemma 2.** For each 
$$x, y \in A$$
,  $(x \vee y) - (x \wedge y) = (x \odot y^-) \oplus (y \odot x^-)$ .

Proof. Let  $x, y \in A$ . In view of Lemma 1,  $(P_0)$  and [4, Propositions 1.23, 1.16, 1.7(7)] we have  $(x \vee y) - (x \wedge y) = (x \vee y) \odot (x \wedge y)^- = (x \vee y) \odot (x^- \vee y^-) = (x \odot (x^- \vee y^-)) \vee (y \odot (x^- \vee y^-)) = (x \odot x^-) \vee (x \odot y^-) \vee (y \odot x^-) \vee (y \odot y^-) = 0 \vee (x \odot y^-) \vee (y \odot x^-) \vee 0 = (x \odot y^-) \vee (y \odot x^-) = (x \odot y^-) \oplus (y \odot x^-).$ 

We can use Jakubík's definition of an isometry in an MV-algebra from [11] also for a pseudo MV-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ .

A bijection  $f: A \to A$  is said to be an isometry in  $\mathcal{A}$  if the relation d(f(x), f(y)) = d(x, y) identically holds.

An isometry f is called 2-periodic if f(f(x)) = x for each  $x \in A$ . We shall write  $f^2(x)$  instead of f(f(x)).

**Lemma 3.** Let  $x, y \in A, x \leq y$ . Then d(x, y) = y - x.

Proof. The proof is obvious.

Throughout the rest of the paper let f be an isometry in A.

# **Lemma 4.** Let $x \in A$ . Then

- (i)  $f^2(x) = x$ .
- (ii)  $f(x) = (f(0) \lor x) (f(0) \land x)$ .

#### Proof.

(i) First we prove that  $f^2(0) = 0$ . Since f is a bijection, there exists  $z \in A$  such that f(z) = 0. In view of  $(P_2)$  and  $(P_4)$  we get z = d(z, 0) = d(f(z), f(0)) = d(0, f(0)) = f(0). From this we obtain  $f^2(0) = f(z) = 0$ .

Let  $x \in A$ . According to  $(P_2)$ ,  $x = d(x, 0) = d(f^2(x), f^2(0)) = d(f^2(x), 0) = f^2(x)$ .

(ii) Let 
$$x \in A$$
. From (i) and (P<sub>2</sub>) it follows that  $f(x) = d(f(x), 0) = d(f^2(x), f(0)) = d(x, f(0)) = (f(0) \lor x) - (f(0) \land x)$ .

From Lemma 4 it follows that any isometry in a pseudo MV-algebra is 2-periodic and uniquely determined by the element f(0). Lemma 4(i) generalizes assertion ( $\beta$ ) from [12].

Further, from Lemma 4 we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. f(1) = 1 - f(0).

#### LEMMA 5.

- (i)  $f(0) \vee f(1) = 1$ ,  $f(0) \wedge f(1) = 0$ .
- (ii) For each  $x \in A$ ,  $x \land f(1) = (x \lor f(0)) f(0)$ .
- (iii) For each  $x \in A$ ,  $f(x) = (x \land f(1)) + f(0) (x \land f(0))$ .

#### Proof.

- (i) By (P<sub>2</sub>) and (P<sub>4</sub>),  $1 = d(0,1) = d(f(0), f(1)) = (f(0) \lor f(1)) (f(0) \land f(1))$ . Then Lemma 1(iii) yields  $f(0) \lor 1 = 1$ ,  $f(0) \land 1 = 0$ .
- (ii) Let  $x \in A$ . By (i),  $(x \wedge f(1)) \wedge f(0) = x \wedge (f(1) \wedge f(0)) = x \wedge 0 = 0$ . Then (i) and [2, Proposition 2.1(X)] yield  $(x \wedge f(1)) + f(0) = (x \wedge f(1)) \vee f(0) = (x \vee f(0)) \wedge (f(1) \vee f(0)) = (x \vee f(0)) \wedge 1 = x \vee f(0)$ . Hence  $x \wedge f(1) = (x \vee f(0)) f(0)$ .
- (iii) Let  $x \in A$ . In view of (ii) and Lemma 4 we have  $f(x) = (x \vee f(0)) f(0) + f(0) (x \wedge f(0)) = (x \wedge f(1)) + f(0) (x \wedge f(0))$ .

Since the lattice  $(A, \leq)$  is distributive, from Lemma 5 we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** f(1) is the uniquely determined complement of f(0) in the lattice  $(A, \leq)$ .

# LEMMA 6. Let $x \in A$ .

- (i) If  $x \le f(0)$ , then f(x) = f(0) x,  $f(x) \oplus x = f(0)$ .
- (ii) If  $f(0) \le x$ , then f(x) = x f(0),  $f(x) \oplus f(0) = x$ .
- (iii) If  $f(x) \le f(0)$ , then x = f(0) f(x),  $x \oplus f(x) = f(0)$ .
- (iv) If  $f(0) \le f(x)$ , then x = f(x) f(0),  $f(x) = x \oplus f(0)$ .

# Proof.

(i) Let  $x \in A$ ,  $x \le f(0)$ . By  $(P_2)$ , Lemmas 3 and 4,  $f(0) - x = d(x, f(0)) = d(f(x), f^2(0)) = d(f(x), 0) = f(x)$ . Then clearly,  $f(0) = f(x) + x = f(x) \oplus x$ . Proofs of (ii), (iii) and (iv) are analogous.

Let 
$$B = \{x \in A : x \le f(1)\}, C = \{x \in A : x \le f(0)\}.$$

**LEMMA 7.** Let  $x \in B$  and  $y \in C$ . Then  $x \wedge y = 0$ ,  $x + y = x \oplus y = x \vee y = y \oplus x = y + x$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in B$ ,  $y \in C$ . Then from Lemma 5 we get  $0 = f(1) \land f(0) \ge x \land y \ge 0$ . Hence  $x \land y = 0$ . Then [4, Proposition 1.26(ii)] implies  $x \oplus y = x \lor y = y \oplus x$ . According to [2, Proposition 2.1(X)],  $x + y = x \lor y = y + x$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 8.** For each  $x \in B$ ,  $f(x) = x + f(0) = x \oplus f(0)$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in B$ . By Lemmas 3, 4 and Corollary 1,  $1 - (x + f(0)) = (1 - f(0)) - x = f(1) - x = d(x, f(1)) = d(f(x), f^{2}(1)) = d(f(x), 1) = 1 - f(x)$ . This yields  $f(x) = x + f(0) = x \oplus f(0)$ .

**Lemma 9.** Let  $x \in A$ . Then  $x \in C$  iff  $f(x) \leq f(0)$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in C$ . According to Lemma 6(i),  $f(x) = f(0) - x \le f(0)$ . Let  $x \in A$ ,  $f(x) \le f(0)$ . By Lemma 6(iii),  $x = f(0) - f(x) \le f(0)$ . Hence  $x \in C$ .

In [10] Jakubík showed that if e is an element of a pseudo MV-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  which has a complement e' in the lattice  $(A, \leq)$ , then there exists a direct decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since the lattice  $(A, \leq)$  is distributive, e' is uniquely determined.

From Lemma 5 it follows that f(0) is a complement of f(1) in the lattice  $(A, \leq)$ . Hence we have e = f(1), e' = f(0) in our case.

**Lemma 10.** The sets B and C are closed with respect to the operation  $\oplus$ .

Proof. From [10, Lemma 3.6] it follows that the set B is closed under the operation  $\oplus$ . Similarly we can show that C is closed.

**LEMMA 11.** For each  $x \in C$ , f(x) = f(0) - x, x + f(0) = f(0) + x,  $x \oplus f(0) = f(0) \oplus x$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in C$ . Hence  $x \leq f(0)$ . By Lemma 9,  $f(x) \leq f(0)$ . Then from Lemma 6(i) and (iii) it follows that f(x) = f(0) - x, x = f(0) - f(x). Thus we get x = f(0) + x - f(0) and hence x + f(0) = f(0) + x. Then clearly  $x \oplus f(0) = f(0) \oplus x$ .

# LEMMA 12.

- (i) For each  $x \in C$ , x + 1 = 1 + x.
- (ii) If  $x, y \in C$ , then  $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$ .

# Proof.

- (i) Let  $x \in C$ . By Lemmas 7, 11 and Corollary 1, x + 1 f(0) = x + f(1) = f(1) + x = 1 f(0) + x = 1 + x f(0). This implies x + 1 = 1 + x.
- (ii) Let  $x, y \in C$ . Since  $x \oplus y \ge y$ ,  $f(0) y \ge f(0) (x \oplus y)$ , in view of Lemmas 3, 10 and 11 we have  $(x \oplus y) y = d(x \oplus y, y) = d(f(x \oplus y), f(y)) = d(f(0) (x \oplus y), f(0) y) = f(0) y [f(0) (x \oplus y)] = -y + (x \oplus y)$ . From this and (i) we get  $x \oplus y = y + (x \oplus y) y = y + [(x+y) \land 1] y = (y+x) \land (y+1-y) = (y+x) \land 1 = y \oplus x$ .

# LEMMA 13.

- (i) (Cf. [10, Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4]) For each element  $x \in A$  there exist uniquely determined elements  $x_1 \in B$  and  $x_2 \in C$  such that  $x = x_1 \oplus x_2$ . Moreover,  $x_1 = x \wedge f(1)$  and  $x_2 = x \wedge f(0)$ .
  - (ii) Let  $x \in B$ ,  $y \in C$ . Then  $f(x \oplus y) = x \oplus (f(0) y) = x \oplus (f(0) \odot y^{-})$ .

# Proof.

(ii) Let  $x \in B, y \in C$ . By (i),  $x = (x \oplus y)_1 = (x \oplus y) \land f(1), y = (x \oplus y)_2 = (x \oplus y) \land f(0)$ . Then Lemmas 1, 5, 7, 9 and 11 yield  $f(x \oplus y) = (x \oplus y) \land f(1) + f(0) - ((x \oplus y) \land f(0)) = x + (f(0) - y) = x \oplus (f(0) - y) = x \oplus (f(0) \odot y^{-})$ .  $\square$ 

**THEOREM 1.** For each  $x \in A$ ,  $f(x) = [f(0) - (x \land f(0))] \lor (f(1) \land x)$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in A$ . Then  $x_1 = f(1) \land x \in B$ ,  $x_2 = f(0) \land x \in C$ . From Lemmas 9 and 11 it follows that  $f(0) - x_2 \in C$ . Then Lemmas 5 and 7 yield  $f(x) = x_1 + (f(0) - x_2) = x_1 \lor (f(0) - x_2) = [f(0) - (f(0) \land x)] \lor (f(1) \land x)$ .  $\square$ 

In [12] it was shown that the assumption of 2-periodicity of isometry in [11, Proposition 4.4] can be omitted. Theorem 1 with Corollary 2 generalize [11, Proposition 4.4] without the assumption of 2-periodicity of isometry.

We define the unary operations  $^{-e}$ ,  $^{\sim e}$  on B by putting  $x^{-e} = f(1) - x$ ,  $x^{\sim e} = -x + f(1)$  for each  $x \in B$ .

Analogously we define the unary operations  $^{-e'}$ ,  $^{\sim e'}$  on C. For each  $x \in C$  we put  $x^{-e'} = f(0) - x$ ,  $x^{\sim e'} = -x + f(0)$ .

From Lemma 1 it follows that these operations are defined as in [10, p. 135]  $(X_1 = B, X_2 = C \text{ in our case}).$ 

**THEOREM 2.**  $\mathcal{B} = (B, \oplus, \neg^e, \neg^e, 0, f(1))$  is a pseudo MV-algebra,  $\mathcal{C} = (C, \oplus, \neg^{e'}, \neg^{e'}, 0, f(0))$  is a commutative pseudo MV-algebra.

Proof. By [10, Corollary 4.2],  $\mathcal{B}$  is a pseudo MV-algebra. Analogously it can be shown that  $\mathcal{C}$  is also a pseudo MV-algebra. The commutativity of  $\mathcal{C}$  follows from Lemma 12.

**THEOREM 3.** If for each  $x \in A$  we put  $\varphi(x) = (x \wedge f(1), x \wedge f(0))$ , then  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism of A onto the direct product  $B \times C$ .

Proof. It follows from [10, Proposition 4.3].  $\Box$ 

Hence  $\varphi$  is a direct decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In view of the definition of an internal direct decomposition we conclude that  $\varphi$  is also an internal direct decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$ . (Clearly,  $\mathcal{B}^0 = \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^0 = \mathcal{C}$ .) Hence,  $x_{B^0} = x_B = x \wedge f(1)$ ,  $x_{C^0} = x_C = x \wedge f(0)$ ,  $x = x_B \oplus x_C$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**THEOREM 4.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \oplus, \bar{}, \bar{}, 0, 1)$  be a pseudo MV-algebra and f an isometry in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be as in Theorem 2. Then  $\mathcal{A} = B \times C$ ,  $1_C = f(0)$  and  $f(x) = x_B \oplus (f(0) - x_C) = x_B \oplus (f(0) \odot (x_C)^-)$  for each  $x \in A$ .

Proof. It follows from Theorems 3 and Lemma 13.

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \oplus, \bar{}, \bar{}, 0, 1)$  be a pseudo MV-algebra,  $\varphi \colon \mathcal{A} \to P \times Q$  a direct decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{Q}$  commutative and  $\varphi^0 \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{P}^0 \times \mathcal{Q}^0$  an internal direct decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $P^0$  ( $Q^0$ ) be the underlying set of  $\mathcal{P}^0$  ( $\mathcal{Q}^0$ , respectively). Then

- (i)  $Q^0$  is a commutative pseudo MV-algebra,
- (ii) for every  $x \in P^0$  and  $y \in Q^0$ , x + y is defined in A,
- (iii) for each  $x, y \in A$ ,  $d(x, y) = d(x_{P^0}, y_{P^0}) \oplus d(x_{Q^0}, y_{Q^0})$ ,
- (iv) if we put  $g(x) = x_{P^0} \oplus (1_{Q^0} x_{Q^0})$  for each  $x \in A$ , then g is an isometry in A and  $f(0) = 1_{Q^0}$ .

#### Proof.

- (i) It is obvious.
- (ii) Let  $x \in P^0$  and  $y \in Q^0$ . Since  $x \wedge y = 0$ , from [2, Proposition 2.1(X)] it follows that x + y is defined in  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- (iii) Let  $x, y \in A$ . Then  $d(x, y) = (x \lor y) (x \land y) = (x_{P^0} \lor y_{P^0}) + (x_{Q^0} \lor y_{Q^0}) [(x_{P^0} \land y_{P^0}) + (x_{Q^0} \land y_{Q^0})] = (x_{P^0} \lor y_{P^0}) (x_{P^0} \land y_{P^0}) + (x_{Q^0} \lor y_{Q^0}) (x_{Q^0} \land y_{Q^0}) = d(x_{P^0}, y_{P^0}) \oplus d(x_{Q^0}, y_{Q^0}).$
- $\begin{array}{l} \text{(iv) Let } x,y \in A. \text{ Then } d(g(x),g(y)) = d(x_{P^0} \oplus (1_{Q^0} x_{Q^0}), y_{P^0} \oplus (1_{Q^0} y_{Q^0})) \\ = d(x_{P^0},y_{P^0}) \oplus d(1_{Q^0} x_{Q^0}, 1_{Q^0} y_{Q^0}) = d(x_{P^0},y_{P^0}) \oplus \left[ ((1_{Q^0} x_{Q^0}) \vee (1_{Q^0} y_{Q^0})) ((1_{Q^0} x_{Q^0}) \wedge (1_{Q^0} y_{Q^0})) \right] = d(x_{P^0},y_{P^0}) \oplus \left[ (1_{Q^0} (x_{Q^0} \wedge y_{Q^0})) (1_{Q^0} (x_{Q^0} \wedge y_{Q^0})) \right] = d(x_{P^0},y_{P^0}) \oplus \left[ (x_{Q^0} \vee y_{Q^0}) (x_{Q^0} \wedge y_{Q^0}) \right] = d(x_{P^0},y_{P^0}) \oplus d(x_{Q^0},y_{Q^0}) = d(x,y). \end{array}$

Theorems 4 and 5 show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between isometries in A and internal direct decompositions of A with commutative second factor and that isometries in pseudo MV-algebras can be described similarly as isometries in lattice ordered groups.

Unlike isometries in pseudo MV-algebras, those in lattice ordered groups need not be 2-periodic. An isometry g in a lattice ordered group is 2-periodic iff g(g(0)) = 0.

#### REFERENCES

- CHANG, C. C.: Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958), 467–490.
- [2] DVUREČENSKIJ, A.: Pseudo MV-algebras are intervals in l-groups, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 72 (2002), 427–445.

- [3] DVUREČENSKIJ, A.—VETTERLEIN, T.: Pseudoeffect algebras. I. Basic properties; II. Group representations, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys. 40 (2001), 685–701; 703–726.
- [4] GEORGESCU, G.—IORGULESCU, A.: Pseudo MV algebras, Mult.-Valued Log. 6 (2001), 95–135.
- [5] GEORGESCU, G.—IORGULESCU, A.: Pseudo MV algebras: A non-commutative extension of MV-algebras. In: The Proceeding of the Fourth International Symposium on Economic Informatics, 6–9 May, INFOREC Printing House, Bucharest, 1999, pp. 961–968.
- [6] GLASS, A. M. W.: Partially Ordered Groups. Series in Algebra 7, World Scientific, Singapore, 1999.
- [7] JAKUBÍK, J.: Isometries of lattice ordered groups, Czechoslovak Math. J. 30(127) (1980), 142–152.
- [8] JAKUBÍK, J.: On isometries of non-abelian lattice ordered groups, Math. Slovaca 31 (1981), 171–175.
- [9] JAKUBÍK, J.: Direct product decomposition of MV-algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 44(119) (1992), 725–739.
- [10] JAKUBÍK, J.: Direct product decomposition of pseudo MV-algebras, Arch. Math. (Brno) 37 (2001), 131–142.
- [11] JAKUBÍK, J.: On intervals and isometries of MV-algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 52(127) (2002), 651–663.
- [12] JAKUBÍK, J.: Isometries of MV-algebras, Math. Slovaca 54 (2004), 43–48.
- [13] JASEM, M.: Weak isometries and direct decompositions of dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups, Math. Slovaca 43 (1993), 119–136.
- [14] JASEM, M.: Weak isometries in partially ordered groups, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.) 63 (1994), 259–265.
- [15] JASEM, M.: Weak isometries and direct decompositions of partially ordered groups groups, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. 5 (1995), 131–142.
- [16] JASEM, M.: Isometries in non-abelian multilattice groups, Math. Slovaca 46 (1996), 491–496.
- [17] KOVÁŘ, T.: A general theory of dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups. Ph.D. Thesis, Palacký University, Olomouc 1996.
- [18] KOVÁŘ, T.: On (weak) zero-fixing isometries in dually residuated lattice-ordered semigroups, Math. Slovaca 50 (2000), 123–125.
- [19] KUHR, J.: Pseudo BL-algebras and DRl-monoids, Math. Bohem. 128 (2003), 199–208.
- [20] KUHR, J.: Dually Residuated Lattice Ordered Monoids. Doctoral Thesis, Palacky University, Olomouc, 2003.
- [21] KUHR, J.: Prime ideals and polars in DRl-monoids and pseudo BL-algebras, Math. Slovaca 53 (2003), 233–246.
- [22] MUNDICI, D.: Interpretation of AF C\*-algebras in Lukasiewicz sentential calculus, J. Func. Anal. 65 (1986), 15–63.
- [23] RACHŮNEK, J.: Isometries in ordered groups, Czechoslovak Math. J. 34(127) (1984), 334–341.
- [24] RACHŮNEK, J.: DRl-semigroups and MV-algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 48(123) (1998), 365–372.
- [25] RACHŮNEK, J.: MV-algebras are categorically equivalent to a class of DRl<sub>1(i)</sub>-semi-groups, Math. Bohem. 123 (1998), 437–441.
- [26] RACHŮNEK, J.: Non-commutative generalization of MV-algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 52(127) (2002), 255–273.

- [27] SWAMY, K. L. M.: Isometries in autometrized lattice ordered groups, Algebra Universalis 8 (1978), 59–64.
- [28] SWAMY, K. L. M.— SUBBA RAO, B. V.: Isometries in dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 8 (1980), 369–379.
- [29] ŠALOUNOVÁ, D.: Lex-ideals of DRl-monoids and GMV-algebras, Math. Slovaca 53 (2003), 321–330.

Received 5.4.2005 Revised 7.6.2005 Department of Mathematics Faculty of Chemical Technology Slovak Technical University Radlinského 9 SK-812 37 Bratislava SLOVAK REPUBLIC

E-mail: milan.jasem@stuba.sk