

Generalization of Weierstrass Canonical Integrals

Olga Veselovska*

*Department of Applied Mathematics,
National University "Lviv Polytechnica",
S. Bandera str., 12 Lviv 79013 Ukraine*

Received 21 May 2004; accepted 19 July 2004

Abstract: In this paper we prove that a subharmonic function in $\mathbb{R}^m$ of finite λ -type can be represented (within some subharmonic function) as the sum of a generalized Weierstrass canonical integral and a function of finite λ -type which tends to zero uniformly on compacts of $\mathbb{R}^m$. The known Brelot-Hadamard representation of subharmonic functions in $\mathbb{R}^m$ of finite order can be obtained as a corollary from this result. Moreover, some properties of R -remainders of λ -admissible mass distributions are investigated.

© Central European Science Journals. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Subharmonic function, Weierstrass canonical integral, Brelot-Hadamard representation, subharmonic function of finite λ -type

MSC (2000): 31B05

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper $\mathbb{R}^m$ is the m -dimensional Euclidean space, S^m is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^m$ centered at the origin and ω_m is its surface area.

Let Δ denote the Laplace operator. If u is a subharmonic function in $\mathbb{R}^m$, then Δu is non-negative in the sense of generalized functions, and $\mu_u = \frac{1}{d_m \omega_m} \Delta u$ is a positive measure, which is called the Riesz mass distribution associated with u (see, e.g. [1, pp. 55–58], [13, p.43]). Here $d_2 = 1$ and $d_m = m - 2$ for $m > 2$.

For any integer $q \geq 0$, define

$$K_q(y; \zeta) = \begin{cases} \ln \left| 1 - \frac{y}{\zeta} \right| + \sum_{k=1}^q \frac{\left| \frac{y}{\zeta} \right|^k \cos k\varphi}{k}, & m = 2, \\ -\frac{1}{|y-\zeta|^{m-2}} + \frac{1}{|\zeta|^{m-2}} \sum_{k=0}^q \left| \frac{y}{\zeta} \right|^k C_k^\nu \left[\left(\frac{y}{|y|}, \frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|} \right) \right], & m > 2, \end{cases}$$

* Email: Vesel@online.com.ua

where φ is the angle between the radius-vectors of points $y, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^2$; $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^m$, $m > 2$, and C_k^ν are the Gegenbauer polynomials [2, pp. 302, 329], [12, p.125] of degree k and order $\nu = (m-2)/2$.

Let μ be a mass distribution in $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that $0 \notin \text{supp}\mu$ and $p = p_\mu$ denotes the least nonnegative integer number for which $\int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(t)}{t^{m+p-1}} < \infty$.

The function

$$J_p(y; \mu) = \int_{|\zeta| < \infty} K_p(y; \zeta) d\mu(\zeta)$$

is called the Weierstrass canonical integral of genus p [1, p. 78], [13, pp. 67–68]. It is a subharmonic function and μ is its associated Riesz mass distribution (see, e.g. [3, p. 163]).

Let u be a subharmonic function in $\mathbb{R}^m$ which is harmonic in some neighborhood of the origin, with $u(O) = 0$, and let λ be a positive continuous increasing function on $(0, +\infty)$, which is called the function of growth.

Put $B(r, u) = \max \{u(y) : |y| \leq r\}$.

Definition 1.1. [4] A subharmonic function u is called a function of finite λ -type if there exist constants A and B such that

$$B(r, u) \leq A \lambda(Br)$$

for all $r > 0$. The class of such functions is denoted by Λ_s .

It is known (see [5], [13, pp. 68–69]) that in the case $\lambda(r) = r^\varrho$, $\varrho > 0$, the subharmonic function $u \in \Lambda_s$ is represented in the form of sum

$$u(y) = J_p(y; \mu_u) + \mathcal{P}_n(y), \quad (1)$$

where $p \leq \varrho$ and $\mathcal{P}_n$ is a harmonic polynomial of degree $n \leq \varrho$. If we denote

$$u_R(y) = \int_{|\zeta| \leq R} K_p(y; \zeta) d\mu_u(\zeta) + \mathcal{P}_n(y) \quad (R > 0)$$

the sum (1) can be written as

$$u(y) = u_R(y) + \int_{|\zeta| > R} K_p(y; \zeta) d\mu_u(\zeta).$$

In addition, the Riesz mass distributions associated with the functions u and u_R coincide in the ball $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : |y| \leq R\}$, the function $u - u_R$ converges to zero uniformly on compacts of $\mathbb{R}^m$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, each of functions $u, u_R, u - u_R$ belongs to the class Λ_s (see, e.g. [1, pp. 79–80]).

We shall generalize this result to the case of arbitrary functions $u \in \Lambda_s$, subharmonic in $\mathbb{R}^m$, $m \geq 3$, with a more general growth. The analogous generalization for entire

functions f in the plane, such that $\ln |f| \in \Lambda_s$ was obtained by L. Rubel [6] and for subharmonic in $\mathbb{R}^2$ functions of finite λ -type by Ya. Vasylykiv [7].

Let $\alpha[\lambda]$ denote a lower order of λ defined by

$$\alpha[\lambda] = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \lambda(r)}{\ln r}.$$

Theorem 1.2. For every function $u \in \Lambda_s$ there exist a subharmonic function $h \not\equiv -\infty$, an unbounded set $\mathfrak{R}$ of positive numbers and a family $\{u_R : R \in \mathfrak{R}\}$ of subharmonic functions such that

- 1) the Riesz mass distributions associated with the functions u_R and $u + h$ coincide in the ball $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : |y| \leq R\}$ for all $R \in \mathfrak{R}$;
- 2) the difference $(u+h) - u_R$ tends to 0 uniformly on compacts of $\mathbb{R}^m$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, $R \in \mathfrak{R}$;
- 3) $h, u_R, (u+h) - u_R \in \Lambda_s$ for all $R \in \mathfrak{R}$.

If $\alpha[\lambda] = \infty$, then we can take $h \equiv 0$ and if $\ln \lambda(r)$ is convex in $\ln r$, then we can take $h \equiv 0$ and $\mathfrak{R} = \{R : R \geq R_0\}$ for some $R_0 > 0$.

Definition 1.3. The family of functions $\{u_R : R \in \mathfrak{R}\}$ defined by the preceding theorem is called the generalized Weierstrass canonical integral of function u .

In the next section we obtain some auxiliary results, which will be used for the proof of Theorem.

2 The remainders

Definition 2.1. [4] A mass distribution μ in $\mathbb{R}^m$, $0 \notin \text{supp } \mu$, is called λ -admissible, if there exist constants A, B and $l \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\left| \int_{r_1 < |y| \leq r_2} C_k^\nu \left[\left(x, \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \right] \frac{d\mu(y)}{|y|^{k+2\nu}} \right| \leq A(k+1)^l \left[\frac{\lambda(Br_1)}{r_1^k} + \frac{\lambda(Br_2)}{r_2^k} \right] \quad (2)$$

for all $r_1, r_2 > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $x \in S^m$. Here and below, $\nu = (m-2)/2$.

Put $\bar{V}_R^m = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : |y| \leq R\}$.

Definition 2.2. A mass distribution μ_R ($R > 0$) defined for any Borel set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ by the equality $\mu_R(G) = \mu(G \setminus \bar{V}_R^m)$ is called the R -remainder of μ .

Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be a non-empty set of positive numbers.

Definition 2.3. If the set $\mathfrak{R}$ is unbounded, the family of remainders $\{\mu_R : R \in \mathfrak{R}\}$ is called complete.

Definition 2.4. A family of remainders $\{\mu_R : R \in \mathfrak{R}\}$, $0 \notin \text{supp } \mu_R$, is called uniformly λ -admissible, if it satisfies the inequality (2) for all $r_1, r_2 > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $x \in S^m$ and $R \in \mathfrak{R}$.

A spherical harmonic or a spherical Laplace function of degree k ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$), denoted $Y^{(k)}$, is defined as the restriction of a homogeneous, harmonic polynomial of degree k on the unit sphere S^m (see, e.g. [8], [9]).

Let μ be a mass distribution in $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that $0 \notin \text{supp } \mu$, and let $Y = \{Y^{(k)}(x)\}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $Y^{(0)}(x) = 0$) be some sequence of spherical harmonics.

Definition 2.5. [4] The functions

$$c_k(x, r; Y, \mu) = Y^{(k)}(x) r^k + r^k \int_{|\zeta| \leq r} C_k^\nu \left[\left(x, \frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|} \right) \right] \frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{|\zeta|^{k+2\nu}} \\ - \frac{1}{r^{k+2\nu}} \int_{|\zeta| \leq r} |\zeta|^k C_k^\nu \left[\left(x, \frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|} \right) \right] d\mu(\zeta) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$$

are called the spherical harmonics of the pair (Y, μ) .

Proposition 2.6. Let μ be a λ -admissible mass distribution in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Then

- 1) there exists λ -admissible mass distribution $\mu' \geq \mu$ whose family of remainders $\{\mu'_R : R \in \mathfrak{R}\}$ is complete and uniformly λ -admissible;
- 2) for every such remainder μ'_R there exists the sequence $Y_R = \{Y_R^{(k)}(x)\}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $Y_R^{(0)}(x) = 0$, $R \in \mathfrak{R}$) of spherical harmonics such that

$$a) \quad |c_k(x, r; Y_R, \mu'_R)| \leq A(k+1)^l \lambda(Br) \quad (3)$$

for all $r > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $x \in S^m$, $R \in \mathfrak{R}$ and some positive constants A, B and $l \in \mathbb{R}_+$;

$$b) \quad \lim_{\mathfrak{R} \ni R \rightarrow \infty} c_k(x, r; Y_R, \mu'_R) = 0 \quad (4)$$

for all $r > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $x \in S^m$.

If $\alpha[\lambda] = \infty$, then we can take $\mu' = \mu$.

If $\ln \lambda(r)$ is convex in $\ln r$, then we can take $\mu' = \mu$ and $\{\mu'_R\} = \{\mu_R : R \geq R_0 > 0\}$.

The following lemma from [6] will be used in the proof of the last special case of Proposition.

Lemma 2.7. If $\ln \lambda(r)$ is convex in $\ln r$, then there is $R_0 > 0$ such that for every $R \geq R_0$ we can find $\sigma = \sigma(R) > 0$, for which

$$\frac{\lambda(BR)}{R^\sigma} = \inf_{r>0} \frac{\lambda(Br)}{r^\sigma}$$

holds. Here B is some positive constant.

Proof of statement 1) of Proposition. For $r > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, x \in S^m$ and any mass distribution μ in $\mathbb{R}^m$, we put

$$I_k(r; x, \mu) = \int_{|y| \leq r} C_k^\nu \left[\left(x, \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \right] \frac{d\mu(y)}{|y|^{k+2\nu}},$$

$$I_k(r_1, r_2; x, \mu) = I_k(r_2; x, \mu) - I_k(r_1; x, \mu) \quad (r_1 \leq r_2).$$

It follows from the equation $C_0^\nu(t) = 1$ [2, p.176], [12, p.125] that the functions $I_0(r; x, \mu)$, $I_0(r_1, r_2; x, \mu)$ are independent of x .

We distinguish three cases.

I. Let $\ln \lambda(r)$ be a convex in $\ln r$ function, let μ be a λ -admissible mass distribution in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and the numbers R, σ are as in Lemma 1. We shall show that inequality (2) holds for R -remainder of μ at $R \geq R_0$, where R_0 is defined in Lemma 2.7.

If $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq R$, then $I_k(r_1, r_2; x, \mu_R) = 0$.

If $R \leq r_1 \leq r_2$, then $I_k(r_1, r_2; x, \mu_R) = I_k(r_1, r_2; x, \mu)$ and therefore the mass distribution μ_R satisfies inequality (2) for all $R \in \mathfrak{R}$.

If $r_1 \leq R \leq r_2$, then $I_k(r_1, r_2; x, \mu_R) = I_k(R, r_2; x, \mu)$. The last expression doesn't exceed

$$A(k+1)^l \left[\frac{\lambda(BR)}{R^k} + \frac{\lambda(Br_2)}{r_2^k} \right],$$

where A, B are some positive constants and $l \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Let $k \geq \sigma$. Then, by Lemma 1,

$$\frac{\lambda(BR)}{R^k} = \frac{\lambda(BR)}{R^\sigma} \cdot \frac{1}{R^{k-\sigma}} \leq \frac{\lambda(Br_1)}{r_1^\sigma} \cdot \frac{1}{r_1^{k-\sigma}} = \frac{\lambda(Br_1)}{r_1^k}.$$

Suppose now that $k < \sigma$. In this case we have

$$\frac{\lambda(BR)}{R^k} = \frac{\lambda(BR)}{R^\sigma} \cdot \frac{1}{R^{k-\sigma}} \leq \frac{\lambda(Br_2)}{r_2^\sigma} \cdot \frac{1}{r_2^{k-\sigma}} = \frac{\lambda(Br_2)}{r_2^k}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\lambda(BR)}{R^k} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\lambda(Br_1)}{r_1^k}, \frac{\lambda(Br_2)}{r_2^k} \right\}$$

hence

$$|I_k(r_1, r_2; x, \mu_R)| \leq 2A(k+1)^l \left[\frac{\lambda(Br_1)}{r_1^k} + \frac{\lambda(Br_2)}{r_2^k} \right]$$

for all $r_1, r_2 > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, x \in S^m, R \in \mathfrak{R}$. If we choose $\mu' = \mu$ whose complete family of remainders is $\{\mu_R : R \geq R_0\}$, the statement 1) of Proposition is proved in the case I.

II. Let $\alpha[\lambda] = \infty, \lambda(0) > 0$. For any positive σ , put

$$R_\sigma = \max \left\{ R : \lambda(BR)/R^\sigma = \inf_{r>0} \lambda(Br)/r^\sigma \right\},$$

where B is some positive constant. Since $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(Br)/r^\sigma = \infty$ for every $\sigma > 0$ and λ is a continuous function, the numbers R_σ are defined correctly and they are positive.

As it is shown in [6] R_σ is an increasing unbounded function of σ . Therefore the family of remainders $\{\mu_{R_\sigma} : \sigma > 0\}$ is complete. Analogously to case I it is easy to verify that this family is uniformly λ -admissible.

III. Let $\alpha[\lambda] < \infty$. Then there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(r)/r^b = 0$ for all $b \geq d$. We denote by $\mathfrak{R}$ the set of positive numbers R satisfying the relation

$$\frac{\lambda(BR)}{R^d} = \inf_{r \leq R} \left\{ \frac{\lambda(Br)}{r^d} \right\}.$$

It is obvious that $\lim_{\mathfrak{R} \ni R \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(BR)/R^d = 0$.

Let construct the mass distribution μ' in the following way. Consider the function

$$Q(\eta; \xi) = D - \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{2\pi^{\nu+1}} \sum_{j=1}^d (j + \nu) C_j^\nu[(\eta, \xi)], \quad (5)$$

where the constant D is chosen in such a way that $Q(\eta, \xi) \geq 0$ for all $\eta \in S^m$ and all $\xi \in S^m$.

Let μ be λ -admissible mass distribution in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and let φ be an arbitrary function in the class $C_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ of continuous functions in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with compact support. Put

$$L(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Psi(\varphi; y) d\mu(y),$$

where

$$\Psi(\varphi; y) = \int_{S^m} \varphi(t\eta) Q(\eta; \xi) dS(\eta) \quad (y = t\xi, \quad t = |y|, \quad \xi \in S^m).$$

It is easy to see that $L(\varphi)$ is a linear continuous positive functional defined on $C_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$. We continue the functional L on the class of semicontinuous functions as this is done in [3, pp. 105–114] and denote the obtained continuation by $\tilde{L}$. If χ_G is the characteristic function of the set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, we define the measure $\tilde{\mu}$ associated with the functional $\tilde{L}$ by $\tilde{\mu}(G) = \tilde{L}(\chi_G)$.

Let χ_1 and χ_2 be the characteristic functions of the balls $\bar{V}_{r_1}^m$ and $\bar{V}_{r_2}^m$ respectively. Since $0 \notin \text{supp } \tilde{\mu}$, then in some neighborhood of the point $y = 0$, which doesn't intersect with $\text{supp } \tilde{\mu}$, we change the function $C_k^\nu[(x, y/|y|)]/|y|^{k+2\nu}$ (x is fixed), so that it becomes continuous in $\bar{V}_{r_1}^m$ and hence in $\bar{V}_{r_2}^m$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_k(r_1, r_2; x, \tilde{\mu}) &= I_k(r_2; x, \tilde{\mu}) - I_k(r_1; x, \tilde{\mu}) \\ &= \tilde{L} \left(\chi_2 \frac{C_k^\nu \left[\left(x, \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \right]}{|y|^{k+2\nu}} \right) - \tilde{L} \left(\chi_1 \frac{C_k^\nu \left[\left(x, \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \right]}{|y|^{k+2\nu}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Denote

$$F_k(x; y) = \frac{C_k^\nu \left[\left(x, \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \right]}{|y|^{k+2\nu}},$$

$$F_k^+(x; y) = \max\{0; F_k\}, \quad F_k^-(x; y) = -\min\{0; F_k\}.$$

Then $F_k = F_k^+ - F_k^-$ and

$$\tilde{L}(\chi_i F_k) = \tilde{L}(\chi_i F_k^+) - \tilde{L}(\chi_i F_k^-). \quad (7)$$

Here and below index i takes values 1, 2.

Since the balls $\bar{V}_{r_i}^m$ are compact subsets in $\mathbb{R}^m$, the functions χ_i are upper semicontinuous. Then, according to Theorem 1.4 from [3, p.22], there exist decreasing sequences $\{g_n^i\}$ of continuous functions such that $g_n^i \rightarrow \chi_i$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is obvious that every sequence $\{g_n^i\}$ can be chosen so that the supports of functions g_n^i are contained in some compact. Further, since F_k^+ is a nonnegative continuous function, the sequences $\{g_n^i F_k^+\}$ are monotone (decreasing in n) sequences of continuous functions with compact supports, moreover, $g_n^i F_k^+ \rightarrow \chi_i F_k^+$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 3.3 from [3, p.109] and definition of the functional L ,

$$\tilde{L}(\chi_i F_k^+) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(g_n^i F_k^+) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Psi(g_n^i F_k^+; y) d\mu(y).$$

From the non-negativity of function Q we conclude that sequences $\{g_n^i F_k^+ Q\}$ are monotone decreasing in n . Hence the sequences $\{\Psi(g_n^i F_k^+; y)\}$ are also monotone decreasing in n . Using Lebesgue's theorem about monotone convergence, we have

$$\tilde{L}(\chi_i F_k^+) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Psi(\chi_i F_k^+; y) d\mu(y).$$

Analogously $\tilde{L}(\chi_i F_k^-) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Psi(\chi_i F_k^-; y) d\mu(y)$.

Thus, taking into account equality (7), we obtain

$$\tilde{L}(\chi_i F_k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Psi(\chi_i F_k; y) d\mu(y).$$

Hence, by means of (6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} I_k(r_1, r_2; x, \tilde{\mu}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left\{ \int_{S^m} \chi_{1,2}(|y|\eta) \frac{C_k^\nu[(x, \eta)]}{|y|^{k+2\nu}} Q(\eta; \xi) dS(\eta) \right\} d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_{r_1 < |y| \leq r_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{|y|^{k+2\nu}} \int_{S^m} C_k^\nu[(x, \eta)] Q(\eta; \xi) dS(\eta) \right\} d\mu(y), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $y = |y|\xi$, $\xi \in S^m$, and $\chi_{1,2}$ is a characteristic function of ring $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : r_1 < |y| \leq r_2\}$. Denote

$$D_k(x; \xi) = \int_{S^m} C_k^\nu[(x, \eta)] Q(\eta; \xi) dS(\eta),$$

where the function Q is defined by relation (5).

At $k = 0$ in consequence of orthogonality of Gegenbauer polynomials [8, p. 179] we have $D_0(x; \xi) = D\omega_m$.

In the case $0 < k \leq d$, using the equalities [2, p. 238]

$$\int_{S^m} C_k^\nu[(x, \eta)] C_j^\nu[(\eta, \xi)] dS(\eta) = \begin{cases} 0, & k \neq j, \\ \frac{2\pi^{\nu+1}C_k^\nu[(x, \xi)]}{(k+\nu)\Gamma(\nu)}, & k = j, \end{cases}$$

we get $D_k(x; \xi) = -C_k^\nu[(x, \xi)]$.

If $k > d$, then $D_k(x; \xi) = 0$.

Therefore from (8) we conclude that

$$I_k(r_1, r_2; x, \tilde{\mu}) = \begin{cases} D \omega_m I_0(r_1, r_2; x, \mu), & k = 0, \\ -I_k(r_1, r_2; x, \mu), & 0 < k \leq d, \\ 0, & k > d. \end{cases}$$

Choose $\mu' = \mu + \tilde{\mu}$. Then, by virtue of the previous relations, the equalities

$$I_k(r_1, r_2; x, \mu') = \begin{cases} (1 + D \omega_m) I_0(r_1, r_2; x, \mu), & k = 0, \\ 0, & 0 < k \leq d, \\ I_k(r_1, r_2; x, \mu), & k > d \end{cases}$$

hold. Therefore it remains to verify that the remainders $\mu'_R (R \in \mathfrak{R})$ are uniformly λ -admissible when $k = 0$ and $k > d$. For this it is sufficient to consider the case $r_1 \leq R \leq r_2$.

Let $k = 0$. Then

$$|I_0(r_1, r_2; \mu'_R)| = (1 + D \omega_m) |I_0(R, r_2; \mu)| \leq (1 + D \omega_m) |I_0(r_1, r_2; \mu)| \leq A[\lambda(Br_1) + \lambda(Br_2)],$$

where A and B are some positive constants.

If $k > d$, we have

$$|I_k(r_1, r_2; x, \mu'_R)| = |I_k(R, r_2; x, \mu)| \leq A(k + 1)^l \left[\frac{\lambda(BR)}{R^k} + \frac{\lambda(Br_2)}{r_2^k} \right]$$

for $A, B > 0$ and $l \in \mathbb{R}_+$. But

$$\frac{\lambda(BR)}{R^k} = \frac{\lambda(BR)}{R^d} \cdot \frac{1}{R^{k-d}} \leq \frac{\lambda(Br_1)}{r_1^d} \cdot \frac{1}{r_1^{k-d}} = \frac{\lambda(Br_1)}{r_1^k}$$

and the proof of statement 1) of Proposition is completed.

Proof of statement 2) of Proposition. At first let prove relation (3). Let $k = 0$. For arbitrary mass distribution μ in $\mathbb{R}^m$, define

$$N(r, \mu) = (m - 2) \int_0^r \frac{n(t, \mu)}{t^{m-1}} dt,$$

where $n(t, \mu) = \int_{|\tau| \leq t} d\mu(\tau)$. Then the necessary relation can be obtained from the equation $c_0(x, r; Y_R, \mu'_R) = N(r, \mu'_R)$ (see [4]) and the uniform λ -admissibility of family of remainders $\{\mu'_R : R \in \mathfrak{R}\}$.

Suppose now that $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. In this case we shall choose the sequence $\{Y_R^{(k)}(x)\}$ of spherical harmonics in the same way as in [10] and [4].

If $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(Br)r^{-k} > 0$ holds for all k , we put $p[\lambda] = \infty$, otherwise we put

$$p[\lambda] = \min \left\{ k : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(Br)r^{-k} = 0 \right\}.$$

Let $1 \leq k < p[\lambda]$. Then $\inf \{\lambda(Br)r^{-k} : r > 0\} > 0$. Therefore for such k there exists r_k such that $\lambda(Br_k)r_k^{-k} \leq 2\lambda(Br)r^{-k}$ for all $r > 0$. In this case we choose $Y_R^{(k)}(x) = -I_k(r_k; x, \mu'_R)$.

Suppose that $k \geq p[\lambda]$. Then there is the sequence $\{\varrho_j\}$, $\varrho_j \uparrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(B\varrho_j) \varrho_j^{-p[\lambda]} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Since

$$|I_k(\varrho_i; x, \mu'_R) - I_k(\varrho_j; x, \mu'_R)| \leq A(k+1)^l \left[\frac{\lambda(B\varrho_i)}{\varrho_i^k} + \frac{\lambda(B\varrho_j)}{\varrho_j^k} \right]$$

for $A, B > 0$ and $l \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then from (9) we find that the sequence $\{I_k(\varrho_j; x, \mu'_R)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is a Cauchy sequence for fixed x, k and R . Therefore for $k \geq p[\lambda]$ we put $Y_R^{(k)}(x) = -\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} I_k(\varrho_j; x, \mu'_R)$. By virtue of such choice of sequence $Y_R = \{Y_R^{(k)}(x)\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| Y_R^{(k)}(x) + \int_{|y| \leq r} C_k^\nu \left[\left(x, \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \right] \frac{d\mu'_R(y)}{|y|^{k+2\nu}} \right| = |I_k(r; x, \mu'_R) - I_k(r_k; x, \mu'_R)| \\ & \leq A(k+1)^l \left[\frac{\lambda(Br_k)}{r_k^k} + \frac{\lambda(Br)}{r^k} \right] \leq 3A(k+1)^l \frac{\lambda(Br)}{r^k} \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq k < p[\lambda]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| Y_R^{(k)}(x) + \int_{|y| \leq r} C_k^\nu \left[\left(x, \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \right] \frac{d\mu'_R(y)}{|y|^{k+2\nu}} \right| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |I_k(r; x, \mu'_R) - I_k(\varrho_j; x, \mu'_R)| \\ & \leq A(k+1)^l \left[\frac{\lambda(Br)}{r^k} + \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(B\varrho_j)}{\varrho_j^k} \right] \leq A(k+1)^l \frac{\lambda(Br)}{r^k} \end{aligned}$$

for $k \geq p[\lambda]$. Therefore

$$|c_k(x, r; Y_R, \mu'_R)| \leq 3A(k+1)^l \lambda(Br) + \frac{1}{r^{2\nu}} \left| \int_{|y| \leq r} \left(\frac{|y|}{r} \right)^k C_k^\nu \left[\left(x, \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \right] d\mu'_R(y) \right|.$$

Applying inequality $n(r, \mu')/(2r^{2\nu}) \leq N(2r, \mu')$ (see [4]) to the last addend, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r^{2\nu}} \left| \int_{|y| \leq r} \left(\frac{|y|}{r} \right)^k C_k^\nu \left[\left(x, \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \right] d\mu'_R(y) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{r^{2\nu}} C_k^\nu(1) \int_{|y| \leq r} d\mu'_R(y) \\ &= C_k^\nu(1) \frac{n(r, \mu'_R)}{r^{2\nu}} \leq 2 C_k^\nu(1) N(2r, \mu'_R). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking into account relation $C_k^\nu(1) = O(k^{2\nu-1})$, $k \rightarrow \infty$ (see [9]) and uniform λ -admissibility of family of remainders $\{\mu'_R : R \in \mathfrak{R}\}$, we obtain estimate (3) for some possibly other constants A, B and $l = m - 3$.

It remains to proof the relation (4). Since the integrals

$$\int_{|y| \leq r} C_k^\nu \left[\left(x, \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \right] \frac{d\mu'_R(y)}{|y|^{k+2\nu}}, \quad \int_{|y| \leq r} |y|^k C_k^\nu \left[\left(x, \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \right] d\mu'_R(y)$$

are equal to zero for all $R > r$, then it is sufficient to show that $Y_R^{(k)}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathfrak{R} \ni R \rightarrow \infty$. The last is obvious from the definition of spherical harmonics $Y_R^{(k)}$ possibly with the exception of the case $k \geq p[\lambda]$, $p[\lambda] < \infty$. In this case by the inequation (3), we have $|Y_R^{(k)}(x) r^k| \leq A(k+1)^l \lambda(Br)$ for $r < R$ and therefore (letting $r \rightarrow R$)

$$|Y_R^{(k)}(x)| \leq A(k+1)^l \frac{\lambda(BR)}{R^k} \leq A(k+1)^l \frac{\lambda(BR)}{R^{p[\lambda]}}$$

since $k \geq p[\lambda]$.

From the construction of family $\mathfrak{R}$ (with $d = p[\lambda]$ in section III of the proof of statement 1) of Proposition) it follows that $\lim_{\mathfrak{R} \ni R \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(BR)/R^{p[\lambda]} = 0$, from which $\lim_{\mathfrak{R} \ni R \rightarrow \infty} Y_R^{(k)}(x) = 0$. This completes the proof of Proposition.

Let $f \in L^1(S^m)$, then the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y^{(k)}(x; f)$ is called its Fourier-Laplace series. Here

$$Y^{(k)}(x; f) = a_1^{(k)} Y_1^{(k)}(x) + a_2^{(k)} Y_2^{(k)}(x) + \dots + a_{\gamma_k}^{(k)} Y_{\gamma_k}^{(k)}(x),$$

$\{Y_1^{(k)}, Y_2^{(k)}, \dots, Y_{\gamma_k}^{(k)}\}$ is the orthonormal base, $a_i^{(k)} = (f, Y_i^{(k)})$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \gamma_k$).

In the case $m = 2$ we have the trigonometric Fourier series.

Denote $u_r(x) = u(rx)$, $r > 0$, $x \in S^m$.

Definition 2.8. [10] The functions

$$c_k(x, r; u) = Y^{(k)}(x; u_r) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, x \in S^m)$$

are called the spherical harmonics associated with the function u .

Lemma 2.9. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be an unbounded set of positive numbers, and let $\{g_R : R \in \mathfrak{R}\}$ ($g_R(0) = 0$) be a family of subharmonic functions such that

- a) the Riesz mass distribution associated with the function g_R is equal to 0 in the ball $\bar{V}_R^m$;
- b) $|c_k(x, r; g_R)| \leq A(k+1)^l \lambda(Br)$
for some positive constants $A, B, l \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and for all $r > 0, x \in S^m, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, R \in \mathfrak{R}$;
- c) $\lim_{\mathfrak{R} \ni R \rightarrow \infty} c_k(x, r; g_R) = 0$
for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, r > 0$ and $x \in S^m$.

Then $\lim_{\mathfrak{R} \ni R \rightarrow \infty} g_R(y) = 0$ uniformly on compacts of $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Proof. Since by virtue of condition a) the function g_R is harmonic in the ball $\bar{V}_R^m$, we can apply Poisson-Jensen's formula [3, pp. 139–140] to it. For $r < r^* < R$ we obtain

$$g_R(rx) = \frac{(r^*)^{2\nu}}{\omega_m} \int_{S^m} \frac{[(r^*)^2 - r^2] g_R(r^* \xi) dS(\xi)}{[(r^*)^2 - 2r^*r(x, \xi) + r^2]^{\nu+1}} \quad (x \in S^m),$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Expanding the Poisson integral in series in spherical harmonics (see [10]), we have

$$g_R(rx) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{r^*} \right)^k Y^{(k)}(x; (g_R)_{r^*}).$$

Choose $r^* = 2r$. Then $|g_R(rx)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} |c_k(x, 2r; g_R)|$. For fixed $r > 0$ and $x \in S^m$ the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} |c_k(x, 2r; g_R)|$ is functional one, defined on $\mathfrak{R}$. From condition b) by Weierstrass indication this series converges uniformly on $\mathfrak{R}$. Let $S(R)$ be its sum. Then

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} S(R) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} |c_k(x, 2r; g_R)| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |c_k(x, 2r; g_R)| = 0.$$

Therefore we get $\lim_{\mathfrak{R} \ni R \rightarrow \infty} g_R(rx) = 0$ uniformly in $r \leq r_0 < r^*$.

3 Proof of Theorem

Let $\mu = \mu_u$ and let $\mu', \mathfrak{R}, Y_R$ and $c_k(x, r; Y_R, \mu'_R)$ be such as in Proposition. By Theorem 1 from [4], in consequence of λ -admissibility of mass distribution μ' , there exists function $u^* \in \Lambda_s$ whose Riesz mass distribution is μ' . We shall assume that $u^*(0) = 0$. According to Lemma 4 from [4], there are subharmonic functions v_R , with $v_R(0) = 0$, such that $c_k(x, r; v_R) = c_k(x, r; Y_R, \mu'_R)$ for all $r > 0, x \in S^m, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and also $\mu_{v_R} = \mu'_R$ for every $R \in \mathfrak{R}$. Since the mass distributions μ'_R are λ -admissible, then by Theorem 1 from [4] functions v_R belong to the class Λ_s . Moreover, by Lemma 2 when $\mathfrak{R} \ni R \rightarrow \infty$ these functions tend to 0 uniformly on compacts of $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Put $u_R = u^* - v_R$ and $h = u^* - u$. It is obvious that the functions u_R and h are subharmonic and their Riesz mass distributions satisfy condition 1) of Theorem. Since

$(u + h) - u_R = u^* - u_R = v_R$, condition 2) is true. Condition 3) we obtain from [11, Theorem 1].

The last conditions of Theorem immediately follow from Proposition.

The well-known representation of subharmonic functions in $\mathbb{R}^m$ of finite order can be obtained as a corollary from Theorem, if we take $\lambda(r) = r^\beta$, $\beta > \varrho$, $[\beta] = q$ (with ϱ and q as in the introduction), $h \equiv 0$, $\mathfrak{R} = \{R : R \geq R_0\}$ at some $R_0 > 0$, and $u_R(y) = \int_{|\zeta| \leq R} K(y; \zeta) d\mu(\zeta) + \Phi_R(y)$, where $K(y; \zeta) = -|y - \zeta|^{-2\nu}$, and $\Phi_R(y)$ is harmonic in y for every $R \in \mathfrak{R}$.

References

- [1] L.I. Ronkin: *Introduction into the theory of entire functions of several variables*, Nauka, Moscow, 1971. [Russian]
- [2] A. Bateman, A. Erdelyi: *Higher transcendental functions*, **2**, Nauka, 1974. [Russian]
- [3] W.K. Hayman, R.B. Kennedy: *Subharmonic functions*, Acad. Press, London, 1976.
- [4] A.A. Kondratyuk: “Spherical harmonics and subharmonic functions (Russian)”, *Mat. Sb.*, Vol. 125, (1984), pp. 147–166. [English translation in *Math. USSR, Sb.* 53, (1986), pp. 147–167]
- [5] B. Azarin: *Theory of growth of subharmonic functions*, Texts of lecture, part 2, Krakov, (1982). [Russian]
- [6] vL.A. Rubel: *A generalized canonical product*, In trans.: Modern problems of theory of analytic functions, Nauka, Moscow (1966), pp. 264–270.
- [7] Ya.V. Vasylykiv, *An investigation asymptotic characteristics of entire and subharmonic functions by method of Fourier series*, Abstract dissertation, Donetsk, 1986. (Russian)
- [8] E. Stein, G. Weiss: *Introduction to Fourier analysis of Euclidean spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1971.
- [9] H. Berens, P.L. Butzer, S. Pawelke: “Limitierungs verfahren von Reihen mehrdimensionaler Kugelfunktionen und deren Saturationsverhalten”, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, Vol. 4, (1968), pp. 201–268.
- [10] A.A. Kondratyuk: “On the method of spherical harmonics for subharmonic functions (Russian)”, *Mat. Sb.*, Vol. 116, (1981), pp. 147–165. [English translation in *Math. USSR, Sb.* 44, (1983), pp. 133–148]
- [11] O.V. Veselovska: “Analog of Miles theorem for δ -subharmonic functions in $\mathbb{R}^m$ ”, *Ukr. Math. J.*, Vol. 36, (1984), pp. 694–698. [Ukrainian]
- [12] N.N. Lebedev: *Special functions and their applications*, Revised edition, translated from the Russian and edited by Richard A. Silverman, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1972.
- [13] L.I. Ronkin: *Functions of completely regular growth*, translated from the Russian by A. Ronkin and I. Yedvabnik, Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), Vol. 81, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1992.