

Localization of LM_n - algebras

Florentina Chirteş*

*Department of Mathematics,
University of Craiova,
Al. I. Cuza Street, 13, 200585-Craiova, Romania*

Received 29 July 2004; accepted 9 December 2004

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to define the localization LM_n - algebra of an LM_n -algebra L with respect to a topology \mathcal{F} on L ; In Section 5 we prove that the maximal LM_n - algebra of fractions (defined in [3]) and the LM_n - algebra of fractions relative to an \wedge - closed system (defined in Section 2) are LM_n - algebras of localization.

© Central European Science Journals. All rights reserved.

Keywords: LM_n - algebra, topology, \mathcal{F} - multiplier, multiplier, LM_n - algebra of fractions, maximal LM_n - algebra of fractions, LM_n - algebra of localization

MSC (2000): 03D20, 06G30

Introduction

The concept of multiplier for distributive lattices was defined by W. H. Cornish in [7]. J. Schmid used multipliers in order to give a non-standard construction of the maximal lattice of quotients for a distributive lattice (see [13]). A direct treatment of the lattices of quotients can be found in [14]. In [10], G. Georgescu exhibited the localization lattice $L_{\mathcal{F}}$ of a distributive lattice L with respect to a topology \mathcal{F} on L mimicking the familiar construction for rings (see [12]) or monoids (see [15]). For the case of Hilbert and Heyting algebras see [4] and [8].

The concepts of LM_n - algebra of fractions relative to an \wedge - closed system, LM_n - algebra of fractions and maximal LM_n - algebra of fractions was defined by the author in Section 2 and [3].

* E-mail: chirtes@central.ucv.ro

1 Definitions and preliminaries

Let n be an integer, $n \geq 2$.

Definition 1.1. ([2]) An n -valued Lukasiewicz – Moisil algebra (shortly, LM_n -algebra) is an algebra $\mathcal{L} = (L, \wedge, \vee, N, 0, 1, \{\varphi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1})$ of type $(2, 2, 1, 0, 0, \{1\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1.1) $(L, \wedge, \vee, N, 0, 1)$ is a De Morgan algebra,
- (1.2) $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1} : L \rightarrow L$ are bounded lattice morphisms such that for every $x, y \in L$:
 - (1.2.1) $\varphi_i(x) \vee N\varphi_i(x) = 1$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$,
 - (1.2.2) $\varphi_i(x) \wedge N\varphi_i(x) = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$,
 - (1.2.3) $\varphi_i\varphi_j(x) = \varphi_j(x)$ for every $i, j = 1, \dots, n - 1$,
 - (1.2.4) $\varphi_i(Nx) = N\varphi_j(x)$ for every $i, j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ with $i + j = n$,
 - (1.2.5) $\varphi_1(x) \leq \varphi_2(x) \leq \dots \leq \varphi_{n-1}(x)$,
 - (1.2.6) If $\varphi_i(x) = \varphi_i(y)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, then $x = y$.

The relation (1.2.6) is called the *determination principle*. As consequences of the *determination principle* we obtain:

- (1.2.7) If $x, y \in L$, then $x \leq y$ iff $\varphi_i(x) \leq \varphi_i(y)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$,
- (1.2.8.) $\varphi_1(x) \leq x \leq \varphi_{n-1}(x)$ for all $x \in L$.

We denote an LM_n -algebra $\mathcal{L} = (L, \wedge, \vee, N, 0, 1, \{\varphi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1})$ by its universe L .

Remark 1.2. The endomorphisms $\{\varphi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$ are called *chrysippian endomorphisms*.

Examples:

1. Let $L_n = \{0, \frac{1}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{n-2}{n-1}, 1\}$. We define $x \vee y = \max\{x, y\}$, $x \wedge y = \min\{x, y\}$, $Nx = 1 - x$ ($N(\frac{j}{n-1}) = \frac{n-1-j}{n-1}$) and $\varphi_i : L_n \rightarrow L_n, \varphi_i(\frac{j}{n-1}) = 0$ if $i + j < n$ and 1 if $i + j \geq n$, for $i, j = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Then $(L_n, \wedge, \vee, N, 0, 1, \{\varphi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1})$ is an LM_n -algebra.

2. If $(B, \wedge, \vee, ', 0, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra, then $(B, \wedge, \vee, ', 0, 1, \{\varphi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1})$ is an LM_n -algebra, where $\varphi_i = 1_B$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

3. Let $(B, \vee, \wedge, ', 0, 1)$ a Boolean algebra and $D(B) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in B^{n-1} : x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_{n-1}\}$. We define pointwise the infimum and the supremum, $N(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (x'_{n-1}, \dots, x'_1)$ and $\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (x_i, \dots, x_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Then $(D(B), \wedge, \vee, N, 0, 1, \{\varphi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1})$ is an LM_n -algebra.

In the rest of this paper, by L we denote an LM_n -algebra.

We denote by $C(L)$ the set of all *complemented elements* of L and we call it the *center* of L ; it is easy to see that $(C(L), \vee, \wedge, N, 0, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra.

Lemma 1.3. ([2]) Let L be an LM_n -algebra. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $e \in C(L)$,

- (ii) there are $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ and $x \in L$ such that $e = \varphi_i(x)$,
- (iii) there is $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ such that $e = \varphi_i(e)$,
- (iv) $e = \varphi_i(e)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$,
- (v) $\varphi_i(e) = \varphi_j(e)$ for every $i, j = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Remark 1.4. *If $x \in L$, then $\varphi_i(x) \in C(L)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.*

Lemma 1.5. *([2]) Let L be an LM_n -algebra. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $e \in C(L)$,
- (ii) $Ne \in C(L)$,
- (iii) $e \wedge Ne = 0$,
- (iv) $e \vee Ne = 1$.

Lemma 1.6. *If L is an LM_n -algebra, then for every $x \in L$, $x \wedge \varphi_1(Nx) = 0$ which is equivalent to $x \wedge N\varphi_{n-1}(x) = 0$.*

Proof. For every $x \in L$ we have $x \leq \varphi_{n-1}(x)$, so

$$x \wedge \varphi_1(Nx) = x \wedge N\varphi_{n-1}(x) \leq \varphi_{n-1}(x) \wedge N\varphi_{n-1}(x) = 0 \text{ (by(1.2.2))},$$

hence $x \wedge \varphi_1(Nx) = 0$. □

Theorem 1.7. *([1]) For an LM_n -algebra L (with $0 \neq 1$), the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $C(L) = \{0, 1\}$,
- (ii) L is a chain,
- (iii) L is subdirectly irreducible.

Corollary 1.8. *([2]) Every chain which is an LM_n -algebra is finite.*

Definition 1.9. *([2]) Let L and L' be LM_n -algebras. A function $f : L \rightarrow L'$ is a morphism of LM_n -algebras iff it satisfies the following conditions, for every $x, y \in L$:*

- (i) $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$,
- (ii) $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$,
- (iii) $f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1$,
- (iv) $f(\varphi_i(x)) = \varphi_i(f(x))$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Remark 1.10. *It follows (from 1.2.4 and 1.2.6) that*

$$f(Nx) = Nf(x)$$

for every $x \in L$.

We denote by \mathbf{LM}_n the category of LM_n -algebras.

Definition 1.11. ([2]) Let L an LM_n -algebra. We say that a nonempty subset $I \subseteq L$ is an n -ideal if I is an ideal of the lattice L and if $x \in I$, then $\varphi_{n-1}(x) \in I$.

Remark 1.12. From (1.2.5) we deduce that if $I \subseteq L$ is an n -ideal and $x \in I$, then $\varphi_i(x) \in I$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

We denote by $Idn(L)$ the set of all n -ideals of the LM_n -algebra L and by $Id(C(L))$ the set of all ideals of the Boolean algebra $C(L)$.

If $X \subseteq L$ is a nonempty set, we denote by $\langle X \rangle$ the n -ideal generated by X . We have that:

$$\langle X \rangle = \{y \in L : \text{there exist } p \geq 1 \text{ and } x_1, \dots, x_p \in X \text{ such that } y \leq \varphi_{n-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^p x_i)\}.$$

In particular, for $a \in L$, $\langle a \rangle = \{x \in L : x \leq \varphi_{n-1}(a)\}$ and if $a \in C(L)$, then $\langle a \rangle = \{x \in L : x \leq a\} = (a]$.

Let I be an n -ideal and $x \in L$. We denote by $(I : x) = \{y \in L : x \wedge y \in I\}$.

Lemma 1.13. The set $(I : x)$ is an n -ideal.

Proof. Let $y_1, y_2 \in (I : x)$. Then $x \wedge y_1, x \wedge y_2 \in I$, hence $x \wedge (y_1 \vee y_2) = (x \wedge y_1) \vee (x \wedge y_2) \in I$, that is, $y_1 \vee y_2 \in (I : x)$.

If $y_1 \in (I : x)$ and $y_2 \leq y_1$, then $x \wedge y_1 \in I$ and $x \wedge y_2 \leq x \wedge y_1$, hence $x \wedge y_2 \in I$, that is, $y_2 \in (I : x)$.

If $y \in (I : x)$ then $x \wedge y \in I$, hence $\varphi_{n-1}(x) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(y) = \varphi_{n-1}(x \wedge y) \in I$. But $x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(y) \leq \varphi_{n-1}(x) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(y)$, so $x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(y) \in I$, that is, $\varphi_{n-1}(y) \in (I : x)$.

Remark 1.14. ([10]) If I is an n -ideal of L , then $I^b = I \cap C(L)$ is an ideal of the Boolean algebra $C(L)$; also, every ideal J of $C(L)$ induce an n -ideal $\varphi_{n-1}^{-1}(J)$ of L . The mappings $I \mapsto I^b, J \mapsto \varphi_{n-1}^{-1}(J)$ establish a bijection between the n -ideals of L and the ideals of $C(L)$.

Definition 1.15. ([2]) A congruence on an LM_n -algebra L is an equivalence relation on L compatible with the operations $\wedge, \vee, N, \varphi_i$, for every $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Proposition 1.16. ([2]) For an equivalence relation ρ on an LM_n -algebra L , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) ρ is a congruence on L ,
- (2) ρ is compatible with \wedge, \vee, φ_i , for every $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

2 LM_n -algebra of fractions relative to an \wedge -closed system

Definition 2.1. A nonempty subset $S \subseteq L$ is called \wedge -closed system in L if

$$(2.1) \quad 1 \in S,$$

$$(2.2) \quad x, y \in S \text{ implies } x \wedge y \in S,$$

(2.3) $x \in S$ implies $\varphi_{n-1}(x) \in S$.

We denote by $S(L)$ the set of all \wedge -closed systems of L (clearly $\{1\}$, $L \in S(L)$).

For $S \in S(L)$, on the LM_n -algebra L we consider the relation θ_S defined by

$$(x, y) \in \theta_S \text{ iff there exists } s \in S \text{ such that } x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s).$$

Lemma 2.2. θ_S is a congruence on L .

Proof. The reflexivity (since $1 \in S$) and the symmetry of θ_S are immediately. To prove the transitivity of θ_S , let $(x, y), (y, z) \in \theta_S$. Thus, there are $s, s' \in S$ such that $x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)$ and $y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s') = z \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s')$. If denote $s'' = s \wedge s' \in S$, then $x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s'') = x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s \wedge s') = (x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s') = (y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s') = y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s') \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = z \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s') \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = z \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s \wedge s') = z \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s'')$, hence $(x, z) \in \theta_S$.

To prove the compatibility of θ_S with the operations \wedge, \vee , and φ_i for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, let $x, y, z, t \in L$ such that $(x, y), (z, t) \in \theta_S$. Thus there are $s, s' \in S$ such that $x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)$ and $z \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s') = t \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s')$. If we denote $s'' = s \wedge s' \in S$, then $(x \wedge z) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s'') = (y \wedge t) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s'')$, hence $(x \wedge z, y \wedge t) \in \theta_S$.

From $x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s'') = y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s'')$, $z \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s'') = t \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s'')$ and the distributivity of L we deduce $(x \vee z) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s'') = (y \vee t) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s'')$, that is, $(x \vee z, y \vee t) \in \theta_S$.

From $x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)$ we deduce that $\varphi_i(x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)) = \varphi_i(y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s))$, for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, that is, $\varphi_i(x) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = \varphi_i(y) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)$, hence $(\varphi_i(x), \varphi_i(y)) \in \theta_S$. \square

For $x \in L$ we denote by x/S the equivalence class of x relative to θ_S and by

$$L[S] = L/\theta_S.$$

By $p_S : L \rightarrow L[S]$ we denote the canonical map defined by $p_S(x) = x/S$, for every $x \in L$. Clearly, in $L[S]$, $\mathbf{0} = 0/S$, $\mathbf{1} = 1/S$ and for every $x, y \in L$,

$$x/S \wedge y/S = (x \wedge y)/S$$

$$x/S \vee y/S = (x \vee y)/S$$

$$N(x/S) = (Nx)/S$$

$$\bar{\varphi}_i : L[S] \rightarrow L[S], \bar{\varphi}_i(x/S) = (\varphi_i(x))/S \text{ for every } i = 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

(for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, $\bar{\varphi}_i$ is correct defined because for $x, y \in L$ such that $x/S = y/S$, there exists $s \in S$ such that $x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)$, so, $\varphi_i(x) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = \varphi_i(y) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)$, that is, $\varphi_i(x)/S = \varphi_i(y)/S$).

Remark 2.3. Since for every $s \in S$, $\varphi_{n-1}(s) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = 1 \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)$, we deduce that $\varphi_{n-1}(s)/S = 1/S = \mathbf{1}$, hence $p_S(\varphi_{n-1}(S)) = \{\mathbf{1}\}$.

Proposition 2.4. *If $a \in L$, then $a/S \in C(L[S])$ iff there exists $s \in S$ such that $a \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) \in C(L)$. So, if $a \in C(L)$, then $a/S \in C(L[S])$.*

Proof. For $a \in L$, we have $a/S \in C(L[S])$ iff $\bar{\varphi}_i(a/S) = a/S$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, that is, $\varphi_i(a)/S = a/S$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. So, $(\varphi_i(a), a) \in \theta_S$, which it means that there exists $s \in S$ such that $\varphi_i(a) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = a \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)$, that is, $\varphi_i(a \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)) = a \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)$, hence $a \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) \in C(L)$.

If $a \in C(L)$, since $1 \in S$ and $a \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(1) = a \in C(L)$, we deduce that $a/S \in C(L[S])$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *If L is an LM_n -algebra and $f : L \rightarrow L'$ is a morphism of LM_n -algebras such that $f(\varphi_{n-1}(S)) = \{1\}$, then, there is a unique morphism of LM_n -algebras $f' : L[S] \rightarrow L'$, such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L & \xrightarrow{p_S} & L[S] \\
 & \searrow f & \swarrow f' \\
 & & L'
 \end{array}$$

is commutative (i.e. $f' \circ p_S = f$).

Proof. If $x, y \in L$ and $p_S(x) = p_S(y)$, then $(x, y) \in \theta_S$, hence, there is $s \in S$ such that $x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)$. Since f is morphism of LM_n -algebras, we obtain that $f(x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)) = f(y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s))$, that is, $f(x) \wedge f(\varphi_{n-1}(s)) = f(y) \wedge f(\varphi_{n-1}(s))$. But $f(\varphi_{n-1}(s)) = 1$, so $f(x) \wedge 1 = f(y) \wedge 1$, that is, $f(x) = f(y)$.

We deduce that the map $f' : L[S] \rightarrow L'$ defined for $x \in L$ by $f'(x/S) = f(x)$ is correct defined. Clearly, f' is a morphism of LM_n -algebras. The unicity of f' follows from the fact that p_S is an onto map. \square

Remark 2.6. *The previous theorem allows us to call $L[S]$ the LM_n -algebra of fractions relative to the \wedge -closed system S .*

Examples:

- (1) If $S = \{1\}$ then θ_S is the identity congruence of L , hence $L[S] = L$.
- (2) If S is an \wedge -closed system such that $0 \in S$ (for example $S = L$ or $S = C(L)$), then for every $x, y \in L$, $(x, y) \in \theta_S$ (since $x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(0) = y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(0)$), hence in this case $L[S] = \{0\}$.

3 Topologies on an LM_n -algebra

Definition 3.1. ([10]) *A non-empty set \mathcal{F} of n -ideals of L will be called a topology on L if the following properties hold:*

- (T_1) *If $I \in \mathcal{F}$, $x \in L$ then $(I : x) \in \mathcal{F}$,*

(T_2) If $I_1, I_2 \in \text{Idn}(L)$ and $I_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, if $(I_1 : x) \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $x \in I_2$, then $I_1 \in \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 3.2. ([10]) If \mathcal{F} is a topology on L , then:

- (i) If $I_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ and I_2 is an n -ideal with $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, then $I_2 \in \mathcal{F}$,
- (ii) If $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, then $I_1 \cap I_2 \in \mathcal{F}$,
- (iii) $(\mathcal{F} \cup \{\emptyset\}, L)$ is a topological space.

Remark 3.3. ([10]) Any intersection of topologies on L is a topology, hence the set $\mathcal{G}(L)$ of the topologies of L is a complete lattice with respect to inclusion.

Examples:

1. If $I \in \text{Idn}(L)$, then the set

$$\mathcal{F}(I) = \{I' \in \text{Idn}(L) : I \subseteq I'\}$$

is a topology on L .

2. A non-empty set $I \subseteq L$ will be called *regular* if for every $x, y \in L$ such that $e \wedge x = e \wedge y$ for every $e \in I$, then $x = y$. If we denote

$$R(L) = \{I \subseteq L : I \text{ is a regular subset of } L\},$$

then $\text{Idn}(L) \cap R(L)$ is a topology on L .

3. For any \wedge -closed subset S of L (see Definition 2.1) we set

$$\mathcal{F}_S = \{I \in \text{Idn}(L) : I \cap S \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then \mathcal{F}_S is a topology on L .

4 \mathcal{F} -multipliers and localization LM_n -algebra

Let \mathcal{F} be a topology on L . We consider the relation $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ of L

$$(x, y) \in \theta_{\mathcal{F}} \text{ iff there exists } I \in \mathcal{F} \text{ such that } e \wedge x = e \wedge y \text{ for every } e \in I.$$

Lemma 4.1. $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a congruence on L .

Proof. The reflexivity and the symmetry of $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ are immediate; in order to prove the transitivity of $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ let $(x, y), (y, z) \in \theta_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then, there exists $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $e \wedge x = e \wedge y$ for every $e \in I_1$ and $f \wedge y = f \wedge z$ for every $f \in I_2$. If we set $I = I_1 \cap I_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, then for every $g \in I$, $g \wedge x = g \wedge z$, hence $(x, z) \in \theta_{\mathcal{F}}$.

For the compatibility of $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ with the operations \wedge, \vee let $x, y, z, t \in L$ such that $(x, y), (z, t) \in \theta_{\mathcal{F}}$, that is, there exists $I, J \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $e \wedge x = e \wedge y$ for every $e \in I$, and $f \wedge z = f \wedge t$ for every $f \in J$. If we denote $K = I \cap J$, then $K \in \mathcal{F}$ and for every $g \in K$, $g \wedge x = g \wedge y$ and $g \wedge z = g \wedge t$. Then $g \wedge (x \wedge z) = g \wedge (y \wedge t)$ and $g \wedge (x \vee z) = g \wedge (y \vee t)$, that is, $(x \wedge z, y \wedge t) \in \theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $(x \vee z, y \vee t) \in \theta_{\mathcal{F}}$.

For the compatibility of $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ with φ_i for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, let $(x, y) \in \theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ fixed. Then, there exists $I \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $e \wedge x = e \wedge y$ for every $e \in I$. Since for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ and $e \in I$, $\varphi_j(e) \in I$ we deduce that $\varphi_j(e) \wedge x = \varphi_j(e) \wedge y$. Then, $\varphi_i(\varphi_j(e) \wedge x) = \varphi_i(\varphi_j(e) \wedge y) \Leftrightarrow \varphi_j(e) \wedge \varphi_i(x) = \varphi_j(e) \wedge \varphi_i(y) \Leftrightarrow \varphi_j(e \wedge \varphi_i(x)) = \varphi_j(e \wedge \varphi_i(y))$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$. By (1.2.6) we deduce that $e \wedge \varphi_i(x) = e \wedge \varphi_i(y)$ for every $e \in I$. Therefore $(\varphi_i(x), \varphi_i(y)) \in \theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. \square

We shall denote by $x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ the congruence class of an element $x \in L$, by $L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ the quotient LM_n -algebra and by

$$p_{\mathcal{F}} : L \rightarrow L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$$

the canonical morphism of LM_n -algebras. We denote the chrysippian endomorphisms of $L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ by $\bar{\varphi}_i$ and we have $\bar{\varphi}_i(x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) = \varphi_i(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proposition 4.2. *For $a \in L$, $a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \in C(L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$ iff there exists $I \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $e \wedge \varphi_i(a) = e \wedge a$ for every $e \in I$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$. So, if $a \in C(L)$, then $a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \in C(L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$.*

Proof. For $a \in L$, $a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \in C(L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$ iff $\bar{\varphi}_i(a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) = a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ iff $\varphi_i(a)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} = a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. So, $(\varphi_i(a), a) \in \theta_{\mathcal{F}}$, that is, there exists $I \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $e \wedge \varphi_i(a) = e \wedge a$ for every $e \in I$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$.

So, if $a \in C(L)$, then for every $I \in \mathcal{F}$ and $e \in I$, $e \wedge \varphi_i(a) = e \wedge a$, hence $a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \in C(L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$. \square

Corollary 4.3. *If $\mathcal{F} = Idn(L) \cap R(L)$, then $a \in C(L)$ iff $a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \in C(L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$.*

We recall ([3]) some folklore about multipliers.

Let (A, \leq) be a poset. A nonempty subset $I \subseteq A$ is an *order ideal* (also known as a *down-set* or *decreasing set*) in A whenever $x \leq y \in I$ implies $x \in I$; we denote by $I(A)$ the set of all order ideals in A .

If A is an inf-semilattice and $I \in I(A)$, a map $f : I \rightarrow A$ is called a *multiplier* (alias a *partial translation*) if $f(a \wedge x) = a \wedge f(x)$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in I$.

Such maps have been studied extensively by Cornish in [7].

In this paper, we are concerned with multipliers on an LM_n -algebra L ; clearly $Idn(L) \subseteq I(L)$.

Definition 4.4. *([3]) By a partial multiplier of L we mean a map $f : I \rightarrow L$, where $I \in Idn(L)$, which verifies the following condition:*

$$(2.1) \quad f(e \wedge x) = e \wedge f(x), \text{ for every } e \in L \text{ and } x \in I.$$

Sometime we will denote the domain of f by $dom(f)$; if $I = L$ we say that f is *total*.

To simplify the language, we will use *multiplier* instead of *partial multiplier* and *total* to indicate that the domain of a certain multiplier is L .

Examples:

1. The map $\mathbf{0} : L \rightarrow L$ defined by $\mathbf{0}(x) = 0$ for every $x \in L$, is a total multiplier of L .

2. The map $\mathbf{1} : L \rightarrow L$ defined by $\mathbf{1}(x) = 1$ for every $x \in L$, is also a total multiplier of L .

3. For $a \in L$ and $I \in Idn(L)$, the map $f_a : I \rightarrow L$ defined by $f_a(x) = a \wedge x$ for every $x \in I$, is a multiplier of L (called *principal*).

If $dom(f_a) = L$, we denote f_a by $\overline{f_a}$; clearly, $\overline{f_0} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\overline{f_1} = \mathbf{1}$.

Remark 4.5. ([3]) *If $I \in Idn(L)$, $f : I \rightarrow L$ is a multiplier of L , then for all $x, y \in I$, $f(f(x)) = f(x)$, $f(x) \leq x$, $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$ and $x \wedge f(y) = y \wedge f(x)$.*

For $I \in Idn(L)$, we denote

$$M(I, L) = \{f : I \rightarrow L : f \text{ is a multiplier on } L\}$$

and

$$M(L) = \bigcup_{I \in Idn(L)} M(I, L).$$

Remark 4.6. ([3]) *If we have $f \in M(I, L) \cap M(J, L)$, then $I = J$, that is, the relation $f \in M(I, L)$ determines uniquely I .*

Definition 4.7. ([3]) *If $I_1, I_2 \in Idn(L)$ and $f_i \in M(I_i, L), i = 1, 2$, we define $f_1 \wedge f_2, f_1 \vee f_2 : I_1 \cap I_2 \rightarrow L$ by*

$$(f_1 \wedge f_2)(x) = f_1(x) \wedge f_2(x),$$

$$(f_1 \vee f_2)(x) = f_1(x) \vee f_2(x),$$

for every $x \in I_1 \cap I_2$.

Lemma 4.8. ([3]) *If $I_1, I_2 \in Idn(L)$ and $f_i \in M(I_i, L), i = 1, 2$, then $f_1 \wedge f_2, f_1 \vee f_2 \in M(I_1 \cap I_2, L)$.*

Definition 4.9. ([3]) *For $I \in Idn(L)$ and $f \in M(I, L)$ we define $f^* : I \rightarrow L$ by*

$$f^*(x) = x \wedge Nf(\varphi_{n-1}(x)),$$

for every $x \in I$.

Remark 4.10. *For $x \in L$ we have $\mathbf{0}^*(x) = x \wedge N0 = x \wedge 1 = x$, that is, $\mathbf{0}^* = \mathbf{1}$, and $\mathbf{1}^*(x) = x \wedge N\varphi_{n-1}(x) = 0$ (by Lemma 1.6), that is, $\mathbf{1}^* = \mathbf{0}$.*

Lemma 4.11. ([3]) *If $I \in Idn(L)$ and $f \in M(I, L)$, then $f^* \in M(I, L)$.*

Definition 4.12. ([3]) *For $I \in Idn(L)$ and $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ we define $\tilde{\varphi}_i : M(I, L) \rightarrow M(I, L)$ by*

$$\tilde{\varphi}_i(f)(x) = x \wedge \varphi_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))),$$

for every $f \in M(I, L)$ and $x \in I$.

Lemma 4.13. ([3]) If $I \in \text{Idn}(L)$ and $f \in M(I, L)$, then $\tilde{\varphi}_i(f) \in M(I, L)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Proposition 4.14. ([3]) $(M(L), \wedge, \vee, *, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \tilde{\varphi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_{n-1})$ is an LM_n -algebra.

Lemma 4.15. ([3]) The map $v_L : L \rightarrow M(L)$ defined by $v_L(a) = \overline{f_a}$ for every $a \in L$ is a monomorphism in LM_n .

Definition 4.16. Let \mathcal{F} be a topology on L . By an \mathcal{F} -multiplier of L we mean a map $f : I \rightarrow L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$, where $I \in \mathcal{F}$, which verifies the following condition:

$$(3.1) \quad f(e \wedge x) = e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f(x), \text{ for every } e \in L \text{ and } x \in I.$$

Remark 4.17. If $f : I \rightarrow L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ is an \mathcal{F} -multiplier of L then, for every $x, y \in I$, $f(x) \leq x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$, $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$ and $x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f(y) = y/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f(x)$.

If $\mathcal{F} = \{L\}$, then $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the identity congruence of L and an \mathcal{F} -multiplier is a total multiplier of L in the sense of Definition 4.4.

The maps $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1} : L \rightarrow L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by $\mathbf{0}(x) = 0/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathbf{1}(x) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ for every $x \in L$ are multipliers in the sense of Definition 4.16.

Also, for $a \in L$ and $I \in \mathcal{F}$, $f_a : I \rightarrow L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by $f_a(x) = a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ for every $x \in I$, is an \mathcal{F} -multiplier. If $\text{dom}(f_a) = L$, we denote f_a by $\overline{f_a}$; clearly, $\overline{f_0} = \mathbf{0}$.

We shall denote by $M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$ the set of all the \mathcal{F} -multipliers having the domain $I \in \mathcal{F}$ and by

$$M(L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{F}} M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

If $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, we have a canonical mapping

$$\varphi_{I_1, I_2} : M(I_2, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow M(I_1, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$$

defined by

$$\varphi_{I_1, I_2}(f) = f|_{I_1} \text{ for } f \in M(I_2, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

Let us consider the directed system of sets

$$\langle \{M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})\}_{I \in \mathcal{F}}, \{\varphi_{I_1, I_2}\}_{I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{F}, I_1 \subseteq I_2} \rangle$$

and denote by $L_{\mathcal{F}}$ the inductive limit (in the category of sets):

$$L_{\mathcal{F}} = \varinjlim_{I \in \mathcal{F}} M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

For any \mathcal{F} -multiplier $f : I \rightarrow L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ we shall denote by $\widehat{(I, f)}$ the equivalence class of f in $L_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Remark 4.18. We recall that if $f_i : I_i \rightarrow L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$, $i = 1, 2$, are \mathcal{F} -multipliers, then $\widehat{(I_1, f_1)} = \widehat{(I_2, f_2)}$ (in $L_{\mathcal{F}}$) iff there exists $I \in \mathcal{F}$, $I \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$ such that $f_1|_I = f_2|_I$.

Definition 4.19. If $I_1, I_2 \in Idn(L)$ and $f_i \in M(I_i, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}), i = 1, 2$ we define, $f_1 \wedge f_2, f_1 \vee f_2 : I_1 \cap I_2 \rightarrow L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 \wedge f_2)(x) &= f_1(x) \wedge f_2(x), \\ (f_1 \vee f_2)(x) &= f_1(x) \vee f_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in I_1 \cap I_2$.

$$\text{Let } \widehat{(I_1, f_1)} \wedge \widehat{(I_2, f_2)} = (I_1 \cap I_2, f_1 \wedge f_2) \text{ and } \widehat{(I_1, f_1)} \vee \widehat{(I_2, f_2)} = (I_1 \cap I_2, f_1 \vee f_2).$$

Definition 4.20. If $I \in Idn(L)$ and $f \in M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$ we define $f^* : I \rightarrow L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ by

$$f^*(x) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))$$

for any $x \in I$.

$$\text{Let } \widehat{(I, f)}^* = \widehat{(I, f^*)}.$$

Clearly, the definitions of the operations \wedge, \vee and $*$ on $L_{\mathcal{F}}$ are correct.

Lemma 4.21. If $I_1, I_2 \in Idn(L)$ and $f_i \in M(I_i, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}), i = 1, 2$, then $f_1 \wedge f_2, f_1 \vee f_2 \in M(I_1 \cap I_2, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$.

Proof. Routine. □

Remark 4.22. For $x \in L$ we have $\mathbf{0}^*(x) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N(0/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge 1/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$, that is, $\mathbf{0}^* = \mathbf{1}$, and similarly $\mathbf{1}^* = \mathbf{0}$.

Lemma 4.23. If $I \in Idn(L)$ and $f \in M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$, then $f^* \in M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$.

Proof. If $x \in I$ and $e \in L$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(e \wedge x) &= (e \wedge x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge Nf(\varphi_{n-1}(e \wedge x)) = e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge Nf(\varphi_{n-1}(e) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(x)) \\ &= e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N(\varphi_{n-1}(e)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge (N\varphi_{n-1}(e)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \vee Nf(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= (e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N\varphi_{n-1}(e)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) \vee (e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge Nf(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= 0/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \vee (e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge Nf(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) = e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f^*(x). \end{aligned}$$
□

Definition 4.24. For $I \in Idn(L)$ and $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ we define $\tilde{\varphi}_i : M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$ by

$$\tilde{\varphi}_i(f)(x) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \varphi_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))/\theta_{\mathcal{F}},$$

for every $f \in M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$ and $x \in I$.

Lemma 4.25. If $I \in Idn(L)$, $f \in M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$, then $\tilde{\varphi}_i(f) \in M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Proof. If $x \in I$ and $e \in L$, then for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_i(f)(e \wedge x) &= (e \wedge x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(e \wedge x))) \\ &= e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(e) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_i(\varphi_{n-1}(e)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_i(\varphi_{n-1}(e)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \varphi_i(\varphi_{n-1}(e))/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(e)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) = e/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_i(f)(x). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}} : L_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by $\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{I, f}) = (\widehat{I, \tilde{\varphi}_i(f)})$.

Proposition 4.26. $(L_{\mathcal{F}}, \wedge, \vee, *, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \varphi_1^{\mathcal{F}}, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}^{\mathcal{F}})$ is an LM_n -algebra.

Proof. We verify the axioms of LM_n -algebras.

In the following we work with $f \in M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$ and $f_i \in M(I_i, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$ where $I, I_i \in Idn(L)$, $i = 1, 2$ and we denote $I' = I_1 \cap I_2 \in Idn(L)$.

(1.1). It is easy to verify that $(L_{\mathcal{F}}, \wedge, \vee, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$ is a bounded distributive lattice.

To prove that it is a *De Morgan* algebra, we have for $x \in I'$:

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 \vee f_2)^*(x) &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N((f_1 \vee f_2)(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N(f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(x)) \vee f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge Nf_1(\varphi_{n-1}(x)) \wedge Nf_2(\varphi_{n-1}(x)) \\ &= (x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge Nf_1(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \wedge (x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge Nf_2(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= f_1^*(x) \wedge f_2^*(x) = (f_1^* \wedge f_2^*)(x), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $(f_1 \vee f_2)^* = f_1^* \wedge f_2^*$.

Also, for every $x \in I$:

$$\begin{aligned} (f^*)^*(x) &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge Nf^*(\varphi_{n-1}(x)) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N(\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge Nf(\varphi_{n-1}(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N(\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge Nf(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge (N\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \vee f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= (x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) \vee (x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= 0/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \vee (x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) = \varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f(x) \\ &= f(x), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $(f^*)^* = f$.

Then,

$$(f_1 \wedge f_2)^* = (f_1^{**} \wedge f_2^{**})^* = ((f_1^* \vee f_2^*)^*)^* = (f_1^* \vee f_2^*)^{**} = f_1^* \vee f_2^*.$$

(1.2). $\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}} : L_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{F}}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, are bounded lattice morphisms that satisfy (1.2.1) – (1.2.6).

For $x \in I'$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_i(f_1 \vee f_2)(x) &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(x)) \vee f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge (\bar{\varphi}_i(f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \vee \bar{\varphi}_i(f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \\ &= (x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \vee (x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \\ &= \tilde{\varphi}_i(f_1(x)) \vee \tilde{\varphi}_i(f_2(x)) \\ &= (\tilde{\varphi}_i(f_1) \vee \tilde{\varphi}_i(f_2))(x), \end{aligned}$$

hence $\tilde{\varphi}_i(f_1 \vee f_2) = \tilde{\varphi}_i(f_1) \vee \tilde{\varphi}_i(f_2)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, that is, $\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I_1, f_1)} \vee \widehat{(I_2, f_2)}) = \varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I_1, f_1)}) \vee \varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I_2, f_2)})$ and similarly $\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I_1, f_1)} \wedge \widehat{(I_2, f_2)}) = \varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I_1, f_1)}) \wedge \varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I_2, f_2)})$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Also, for all $x \in L$ and $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, $\tilde{\varphi}_i(\mathbf{0})(x) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(\mathbf{0}(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge 0/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} = 0/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathbf{0}(x)$, that is, $\tilde{\varphi}_i(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ and similarly $\tilde{\varphi}_i(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$. So, we have $\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{L, \mathbf{0}}) = \widehat{L, \mathbf{0}}$ and $\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{L, \mathbf{1}}) = \widehat{L, \mathbf{1}}$.

(1.2.1). For $x \in I$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\varphi}_i(f) \vee (\tilde{\varphi}_i(f))^*)(x) &= \tilde{\varphi}_i(f)(x) \vee (\tilde{\varphi}_i(f))^*(x) \\ &= (x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \vee (x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N\tilde{\varphi}_i(f)(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge (\bar{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \vee N(\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(\varphi_{n-1}(x))))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge (\bar{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \vee N\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \vee N\bar{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge 1/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\tilde{\varphi}_i(f) \wedge (\tilde{\varphi}_i(f))^* = \mathbf{1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, that is, $\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I, f)}) \wedge (\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I, f)}))^* = \widehat{L, \mathbf{1}}$.

To prove (1.2.2) we use the *De Morgan* relations and (1.2.1) :

$$\tilde{\varphi}_i(f) \wedge (\tilde{\varphi}_i(f))^* = (\tilde{\varphi}_i(f))^{**} \wedge (\tilde{\varphi}_i(f))^* = [(\tilde{\varphi}_i(f))^* \vee \tilde{\varphi}_i(f)]^* = \mathbf{1}^* = \mathbf{0},$$

that is, $\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I, f)}) \vee (\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I, f)}))^* = \widehat{L, \mathbf{0}}$.

(1.2.3). For $x \in I$ and $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_i\tilde{\varphi}_j(f)(x) &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(\tilde{\varphi}_j(f)(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_j(f(\varphi_{n-1}(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(\bar{\varphi}_j(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_j(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_j(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) = \tilde{\varphi}_j(f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\tilde{\varphi}_i\tilde{\varphi}_j(f) = \tilde{\varphi}_j(f)$, hence $\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\varphi_j^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I, f)})) = \varphi_j^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I, f)})$.

(1.2.4). For $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ we have to prove that:

$$\tilde{\varphi}_i(f^*) = (\tilde{\varphi}_{n-i}(f))^*.$$

Indeed, for $x \in I$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_i(f^*)(x) &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(f^*(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge Nf(\varphi_{n-1}(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(Nf(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(Nf(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(Nf(\varphi_{n-1}(x))), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\varphi}_{n-i}(f))^*(x) &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N\tilde{\varphi}_{n-i}(f)(\varphi_{n-1}(x)) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N(\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_{n-i}(f(\varphi_{n-1}(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N(\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_{n-i}(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge (N\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \vee N\bar{\varphi}_{n-i}(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \\ &= (x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) \vee (x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N\bar{\varphi}_{n-i}(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \\ &= 0/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \vee (x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N\bar{\varphi}_{n-i}(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge N\bar{\varphi}_{n-i}(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(Nf(\varphi_{n-1}(x))), \end{aligned}$$

so, $\tilde{\varphi}_i(f^*) = (\tilde{\varphi}_{n-i}(f))^*$, that is, $\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I, f)^*}) = \varphi_{n-i}^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I, f)})$.

(1.2.5). For $x \in I$ we obtain successively:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\varphi}_1(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) &\leq \dots \leq \bar{\varphi}_{n-1}(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_1(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) &\leq \dots \leq x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_{n-1}(f(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) \\ \tilde{\varphi}_1(f)(x) &\leq \dots \leq \tilde{\varphi}_{n-1}(f)(x) \\ \tilde{\varphi}_1(f) &\leq \dots \leq \tilde{\varphi}_{n-1}(f), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\varphi_1^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I, f)}) \leq \dots \leq \varphi_{n-1}^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I, f)})$.

(1.2.6). If $\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I_1, f_1)}) = \varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I_2, f_2)})$, that is, $(I_1, \tilde{\varphi}_i(f_1)) = (I_2, \tilde{\varphi}_i(f_2))$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, then we get in turn, for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, according to Remark 4.18 there exists $J_i \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_i(f_1|_{J_i}) = \tilde{\varphi}_i(f_2|_{J_i})$. For $x \in J_1 \cap \dots \cap J_{n-1}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_i(f_1)(x) &= \tilde{\varphi}_i(f_2)(x), \\ x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) &= x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(x))), \\ \varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(\varphi_{n-1}(x)))) &= \varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \bar{\varphi}_i(f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(\varphi_{n-1}(x))))), \\ \bar{\varphi}_i(\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) &= \bar{\varphi}_i(\varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(x))), \\ \bar{\varphi}_i(f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) &= \bar{\varphi}_i(f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(x))), \\ f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(x)) &= f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= f_1(x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(x)) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(x)) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(x)) \\ &= f_2(x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(x)) = f_2(x), \text{ hence } f_1 = f_2 \text{ on } J_1 \cap \dots \cap J_{n-1} \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $(\widehat{I_1, f_1}) = (\widehat{I_2, f_2})$. □

Definition 4.27. *The LM_n -algebra $L_{\mathcal{F}}$ will be called the localization LM_n – algebra of L with respect to the topology \mathcal{F} .*

Lemma 4.28. *Let the map $v_{\mathcal{F}} : L \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by $v_{\mathcal{F}}(a) = (\widehat{L, f_a})$ for every $a \in L$. Then:*

- (i) $v_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a morphism of LM_n -algebras,
- (ii) For every $a \in C(L)$, $(\widehat{L, f_a}) \in C(L_{\mathcal{F}})$,
- (iii) $v_{\mathcal{F}}(L) \in R(L_{\mathcal{F}})$.

Proof. (i). Clearly, $v_{\mathcal{F}}(0) = (\widehat{L, f_0}) = (\widehat{L, \mathbf{0}})$, $v_{\mathcal{F}}(1) = (\widehat{L, f_1}) = (\widehat{L, \mathbf{1}})$. For $a, b \in L$ we have:

$$v_{\mathcal{F}}(a) \vee v_{\mathcal{F}}(b) = (\widehat{L, f_a}) \vee (\widehat{L, f_b}) = (\widehat{L, f_a \vee f_b}) = (\widehat{L, f_{a \vee b}}) = v_{\mathcal{F}}(a \vee b),$$

and

$$v_{\mathcal{F}}(a) \wedge v_{\mathcal{F}}(b) = (\widehat{L, f_a}) \wedge (\widehat{L, f_b}) = (\widehat{L, f_a \wedge f_b}) = (\widehat{L, f_{a \wedge b}}) = v_{\mathcal{F}}(a \wedge b).$$

Also, for every $x \in L$:

$$v_{\mathcal{F}}(\varphi_i(a)) = (\widehat{L, f_{\varphi_i(a)}})$$

and

$$\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(v_{\mathcal{F}}(a)) = (\widehat{L, \tilde{\varphi}_i(f_a)}).$$

But $\tilde{\varphi}_i(f_a)(x) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_i(f_a(\varphi_{n-1}(x))) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_i(a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}) = x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \varphi_i(a)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(x)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} = \varphi_i(a)/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} = \tilde{f}_{\varphi_i(a)}(x)$, hence $v_{\mathcal{F}}(\varphi_i(a)) = \varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(v_L(a))$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Therefore $v_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a morphism in LM_n .

(ii). Is a direct consequence of (i), since any LM_n -algebra morphism preserves the boolean elements.

(iii). To prove that $v_{\mathcal{F}}(L)$ is a regular subset of $L_{\mathcal{F}}$, let $(\widehat{I_i, f_i}) \in L_{\mathcal{F}}$, $I_i \in \mathcal{F}$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $(\widehat{L, f_a}) \wedge (\widehat{I_1, f_1}) = (\widehat{L, f_a}) \wedge (\widehat{I_2, f_2})$ for every $a \in L$.

Then, for any $a \in L$ there exists $J_a \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$ such that for any $x \in J_a$ we have $(f_1 \wedge \overline{f_a})(x) = (f_2 \wedge \overline{f_a})(x)$, hence $f_1(x) \wedge a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} = f_2(x) \wedge a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} \wedge x/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$, that is, $f_1(x) \wedge a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}} = f_2(x) \wedge a/\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$.

In particular, for $a = 1$ we obtain that $f_1(x) = f_2(x)$ for every $x \in J_a \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$, hence $(\widehat{I_1, f_1}) = (\widehat{I_2, f_2})$, that is, $v_{\mathcal{F}}(L) \in R(L_{\mathcal{F}})$. □

5 Applications

In the following we describe the localization LM_n -algebra $L_{\mathcal{F}}$ in some special instances.

1. If $I \in Idn(L)$ and \mathcal{F} is the topology

$$\mathcal{F}(I) = \{I' \in Idn(L) : I \subseteq I'\}$$

(see Example 1 in Section 3), then $L_{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic with $M(I, L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}})$ and

$$v_{\mathcal{F}} : L \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{F}}$$

is defined by $v_{\mathcal{F}}(a) = \overline{f_a|_I}$ for every $a \in L$.

2. If $\mathcal{F} = Idn(L) \cap R(L)$ is the topology of regular sets of $Idn(L)$ (see Example 2 in Section 3), then $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the identity congruence of L and

$$L_{\mathcal{F}} = \varinjlim_{I \in \mathcal{F}} M(I, L),$$

where $M(I, L)$ is the set of multipliers of L having the domain I (see [3]).

We recall the construction of maximal LM_n -algebra of fractions of L from [3] (where is denoted by $Q_n(L)$).

We denote

$$M_r(L) = \{f \in M(L) : dom(f) \in Idn(L) \cap R(L)\}.$$

Lemma 5.1. ([3]) *If $I_1, I_2 \in Idn(L) \cap R(L)$, then $I_1 \cap I_2 \in Idn(L) \cap R(L)$.*

Remark 5.2. ([3]) *By Lemma 5.1, we deduce that $M_r(L)$ is an LM_n -subalgebra of $M(L)$.*

Definition 5.3. *Define the relation ρ_L on the LM_n - algebra $M_r(L)$ by the prescription:*

$$(f_1, f_2) \in \rho_L \text{ iff } f_1 \text{ and } f_2 \text{ agree on the intersection of their domains.}$$

Lemma 5.4. ([3]) *ρ_L is a congruence on $M_r(L)$.*

Definition 5.5. *For $f \in M_r(L)$ with $I = dom(f) \in Idn(L) \cap R(L)$, we denote by $[f, I]$ the congruence class of f modulo ρ_L and $L_{\mathcal{M}} = M_r(L)/\rho_L$.*

Remark 5.6. *For every $I \in Idn(L) \cap R(L)$ and $a \in L$ we have $[\overline{f_a}, L] = [f_a, I]$ (because for every $x \in I \cap L = I$ we have $\overline{f_a}(x) = f_a(x) = a \wedge x$).*

Remark 5.7. ([3]) *As was proved by Cignoli [5] (see also [2], Theorem 2.4), the class of LM_n -algebras is equational, therefore $L_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an LM_n -algebra, where, for $[f, I], [g, J] \in L_{\mathcal{M}}$, $[f, I] \wedge [g, J] = [f \wedge g, I \cap J]$, $[f, I] \vee [g, J] = [f \vee g, I \cap J]$, and for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, $\tilde{\varphi}_i : L_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{M}}$, $\tilde{\varphi}_i([f, I]) = [\tilde{\varphi}_i(f), I]$.*

Lemma 5.8. ([3]) Let the map $\overline{v}_L : L \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{M}}$ be defined by $\overline{v}_L(a) = [\overline{f}_a, L]$ for every $a \in L$. Then:

- (i) \overline{v}_L is a monomorphism in LM_n ,
- (ii) For every $a \in C(L)$, $[\overline{f}_a, L] \in C(L_{\mathcal{M}})$,
- (iii) $\overline{v}_L(L) \in R(L_{\mathcal{M}})$.

Remark 5.9. ([3]) Since by Lemma 4.15 and Lemma 5.8, for every $a, b \in L$, $[\overline{f}_a, L] = [\overline{f}_b, L]$ iff $\overline{f}_a = \overline{f}_b$ iff $a = b$, the elements of L can be identified with the elements of the sets $\{[\overline{f}_a, L] : a \in L\}$ and $\{\overline{f}_a : a \in L\}$. So, $v_L(L) \approx \overline{v}_L(L) \approx L$ (as LM_n -algebras).

Definition 5.10. ([3]) An LM_n -algebra L' is called an LM_n -algebra of fractions of L if:

- (3.1) L is an LM_n -subalgebra of L' ,
- (3.2) For every $a', b', c' \in L', a' \neq b'$, there is $e \in L$ such that $e \wedge a' \neq e \wedge b'$ and $\varphi_{n-1}(e) \wedge c' \in L$ (where by φ_{n-1} we denote the chrysippian endomorphism of L which is the restriction to L of the chrisippian endomorphism φ'_{n-1} of L').

Remark 5.11. As a notational convenience, we write $L \preceq L'$ to indicate that L' is an LM_n -algebra of fractions for L .

Remark 5.12. ([3]) If $L \preceq L', e \in L$ and $a', b' \in L'$ are such that $e \wedge a' \neq e \wedge b'$, then $\varphi_{n-1}(e) \wedge a' \neq \varphi_{n-1}(e) \wedge b'$.

Remark 5.13. ([3]) If $L \preceq L', e \in L, c' \in L'$ and $\varphi_{n-1}(e) \wedge c' \in L$, then $e \wedge c' = [e \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(e)] \wedge c' = e \wedge [\varphi_{n-1}(e) \wedge c'] \in L$.

Theorem 5.14. ([3]) For every LM_n -algebra L , the LM_n -algebra $L_{\mathcal{M}}$ in Definition 5.5 has the following properties:

- (i) $\overline{v}_L(L) \preceq L_{\mathcal{M}}$,
- (ii) For every LM_n -algebra L' such that $L \preceq L'$, there exists a monomorphism of LM_n -algebras $u : L' \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{M}}$ which induces the canonical monomorphism \overline{v}_L of L into $L_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Theorem 5.14 provides the motivation for the following:

Definition 5.15. ([3]) For any LM_n -algebra L , $L_{\mathcal{M}}$ is called a maximal LM_n -algebra of fractions of L . To range with the tradition ([4], [10], [13], [14]) we denote $L_{\mathcal{M}}$ by $Q_n(L)$.

So, in the case of the topology $\mathcal{F} = Idn(L) \cap R(L)$ we obtain:

Proposition 5.16. For $\mathcal{F} = Idn(L) \cap R(L)$, $L_{\mathcal{F}}$ is exactly the maximal LM_n -algebra $Q_n(L)$ of fractions of L introduced in [3] (where it is denoted by $L_{\mathcal{M}}$).

3. If $S \subseteq L$ is an \wedge -closed system of L , we consider the following congruence on L :

$$(x, y) \in \theta_S \text{ iff there exists } s \in S \text{ such that } x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) \text{ (see Section 2).}$$

The quotient LM_n -algebra $L[S] = L/\theta_S$ is called in Section 2 the LM_n -algebra of fractions of L relative to the \wedge -closed system

Proposition 5.17. *If \mathcal{F}_S is the topology associated with an \wedge -closed system $S \subseteq L$ (see Example 3 in Section 3), then the LM_n -algebra $L_{\mathcal{F}_S}$ is isomorphic with $L[S]$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in L$. If $(x, y) \in \theta_{\mathcal{F}_S}$ then there exists $I \in \mathcal{F}_S$ (hence $I \cap S \neq \emptyset$) such that $x \wedge e = y \wedge e$ for any $e \in I$. Since $I \cap S \neq \emptyset$ there exists $e_0 \in I \cap S$ such that $x \wedge e_0 = y \wedge e_0$. But $e_0 \in I$ implies that $\varphi_{n-1}(e_0) \in I$, so in particular $x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(e_0) = y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(e_0)$, that is, $(x, y) \in \theta_S$. So, $\theta_{\mathcal{F}_S} \subseteq \theta_S$.

If $(x, y) \in \theta_S$, then there exists $e_0 \in S$ such that $x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(e_0) = y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(e_0)$. If we set $I_0 = \langle e_0 \rangle = \{x \in L : x \leq \varphi_{n-1}(e_0)\}$, then $I_0 \in Idn(L)$. Since $e_0 \leq \varphi_{n-1}(e_0)$, we have that $e_0 \in I_0$, so $e_0 \in I_0 \cap S$, hence $I_0 \cap S \neq \emptyset$, that is, $I_0 \in \mathcal{F}_S$. For every $e \in I_0$, $e \leq \varphi_{n-1}(e_0)$, then $e = e \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(e_0)$, so $x \wedge e = x \wedge (e \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(e_0)) = (x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(e_0)) \wedge e = (y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(e_0)) \wedge e = y \wedge (e \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(e_0)) = y \wedge e$, hence $(x, y) \in \theta_{\mathcal{F}_S}$, that is, $\theta_S \subseteq \theta_{\mathcal{F}_S}$. Therefore $\theta_{\mathcal{F}_S} = \theta_S$.

Then $L/\theta_{\mathcal{F}_S} = L/\theta_S = L[S]$, hence an \mathcal{F}_S -multiplier can be considered in this case (see Definition 4.16) as a mapping $f : I \rightarrow L[S]$ ($I \in \mathcal{F}_S$) having the property $f(e \wedge x) = e/S \wedge f(x)$ for every $x \in I$ and $e \in L$ (x/S denotes the congruence class of x relative to θ_S).

If $(\widehat{I_1, f_1}), (\widehat{I_2, f_2}) \in L_{\mathcal{F}_S} = \varinjlim_{I \in \mathcal{F}} M(I, L[S])$ and $(\widehat{I_1, f_1}) = (\widehat{I_2, f_2})$ then there exists $I \in \mathcal{F}_S$ such that $I \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$ and $f_{1|I} = f_{2|I}$. Since $I, I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{F}_S$, there exists $s \in I \cap S, s_1 \in I_1 \cap S$ and $s_2 \in I_2 \cap S$. We shall prove that $f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s_1)) = f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s_2))$. If we denote $s' = \varphi_{n-1}(s \wedge s_1 \wedge s_2) = \varphi_{n-1}(s) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s_1) \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s_2)$, then $s' \in I \cap S$ and $s' \leq \varphi_{n-1}(s_1), \varphi_{n-1}(s_2)$. Since $\varphi_{n-1}(s_1) \wedge s' = \varphi_{n-1}(s_2) \wedge s' \in I$ then $f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s_1) \wedge s') = f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s_2) \wedge s')$, hence $f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s_1)) \wedge s'/S = f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s_2)) \wedge s'/S$, so $f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s_1)) \wedge \mathbf{1} = f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s_2)) \wedge \mathbf{1}$ (since $s \in S$ implies $\varphi_{n-1}(s)/S = \mathbf{1}$ by Remark 2.3), that is, $f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s_1)) = f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s_2))$. In a similar way, we can show that $f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s_1)) = f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s_2))$ for any $s_1, s_2 \in I \cap S$.

In accordance with these considerations we can define the mapping:

$$\alpha : L_{\mathcal{F}_S} = \varinjlim_{I \in \mathcal{F}} M(I, L[S]) \rightarrow L[S]$$

by putting

$$\alpha((\widehat{I, f})) = f(\varphi_{n-1}(s_0)) \in L[S], \text{ where } s_0 \in I \cap S.$$

We have $\alpha(\mathbf{0}) = \alpha((\widehat{L, \mathbf{0}})) = \mathbf{0}(\varphi_{n-1}(s)) = 0/S = \mathbf{0}$ and $\alpha(\mathbf{1}) = \alpha((\widehat{L, \mathbf{1}})) = \mathbf{1}(\varphi_{n-1}(s)) = \varphi_{n-1}(s)/S = \mathbf{1}$ by Remark 2.3 for every $s \in S$.

Also, for every $(\widehat{I_i}, f_i) \in L_{\mathcal{F}_S}, i = 1, 2$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha((\widehat{I_1}, f_1) \wedge (\widehat{I_2}, f_2)) &= \alpha((I_1 \cap I_2, f_1 \wedge f_2)) = (f_1 \wedge f_2)(\varphi_{n-1}(s)) \\ &= f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s)) \wedge f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s)) = \alpha((\widehat{I_1}, f_1)) \wedge \alpha((\widehat{I_2}, f_2)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha((\widehat{I_1}, f_1) \vee (\widehat{I_2}, f_2)) &= \alpha((I_1 \cap I_2, f_1 \vee f_2)) = (f_1 \vee f_2)(\varphi_{n-1}(s)) \\ &= f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s)) \vee f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s)) = \alpha((\widehat{I_1}, f_1)) \vee \alpha((\widehat{I_2}, f_2)) \end{aligned}$$

with $s \in I_1 \cap I_2 \cap S$.

If $(\widehat{I}, f) \in L_{\mathcal{F}_S}$ and $s \in I \cap S$, for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\varphi_i^{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{(I, f)})) &= \alpha((\widehat{I}, \tilde{\varphi}_i(f))) = \tilde{\varphi}_i(f)(\varphi_{n-1}(s)) = \varphi_{n-1}(s)/S \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(\varphi_{n-1}(s)))) \\ &= \mathbf{1} \wedge \tilde{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(s))) = \tilde{\varphi}_i(f(\varphi_{n-1}(s))) = \tilde{\varphi}_i(\alpha(\widehat{(I, f)})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this mapping is a morphism of LM_n -algebras.

We shall prove that α is injective and surjective. To prove the injectivity of α , let $(\widehat{I_1}, f_1), (\widehat{I_2}, f_2) \in L_{\mathcal{F}_S}$ such that $\alpha((\widehat{I_1}, f_1)) = \alpha((\widehat{I_2}, f_2))$. Then, for any $s_1 \in I_1 \cap S, s_2 \in I_2 \cap S$ we have $f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s_1)) = f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s_2))$. If $f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s_1)) = x/S$ and $f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s_2)) = y/S$ with $x, y \in L$, since $x/S = y/S$, there exists $s \in S$ such that $x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s) = y \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)$.

If we consider $s' = s \wedge s_1 \wedge s_2 \in I_1 \cap I_2 \cap S$, we have that $\varphi_{n-1}(s') \leq \varphi_{n-1}(s_1), \varphi_{n-1}(s_2)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s')) &= f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s') \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s_1)) = \varphi_{n-1}(s')/S \wedge f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s_1)) \\ &= \varphi_{n-1}(s')/S \wedge f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s_2)) = f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s') \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s_2)) = f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s')). \end{aligned}$$

If we denote $I = \langle s' \rangle = \{x \in L : x \leq \varphi_{n-1}(s')\}$, then $s' \in I$, so $I \in \mathcal{F}_S, I \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$ and $f_{1|I} = f_{2|I}$ (since if $x \in I$, then $x \leq \varphi_{n-1}(s')$, hence $x = x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s')$, so $f_1(x) = f_1(x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s')) = x/S \wedge f_1(\varphi_{n-1}(s')) = x/S \wedge f_2(\varphi_{n-1}(s')) = f_2(x \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s')) = f_2(x)$), hence $(\widehat{I_1}, f_1) = (\widehat{I_2}, f_2)$, that is, α is injective.

To prove the surjectivity of α , let $a/S \in L[S]$ and $\bar{f}_a : L \rightarrow L[S]$ defined by

$$\bar{f}_a(x) = a/S \wedge x/S = (a \wedge x)/S \text{ for every } x \in L.$$

It is easy to see that \bar{f}_a is an \mathcal{F}_S -multiplier and $\alpha(\widehat{(L, \bar{f}_a)}) = \bar{f}_a(\varphi_{n-1}(s)) = (a \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s))/S = a/S \wedge \varphi_{n-1}(s)/S = a/S \wedge \mathbf{1} = a/S$, where $s \in S$. So α is surjective.

Therefore, α is an isomorphism of LM_n -algebras. □

Finally, it is a pleasure for author to express his gratitude for the helpful remarks of the referees of his paper.

References

- [1] R. Balbes and Ph. Dwinger: *Distributive Lattices*, University of Missouri Press, 1974.
- [2] V. Boicescu, A. Filipoiu, G. Georgescu and S. Rudeanu: *Lukasiewicz-Moisil Algebras*, North Holland, 1991.
- [3] D. Buşneag and F. Chirteş: *LM_n-algebra of fractions and maximal LM_n-algebra of fractions*, to appear in *Discrete Mathematics*.
- [4] D. Buşneag: “F-multipliers and the localization of Hilbert algebras”, *Zeitschr. f. math. Logik und Grundlagen d. Math. Bd.*, Vol. 36, (1990), pp. 331–338.
- [5] R. Cignoli: *Algebras de Moisil*, Notas de Logica Matematica, 27, Instituto de Matematica, Universidad del Sur, Bahia Blanca, 1970.
- [6] R. Cignoli: “An algebraic approach to elementary theory based on n-valued Lukasiewicz logics”, *Z. Math Logik u. Grund. Math.*, Vol. 30, (1984), pp. 87–96.
- [7] W.H. Cornish: “The multiplier extension of a distributive lattice”, *Journal of Algebra*, Vol. 32, (1974), pp. 339–355.
- [8] C. Dan: *F-multipliers and the localization of Heyting algebras*, *Analele Universităţii din Craiova, Seria Matematica-Informatica*, Vol. XXIV, 1997, pp. 98–109.
- [9] G. Georgescu and C. Vraciu: “On the Characterisation of Centred Lukasiewicz Algebras”, *Journal of Algebra*, Vol. 16(4), (1970), pp. 486–495.
- [10] G. Georgescu: “F-multipliers and localizations of distributive lattices”, *Algebra Universalis*, Vol. 21, (1985), pp. 181–197.
- [11] J. Lambek: *Lectures on Rings and Modules*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, 1966.
- [12] N. Popescu: *Abelian categories with applications to rings and modules*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [13] J. Schmid: “Multipliers on distributive lattices and rings of quotients”, *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 6(3), (1980).
- [14] J. Schmid: “Distributive lattices and rings of quotients”, *Coll. Math. Societatis Janos Bolyai (Szeged, Hungary)*, Vol. 33, (1980).
- [15] B. Stenström: “Platnes and localization over monoids”, *Math. Nachrichten*, Vol. 48, (1971), pp. 315–334.