Figures and Tables

-				
H	a	u	re	25

Figure 1.1	The research design framework showing the inter- connection of paradigm, research methodology and research methods	7
Figure 3.1	Design science research (DSR) staging of artefact solution	29
Figure 3.2	Design science research methodology (DSRM) for website analysis method (WAM)	31
Figure 3.3	The website analysis method (WAM) artefact	32
Figure 3.4	Design science research methodology (DSRM) steps to constructing my thesis	34
Figure 5.1	Knowledge development process included in the research design	57
Figure 7.1	A philosophically minded approach to tourism research	85
Figure 9.1	Neo-tribal characteristics	120
Figure 11.1	Identification on knowledge gap	147
Figure 11.2	Research framework	149
Figure 11.3	Methodological framework	153
Figure 12.1	The changing focus of disciplinary research	161
Figure 13.1	The differences between qualitative and quantitative research paradigms	183

Tables

Table 2.1	Factorial design	20
Table 4.1	Data collection at the two tourism attractions	45
Table 5.1	Stages of the PAR process	54
Table 5.2	Background information of the investigated CBTEs	56
Table 6.1	The researcher's steps and activities in the modified Stevick–Colaizzi–Keen method as described by Moustakas (1994)	76
Table 6.2	Composite descriptions representing the essence of the experience of the interviewees	78
Table 9.1	Applications of neo-tribalism in tourism research	119
Table 11.1	Analysis of important destination competitiveness attributes	146
Table 11.2	Profile of respondents in stage one	151
Table 12.1	Pragmatic alternative to the key issues in social science research methodology	162
Table 12.2	Research approach	164
Table 13.1	The major research paradigms	178
Table 13.2	The current research paradigm	181