Figures and Tables

Figures

| Figure 1.1 | A graphical rendering of the main concepts related | |
|-------------|--|----|
| | to complex systems | 12 |
| Figure 1.2 | A logistic map | 14 |
| Figure 1.3 | Problems and their characteristics | 15 |
| Figure 2.1 | The Ptolemaic model of the solar system and the | |
| | deferent/epicycle model for the motion of a planet | 23 |
| Figure 2.2 | Drawing of a medieval planispheric astrolabe | 23 |
| Figure 2.3 | Level of aggregation and modelling technique | 27 |
| Figure 2.4 | System dynamic representation of strategy adoption | 28 |
| Figure 2.5 | Representation of the system dynamic model as an | |
| | agent-based model | 29 |
| Figure 2.6 | Representation of the model building process | 30 |
| Figure 2.7 | A schematic view of modelling and simulation | 42 |
| Figure 3.1 | The city of Cremona, Italy | 47 |
| Figure 3.2 | The conceptual mode of local food consumption | |
| | (A) and the outcomes of its empirical verification (B) | 50 |
| Figure 3.3 | Schematic view of the main classes of ML techniques | 57 |
| Figure 3.4 | Schematic view of a machine learning model | |
| | implementation | 59 |
| Figure 3.5 | Rapidminer workflow | 60 |
| Figure 3.6 | Most frequent origins of Cremona visitors | 62 |
| Figure 3.7 | Most frequent destinations for Cremona visitors | 62 |
| Figure 3.8 | A simple network with its adjacency matrix | 63 |
| Figure 3.9 | The Cremona network and its cumulative degree | |
| - | distribution | 68 |
| Figure 3.10 | Average clustering coefficient as a function of degree | 69 |

| Figure 3.11 | Modularity analysis of Cremona network | 70 |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 3.12 | The NetLogo diffusion model | 74 |
| Figure 3.13 | Simulation results: (A) the cumulative distribution | |
| C | of infected individuals and (B) the differential | |
| | distribution | 74 |
| Figure 3.14 | Causal loop diagram | 75 |
| Figure 3.15 | Stock and flow diagram | 76 |
| Figure 3.16 | CLD for a Bass diffusion model | 76 |
| Figure 3.17 | Stock and flow diagram for the Bass model | 77 |
| Figure 3.18 | Bass model outcomes when changing simulation | |
| | parameters | 77 |
| Figure 4.1 | Graphlets examples | 85 |
| Figure 4.2 | Multilayer network | 88 |
| Figure 4.3 | Multilayer network with its supra-adjacency | |
| | matrix representation | 90 |
| Figure 4.4 | Layered network and examples of | |
| | micro-configurations (motifs) | 91 |
| Figure 4.5 | Representation of an artificial neuron | 96 |
| Figure 4.6 | A simple neural network | 96 |
| Figure 5.1 | Balancing the confidence and complication of | |
| C | a model | 105 |
| Figure 5.2 | Problem statements, data and modelling techniques | 117 |
| Figure 6.1 | NetLogo interface of the model implementation | 126 |
| Figure 6.2 | Difference between observed and simulated tourist | |
| | flows from country <i>i</i> to country <i>j</i> | 129 |
| Figure 6.3 | Rapidminer operators for the prediction of | |
| | cancellations | 134 |
| Figure 6.4 | Rapidminer setting for the application of a model | |
| | on H2 | 134 |
| Figure 6.5 | Prediction of cancellations | 135 |
| Figure 6.6 | Correlation between hotels' occupancy and quality | |
| S | of network position | 139 |
| Figure 6.7 | Schematic representation of the system dynamic | |
| | model | 141 |
| Figure 6.8 | NetLogo system dynamic build and model | |
| S | interface implementation | 143 |
| Figure c.1 | Interrelation between cognitive acts without (A) | |
| e e | and with (B) action and model of values | 148 |
| Figure c.2 | A possible approach for studying tourism systems | 149 |

x Figures and Tables

Tables

| Table 1.1 | Characteristics of simple, complicated and complex | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| | systems | 10 |
| Table 3.1 | A conceptual model for tourism activities and | |
| | governance in Cremona | 50 |
| Table 3.2 | Opinions on Cremona | 54 |
| Table 3.3 | Regression model results | 55 |
| Table 3.4 | Sample from the input data set | 60 |
| Table 3.5 | Sample association rules for travellers coming to | |
| | Cremona | 61 |
| Table 3.6 | Sample association rules for travellers outgoing from | |
| | Cremona | 61 |
| Table 3.7 | Cremona network composition | 68 |
| Table 3.8 | Main Cremona network metrics | 69 |
| Table 3.9 | Most relevant actors in the Cremona network | 71 |
| Table 5.1 | Generalised problem statement and preferred | |
| | modelling technique | 111 |
| Table 5.2 | Main features defining the quality of data | 114 |
| Table 5.3 | Data type and availability and preferred modelling | |
| | technique | 116 |
| Table 6.1 | Fitness (ff) and average traveller's discrepancy | |
| | between simulated and observed (Avg ΔPop) data for | |
| | the top 10 fitness evaluations | 128 |
| Table 6.2 | Fitness (ff) loss when changing parameters by 10% | 130 |
| Table 6.3 | Confusion matrices for the data set H1 | 136 |
| Table 6.4 | Confusion matrices for the data set H2 | 136 |
| Table 6.5 | Percentage difference between models for H1 and H2 | 136 |
| Table 6.6 | Correlation coefficients | 138 |