

Desiccation-Tolerant Plants under Elevated Air CO₂: A Review

Zoltán Tuba^{a,*}, Michael C. F. Proctor^b and Zoltán Takács^a

^a Department of Botany and Plant Physiology, Agricultural University of Gödöllő
H-2103 Gödöllő Hungary. Fax: +36 28 410804. E-mail: ztuba@fau.gau.hu

^b School of Biological Sciences, University of Exeter, Hatherly Laboratories,
Prince of Wales Road, Exeter, EX4 4PS, UK

* Author for correspondence and reprint requests

Z. Naturforsch. **54c**, 788–796 (1999); received March 22/April 6, 1999

Acclimation, Climate Change, Desiccation, Photosynthesis, Rehydration

This article summarises present knowledge of the ecophysiological responses to elevated atmospheric CO₂ of desiccation tolerant (DT) plants. It deals primarily with lichens and bryophytes, as the most prominent groups of DT photosynthetic organisms, but includes some comment on algae and vascular DT plants. Results of research on DT plants are compared with those on desiccation sensitive vascular C₃ plants, the most widely investigated group in the field of global change. Both DT and non-DT plants show an immediate positive response of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂, but in both groups the longer term effect is generally reduced (or even reversed) by down-regulation or feedback inhibition of photosynthesis, or other limitations on production and growth. In bryophytes and lichens, enhanced short-term photosynthesis may or may not be reflected in increased production; bryophytes have limited source-sink differentiation, and lichens invest excess photosynthate in secondary metabolites. DT plants may gain some advantage from elevated CO₂ at both low and excessive water contents. Neither theoretical considerations nor experimental results suggest that elevated atmospheric CO₂ will lead to any substantial shift in the balance of advantage between DT and non-DT plants.

Introduction

The concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere has been increasing in the two last centuries from about 280 ppm to a present value of 360 ppm, and is expected to reach more than twice the pre-industrial concentration in the next century (Houghton *et al.*, 1990). The increasing concentrations CO₂ might be expected to have substantial impacts on plants and vegetation through changes caused to photosynthesis and other physiological processes (Drake *et al.*, 1997). Variations in atmospheric CO₂ concentration are nothing new. Evidence from air trapped in polar ice shows that CO₂ concentration fell to 180–200 ppm during the Pleistocene glaciations, rising to around 280 ppm during the interglacials (Barnola *et al.*, 1987), and CO₂ concentrations were higher during some earlier geological periods (Berner, 1998). What is new is that the present increase appears to be driven by human activity through burning of fossil fuels and clearance of forest, that it is faster than most changes that have taken place in the geologically recent past, that it has already reached levels hitherto unknown in Pleistocene and recent times, and

that our own and immediately succeeding generations will have to cope with whatever consequences it may bring.

Over the last few years an enormous body of experimental data has become available on the responses of non-DT plants to elevated concentrations of CO₂ (see recently published comprehensive reviews and books, e.g. Körner and Bazzaz, 1996; Drake *et al.*, 1997; Raschi *et al.*, 1997 and references cited). Reports on the changes in non-DT plant responses (physiology, growth, production and ecology) have shown various patterns. The responses of plants growing under elevated CO₂ largely depend on the type and degree of physiological acclimation to the high CO₂ environment. Elevated tropospheric CO₂ level affects photosynthesis most directly, therefore studies generally concentrate on photosynthesis and its acclimation.

The most extreme tolerance of water loss occurs in the desiccation-tolerant (DT) or 'resurrection' plants (Gaff, 1989), which can survive the loss of 80–95% or more of their cell water content, so that the plants appear completely dry and no liquid phase remains in their cells. After a shorter

or longer period in the desiccated state, they revive and resume normal metabolism on remoistening (Oliver and Bewley, 1997; Proctor, 1981). This is a qualitatively different phenomenon from drought tolerance as ordinarily understood in higher-plant physiology. Physiologically, DT plants function in ways that are significantly different from vascular plants. DT plants have in general evolved physiological adaptations to intermittent supply of water, rather than structural adaptations for maintaining a constant water supply (Proctor, 1981, 1982; Tuba *et al.*, 1998a). The DT plants are metabolically active when water is available, and when water is lacking their life is suspended.

DT plants span a wide range of adaptation. Poikilochlorophyllous DT (PDT) species lose most or all of their chlorophylls when dry (Gaff, 1989; Tuba *et al.*, 1998a), and the photosynthetic apparatus is resynthesised *de novo* on rehydration (see Tuba *et al.*, 1998a and their references cited in it). By contrast, in homoiochlorophyllous DT (HDT) plants (which are the majority) the photosynthetic apparatus is preserved during desiccation in a state from which it can recover quickly and fully on rehydration (Gaff, 1989; Tuba *et al.*, 1998a.). HDT and PDT plants represent the extremes of a range of strategies to solve variations of the same ecological problem (Tuba *et al.*, 1998a). Desiccation-tolerance occurs widely in the plant kingdom. The *potential* for it is perhaps universal (most spores and seeds are desiccation tolerant); Oliver and Bewley (1997) consider that it has arisen independently in vegetative tissues on at least twelve occasions. Within the vascular plants, desiccation tolerance is currently known in 18 genera of ferns, 23 genera of monocotyledons and ten genera of dicotyledons (Gaff, 1989). It is commonplace in lichens and bryophytes (Kappen, 1973; Proctor, 1981). These small cryptogamic DT plants are important, characteristic and sometimes dominant constituents of many vegetation types from the tropics to the polar regions (Kappen, 1973; Smith, 1982). Their importance lies not only in their biomass production but also in their ability to modify their environment in terms of microclimate, water economy, soil characteristics and decomposition rate (Oechel and Lawrence, 1985). The DT plants tend to increase in abundance and significance under unfavorable climate conditions, where non-DT plants maintain much of their biomass below

ground, or are unable to establish (Tenhunen *et al.*, 1992; Kappen, 1973; Porembski *et al.*, 1994). Since large pools of nutrients and carbon can be found in the DT plants of these extreme vegetation-types, significant aspects of ecosystem function depend on their physiological responses, production and turnover pattern.

Studying the effects of rising CO₂ concentration on natural vegetation of the Earth without considering the responses of the DT plants leaves a large (and sometimes crucial) lacuna in our understanding. Despite this, and the volume of research on the physiology of desiccation tolerance (Oliver and Bewley, 1997; Ingram and Bartels, 1996; Farrant, 1998), the potential effects of elevated air CO₂ on DT plants have received much less attention than effects on normal vascular plants (e.g. Körner and Bazzaz, 1996; Drake *et al.*, 1997). Because the water relations and organisation of the photosynthetic tissues are often so different, and because the desiccation-rehydration cycle itself causes profound changes in the photosynthetic activity of the DT plants (Tuba *et al.*, 1998a), the effects of increased atmospheric CO₂ concentration on their carbon balance and growth need not necessarily parallel those of non-DT plants.

Desiccation-tolerant plants under elevated CO₂ – is there a question?

Some have cast doubt upon the relevance of this question. They argue that DT (and non-DT) bryophytes already take advantage of locally elevated CO₂ from soil respiration (Sveinbjörnsson and Oechel, 1992), so increased CO₂ level in the open atmosphere may be of little consequence to them. Thus soil respiration of the taiga reaches about 450 mg CO₂ m⁻² h⁻¹ on a daily average (Gordon *et al.*, 1987). Bazzaz and Williams (1991) confirmed the buildup of high CO₂ concentration on the bryophyte level in a deciduous forest as well. The CO₂ originating from bark respiration must penetrate through epiphytic moss cushions and lichen thalli. However, as global change brings elevated temperature too, soil and plant respiration are likely to increase resulting in an even richer CO₂ supply to these cryptogams (Peterson and Billings, 1975). A possible counter-effect of elevated CO₂ in depressing soil respiration could not been verified by Oberbauer *et al.* (1986). In fact,

DT plants occupy exposed habitats (Porembski *et al.*, 1994), where wind and poor soil or an impermeable substrate do not permit CO₂ accumulation, and these are exposed to ambient atmospheric CO₂. The available $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values for bryophytes show clear evidence of uptake of respired CO₂ only in a limited range of aquatic species (Raven *et al.*, 1985, Proctor *et al.*, 1992 and the relevant references cited by them). A simplistic assumption sometimes made is that, because they lack stomata, bryophytes should have lower diffusion resistances and respond more simply and directly to variations in atmospheric CO₂ than vascular plants. The $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ data suggest that the relation between diffusion and carboxylation resistances in bryophytes (-lichens) and C₃ vascular plants is broadly similar, so immediate responses to elevated CO₂ in the two groups are not likely to be very different (Proctor *et al.*, 1992; Williams and Flanagan, 1996); in lichens the carbon-concentrating mechanisms of many of the photobionts complicate the picture, but lichens seem unlikely to be favoured relative to vascular plants by rising CO₂ (see below).

Immediate responses to elevated CO₂

In general, the present ambient CO₂ concentration is suboptimal for bryophytes and lichens, so short-term laboratory experiments show a positive response to elevated CO₂. This interacts with effects of water status, which can vary widely in these poikilohydric plants. At less than full turgor photosynthesis depends on water content in much the same way as in non-DT vascular plants (Tuba *et al.*, 1996). Above this point, an increasing proportion of the water associated with a moist, actively-metabolising bryophyte or lichen is external or intercellular (Dilks and Proctor 1979; Beckett, 1997). While the capillary structure of the plants generally ensures that there is a broad optimum range over which gas exchange can proceed relatively freely, at high water contents CO₂ uptake is limited by increasing diffusion resistance (Dilks and Proctor, 1979). At low levels of hydration the thallus of the lichen *Ramalina maciformis* presents only a small diffusion resistance to CO₂, but above the optimum water content net CO₂ assimilation becomes severely depressed (Lange and Tenhunen, 1981). At a water content of 200% dry

weight (DW) and ambient CO₂ more than two-thirds of the diffusion resistance in the lichens studied by Cowan *et al.* (1992) was attributable to the water. However, this depression diminished at 800 ppm CO₂ and disappeared completely at 1600 ppm CO₂. A similar effect of high CO₂ was recorded by Silvola (1985) in *Sphagnum*. This effect is purely physical, due to the raised partial pressure of CO₂ around the photosynthesizing cells (Jauhiainen *et al.*, 1998).

In non-DT homoihydric plants, elevated atmospheric CO₂ may facilitate net photosynthesis more at higher temperatures, but the result of this interaction is highly species-specific (Tremmel and Patterson, 1993). The synergy between increasing CO₂ and temperature has been established for various bryophytes as well (Silvola, 1985) and will be favourable if elevated CO₂ is accompanied by higher temperatures. The immediate effect of exposure to high CO₂ levels is to increase net photosynthesis of mosses dramatically, and this may more than compensate for low photosynthetically active radiation (Silvola, 1985). It also lowers light compensation point (Sonesson *et al.*, 1996). Bearing in mind, that bryophytes rarely encounter bright sunlight in an active, hydrated state for only limited periods (Proctor, 1990) and global warming might bring increased cloud cover and denser forest canopies, this CO₂ effect looks promising for them (Sveinbjörnsson and Oechel, 1992).

Medium-term effects of elevated CO₂

When exposure to elevated CO₂ lasts for a prolonged time (20–40 days), the primary advantageous effects start to decline (Jauhiainen and Silvola, 1996) and signs of negative (downward) acclimation of the photosynthetic system in non-DT plants appear (Drake *et al.*, 1997). In lichens it is characterized by the fall of the photosynthetic capacity (i.e. Rubisco content, carboxylation efficiency, quantum yield of CO₂ assimilation, CO₂ assimilation in saturating light) (Balaguer *et al.*, 1996). In lichens, there is no sign of any changes in photosystem II yield under short-term elevated CO₂ (Sonesson *et al.*, 1995). The decrease in light compensation point induced by immediate CO₂ enrichment disappears during continuing exposure (Grulke *et al.*, 1990). The temporariness of the stimulation caused by elevated CO₂ levels has several possible causes.

Cyanobacterial symbionts, and photobionts with a pyrenoid (e.g. *Trebouxia*) in the chloroplast, possess a photosynthetic CO₂-concentrating mechanism (CCM). This maintains a higher concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon inside the chloroplast as compared with the environment (Badger *et al.*, 1993). Although its capacity is sufficient neither to saturate Rubisco activity at present CO₂ level nor to overcome the diffusion resistance caused by excess water, the CCM is repressed under elevated CO₂ (Badger *et al.*, 1993). A decreased ratio of pyrenoidal Rubisco seems to confirm the inactivation of the CCM in response to high CO₂ (Borkhsenius *et al.*, 1998). This might also contribute to the downward acclimation of some lichen species.

In *Lobaria pulmonaria*, a lichen with a nitrogen-fixing *Nostoc* cyanobacterium, nitrogenase activity doubled in response to short-term high-CO₂ exposure (Norby and Signal, 1989). In lichens without the ability to fix N₂, nitrogen is a limiting factor in nutrient-poor environments (Crittenden *et al.*, 1994). Increased carbon assimilation resulting from elevated atmospheric CO₂ causes relative N-deficiency (Drake *et al.*, 1997). For lichens this situation may be even worse, because they also have to invest N into the chitin-containing fungal cell-wall (Palmqvist *et al.*, 1998). The decrease in Rubisco concentration is an adaptive regulation of photosynthesis, cutting luxury investment of N into the photosynthetic system in non-DT plants (Drake *et al.*, 1997); it appears markedly in the lichen *Parmelia sulcata* after one month of exposure to 700 ppm CO₂ (Balaguer *et al.*, 1996). Decrease in Rubisco was not associated with contraction of pyrenoid volume in green-algal (Balaguer *et al.*, 1996), as Miyachi *et al.* (1986) found for free-living algae.

Higher nutrient availability tends to increase the responsiveness of non-DT vascular plants to elevated CO₂ (Drake *et al.*, 1997). The mineral demand of most mosses is relatively modest and moss production is commonly not nutrient limited (Oechel and Sveinbjörnsson, 1978). Despite this, N addition was the only controlling factor in the biomass production of various *Sphagnum* in the experiments of Jauhiainen *et al.* (1998), while simultaneous exposure to elevated CO₂ had a negligible effect. There was only one instance when the synergism between nutrient addition and high CO₂

concentration commonly found in non-DT plants, was detected in bryophytes. It was reported by Baker and Boatman (1990), who investigated *Sphagnum cuspidatum* and applied P and K in addition to N. This is not surprising, as Overdieck (1993) described phosphorus as the main limiting nutrient for non-DT plants under elevated CO₂. In his view, plants can tolerate some decrease in the concentrations of all minerals but phosphorus. More P is required to enable increased flux of carbon through the photosynthetic carbon reduction cycle. *Sphagnum* generally receive their nutrients from atmospheric sources, and they failed to respond positively to extra N (Jauhiainen *et al.*, 1998), therefore it would be worth to put to the test other, more minerotrophic bryophyte species.

Decrease in Rubisco content as a response to CO₂ enrichment can explain well the fall of the light-saturated rate of photosynthesis. Under limiting light, however, half of the Rubisco can be removed without impairing carbon assimilation in non-DT homoihydric plants (Quick *et al.*, 1991). Consequently, there must be processes behind the downward regulation of photosynthesis other than the decrease in Rubisco content. There is growing evidence, at least in vascular plants, that negative feedback exerted by excess photosynthates and lack of sufficient sink strength are among the main causes of downward acclimation (Drake *et al.*, 1997). This might apply for lichens as well, because Balaguer *et al.* (1996) found significant increment in cytosolic lipid content in *Parmelia* photobiont. As to the bryophytes, there was no correlation between photosynthesis and growth responses in the experiments of Jauhiainen *et al.* (1998). The increased amount of photosynthates enlarged the non-structural carbohydrate pool in *Sphagnum papillosum* and *S. balticum* at elevated CO₂ concentration. This phenomenon is a well-established indication of insufficient sink strength (Drake *et al.*, 1997). Bryophytes can be characterized by little assimilate translocation and little sink differentiation. However, the control by end-product or sink-demand cannot be generalized to all bryophytes as Sveinbjörnsson and Oechel (1992) found positive correlation between total non-structural carbohydrate pool and maximum photosynthetic rates in mosses in Alaska.

From the data presented above it can be inferred that the investigated DT lichens and bryo-

phytes have a limited inherent capability to increase production significantly under short-term CO₂ enrichment. In both groups excess photosynthates emerged and downward acclimation appeared.

Long-term effects of elevated CO₂

Evidences obtained using artificial CO₂ fumigation techniques

In the long run, photosynthetic apparatus seems to be even more influenced by elevated CO₂. The first DT moss put to test in a high-CO₂ experiment was *Polytrichum formosum*, which was exposed to four different CO₂ levels for eleven months in open top chambers (Csintalan *et al.*, 1995). In this case, even photochemical activity, as reflected by relative fluorescence decrease ratio, Rfd, was negatively affected by the two highest CO₂ concentrations (700 and 683 ppm). The higher CO₂ concentration was applied, the higher decrease in Chl_{a+b} content was indicated by the fluorescence ratio parameter, F690/F730. Obviously, the more common signs of downward regulation could also be detected: lowered Rubisco capacity and elevated levels of soluble sugars and starch. Since moss gametophytes lack stomata, these results suggest that stomata probably play a less important role in the acclimation of photosynthesis in non-DT plants (Drake *et al.*, 1997).

Most surprisingly, the highly DT, ectohydric moss *Tortula ruralis* and the foliose *Trebouxia* ascolichen, *Cladonia convoluta* did not display any signs of acclimation in their photosynthesis after four months of exposure to 700 ppm CO₂. In addition to this, elevated CO₂ level resulted in a slightly, but significantly higher net photosynthesis rate from the 15th minute of the rehydration period in *T. ruralis*. *C. convoluta* reached the CO₂ compensation point in less than half the time taken at present CO₂ and its net carbon assimilation raised, too (Csintalan *et al.*, 1997). The difference between the responses of *P. formosum* and the responses of *T. ruralis* and *C. convoluta* is difficult to explain, but may be related to the more elaborate morphology of the *Polytrichum*. The lack of acclimation in the latter two species be a consequence of the intermittent acclimation periods. 'Short' and 'long-term' are relative. Probably the time spent dry and inactive should be

subtracted from the exposure period to obtain the time available for acclimation; a CO₂ fumigation experiment longer than 40 days may still be 'short-term' to the plant. This discrepancy between the behaviour of *P. formosum* and that of *T. ruralis* and *C. convoluta* is a warning against uncritically treating all bryophytes (still less bryophytes and lichens) as a uniform group.

Elevated CO₂ level proved to be beneficial also at the drying-out end of the dehydration-rehydration cycle in *T. ruralis* and *C. convoluta*: not only did the high CO₂ exposure increase the overall carbon gain by about one third but also prolonged net photosynthesis without influencing the rate of water loss (Tuba *et al.*, 1998b). Carboxylating enzymes are only inactivated but not degraded on desiccation and bryophytes are able to fix CO₂ at quite low water potentials (Dilks and Proctor, 1979). Most likely, in DT plants CO₂ is a limiting factor for carboxylation even at this low hydration level (Tuba *et al.*, 1998).

A natural laboratory: in the neighbourhood of CO₂ springs

Balaguer and Barnes (1999) investigated *Parmelia caperata*, an indigenous *Trebouxia*-ascolichen in the vicinity of an uncontaminated natural CO₂ spring. Surprisingly, they did not find symptoms of downward acclimation of photosynthesis except for decreased Rubisco content in the algae from the thallus rim. The latter was attributed to the N-starvation of these photobiont cells induced by the mycobiont in order to limit algal multiplication (Crittenden *et al.*, 1994). In spite of the preserved photosynthetic capacity, there was no sign of increased primary productivity. Instead of non-structural carbohydrates, assimilated carbon was allocated to extracellular phenolic secondary metabolites to avoid negative feedback on photosynthesis. For non-acclimating non-DT plants, the protein competition model (Jones and Hartley, 1998) predicts no change or even a decrease in phenolics content. Empirical data for higher plants show small but variable changes in non-acclimated or nutrient-limited plants. It is a speciality of the lichens, that some of them can accumulate phenolics up to 20% DW (Lawrey, 1995). Phenolics protect lichens against parasitic fungi, bacteria and even slugs

(Lawrey, 1995). They also render the thallus opaque in the desiccated state and thus they offer some photoprotection. Phenolics are thought to inhibit algal cell division and their increase might affect the balance of photobiont and mycobiont in the lichen (Honegger, 1993). On the whole, long-term elevated CO₂ seems to be beneficial for the green-algal lichens. However the competitive advantage is not exerted by increased biomass but by improved protection.

Desiccation-tolerant vascular plants at elevated CO₂ concentration

Only two experiments have dealt with the long-term responses of desiccation tolerant vascular plants to CO₂ enrichment (Csintalan *et al.*, 1997; Tuba *et al.*, 1998a). On desiccation, elevated CO₂ level (700 ppm) did not affect the drying time of the monocotyledonous desiccation tolerant shrub *Xerophyta scabrida* (Tuba *et al.*, 1998a). It did not have an influence on the loss of photochemical activity nor on the breakdown pattern of the chlorophylls and carotenoids. However, the length of positive net photosynthesis was extended by 300%. The reason for this may be the ability of carboxylating enzymes to function at low water contents and the higher intercellular CO₂ concentration within the leaves. Dark respiration was not altered by elevated CO₂ concentration at all. Nevertheless, elevated CO₂ does not have the same beneficial effect on the carbon balance during and after rehydration (Csintalan *et al.*, 1997). While it did not influence the reconstruction of the photosynthetic apparatus as measured by the chlorophyll fluorescence parameter Rfd, it enhanced respiratory activity and delayed the onset of positive net carbon assimilation. After the reconstruction of the photosynthetic apparatus, the net CO₂ assimilation rate was higher in the high CO₂ leaves; however, it rapidly declined to a level lower than in the ambient-CO₂ plants. This acclimation was accompanied by a decrease in photosynthetic pigments and stomatal conductance. A decrease in Rubisco capacity could also be shown (Csintalan *et al.*, 1996). Based on these experiments, the benefits from the elevated CO₂ concentration for *X. scabrida* will offset losses if climate change includes an increase in the intermittency of drought.

Conclusions

It is not possible to draw parallels between the responses to CO₂ enrichment of DT and non-DT plants without caution and qualifications. There are some similarities. In both groups photosynthesis shows an immediate positive response to elevated CO₂, but in the longer term this tends to be negated to varying degrees by downward acclimation of photosynthesis or other limitations on production and growth. Most lichens and mosses live in nutrient-poor habitats and this exerts some limitation on their photosynthetic and production responses to elevated atmospheric CO₂. Of the moss species studied, *Polytrichum formosum* comes closest to the non-DT homoihydric plant pattern. In general, mosses have limited source-sink differentiation, while lichens invest their extra carbon into secondary substances, which has parallels in non-DT higher plants (Cipollini *et al.*, 1993). Lichens and bryophytes are poikilohydric and evergreen. This means that they often have to face suboptimal environmental factors and to react immediately to intermittent favourable periods. There are indications that elevated CO₂ favours DT bryophytes and lichens most when their water content is too low or too high for positive net carbon assimilation at present CO₂. There are also indications that DT plants may generally (but not always) cope better with heavy metal pollution and other stresses at higher CO₂ (Takács *et al.*, 1999). Increases in extracellular phenolics in lichens under long-term elevated CO₂ concentration may mean better defence against herbivores and pathogens. DT vascular plants also experience a down-regulation of photosynthesis but CO₂ enrichment seems to be beneficial for them during drying out. The responses of DT plants are thus likely to interact in quite complex ways with other climatic factors. No simple predictions can be made. Broad biogeochemical considerations predict that rising atmospheric CO₂ should result in faster net (photosynthetic) transfer of carbon from atmosphere to biosphere (Schlesinger, 1997), but this has yet to be unequivocally demonstrated even for non-DT vascular plants, far less quantified. Much more experimental evidence from long-term experiments would be needed to make a confident forecast of the re-

sponses of desiccation tolerant plants to the global environmental changes that may be expected in the next century.

Acknowledgements

The support of British-Hungarian Science and Technology Programme (GB-38/96 Project), Hungarian Scientific Research Foundation

(OTKA T22723, T-017458), Research Development in Higher Education (Budapest, FKFP 0472/97) and MEGARICH (EU Climate and Environment Programme, Contract No. EV5V-CT-93-5213) is gratefully acknowledged. The authors are indebted to Prof. Richard Beckett and Dr. Nick Smirnoff for their valuable comments and suggestions.

- Badger M. R., Pfanz H., Büdel B., Heber U. and Lange O. L. (1993), Evidence of the functioning of photosynthetic CO₂-concentrating mechanisms in lichens containing green algal and cyanobacterial photobionts. *Planta* **191**, 57–70.
- Baker R. G. and Boatman D. J. (1990), Some effects of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and carbon dioxide concentration on the morphology and vegetative reproduction of *Sphagnum cuspidatum* Ehrh. *New Phytol.* **116**, 605–611.
- Balaguer L., Valladares F., Ascascó C., Barnes J. D., de los Ríos A., Manrique E. and Smith E. C. (1996), Potential effects of rising tropospheric concentrations of CO₂ and O₃ on green-algal lichens. *New Phytol.* **132**, 641–652.
- Balaguer L. and Barnes J. D. (1999), Potential impacts of long-term CO₂ enrichment on green-algal lichens. *New Phytol.* (submitted).
- Barnola J. M., Raynaud D., Korotkevich Y. S. and Lorius C. (1987), Vostok ice core provides 160 000 year record of atmospheric CO₂. *Nature*, 329, 408–414.
- Bazzaz F. A. and Williams W. E. (1991), Atmospheric CO₂ concentrations within a mixed forest, implications for seedling growth. *Ecology* **72**, 12–16.
- Beckett R. P. (1997), Pressure volume analysis of a range of poikilohydric plants implies the existence of negative turgor in vegetative cells. *Ann. Bot.* **79**, 145–152.
- Berner R. A. (1998), The carbon cycle and CO₂ over Phanerozoic time: the role of land plants. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc., Lond. B* **353**, 75–82.
- Borkhsenius O. N., Mason C. B. and Moroney J. V. (1998), The intracellular localization of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant Physiol.* **116**, 1585–1591.
- Cipollini M. L., Drake B. G. and Whigham D. (1993), Effects of elevated CO₂ on growth and carbon/nutrient balance in the deciduous woody shrub *Lindera benzoin* (L.) blume (Lauraceae). *Oecologia* **96**, 339–346.
- Cowan I. R., Lange O. L. and Green T. G. A. (1992), Carbon-dioxide exchange in lichens, determination of transport and carboxylation characteristics. *Planta* **187**, 282–294.
- Crittenden P. D., Katuczka I. and Oliver E. (1994), Does nitrogen supply limit the growth of lichens? *Crypt. Bot.* **4**, 143–155.
- Csintalan Z., Takács Z., Tuba Z., Proctor M. F. C., Smirnoff N. and Grace J. (1997), Desiccation tolerant grassland cryptogams under elevated CO₂, Preliminary findings. *Abst. Bot.* **21**, 309–315.
- Csintalan Z., Tuba Z. and Laitat E. (1995), Slow chlorophyll fluorescence, net CO₂ assimilation and carbohydrate responses in *Polytrichum formosum* to elevated CO₂ concentrations. In: *Photosynthesis from Light to Biosphere* (P. Mathis, ed.), Vol. V. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 925–928.
- Csintalan Z., Tuba Z., Lichtenthaler H. K. and Grace J. (1996), Reconstitution of photosynthesis upon rehydration in the desiccated leaves of the poikilochlorophyllous shrub *Xerophyta scabrifolia* at elevated CO₂. *J. Plant Physiol.* **148**, 345–350.
- Dilks T. J. K. and Proctor M. C. F. (1979), Photosynthesis, respiration and water content in bryophytes. *New Phytol.* **82**, 97–114.
- Drake B. G., González-Meler M. A. and Long S. P. (1997), More efficient plants, A consequence of rising tropospheric CO₂? *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **48**, 607–637.
- Farrant J. M. (1998), *Plant Growth Regulation*. Vol. 24, No. 3. Special Issue. Selected Papers from the 2nd International Workshop on Desiccation Tolerance and Sensitivity of Seeds and Vegetative Plant Tissues. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Gaff D. F. (1989), Responses of desiccation tolerant 'resurrection' plants to water stress. In: *Structural and Functional Responses to Environmental Stresses* (K. H. Kreeb, H. Richter and T. M. Hinckley, eds.). SPB Academic Publishing, The Hague, pp. 264–311.
- Gordon A. M., Schlentner R. E. and Van Cleve K. (1987), Seasonal patterns of soil respiration and CO₂ evolution following harvesting in the white spruce forests of interior Alaska. *Can. J. Forest Res.* **17**, 304–310.
- Grulke N. E., Riechers G. H., Oechel W. C., Hjelm U. and Jaeger C. (1990), Carbon balance in tussock tundra under ambient and elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Oecologia* **83**, 485–494.
- Honegger R. (1993), Developmental biology of lichens. *New Phytol.* **125**, 659–677.

- Houghton J. T., Jenkins G. J. and Ephramus J. J. (1990), *Climate Change: The IPCC Scientific Assessment*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Ingram J. and Bartels D. (1996), The molecular basis of dehydration tolerance in plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **47**, 377–403.
- Jauhiainen J. and Silvola J. (1996), The effect of elevated CO₂ concentration on photosynthesis of *Sphagnum fuscum*. In: *Northern Peatlands in Global Climatic Change* (R. Laiho, J. Laine, and H. Vasander, eds.). Academy of Finland, Helsinki, pp. 23–29.
- Jauhiainen J., Silvola J. and Vasander H. (1998), Effects of increased carbon dioxide and nitrogen supply on mosses. In: *Bryology for the Twenty-first Century* (J. W. Bates, N. W. Ashton and J. G. Duckett, eds.). Maney Publishing and the British Bryological Society, Leeds, pp. 343–360.
- Jones C. G. and Hartley S. E. (1998), Global change and plant phenolic concentrations: species level predictions using the protein competition model. In: *Responses of Plant Metabolism to Air Pollution and Global Change* (L. J. De Kok and I. Stulen, eds.). Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, pp. 23–50.
- Kappen L. (1973), Response to extreme environments. In: *The Lichens* (V. Ahmadjian and M. E. Hale, eds.). Academic Press, New York, London, pp. 311–380.
- Körner C. and Bazzaz F. A. (1996), *Carbon Dioxide, Populations, and Communities*. Academic Press, San Diego, New York, Boston, London, Sydney, Tokyo, Toronto.
- Lange O. L. and Tenhunen J. D. (1981), Moisture content and CO₂ exchange of lichens. II. Depression of net photosynthesis in *Ramalina maciformis* at high water content is caused by increased thallus carbon dioxide diffusion resistance. *Oecologia* **51**, 426–429.
- Lawrey J. D. (1995), Lichen allelopathy. A review. In: *Allelopathy. Organisms, Processes and Applications* (D. Inderjit, K. M. M. Dakshini and F. A. Einhellig, eds.). American Chemical Society, Symposium Series 582., Washington DC, pp. 26–38.
- Miyachi S., Tsuzuki M., Maruyama I., Gantar M., Miyachi S. and Matsushima H. (1986), Effects of CO₂ concentration during growth on the intracellular structure of *Chlorella* and *Scenedesmus* (*Chlorophyta*). *J. Phycol.* **22**, 313–319.
- Norby R. J. and Signal L. L. (1989), Nitrogen fixation in the lichen *Lobaria pulmonaria* in elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Oecologia* **79**, 566–568.
- Oberbauer S. F., Oechel W. C. and Riechers G. H. (1986), Soil respiration of Alaskan tundra at elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations. *Plant and Soil* **96**, 145–148.
- Oechel W. C. and Lawrence W. T. (1985), Taiga. In: *Physiological Ecology of North American Plant Communities* (B. F. Chabot and H. A. Mooney, eds.). Chapman and Hall, New York, pp. 66–94.
- Oechel W. C. and Sveinbjörnsson B. (1978), Primary production processes in arctic bryophytes at Barrow, Alaska. In: *Vegetation and Production Ecology of an Alaskan Arctic Tundra* (L. L. Tieszen, ed.). Springer, New York, pp. 269–298.
- Oliver M. J. and Bewley J. D. (1997), Desiccation tolerance of plant tissues: a mechanistic overview. *Hort. Rev.* **18**, 171–213.
- Overdieck D. (1993), Elevated CO₂ and mineral content of herbaceous and woody plants. *Vegetatio* **104/105**, 403–411.
- Palmqvist K., Campbell D., Ekblad A. and Johansson H. (1998), Photosynthetic capacity in relation to nitrogen content and its partitioning in lichens with different photobionts. *Plant Cell Environ.* **21**, 361–372.
- Peterson K. M. and Billings W. D. (1975), Carbon dioxide flux from tundra soils and vegetation as related to temperature at Barrow, Alaska. *Amer. Midl. Naturalist* **94**, 88–98.
- Porembski S., Barthlott W., Dörrstock S. and Biedinger N. (1994), Vegetation of rock outcrops in Guinea: granite inselbergs, sandstone table mountains and ferricretes – remarks on species numbers and endemism. *Flora* **189**, 315–326.
- Proctor M. C. F. (1981), *Physiological Ecology of bryophytes*. *Advances in Bryology*, Vol. **1**. (W. Schultze-Motel, ed.). Cramer, Vaduz, pp. 79–166.
- Proctor M. C. F. (1982), *Physiological ecology: water relations, light and temperature responses, carbon balance*. In: *Bryophyte Ecology* (Smith, A. J. E., ed.). Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 333–381.
- Proctor M. C. F. (1990), The physiological basis of bryophyte production. *Bot. J. Linnean Soc.* **104**, 61–77.
- Proctor M. C. F., Raven J. A. and Rice S. K. (1992), Stable carbon isotope discrimination measurements in *Sphagnum* and other bryophytes: physiological and ecological implications. *J. Bryol.* **17**, 193–202.
- Quick W. P., Schurr U., Scheibe R., Schulze E. D., Rodermel S. R., Bogorad L. and Stitt M. (1991), Decreased ribulose-1,5-bis-phosphate carboxylase-oxygenase in transgenic tobacco transformed with 'antisense' rbcS. *Planta* **183**, 542–554.
- Raschi A., Miglietta F., Tognetti R. and van Gardingen P. R. (1997), *Plant Responses to Elevated CO₂. Evidence from Natural Springs*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Raven J. A., Macfarlane J. J. and Griffiths H. (1987), The application of carbon isotope discrimination techniques. In: *Plant Life in Aquatic and Amphibious Habitats* (Crawford, R. M. M. (ed.). Blackwell Oxford, pp. 129–149.
- Raven J. A., Osborne B. A. and Johnston A. M. (1985), Uptake of CO₂ by aquatic vegetation. *Plant Cell Env.* **8**, 417–425.
- Schlesinger W. H. (1997), *Biogeochemistry: an Analysis of Global Change*. 2nd ed. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Silvola J. (1985), CO₂ dependence of photosynthesis in certain forest and peat mosses and simulated photosynthesis at various actual and hypothetical CO₂ concentrations. *Lindbergia* **11**, 86–93.
- Smith A. J. E. (1982), *Bryophyte Ecology*. Chapman & Hall, London.
- Sonesson M., Callaghan T. V. and Björn L. O. (1995), Short-term effects of enhanced UV-B and CO₂ on lichens at different latitudes. *Lichenologist* **27**, 547–557.
- Sonesson M., Callaghan T. V. and Carlsson B. Å. (1996), Effects of enhanced ultraviolet radiation and carbon dioxide concentration on the moss *Hylocomium splendens*. *Global Change Biol.* **2**, 67–73.

- Sveinbjörnsson B. and Oechel W. C. (1992), Controls on growth and productivity of bryophytes, environmental limitations under current and anticipated conditions. In: *Bryophytes and Lichens in a Changing Environment*, (J. W. Bates and A. M. Farmer, eds.), Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 77–102.
- Takács Z., Csintalan Zs. and Tuba Z. (1999), Responses of the lichen, *Cladonia convoluta* to high CO₂ level and heavy metal treatment. *Z. Naturforsch.* **54c**, 797–801.
- Tenhunen J. D., Lange O. L., Hahn S., Siegwolf R. and Oberbauer S. F. (1992), The ecosystem role of poikilohydric tundra plants. In: *Arctic Ecosystems in a Changing Climate* (Chapin, F. S., Jefferies, R. L., Reynolds, J. F., Shaver, G. R. and Svoboda, J., eds.), Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 213–237.
- Tremmel D. C. and Petterson D. T. (1993), Responses of soybean and five weeds to CO₂ enrichment under two temperature regimes. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* **73**, 1249–1260.
- Tuba Z., Csintalan Zs. and Proctor M. C. F. (1996), Photosynthetic responses of a moss, *Tortula ruralis*, ssp. *ruralis*, and the lichens *Cladonia convoluta* and *C. furcata* to water deficit and short periods of desiccation, and their ecophysiological significance: a baseline study at present-day CO₂ concentration. *New Phytol.* **133**, 353–361.
- Tuba Z., Proctor M. C. F. and Csintalan Zs. (1998a), Ecophysiological responses of homoiochlorophyllous and poikilochlorophyllous desiccation tolerant plants: a comparison and an ecological perspective. *Plant Growth Regul.* **24**, 211–217.
- Tuba Z., Csintalan Zs., Szente K., Nagy Z. and Grace J. (1998b), Carbon gains by desiccation tolerant plants at elevated CO₂. *Func. Ecol.* **12**, 39–44.
- Williams, T. G. and Flanagan, L. B. (1996), Effect of changes in water content on photosynthesis, transpiration and discrimination against ¹³CO₂ and C¹⁸O¹⁶O in *Pleurozium* and *Sphagnum*. *Oecologia*, **108**, 38–46.