# $^{81}Br$ and $^{27}Al$ NQR of AlBr<sub>3</sub> $\cdot$ 2 C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>N, AlBr<sub>3</sub> $\cdot$ 1.5 CH<sub>3</sub>CN, and AlBr<sub>3</sub> $\cdot$ 2 CH<sub>3</sub>CN \*

Koji Yamada, Tsutomu Okuda, and Hisao Negita Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University, Naka-ku, Hiroshima 730, Japan

Z. Naturforsch. 41 a, 230-235 (1986); received July 23, 1985

 $^{81}Br\ NQR$  was studied in  $AlBr_3\cdot 2\,C_5H_5N,\ AlBr_3\cdot 1.5\,CH_3CN,\ and\ AlBr_3\cdot 2\,CH_3CN.$  From the NQR spectra it is apparent that the solid compounds are build up by ion pairs  $[AlBr_2(C_5H_5N)_4^+,\ AlBr_4^-],\ [Al(CH_3CN)_3^{6^+},\ 3AlBr_4^-],\ and\ [AlBr(CH_3CN)_5^{6^+},\ 2AlBr_4^-\cdot CH_3CN],\ respectively.$   $^{27}Al\ NQR$  detected by SEDOR technique supports these structural models. Using these NQR parameters, the metal-ligands interactions in the six-coordinated complexes is discussed on the basis of the donor strength. In the case of  $AlBr_3\cdot 2\,CH_3CN$  two types of reorientational motion of the  $AlBr_4^-$  tetrahedra were detected from the spin lattice relaxation times and from fade-out phenomena of the  $^{81}Br\ NOR\ signals$ .

## Introduction

Aluminum or gallium hallide forms many 1:1 compounds with donor molecules, X<sub>3</sub>M-Donor, in which the central metal M has a tetrahedral configuration with three-fold symmetry. In these cases the donor strength may be evaluated from the halogen and Al or Ga nuclear quadrupole coupling constants as was reported by Tong in his 35Cl and <sup>69</sup>Ga NQR study for the GaCl<sub>3</sub>-Donor system [1]. That is to say, the NQR frequency of the terminal Cl decreases slightly but that of Ga decreases drastically with increasing donor strength. On the other hand, by means of <sup>27</sup>Al NMR spectroscopy many types of 4-, 5-, and 6-coordinated complexes have been reported in solutions of the AlX<sub>3</sub>-organic Lewis base system [2-8]. Some of them have been isolated as crystals and studied by infrared and Raman spectroscopy [9-11] and by X-ray diffraction [12-15]. In these compounds only AlX<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra were found as the anionic species. There are many 81Br or 35Cl NQR data available for this anion [16, 17]. However, little is known about the chemistry of the 5- and 6-coordinated complexes of aluminum. Especially coordination complexes having mixed ligands such as AlX<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub>, AlX<sub>3</sub>D<sub>3</sub>, AlX<sub>2</sub>D<sub>4</sub>,

Reprint requests to Dr. Tsutomu Okada, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University, Naka-ku, Hiroshima 730, Japan.

and AlXD<sub>5</sub><sup>2+</sup> (X=Cl, Br; D=donor molecule) are very interesting systems for NQR studies in order to get information about the cis-trans effect, the donor strength of the ligand, and the electronic distribution around the central metal. In this paper we report on <sup>81</sup>Br and <sup>27</sup>Al NQR of the title compounds and discuss their structure, dynamics, and bonding.

## **Experimental**

AlBr<sub>3</sub>·2Py (Py: pyridine) has a congruent melting point at 185 °C. Single crystals were grown from the melt containing stoichiometric amounts of AlBr<sub>3</sub> and Py using the Bridgman method [18]. Found: C, 28.12; H, 2.48; N, 6.51%. Calcd. for AlBr<sub>3</sub> · 2Py: C, 28.27; H, 2.37; N, 6.59%. On the other hand in the AlBr<sub>3</sub>-MeCN (MeCN: acetonitrile) system, only the 1:1 compound has a congruent melting point but the 1:1.5 and 1:2 compounds decompose below their melting points. These two compounds were crystallized from acetonitrile solutions. Because of their strong hygroscopy the C, H, N-analysis was very difficult, but the ratio of the CH<sub>3</sub>CN was determined to be 1.05:1.53:2.00 for 1:1, 1:1.5, 1:2 compounds, respectively. The 1:2 compound was also identified by the X-ray powder diagram reported by Dalibart et al. [10].

The  $^{81}$ Br NQR spectra were detected by a superregenerative spectrometer and also by a Matec pulse spectrometer. The spin lattice relaxation times were measured by the  $90^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$  or 4-pulse method [19].

<sup>\*</sup> Presented at the VIIIth International Symposium on Nuclear Quadrupole Resonance Spectroscopy, Darmstadt, July 22–26, 1985.

A homemade attachment was used to measure  $T_1$  with the 4-pulse method in which a recovery of an echo amplitude was monitored. This method is useful for samples with a strong echo signal but very short  $T_7^*$ .

The <sup>27</sup>Al NQR was detected by a spin echo double resonance method (SEDOR) in the frequency range from 250 kHz to 3 MHz monitoring a strong <sup>81</sup>Br NQR signal as was described elsewhere [20].

#### **Results and Discussion**

## AlBr<sub>3</sub> · 2 MeCN

Figure 1 shows the temperature dependence of the <sup>81</sup>Br NQR frequencies for AlBr<sub>3</sub>·2MeCN. At 77 K eight <sup>81</sup>Br NQR lines were detected in the fre-




Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of the  $^{81}Br\ NQR$  in  $AlBr_3 \cdot 2\ MeCN.$ 

Table 1. 81Br NQR frequencies of AlBr<sub>3</sub> · 2 Py, AlBr<sub>3</sub> · 2 MeCN and AlBr<sub>3</sub> · 1.5 MeCN.

Compounds	<sup>81</sup> Br NQR freq. [MHz]			Assignment	
	77 K		300 K		
AlBr <sub>3</sub> · 2 MeCN	82.770		79.980	AlBr <sub>4</sub>	
	82.612			4	
	81.631		79.110		
	81.150		78.162		
	80.683		77.374		
	79.773		76.767		
	79.288				
	78.468				
	73.037		73.745	AlBr(MeCN) <sub>5</sub>	
AlBr <sub>3</sub> · 1.5 MeCN	81.18,	80.93		AlBr <sub>4</sub>	
	80.63,	80.23		1 11 11 4	
	79.83,	79.72			
	79.65,				
	78.32,				
	77.97,	77.32			
AlBr <sub>3</sub> · 2 Py <sup>a</sup>	83.587		81.331	AlBr <sub>4</sub>	
	83.064		81.328	7 11 151 4	
	81.960		79.667		
	81.375		79.589		
			19.509		
	69.582	b	69.955	$AlBr_2(Py)_4^+$	
			69.875	21-374	

a Ref. [24]. - b Doublet.

quency range from 78 to 83 MHz, and furthermore one signal was observed at 73 MHz, about 10% lower in frequency. Only the lowest NQR signal out of nine showed a positive temperature coefficient, dv/dT > 0, suggesting that it has considerably different bonding properties. There are no single crystal structure data available for this compound. On the basis of X-ray powder diffraction [10], the bromide was reported to be isomorphous with the chloride. According to the chloride structure, the AlCl<sub>3</sub>·2MeCN crystal consists of ion pairs, AlCl(MeCN)<sub>5</sub><sup>2+</sup> and 2AlCl<sub>4</sub>, and one solvent MeCN molecule [12]. The NQR spectra shown in Fig. 1 are consistent with the chloride structure, i.e., eight NQR signals at about 80 MHz could be assigned to the two AlBr<sub>4</sub> anions and the lowest one at about 73 MHz belongs to the Br atom in the cation having the octahedral coordination AlBr(MeCN)<sub>5</sub><sup>2+</sup>.

On the other hand, the <sup>27</sup>Al NQR frequencies detected by the SEDOR technique for this compound are listed in Table 2. In the SEDOR experiment we could determine not only the <sup>27</sup>Al NQR

frequency but also their assignment, because the sensitivity of the SEDOR spectra depends upon the dipole-dipole interaction between them [21, 22]. Using the spin echo signal of the Br(1), Br(2), Br(7), or Br(8), two transitions, corresponding to  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  were detected at 256 and 363 kHz at 77 K, suggesting the presence of slightly distorted AlBr<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra. On the other hand, monitoring the spin echo signals other than described above showed only one <sup>27</sup>Al NQR signal at 256 kHz. The reason for this single NQR signal is still unsolved; it may be a doublet of two signals or its  $v_1$  transition is too low to be detect with our spectrometer.

An attempt to detect <sup>27</sup>Al NQR signals in the AlBr(MeCN)<sub>5</sub><sup>2+</sup> cation was unsuccessful, probably due to its too small coupling constant. If this is true, it means that Al-Br and Al-MeCN have almost the same bonding properties as will be described later.

As shown in Fig. 1 three NQR lines out of nine disappeared at about 210 K. These Br(2), Br(7), and Br(8) lines were assigned to the same AlBr<sub>4</sub> anion on the basis of the SEDOR experiment. It is interesting to note that only the Br(1) signal which could be assigned to the same AlBr<sub>4</sub> anion was still observed up to about room temperature, i.e., a reorientational motion about one Al-Br(1) three-fold axis begins before the onset of the isotropic motion. In order to investigate this anion dynamics we have determined the relaxation times  $T_1$  in the temperature range from 77 to room temperature, see Figure 2. As is apparent from this figure, there are three different types of  $T_1$  vs. temperature curves. The first group, in which Br(2), Br(7), and Br(8) are involved, shows a sudden  $T_1$  decrease at about 180 K. The second group, in which Br(1), Br(3), Br(4), Br(5), and Br(6) are involved, shows a similar behavior with the first one but  $T_1$  decrease at about 240 K. Br(9) belongs to the third group and takes about one order longer  $T_1$  values without a sudden decrease of the relaxation times, suggesting that reorientation of the octahedra does not take place in this investigated temperature range. In general, the NQR relaxation times may be described as

$$1/T_1 = a T^n + b \exp(-V/RT)$$
, (1)

where the first and second terms represent the contribution from lattice vibrations and from reorientational motion having the activation energy V, respectively [23]. Table 3 lists the best fit parameters a, n, b and V using non-linear least squares method.




Fig. 2. Temperature dependence of the <sup>81</sup>Br spin-lattice relaxation times for AlBr<sub>3</sub> · 2 MeCN.

Table 2.  $^{27}$ Al NQR parameters for AlBr $_3 \cdot 2$  MeCN, AlBr $_3 \cdot 1.5$  MeCN and AlBr $_3 \cdot 2$  Py (estimated error in resonance frequency,  $\pm$  5 kHz).

v <sub>l</sub> [kHz]	$v_2$ [kHz]	$e^2 Q q h^{-1}$ [kHz]	η	Assign- ment			
AlBr <sub>3</sub> · 2 MeCN							
256	363 256	1284	0.596	AlBr <sub>4</sub> AlBr <sub>4</sub>			
AlBr <sub>3</sub> · 1.5 MeCN							
259 256 311	400 435 520	1393 1489 1785	0.498 0.379 0.401	AlBr <sub>4</sub> AlBr <sub>4</sub> AlBr <sub>4</sub>			
AlBr <sub>3</sub> · 2 Py							
374 684	628 1322	2154 4431	0.395 0.165	AlBr <sub>4</sub> AlBr <sub>2</sub> Py <sub>4</sub>			
310 602	550 1151	1869 3864	0.319 0.190	AlBr <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup> AlBr <sub>2</sub> Py <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>			
	256 259 256 311 374 684 310	[kHz] [kHz]  AlBr 256 363 256  AlBr 259 400 256 435 311 520  Al 374 628 684 1322 310 550					

Table 3. Best fit parameters of the experimental  $1/T_1$  data in equation (1).

Assign- ment	$a = [10^{-1} \text{ se}]$	<i>n</i> c]	<i>b</i> [10 <sup>15</sup> sec]	V [kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ]
Br(3) Br(6) Br(8) Br(9)	0.499 0.468 3.34 0.25	1.81 1.83 1.41 1.60	439 128 0.113	70.7 69.5 41.9

From the  $T_1$  measurement against the temperature, two types of reorientational motions could be detected below its decomposition point. At one of the  $AlBr_4^-$  tetrahedral sites, in spite of its spherical shape a reorientation about the Al-Br(1) three-fold axis takes place at about 180 K with an activation energy 41.9 kJ/mol. The reorientational motion of the other tetrahedron is presumably more isotropic because four NQR signals showed the same behavior in the  $T_1$  vs. temperature curves.

Figure 3 shows the <sup>27</sup>Al NMR spectra recorded at 327 K using a powder sample. Because of the first order quadrupole effect we could detect only the central transition  $(1/2 \leftrightarrow -1/2)$ . As is apparent from this figure, the derivative curve is unsymmetrical not due to the second order quadrupole effect but to the overlapping of the two peaks with different chemical shifts. According to the high resolution NMR spectra in the AlBr<sub>3</sub>-MeCN system, the <sup>27</sup>Al chemical shifts assigned to the AlBr- and AlBr-(MeCN)<sub>5</sub><sup>2+</sup> ionic species were determined to be 80 and -31 ppm from the external standard Al(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub><sup>3+</sup> [6]. The observed chemical shifts in Fig. 3 are of the order expected from solution NMR data. The lower field line which could be assigned to the AlBr<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron shows motional narrowing at this temperature due to the AlBr<sub>4</sub> reorientation as was described above. On the other hand, the high field spectrum, which was assigned to the AlBr(MeCN)<sub>5</sub><sup>2+</sup> cation, has a peak to peak line-width of about 3.2 Gauss (3.55 kHz) and no second order quadrupole effect. Therefore, the reason for no <sup>27</sup>Al NQR signal with the SEDOR technique is due its too small quadrupole coupling constant. From the NMR and the SEDOR experiments an estimated value for the  $e^2 Qq/h$  is below 1 MHz.

## $AlBr_3 \cdot 1.5 MeCN$

This compound shows very complicated spectra at 77 K as shown in Figure 4. This spectrum was




Fig. 3.  $^{27}$ Al NMR spectra of the AlBr $_3$  · 2 MeCN powder sample recorded at 327 K and 16 MHz. Modulation width: 1.25 Gauss. The arrows A, B, and C indicate the chemical shift due to AlBr $_4^-$ , Al(H $_2$ O) $_6^{3+}$ , and AlBr(MeCN) $_5^{2+}$  from [6].




Fig. 4. <sup>81</sup>Br NQR spectra for AlBr<sub>3</sub>·1.5 MeCN at 77 K. The echo amplitude is plotted against the frequency at intervals of 50 kHz.

taken point by point changing the frequency at intervals of 50 kHz, and the echo intensity was plotted. Each NQR line has a very short  $T_2^*$  of about 20 µsec and therefore a very large line width. At least 12 lines were detected, suggesting three non-equivalent AlBr<sub>4</sub> anions from their NQR frequencies. Three pairs of <sup>27</sup>Al NQR lines were also detected by the SEDOR technique; their small  $e^2Qq/h$  suggest the presence of AlBr<sub>4</sub> anions. According to the literature about the <sup>27</sup>Al NMR for the acetonitrile solution of AlBr<sub>3</sub>, the following cations and anion have been characterized: Al(MeCN)<sub>6</sub><sup>3+</sup>, AlBr<sub>2</sub>(MeCN)<sub>4</sub><sup>4</sup>, and AlBr<sub>4</sub> [6]. Therefore, the structure of the AlBr<sub>3</sub> · 1.5 MeCN

compound may be described as  $[Al(MeCN)_6^{3+}, 3AlBr_4^-]$ . This structure was also confirmed by IR and Raman spectroscopy [11].

## $AlBr_3 \cdot 2Py$

About this compound we have already reported the <sup>81</sup>Br NQR signal and its Zeeman effect and showed that the compound consists of the AlBr<sub>4</sub> and trans-AlBr<sub>2</sub>(Py)<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ion pair [24]. Furthermore, a positive temperature coefficient of the <sup>81</sup>Br NQR, dv/dT, has been observed for the trans-AlBr<sub>2</sub>(Py)<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> cation similar to that of the AlBr(MeCN)<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup> cation. In this study we have now detected the <sup>27</sup>Al NQR at both the tetrahedral and the octahedral sites as shown in Table 2.

Interactions between aluminum and ligands in the tetrahedral and octahedral complexes

There are not enough data to find a general trend about the six coordinated aluminum. However, it is worthwhile to consider the metal-ligand interaction on the basis of the <sup>27</sup>Al and <sup>81</sup>Br NQR data. The quadrupole coupling constant at the Al site due to its valence electrons may be described as

$$e^2 Q q/h = C |n_{\rm Br} - n_{\rm D}|,$$
 (2)

where  $n_{\rm Br}$  and  $n_{\rm D}$  are donating electrons to the central metal from the Br<sup>-</sup> and the organic donor molecule, respectively. This equation holds not only for the tetrahedral AlX<sub>3</sub>D complexes but for octahedral AlBr<sub>2</sub>D<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> or AlBrD<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup> complexes, too, although the proportional constant C changes with the model of the bonding orbitals adopted. In a series of tetrahedral complexes Br<sub>3</sub>Al-Donor, the  $e^2 Qq/h$  (<sup>27</sup>Al) decreases drastically with increasing donor strength according to (2). Table 4 shows the <sup>81</sup>Br and <sup>27</sup>Al NQR parameters for AlBr<sub>3</sub>· MeCN and AlBr<sub>3</sub>· Py. The extremely small  $e^2 Qq/h$  (<sup>27</sup>Al) for the AlBr<sub>3</sub>· Py compound is referred to the strong donor property of pyridine. Therefore, in a series of tetrahedral complexes, the relation  $n_{\rm Br} > n_{\rm D}$  holds

Table 4.  $^{81}$ Br and  $^{27}$ Al NQR parameters for AlBr $_3$  · MeCN and AlBr $_3$  · Py at room temperature.

Compounds	Nuclei	Frequency [MHz]		η	$e^2 Q q h^{-1}$
		$v_1$	$v_2$		[MHz]
AlBr <sub>3</sub> · MeCN	<sup>81</sup> Br	80.514 80.725			
	<sup>27</sup> Al	0.781	1.554	0.063	5.184
AlBr <sub>3</sub> · Py <sup>a</sup>	<sup>81</sup> Br	79.575 80.565 81.424			
	<sup>27</sup> Al <sup>b</sup>	0.064	0.128	0	0.43

a Ref. [24].

generally. On the other hand, the  $e^2 Qq/h$  (27Al) for the AlBr(MeCN)<sub>5</sub><sup>2+</sup> complex is very small suggesting  $n_{\rm Br} = n_{\rm D}$  for this complex. As is expected from Table 4, the donor property of pyridine is stronger than that of acetonitrile, so that the opposite relation  $n_{Br} < n_D$  is presumed for the AlBr<sub>2</sub>Py<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> complex. In this connection further experimental data about central metals and ligands should be accumulated. Especially <sup>14</sup>N NQR data using the double resonance method are useful for this problem [25, 26]. In our previous 115In NQR study on the InBr<sub>5</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>2-</sup> complex, an anomalous large and positive temperature dependence of  $e^2Qq/h$  was observed and could be explained by a slight change in the bonding between the central metal and the H<sub>2</sub>O molecule [27, 28]. Therefore, the positive temperature coefficient of the 81Br NQR in these octahedral complexes of main group elements should be discussed together with the NQR data of central metal and ligands.

The present work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 60740258 from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Estimated from the quadrupole effect on the <sup>27</sup>Al NMR assuming  $\eta = 0$  using a powdered sample.

- [1] D. A. Tong, Chem. Commun. 1969, 790.
- [2] J. Derouault, P. Granger, and M. T. Forel, Inorg. Chem. 16, 3214 (1977).
- [3] J. W. Akitt, R. H. Duncan, I. R. Beattie, and P. J. Jones, J. Magn. Reson. 34, 435 (1978).
- [4] F. W. Wehrli and R. Hoerdt, J. Magn. Reson. 42, 334 (1981).
- [5] F. W. Wehrli and S. Wehrli, J. Magn. Reson. 44, 197 (1981).
- [6] M. Dalibert, J. Derouault, and P. Granger, Inorg. Chem. 20, 3975 (1981).
- [7] M. Dalibart, J. Dérouault, P. Granger, and S. Chapelle, Inorg. Chem. 21, 1040 (1982).
- Chapelle, Inorg. Chem. **21**, 1040 (1982). [8] J. W. Akitt, R. H. Duncan, and C. Setchell, J. Chem.
- Soc. Dalton Trans. **1983**, 2639.
  [9] J. Derouault and M. T. Forel, Inorg. Chem. **16**, 3207 (1977)
- [10] M. Dalibart, J. Derouault, M. T. Forel, and P. Caillet, J. Mol. Struct. 63, 233 (1980).
- [11] M. Dalibert, J. Derouault, and M. T. Forel, J. Mol. Struct. 70, 199 (1981).
- [12] J. A. K. Howard, L. E. Smart, and C. J. Gilmore, Chem. Commun. 1976, 477.
- [13] A. H. Cowley, M. C. Cushner, R. E. Davis, and P. E. Riley, Inorg. Chem. 20, 1179 (1981).
- [14] P. Pullmann, K. Hensen, and J. W. Bats, Z. Naturforsch. 37 b, 1312 (1982).

- [15] A. Boardman, R. W. H. Small, and I. J. Worrall, Acta Cryst. C 39, 433 (1983).
- [16] K. Yamada, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. A **41**, 77 (1977).
- [17] W. Scheinert and A. Weiss, Z. Naturforsch. 31a, 1354 (1976).
- [18] J. Wilson and I. J. Worrall, J. Chem. Soc. A 1967, 392.
- [19] M. Mackowiak and M. Zdanowska, Acta Phys. Polonica. **1975**, 723.
- [20] K. Yamada and T. Okuda, J. Phys. Chem. 89, 4269 (1985).
- [21] M. Emshwiller, E. L. Hahn, and D. Kaplan, Phys. Rev. 118, 414 (1960).
- [22] N. Weiden and A. Weiss, J. Magn. Reson. 30, 403 (1978).
- [23] H. Chihara and N. Nakamura, Adv. Nucle. Quadrupole Reson 4.1 (1981).
- pole Reson. **4,** 1 (1981). [24] T. Okuda, H. Ohta, H. Ishihara, K. Yamada, and H. Negita, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan **53,** 2721 (1980).
- [25] Y. N. Hsieh, G. V. Rubenacker, C. P. Cheng, and T. L. Brown, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 99, 1384 (1977).
- [26] T. L. Brown, J. Mol. Struct. 58, 293 (1980).
- [27] K. Yamada and A. Weiss, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 87, 932 (1983).
- [28] K. Yamada, N. Weiden, and A. Weiss, J. Mol. Struct. 111, 217 (1983).