Supplemental Table 1. Nutritionists' criteria applied for evaluating the healthiness of breakfasts reported by participants.

Factor	Standard breakfast	Decreases out of the total score of 10 for each criterion that was not meet
Food groups		
Fruit	1-2 portions	0 portions = -0.5 ; 3-4 portions = -0.5 ; > 5 portions = -1.0
Vegetable	1-2 portions	0 portions = -0.5 ; 3-4 portions = -0.5 ; > 5 portions = -1.0
Grain	2-3 portions	0 portions = -0.5 ; 4-5 portions = -0.5 ; > 6 portions = -1.0
Protein	1-2 portions	0 portions = -0.5 ; 3-4 portions = -0.5 ; > 5 portions = -1.0
Dairy	1 portion	0 portions = -0.5 ; 2-3 portions = -0.5 ; > 4 portions = -1.0
Water	1 portion	0 portions = -0.5
Fat	0-1 portion	2-3 portions = -1.0 ; > 4 portions = -1.5
Sugar	0-1 portion	2-3 portions = -0.5 ; > 4 portions = -1.0
Nutritional content	t	
Kilocalories	25% of energy needs \pm 1.5 SD	Below or above the 25% of energy needs ± 1.5 $SD = -1.0$
Carbohydrate %	50-60%	
Protein %	10-20%	Below or above energy percent range from macronutrients = -1.0
Lipids %	20-30%	

Notes: SD = Standard deviations of estimated energy requirements of all participants.

Supplemental Table 2. Participant characteristics

	Overweight		Ob	esity	Urban	location	Semi-urban location			
	Healthy	Unhealthy	Healthy	Unhealthy	Healthy	Unhealthy	Healthy	Unhealthy		
	breakfast	breakfast								
	n = 35	n = 30	n = 25	n = 30	n = 30	n = 30	n = 30	n = 30		
Age in years	30.6 ± 5.3	31.8 ± 4.1	32.9 ± 4.1	31.6 ± 4.6	30.4 ± 4.5	31.0 ± 4.5	32.7 ± 5.1	32.4 ± 4.1		
BMI kg/m ²	27.4 ± 1.5	26.9 ± 1.4	33.1 ± 2.8	33.4 ± 3.2	29.0 ± 2.9	29.7 ± 3.4	30.5 ± 4.0	30.8 ± 4.6		
Education, %										
Basic	45.7	53.3	56.0	66.7	33.3	33.3	66.7	86.7		
High school	37.1	26.7	16.0	13.3	40.0	30.0	16.7	10.0		
College/University	17.1	20.0	28.0	20.0	26.7	36.7	16.7	3.3		
Occupation, %										
Home	51.4	70.0	56.0	60.0	53.3	66.7	53.3	63.3		
Employee	48.6	30.0	44.0	30.0	46.7	33.3	46.7	36.7		
Have children, %	74.3	83.3	84.0	96.7	76.7	76.7	80.0	93.3		

Notes: Continuous variables: mean \pm standard deviation. Categorical variables: percent (%) of total participants in the condition

Supplemental Table 3. Characteristics of reported healthy (HB) and unhealthy (UB) breakfasts.

	Overv	weight	Obe	esity	Urban l	location	Semi-urban location		
	HB	UB	HB	UB	HB	UB	HB	UB	
	n = 35	n = 30	n = 25	n = 30	n = 30	n = 30	n = 30	n = 30	
Episode recency, days back	2.5 ± 2.4	2.6 ± 2.1	3.1 ± 2.2	3.0 ± 1.8	2.9 ± 2.6	3.1 ± 2.1	2.6 ± 2.0	2.8 ± 2.1	
Place of the episode, %									
Home	91.0	47.0	88.0	50.0	90.0	46.7	90.0	50.0	
Out of home	9.0	53.0	12.0	50.0	10.0	53.3	10.0	50.0	
Number of people present	2.4 ± 1.9	2.4 ± 2.2	2.8 ± 1.4	3.0 ± 2.8	1.9 ± 1.7	2.7 ± 2.6	3.3 ± 1.5	2.7 ± 2.5	
Relationship, %									
Alone	28.6	30.0	8.0	16.7	33.3	26.7	3.3	20.0	
Family	62.9	60.0	80.0	70.0	60.0	53.3	80.0	76.7	
Acquaintances	8.6	10.0	12.0	13.3	6.7	20.0	13.3	3.3	
Food portions reported									
Fruit	1.9 ± 1.5	0.5 ± 1.5	1.2 ± 1.4	0.1 ± 0.4	2.1 ± 1.7	0.2 ± 1.5	1.2 ± 1.1	0.4 ± 1.5	
Vegetables	0.4 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.6	0.1 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.6	0.1 ± 0.3	
Grains	1.6 ± 2.0	3.9 ± 2.6	1.9 ± 1.7	4.5 ± 3.1	1.7 ± 1.9	4.7 ± 3.4	1.7 ± 1.9	3.7 ± 2.1	
Higher protein foods	1.0 ± 1.1	1.5 ± 1.7	1.2 ± 1.2	1.0 ± 1.3	1.0 ± 1.0	1.5 ± 1.7	1.1 ± 1.3	1.0 ± 1.2	
Dairy	0.6 ± 0.6	0.3 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.9	0.2 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.9	0.3 ± 0.6	0.5 ± 0.6	0.2 ± 0.4	
Water	0.2 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.6	0.4 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.5	0.3 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.6	0.4 ± 0.5	
Sugar	0.4 ± 0.7	2.1 ± 2.5	0.8 ± 0.8	2.3 ± 2.1	0.4 ± 0.7	1.8 ± 1.9	0.8 ± 0.8	2.6 ± 2.6	
Fat	0.3 ± 0.5	2.4 ± 2.6	0.5 ± 0.8	3.0 ± 2.9	0.3 ± 0.5	3.6 ± 3.4	0.5 ± 0.8	1.9 ± 1.6	
Nutritional content									
Kilocalories	368.8 ± 203.5	595.7 ± 340.9	378.7 ± 194.8	620.8 ± 346.6	397.0 ± 188.5	678.0 ± 414.2	349.0 ± 208.2	537.8 ± 234.3	
Carbohydrate %	71.2 ± 20.6	63.2 ± 13.9	69.9 ± 18.8	64.8 ± 16.5	73.5 ± 15.5	59.6 ± 14.1	67.9 ± 23.1	68.4 ± 15.0	
Protein %	16.5 ± 11.1	14.5 ± 7.5	15.8 ± 10.1	11.1 ± 6.1	15.4 ± 8.8	13.0 ± 6.4	17.1 ± 12.3	12.6 ± 7.7	
Lipids %	12.3 ± 10.3	22.3 ± 11.1	14.2 ± 10.6	24.1 ± 12.5	11.2 ± 7.8	27.4 ± 11.0	15.0 ± 12.3	19.0 ± 11.0	

Notes: Continuous variables: mean ± standard deviation. Categorical variables: percent (%) of total participants in the condition.

Supplemental Table 3. Continued.

	Weight status effect			Loca	tion ef	fect	HB vs. UB effect			
	size	$\boldsymbol{\mathit{F}}$	p	size	${\pmb F}$	p	size	${m F}$	p	
Episode recency, days back	.016	1.91	.17	.017	1.84	.17	.000	0.00	.96	
Place of the episode, %										
Home	.000	0.00	.99	.001	0.08	.77	.191	26.50	.001	
Out of home										
Number of people present	.003	0.38	.54	.026	2.93	.09	.000	0.03	.86	
Relationship, %	.024	2.79	.10	.043	5.04	.03	.005	0.57	.45	
Alone										
Family										
Acquaintances										
Food portions reported										
Fruit	.028	3.25	.07	.006	0.72	.40	.174	23.58	.001	
Vegetables	.000	0.01	.94	.000	0.02	.89	.091	11.17	.001	
Grains	.010	1.08	.30	.016	1.77	.19	.214	30.47	.001	
Higher protein foods	.002	0.25	.62	.170	1.99	.16	.001	0.10	.75	
Dairy	.001	0.08	.78	.014	1.64	.20	.089	10.91	.001	
Water	.074	8.92	.003	.012	1.36	.25	.003	0.34	.56	
Sugar	.008	8.90	.35	.018	2.06	.15	.164	22.02	.001	
Fat	.007	0.82	.37	.036	4.18	.04	.263	39.92	.001	
Nutritional content										
Kilocalories	.002	0.20	.65	.032	3.73	.06	.139	18.13	.001	
Carbohydrate %	.000	0.03	.87	.008	0.91	.34	.016	1.83	.18	
Protein %	.012	1.37	.25	.000	0.01	.94	.067	8.07	.005	
Lipids %	.004	0.47	.50	.019	2.11	.15	.151	19.95	.001	

Notes: Continuous variables: mean ± standard deviation. Categorical variables: percent (%) of total participants in the condition. Effect size: partial eta squared. p: probability value – adjusted for 16 comparisons from 0.05 to 0.003.

Supplemental Table 4. Counts of reported beliefs about why breakfasts were healthy (HB) or unhealthy (UB) (%).

			<u> </u>									
	Overweight		Obe	esity	Weight	status effect		ban ition		urban ition	Location effect	
	HB	UB	UB	HB	C:	E 4	HB	UB	UB	HB	C:	E
Categories	n = 35	n = 30	n = 25	n = 30	Size	e Exact p	n = 30	n = 30	n = 30	n = 30	Size	Exact p
It had fruit	51.4	0.0	44.0	0.0	.055	.690	43.3	0.0	53.3	0.0	.182	.072
It had no fruit	0.0	10.0	0.0	13.3			0.0	0.0	0.0	23.3		
It had vegetables	22.9	0.0	16.0	0.0	.126	.254	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	.052	.777
It had no vegetables	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7		
It had grains	28.6	0.0	8.0	0.0	.247	.011	23.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	.025	1.00
It had no grains	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0		
It had protein	17.1	0.0	16.0	0.0	.060	.546	20.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	.029	1.00
It had no protein	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3		
It had dairy	20.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	.052	.770	26.7	0.0	6.7	0.0	.080	.558
It had no dairy	0.0	3.3	0.0	6.7			0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0		
It had water	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	.084	1.000	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	.092	1.00
It had no water	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
It had no sugar	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.110	.253	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.188	.075
It had sugar	0.0	16.7	0.0	26.7			0.0	10.0	0.0	33.3		
It had no fat	11.4	0.0	12.0	0.0	.046	.679	13.3	0.0	10.0	0.0	.019	1.00
It had fat	0.0	46.7	0.0	33.3			0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0		
It had no refined grains	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.207	.042	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.060	.743
It had refined grains	0.0	6.7	0.0	26.7			0.0	13.3	0.0	20.0		
It was natural	22.9	0.0	12.0	0.0	.086	.435	20.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	.072	.602
It was not natural	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0			0.0	13.3	0.0	6.7		
It had nutrients	17.1	0.0	4.0	0.0	.090	.354	20.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	.172	.097
It had no nutrients	0.0	26.7	0.0	23.3			0.0	30.0	0.0	20.0		
It was balanced	14.3	0.0	48.0	0.0	.202	.035	33.3	0.0	23.3	0.0	.175	.084
It is unbalanced	0.0	40.0	0.0	43.3			0.0	53.3	0.0	30.0		

Notes: % = percent of total participants in the condition. p: exact probability value – adjusted for 12 comparisons from 0.05 to 0.004.

Supplemental Table 5. Associations between participants and nutritionists in rated healthiness of reported breakfasts.

	Breakfast healthiness								r HB vs.	r UB
	k	Nutritionists		Participants	_		r		_	
	K	$M \pm SD$	n	$M \pm SD$	t	p		p	Z	p
Overweight										
Healthy breakfasts	35	6.5 ± 1.0	35	8.1 ± 1.4	-5.86	.001	-0.06	.72	-0.23	.81
Unhealthy breakfasts	30	4.5 ± 1.4	30	3.2 ± 2.3	2.73	.01	0.001	1.00	-0.23	.61
Healthy and unhealthy breakfasts	65	5.6 ± 1.5	65	5.9 ± 3.1	-0.92	.36	0.52	.001		
Obesity										
Healthy breakfasts	25	5.9 ± 0.7	25	7.9 ± 1.7	-5.49	.001	-0.03	.90	-1.11	.27
Unhealthy breakfasts	30	4.5 ± 1.2	30	3.0 ± 2.7	3.23	.003	0.28	.14	-1.11	.21
Healthy and unhealthy breakfasts	55	5.5 ± 1.3	55	5.6 ± 3.2	-0.21	.84	0.53	.001		
Urban locality										
Healthy breakfasts	30	6.3 ± 1.0	30	8.1 ± 1.3	-5.35	.0001	-0.18	.34	1 20	.17
Unhealthy breakfasts	30	4.2 ± 1.2	30	3.0 ± 2.6	2.42	.02	0.16	.39	-1.38	.1/
Healthy and unhealthy breakfasts	60	5.3 ± 1.5	60	5.5 ± 3.2	-0.79	.43	0.58	.0001		
Semi-urban locality										
Healthy breakfasts	30	6.1 ± 0.8	30	8.0 ± 1.7	-5.97	.0001	0.12	.52	-0.22	.82
Unhealthy breakfasts	30	4.8 ± 1.3	30	3.1 ± 2.4	3.58	.001	0.11	.56	-0.22	.82
Healthy and unhealthy breakfasts	60	5.5 ± 1.3	60	5.6 ± 3.2	-0.34	.74	0.46	.0001		

Notes: k = number of breakfasts evaluated by nutritionists. $M \pm SD =$ mean \pm standard deviation. HB = Healthy breakfasts. UB = Unhealthy breakfasts. t = t test. r = correlation coefficient. p = probability value.