Research Article

Max Tretter*

“No Church in the Wild”? Hip Hop and Inductive Theology

https://doi.org/10.1515/opth-2025-0064
received July 14, 2025; accepted September 02, 2025

Abstract: Building on recent debates in *Open Theology* on inductive theology – debates that call for theological reflection to be more deeply grounded in lived religion – this article explores how Hip Hop can serve as a productive dialogue partner for this approach. It argues that Hip Hop – understood as a multifaceted cultural formation – can offer distinctive insights into how religion is experienced, practiced, and negotiated in marginalized urban contexts. Drawing on scholarship from both *Hip Hop Studies* and the field of *Hip Hop and Religion*, the article shows how theological engagement with Hip Hop can broaden the epistemic and normative horizons of inductive theology by articulating religious imaginaries and ethical concerns that have the potential to challenge conventional theological categories. It concludes by proposing that Hip Hop not only exemplifies the inductive method in practice but also offers a compelling model for how theology might be reshaped through sustained attention to the cultural and spiritual expressions of those at the margins.

Keywords: systematic theology, rap, theological method, dogmatics, empirical theology, lived theology

1 Introduction

A recent special issue of this journal, edited by Lea Chilian and Frederike van Oorschot, focused on the topic of inductive theology. The aim of this issue was to explore what “inductive” might mean when applied to theology, and what kinds of new impulses such an approach could offer. To address these questions, the editors brought together various scholars – building on a shared workshop – to contribute papers that reflect on the possibilities of inductive theology from their respective disciplinary perspectives.

In this article, I aim to take up this very concern and carry it forward. I do so by adopting a specific Hip Hop perspective, showing how Hip Hop could be meaningfully integrated into the process of inductive theology – and why, in my view, the research field of Hip Hop and Religion should be seen as a forerunner of inductive theology and a productive dialogue partner for it.

The argument will unfold in five steps. First, I outline key contributions from recent scholarship on inductive theology to establish a foundation for my own approach. Second, I will introduce Hip Hop by tracing its historical roots and highlighting the features that define it today – laying the groundwork for further theological engagement. Third, I examine how Hip Hop, due to its distinctive cultural, social, and expressive characteristics, has been received across various academic disciplines – especially within Hip Hop Studies, where it is treated as a serious subject of scholarly inquiry. This widespread engagement points to the epistemic and critical potential Hip Hop offers for academic reflection. This very potential, I suggest, holds a great promise for theology – which is why Hip Hop should be considered a valuable dialogue partner for inductive theology. Fourth, I will narrow the focus toward theology itself by presenting the field of *Hip Hop and*

* **Corresponding author: Max Tretter**, Chair of Systematic Theology (Ethics), Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Kochstr. 6, 91054, Erlangen, Germany, e-mail: max.tretter@fau.de
ORCID: Max Tretter 0000-0001-8067-247X

Religion and spotlighting several key figures who have explored the intersections of Hip Hop and theological thought. I will consider how these scholars connect Hip Hop to religious and theological reflection, and how they understand its significance within their frameworks. Finally, in Section 5, I will draw conclusions about the role Hip Hop might play in inductive theology and discuss some of the limitations of my contribution.

This article aims to contribute to the evolving discourse on inductive theology in two ways: first, by offering new impulses for its conceptual development, and second, by strengthening the dialogue between theological inquiry and Hip Hop Studies – highlighting Hip Hop as a vital and underexplored resource for theological reflection.

Before delving into the main argument, a brief clarification is in order: This article deliberately adopts a theoretical and meta-level perspective. Rather than offering another close reading of specific Hip Hop artists or tracks, it asks what inductive theology might gain from engaging with Hip Hop – and what it can learn from the methodological sensibilities of Hip Hop Studies. This decision is not born of a disinterest in concrete material, quite the opposite. A growing body of case studies already demonstrates how individual songs and artists intersect with theological concerns in insightful ways.[1] Rather than replicating that important work and adding yet another example to an already rich archive, my aim is to take a step back and explore the conceptual relationship between inductive theology and Hip Hop from a more abstract vantage point.[2]

2 What's Inductive Theology?

Before we can ask what impulses Hip Hop might offer to inductive theology, it is important to first understand what inductive theology actually is – or at least how it is currently understood within contemporary theological discourse. This section therefore sets out to clarify the concept, as this understanding provides the foundation for exploring how Hip Hop fits within the framework of inductive theology and what role it might play in shaping it.

At present, there is no universally accepted definition of "inductive theology" – a fact reflected in the wide range of approaches and understandings presented in the aforementioned special issue. What unites these contributions, however, can best be described as a "shared concern." As Lea Chilian and Frederike van Oorschot,[3] as well as Kinga Zeller,[4] observe, academic theology today often operates in a predominantly "top-down" fashion. That is, theological reflection frequently begins with abstract, highly specialized systematic deliberations rooted in academic logic[5] – deliberations that are brought into dialogue with lived religion and ecclesial life, or examined for their practical implications, only at a later stage, if at all, and often in a critical and all too corrective manner.[6] This approach has come under increasing scrutiny, as it risks marginalizing the significance of lived religion and relegating everyday expressions of faith to, at best, a secondary status – while often appearing condescending or presumptuous in the process. The result, critics like Chilian, van Oorschot, and Zeller argue, is a theology that operates within some kind of "ivory tower" – disconnected from the faith and lived realities of actual believers.

Inductive theology can be understood as an effort to bridge the gap between academic theology and lived religion. It seeks to place concrete expressions of belief and everyday experiences of faith at the center of

1 For example, Hodge, *Baptized in Dirty Water*; Tretter, "Das weisse Album;" Driscoll et al., *Kendrick Lamar and the Making of Black Meaning*; Wright et al., "I Gotta Testify;" Watkins, *Hip-Hop Redemption*.

2 At this point, it might seem as though I am setting abstract reflection in contrast to concrete examples. That is not my intention. I fully recognize that, ideally, the two go hand in hand: good theory draws strength from vivid examples, just as compelling examples gain depth through conceptual framing. However, within the spatial constraints of a journal article, certain decisions must be made. That's why, in light of the rationale outlined above, I have chosen to approach the topic from a more abstract angle – fully aware that this comes at the expense of concrete examples, which might have aided accessibility and clarity.

3 Chilian and van Oorschot, "Topical Issue."

4 Zeller, "To Be Oriented and to Orient."

5 Fritz, "Wer braucht Öffentliche Theologie?"

6 van Oorschot and Chilian, "Induktive Theologie?"

theological reflection – developing theology from these realities, *from the ground up*, in short: inductively. At the heart of all inductive theological approaches, one could say, lies a shared commitment to ensuring that theological inquiry remains meaningfully and reciprocally connected to the realities of lived religion.[7]

What remains open to debate – and perhaps even deliberately so, in order to allow for diversity, plurality of perspectives, and methodological flexibility – are the specifics: *Which forms of lived religion* should be brought to the center – especially given the diversity of contexts in which religion is practiced and the variety of ways it is expressed? *By what methodological means* should these practices and convictions be studied – considering the wide array of interpretive and empirical approaches available? And finally, *what normative weight* should lived religion carry in shaping theology – particularly when its role may differ depending on theological orientation and context?

Different scholars have offered varying responses to these questions. Kristin Graff-Kallevåg,[8] for instance, situates inductive theology within the broader "practice turn" in theory,[9] advocating for closer engagement with methods and insights from the humanities and social sciences to better perceive everyday religiosity. Sabrina Müller proposes that *Empirical Theology* might serve as a model, adapting its tools for understanding lived religion in the service of a lived theology.[10] Katharina Peetz draws on narrative theological traditions and recommends that inductive theology focuses on storytelling – mining everyday narratives for implicit theological meaning.[11] Raúl E. Zegarra connects inductive theology with contextual theologies – such as *Black*, *Feminist*, *Womanist*, and *Queer theologies* – arguing that inductive theology should be understood and developed as a "context-attentive theology."[12] Florian Höhne, in turn, emphasizes questions of normativity and proposes engaging with debates in *Public Theology* and *Digital Theology* to address the question of the normative significance of lived religion.[13]

The wide range of perspectives surveyed so far suggests that inductive theology should not be viewed as a narrowly defined "school of thought," nor can its goals or methods be precisely codified. It is better understood, at least at the very moment, as more of a movement of distinction and discovery – one that finds resonance across a variety of theological disciplines. At its core, inductive theology is about centering lived religion more intentionally within theological reflection – and practicing theology as an on-going, responsive process that remains deeply entangled with the realities it seeks to engage.

3 What's Hip Hop?

Now that we have established a basic understanding of inductive theology, we can take the next step and ask: What role might Hip Hop play within inductive theological reflection? To approach this question, it is helpful to begin with a clearer sense of what Hip Hop actually is – before then examining how it has already been received in other academic disciplines and what forms of significance have been attributed to it there.

Today, most people encounter Hip Hop primarily through platforms like *Spotify*, *Apple Music*, or other streaming services – as a music genre characterized by rapped vocals and, depending on the subgenre, distinctive beats, sonic textures, and thematic concerns.[14] And while this isn't wrong – Hip Hop music, often referred to simply as "rap," is undeniably central for Hip Hop as a whole – it would be a mistake to reduce Hip

7 Chilian and van Oorschot, "Topical Issue."
8 Graff-Kallevåg, "Distributed Normativity in Theology."
9 Schatzki et al., *The Practice Turn in Contemporary Theory*.
10 Müller, "Beyond Theory and Practice." For an outline of empirical theology, see: Dinter et al., *Einführung in die Empirische Theologie.*
11 Peetz, "Exploring Ethical Potentials of Christian Narrative Testimonies."
12 Zegarra, "Context-Attentive Theology."
13 Höhne, "Imaginaries and Normativities."
14 Alexander, *Hip-Hop Production.*

Hop to the realm of music alone. Hip Hop – as countless Hip Hop heads and scholars tirelessly emphasize[15] – is far more than a musical genre; it is a vibrant, multifaceted culture expressed through a range of artistic and performative practices, with music being just one among many. To understand this broader cultural phenomenon and to develop a more nuanced view of what Hip Hop entails, it is helpful to adopt a genealogical approach, and to return to its beginnings – to the "origins" of Hip Hop.[16]

These origins are commonly traced to the late 1970s in the South Bronx of New York City.[17] As Jeff Chang recounts in his influential Hip Hop-history *Can't Stop Won't Stop* – a key reference in academic Hip Hop discourse – the South Bronx was, even by the standards of U.S. industrial urban centers at the time, a deeply neglected and economically devastated neighborhood, populated largely by Black residents.[18] In the decades prior, Black communities in the United States had experienced a period of social movement and future-oriented hope – driven in part by the *Civil Rights* and *Black Power* movements. By the 1970s, however, the momentum and optimism of those earlier decades had begun to wane significantly.[19] Despite the gains that had been made, many Black Americans remained subject to systemic exclusion and racial discrimination[20] – manifesting in continued disparities in education, limited access to employment opportunities, lower average incomes, and overall reduced participation in social and civic life compared to white Americans.[21]

As Daniel White Hodge and Robert C. Smith note, this situation gave rise to what became widely recognized as the "Post-Civil Rights Era" – a period in which many Black people, rather than continuing to fight for broader societal recognition and participation, increasingly chose to remain within their own communities.[22] This shift found spatial expression in the formation of predominantly Black neighborhoods across American cities – most notably among them: the Bronx. Yet, as Jeff Chang, Tricia Rose, and others have shown, the growing concentration of Black residents in these areas made them less attractive to White investors.[23] As a result, both public and private funding for infrastructure and development was systematically withdrawn – triggering a deepening process of "ghettoization" and accelerating the spiral of urban marginalization.

It was precisely within this context – the South Bronx of the late 1970s, a space marked by socioeconomic hardship and diminished hope for upward mobility through political struggle – that Hip Hop emerged. Initially taking shape at so-called block parties, held either outdoors or in community centers, Hip Hop became a creative outlet for Black youth.[24] These gatherings offered opportunities to celebrate, to momentarily escape their daily struggles, and to artistically express shared experiences of their everyday life.[25] Out of these events, four creative practices gained particular prominence – later becoming known as the "elements" of Hip Hop: *graffiti* (tagging and muraling public spaces), *DJing* (mixing tracks to energize the crowd), *breakdancing* (a dynamic dance form combining classical movement with martial arts and acrobatics), and *MCing* (rhythmic, often rhymed, lyrical performance and commentary delivered over music).[26]

---

15 Breitenwischer, *Die Geschichte des Hip-Hop*; Price III, *Hip Hop Culture*; Williams, *The Cambridge Companion to Hip-Hop*.

16 A genealogical approach is particularly well suited here because it is remarkably difficult to establish fixed defining criteria for what counts as Hip Hop. As a highly diverse phenomenon, Hip Hop overlaps especially at its margins – with numerous other musical genres and cultural forms. Any attempt to define it risks either being too narrow, thereby excluding large parts of what is commonly understood as Hip Hop, or too broad, thereby including phenomena that are not typically associated with it. A genealogical approach helps to avoid these boundary problems by grounding the inquiry in Hip Hop's "origins" – origins that are widely acknowledged by both practitioners and scholars as foundational. Tretter and Neuhaus, "Competitive by Nature."

17 While some genealogies trace Hip Hop's roots much further back – linking it to blues, jazz, the era of the transatlantic slave trade, or even to African musical and percussive traditions – there is broad consensus, both within Hip Hop culture and the field of *Hip Hop Studies*, that its *immediate* origins lie in the South Bronx in the late 1970s. This context is widely recognized as the direct cradle of the Hip Hop culture. Peterson, *The Hip-Hop Underground*."

18 Chang, *Can't Stop Won't Stop.*

19 Price III, *The Black Church and Hip-Hop Culture.*

20 Smith, *Racism in the Post-Civil Rights Era.*

21 Blackmon, *Slavery by Another Name.*

22 Hodge, *Homeland Insecurity*; Smith, *We Have No Leaders.*

23 Chang, *Can't Stop Won't Stop*; Rose, *Black Noise.*

24 Price III, *Hip Hop Culture*.

25 Vernon, *Hip Hop, Hegel, and the Art of Emancipation.*

26 Williams, *The Cambridge Companion to Hip-Hop*.

These four "elements," often practiced in combination and rapidly adopted by others, quickly gave rise to a vibrant and recognizable cultural formation that would soon come to be known as "Hip Hop."[27] In this sense, Hip Hop is best understood as a culture rooted in the South Bronx – emerging as a creative and aesthetic response by Black communities, especially Black youth. Through the practices of Hip Hop, they sought not only temporary escape from their circumstances, but also a means to articulate their everyday struggles and assert their presence: "We are here!"[28]

Although Hip Hop began as a local phenomenon centered in the South Bronx – where participation meant being physically present and actively involved through rapping, tagging, mixing, or dancing – it soon began to spread. This expansion was fueled by processes of media diffusion, commercialization, and commonization.[29] From its American origins,[30] Hip Hop quickly went global[31] – carried across borders by records, mixtapes, and video cassettes. With this spread came significant diversification: wherever Hip Hop took root, its original elements were reinterpreted through the lens of local cultural practices and sensibilities.[32] As Gabriele Klein and Malte Friedrich observe, these encounters produced dynamic processes of hybridization and differentiation[33] – processes that have not only made Hip Hop a richly multifaceted global phenomenon, but also facilitated its acceptance and adaptation within diverse local contexts.

Despite its remarkable diversity today – with dance elements evolving into multiple styles, sonic aesthetics varying across regions, and ongoing debates about whether the "classic" four elements should be expanded to include others, such as fashion or linguistic styles[34] – the early formative period of Hip Hop in the late-1970s South Bronx remains foundational to the culture. As Gabriele Klein and Malte Friedrich compellingly argue, this early phase continues to serve as a central point of reference and a key marker of authenticity across Hip Hop's many contemporary manifestations.[35] Hip Hop artists around the world regularly invoke these origins, recontextualizing them within their own local environments. By narrating their personal struggles and artistic responses to adversity, they affirm their connection to the broader Hip Hop tradition.[36] In doing so, they seek to not only assert their membership in the culture but also to authenticate their creative expression as truly "Hip Hop."[37]

4 What's Hip Hop Got to Tell?

As Hip Hop expanded its reach and cultural influence, academic interest in the phenomenon quickly followed. By the late 1980s and early 1990s – roughly a decade after its emergence – the first major scholarly works on Hip Hop appeared. These included David Toop's *The Rap Attack*,[38] Nelson George's *The Death of Rhythm and Blues*,[39] David Foster Wallace and Mark Costello's *Signifying Rappers*,[40] and Tricia Rose's foundational study *Black Noise*.[41] These publications remain influential to this day and played a key role in establishing *Hip Hop*

27 Price III, *Hip Hop Culture*.
28 Tretter, *Hip-Hop bei Black Lives Matter-Protesten.*
29 Coddington, *How Hip Hop Became Hit Pop.*
30 George, *Hip Hop America.*
31 Alim et al., *Global Linguistic Flows.*
32 Rollefson, *Flip the Script*; Nitzsche and Grünzweig, *Hip-Hop in Europe.*
33 Klein and Friedrich, *Is This Real?*
34 Price III, *Hip Hop Culture*.
35 Klein and Friedrich, *Is this Real?*
36 Tretter and Neuhaus, "Competitive by Nature."
37 Forman and Neal, *That's the Joint!*, 57–153.
38 Toop, *The Rap Attack.*
39 George, *The Death of Rhythm and Blues*.
40 Foster Wallace and Costello, *Signifying Rappers.*
41 Rose, *Black Noise*.

Studies as an academic field dedicated to the critical exploration of Hip Hop's origins, cultural significance, and societal impact.[42]

The field of *Hip Hop Studies* as a whole is characterized by a strong interest in understanding Hip Hop, its culture, and its influence, as comprehensively and from as many perspectives as possible. As Michael Eric Dyson notes in his influential *Reflections on Hip Hop*, to do so *Hip Hop Studies* borrow methodologies from a wide range of disciplines: "from sociology, politics, religion, economics, urban studies, journalism, communications theory, American studies, transatlantic studies, black studies, history, musicology, comparative literature, English, linguistics, and other disciplines."[43] For example, musicologists examine the sonic structures and aesthetics of Hip Hop;[44] linguists investigate its influence on everyday speech and the development of Hip Hop sociolects;[45] and theater scholars analyze self-representation and the performative dimensions of Hip Hop.[46] What unites these diverse scholarly approaches is the conviction that Hip Hop is not only culturally significant but also intellectually meaningful. Even before its global dominance, scholars believed Hip Hop had something to say[47] – offering insight into, for instance, the structure of society, the experiences of marginalized communities, and the dynamics of political resistance, recognition, and visibility. From this standpoint, Hip Hop has increasingly become a subject of interest within both sociology and political science.[48]

From a sociological standpoint, Hip Hop has attracted sustained interest due to its perceived function as a "mirror of society."[49] It offers critical insights into the lived realities and daily struggles of individuals in socioeconomically marginalized urban communities. Scholars have interpreted the lyrical accounts of rappers – stories of tough upbringings, economic hardship, and the resilience to "overcome" adversity – as valuable forms of testimony that grant access to social worlds often obscured from public and scholarly view. While it is often noted that such narratives should be approached with interpretive caution – given the performative conventions of rap that encourage stylized accounts of daily struggles or "the ghetto"[50] – researchers have nevertheless argued that these depictions reflect the real-life conditions of many Black Americans. In this sense, they function as an artistic "window into black life"[51] in America.

Political science has also approached Hip Hop as a form of testimony, though with a distinct emphasis on the political dynamics that Hip Hop both reflects and catalyzes. Echoing sociological approaches and guided by similar methodological assumptions, political scholars have explored the kinds of societal struggles articulated in Hip Hop – battles for visibility, for civic participation, for economic and social emancipation.[52] These investigations seek to map political resistance as it unfolds beneath the level of formal institutions – within civil society, and even within the textures of personal and collective consciousness. In addition to identifying the struggles represented in Hip Hop, scholars have also asked how Hip Hop itself intervenes in these struggles[53] – whether and to what extent it enhances the public visibility of Black communities and their

42 For a more detailed account of the emergence and establishment of Hip Hop Studies as an academic field, refer to Tretter, "Hip-Hop."

43 Dyson, *Know What I Mean?*, xxvii.

44 Exarchos, *Reimagining Sample-based Hip Hop*.

45 Ross and Westinen, *Hip-Hop Language and Linguistics*.

46 Speers, *Hip-Hop Authenticity and the London Scene.*

47 Watkins, *Hip Hop Matters.*

48 Dyson, *Know What I Mean?*

49 Pough, "Seeds and Legacies." The metaphor of Hip Hop as a "mirror of society" is as widely used as it is critically contested. While Hip Hop – as many scholars have noted, including myself in a hermeneutic analysis of the music of German rapper *Haftbefehl* – can indeed portray the lived realities and social conditions observed by its artists, it simultaneously contributes to shaping those very realities. By depicting certain behaviors in their lyrics, rappers often render those behaviors aspirational – prompting some, predominantly young, listeners to imitate them and adopt similar lifestyles. Precisely because of this dual dynamic, Hip Hop is not merely *reflective* but also *constitutive*. As Martin Seeliger argues in his sociological study of Gangsta rap – a distinct and widely recognized subgenre – Hip Hop does not simply mirror society; it actively participates in reproducing the conditions it depicts. Tretter, "Das weisse Album;" Seeliger, *Soziologie des Gangstarap*.

50 Kelley, "Looking for the 'Real' N***a."

51 Maultsby, "Foreword," xiii.

52 Tretter, *Hip-Hop bei Black Lives Matter-Protesten*.

53 Perry, *Prophets of the Hood.*

concerns,[54] and how it shapes ongoing movements for social inclusion, cultural advancement, and racial justice.[55]

With the continued development of these emerging research fields, scholars not only acknowledged that Hip Hop is a phenomenon worthy of scholarly investigation – but also that Hip Hop has something to say that "we" need to hear. Or, in the words of Craig Watkins, they were convinced that "Hip Hop matters."[56]

5 What's Hip Hop Got to Tell Theology?

As academic interest in Hip Hop grew rapidly, a distinct research field emerged under the name *Hip Hop and Religion*. This field explores Hip Hop from the perspectives of religious studies and theology. Two of its most prominent pioneers are Monica R. Miller and Anthony B. Pinn. In the introduction and conclusion to their *Hip Hop and Religion Reader* – and similarly in a follow-up volume dedicated to this topic – Miller and Pinn offer a comprehensive overview of the field, tracing its development, mapping its contours, and highlighting key currents of contemporary research at the intersection of Hip Hop and religion.[57]

According to their reconstruction, the field underwent a major shift in the course of its development. Early research was primarily concerned with identifying where and how Hip Hop was being received *within* explicitly religious contexts – for example, in worship services or church-based youth work – or, conversely, on locating religious elements *within* Hip Hop itself: Instances where religious music was sampled, where terms and concepts like "God," "sin," or "hell" appeared in lyrics, where music videos incorporated religious symbols, or artists explicitly presenting their work as "Christian Hip Hop."[58] They describe this phase as the study of "religion *in* Hip Hop" or, conversely, "Hip Hop *in* religion" – with a clear emphasis on the preposition *in*.[59] Over time, as Miller and Pinn reconstruct it, this phase gave way to a broader and more dialogical approach – what Miller and Pinn call "religion *in/and* Hip Hop," with an intentional shift of emphasis to the conjunction *and*. Rather than engaging in a kind of "religious scavenger hunt"[60] aimed at identifying familiar and pre-defined religious elements within Hip Hop – or, conversely, finding traces of Hip Hop within explicitly religious settings – this approach seeks to inductively explore the moral frameworks, values, and worldviews that emerge from within Hip Hop culture, even when these are articulated in entirely secular language or diverge radically from established religious paradigms. Whether the artists themselves identify these expressions as religious is not the decisive criterion here. In this framework, "religion" functions as a hermeneutical lens rather than a self-designation. The question is not whether a rapper *intends* their work to be theological, but whether their work *can be interpreted* as engaging theological or existential questions. At the heart of this approach lies the conviction that Hip Hop – regardless of the artists' self-understanding – can possess religious and theological significance in its own right: It generates distinct imaginaries and practices that can be interpreted as religious, even if they exceed traditional categories – and can thus be seen as a dialogue partner, source of critique, and catalyst of insight for both theology and religious studies. For exactly this reason, I would argue, it might be enormously fruitful to engage with this strand of scholarship[61] – and to explore the insights it offers for our reflections of inductive theology.

---

54 Vernon, *Hip Hop, Hegel, and the Art of Emancipation*.

55 Vernon, *Sampling, Biting*.

56 Watkins, *Hip Hop Matters*.

57 Miller and Pinn, *The Hip Hop and Religion Reader*; Miller et al., *Religion in Hip Hop*.

58 Gault and Harris, *Beyond Christian Hip Hop*; Zanfagna, *Holy Hip Hop in the City of Angels*.

59 A particular subtype of the "religion *in* Hip Hop" approach employs the conjunction "*as*," interpreting Hip Hop – or specific forms of Hip Hop – from a primarily phenomenological perspective as a form of religion. See, for example: Gill, *Underground Rap as Religion*.

60 Tretter, "Neben der Pistole steht 'ne Jesus-Ikone."

61 Tretter, "More Hip Hop, Please!"

Among those working within this framework today are Monica R. Miller, Alejandro Nava, and Daniel White Hodge – whose contributions I will briefly outline in the remainder of this section.

Monica Miller's distinctive approach comes most fully into view in her monograph *Religion and Hip Hop*.[62] There, she critiques dominant public narratives that associate Hip Hop exclusively or at least primarily with gangsterism, violence, sexism, and misogyny – even though such kinds of Hip Hop undeniably exist.[63] In a compelling intervention – reminiscent of Tricia Rose's *The Hip Hop Wars*[64] – she argues against judging Hip Hop "by its cover" and instead calls for a balanced analysis that acknowledges both the culture's problematic elements and its generative potential. Part of that potential, Miller contends, lies in Hip Hop's complex entanglement with religion that allows Hip Hop to be understood as an expression of religious sensibilities – offering insight into how individuals believe, practice, and experience religion.[65] But to take this entanglement seriously, Miller warns against approaching Hip Hop with a predetermined religious lens – one that searches for familiar symbols, language, or concepts drawn from institutional religion. Doing so risks superimposing narrow assumptions onto Hip Hop and obscuring the distinctive, and sometimes subversive, forms of religiosity it contains.

To counter this tendency, Miller advocates for an *inductive* approach – one that begins with close attention to how "religion" is understood and practiced within Hip Hop culture itself. While she acknowledges that such an inquiry cannot completely dispense with prior conceptions of "religion" – for without them, one would not even know what to look for – these preconceptions must be held lightly and kept as open and flexible as possible to avoid distorting the subject of study. Miller develops this open-ended framework in dialogue with the work of Anthony B. Pinn, especially his notion of religion as "complex subjectivity."[66] For Pinn, "religion" manifests wherever the self undergoes dynamic and often tension-filled processes of transformation – where identity resists being fixed and instead evolves in response to internal and external pressures. Wherever individuals push back against the "terror of fixed identity,"[67] Miller suggests, we are witnessing religion at work. Since such processes of self-construction and resistance occur frequently within Hip Hop – and in strikingly diverse forms – she concludes that religion is not only present in Hip Hop, but is often articulated in ways that defy traditional frameworks. It is precisely through these unconventional, creative, and sometimes disruptive expressions that the complex entanglement between Hip Hop and religion comes most clearly into view – inviting us to rethink what religion is, where it happens, and who gets to define it.

Another compelling approach is developed by Alejandro Nava in his work *Street Scriptures*.[68] Building on his earlier book *In Search of Soul*,[69] Nava sets out to explore the mutual learning opportunities between Hip Hop and theology – highlighting how each can enrich the other. He begins with a pointed critique: Theology, he argues, runs the risk of becoming elitist, overly confined to "classical" sources such as the Bible, ecclesial traditions, and theological treatises, while neglecting other ones. Against this backdrop, Nava calls for the recognition of "the street" as another form of "scripture," i.e., a legitimate source for theological reflection. Importantly, "the street" is not merely a metaphor for non-traditional sources. It also refers quite literally to "the street": To urban life in all its complexity, shaped by precarity, marginalization, noise, haste, and the grind of everyday survival. By taking the street seriously as a source for theological reflection, Nava argues, theology expands its scope – opening itself to a wider range of experiences and voices. In doing so, it transforms into a "Street Theology": One that listens to the lives of the excluded, speaks on their behalf, and draws theological meaning from their struggles.

At the same time, Nava raises the question of *how* "the street" can enter theology. And this is precisely where Hip Hop comes into play. Nava shares the conviction outlined in the previous sections: That Hip Hop is a

62 Miller, *Religion and Hip Hop*.
63 Tretter, *Hip-Hop bei Black Lives Matter-Protesten*, 40–3; Alexander, "Like a Lollipop."
64 Rose, *The Hip Hop Wars.*
65 Miller et al., "Hiphop und Religion."
66 Pinn, *Terror and Triumph*; Pinn, *Noise and Spirit.*
67 Pinn, *Terror and Triumph*, 175.
68 Nava, *Street Scriptures.*
69 Nava, *In Search of Soul.*

cultural phenomenon through which individuals express themselves, narrate their experiences, articulate their struggles, and give voice to their communities and to life "on the street." Against this backdrop, he argues that theologians can quite literally bring "the street" into theology by engaging seriously with Hip Hop – by listening closely to what is said, seen, and felt in its artistic expressions, and by integrating these insights into their theological reflection. In doing so, they begin to shape a theology that is responsive to the lived realities of those in marginalized urban spaces, a theology that takes their perspectives and struggles seriously, and that becomes relevant not only *about* them but also *for* them.[70] In Nava's vision, *Street Theology* is not simply a call for broader inclusion; it is a call for theology to take seriously the religious lives that unfold beyond church walls and outside the confines of academia – a call for theology to become accountable to the margins, and to be transformed by them.[71]

A third influential approach comes from Daniel White Hodge, whose theological engagement with Hip Hop unfolds most fully in *The Soul of Hip Hop* and *Hip Hop's Hostile Gospel*.[72] Drawing on the theomusicological framework of Jon Michael Spencer,[73] Hodge works with a distinction between "the Sacred" (that which is set apart and associated with the divine), "the Secular" (that which lies outside the domain of religion), and "the Profane" (that which stands in opposition to or is seen as antagonistic toward the sacred). Using this framework, Hodge examines Hip Hop with a particular focus on the Secular and the Profane, identifying moments in which the Sacred unexpectedly breaks through. Such moments occur, for instance, when narratives of gang life and criminality – often deemed morally and theologically reprehensible – reveal a spark of hope for a better future. Or when rappers construct redemptive narratives of their own, naming figures who "showed them the way," led them toward purpose, and helped them escape destructive environments. Wherever these forms of, for instance, reimagined salvation appear, Hodge argues, traces of the Sacred emerge – even, and especially, through the most unlikely of contexts. And wherever that happens, one can speak of a "Hip Hop Gospel."[74]

Hodge situates these moments within a broader theological critique, demonstrating how this "Hip Hop Gospel" often departs from, and at times directly confronts, the moral and religious frameworks that dominate mainstream academy and society – frameworks largely shaped by White, middle-class, institutional Christianity.[75] Precisely because of these tensions, Hodge characterizes the gospel found in Hip Hop as "hostile."[76] Yet this hostility, he insists, should not be viewed as a threat to theology but embraced as a resource. It creates the opportunity to challenge inherited moral norms and religious understandings, expose theological blind spots, and rethink whose lives and experiences theology is accountable to. Consequently, Hodge proposes what he calls a "basic theology of life"[77] or a "neo-secular/sacred theology."[78] This emerging theology does not attempt to "sanitize" or "domesticate" Hip Hop's subversive voices – it begins with them. It seeks to amplify perspectives that have long been excluded from theological discourse, striving for a vision that is more inclusive, more attuned to lived experience, and more responsive to the spiritual and existential realities of marginalized urban communities.

When we consider these three approaches from the field of Hip Hop and Religion, several key commonalities emerge. First, all three recognize that Hip Hop has a significant contribution to make to the academic study of religion, insofar as it articulates religious ideas and practices – and thereby offers a lens onto lived religion. Second, they share the conviction that accessing these religious dimensions requires an inductive approach: Rather than approaching Hip Hop with pre-defined, fixed definitions of "religion," they advocate for an open, immersive engagement: Through attentive interaction with Hip Hop, scholars are invited to uncover

70 Nava, *Street Scriptures*.
71 Tretter, "Spannend."
72 Hodge, *The Soul of Hip Hop*; Hodge, *Hip Hop's Hostile Gospel*.
73 Spencer, *Theological Music*.
74 Hodge, *Baptized in Dirty Water*.
75 Ibid.
76 Hodge, *Hip Hop's Hostile Gospel*.
77 Ibid., 17.
78 Ibid., 21.

the religious worldviews and values that emerge from within it. Third, these approaches treat the lived forms of religion expressed in Hip Hop not merely as objects of analysis, but as normative resources – capable of critically challenging and productively reshaping established religious and theological frameworks.

6 Discussion and Conclusion

As we have seen throughout the previous sections, Hip Hop is increasingly understood within academic discourse as both an epistemic "tool"[79] and a medium of self-critique: Hip Hop enables access to forms of lived experience and knowledge that often remain outside the purview of "conventional inquiry."[80] In the context of religious and theological scholarship, this means two things. First, Hip Hop can offer insight into how religion is lived and practiced in diverse contexts – what people believe (whether or not they themselves call these beliefs "religious"), how those beliefs shape their everyday lives, and through which cultural practices their "faith" is expressed. Often, as Monica R. Miller, Alejandro Nava, Daniel White Hodge, and others have shown, these expressions have little in common with how religion is understood and practiced in established institutional contexts – yet from an analytical perspective, they can be interpreted as deeply religious.[81] Second, these insights are employed as a "critical mirror" for theological traditions. They prompt the question: Do the beliefs and practices upheld within one's own tradition still speak to the everyday realities of people's lives? Or have they grown so distant from lived experience and religion that they no longer resonate? Where disconnection becomes apparent, it is argued that theological thought and religious practice must be critically re-examined – and, where necessary, reimagined in light of Hip Hop. In short, Hip Hop can function as some kind of "epistemic interlocutor" – one that enables theologians or scholars of religion to *see different things*, or to *see things differently*.[82] Whether by drawing attention to overlooked forms of lived religion, by opening fresh perspectives on theological commonplaces, or by unsettling inherited assumptions, Hip Hop offers a vital resource for critical reflection. It challenges theology not only to listen more attentively, but also to revise itself when necessary – namely, where there is a clear gap between the beliefs and practices observed in Hip Hop and those upheld in academic theology or church contexts.[83]

---

79 The term "tool" is not used here in a reductive or merely instrumental sense, but in a pragmatic one – drawing on William James's understanding of ideas and practices as tools for navigating and interpreting lived experience. Hip Hop is approached not as an object to be used, but as a medium through which theological insight can emerge. See: James, *Pragmatism.*

80 Seeliger, *Soziologie des Gangstarap*.

81 Miller, *Religion and Hip Hop*; Nava, *Street Scriptures*; Hodge, *Hip Hop's Hostile Gospel*; Winters, "Unstrange Bedfellows;" Harris and Chaney, "Ain't It Evil to Live Backwards."

82 At this point, the question naturally arises: What kind of Hip Hop can serve as a critical epistemic interlocutor for what kind of theology and church? Can American Hip Hop, for instance, meaningfully challenge German Lutheran theology or inspire reflection within the French Huguenot Church? This question resists a simple answer, but two clarifications are worth making. First, Hip Hop by Black US artists likely resonates more directly with African American churches than with Christian churches in Asia, given their shared historical and cultural context. Yet that does not mean its relevance is confined to this sphere. The same kind of Hip Hop can also offer surprising and valuable provocations to, e.g., Roman Catholic theology in Poland – or to any tradition willing to engage across contextual boundaries. In short: while certain social, religious, and theological settings may be more immediately attuned to the critical potential of specific forms of Hip Hop, we should never underestimate Hip Hop's capacity to speak powerfully and provocatively even in unexpected contexts.

83 Attributing critical potential to Hip Hop also entails granting it a certain normative force in relation to theology and the church. This naturally raises deeper questions: To what extent, and on what grounds, can we recognize Hip Hop as a source of normative insight for theology and the church? These are some very important questions that deserve more thorough discussion. In the context of this article, however, I can only offer a preliminary response – one nonetheless grounded in the spirit of inductive theology: Theology and the church must resist retreating into an ivory tower, where their reflections and practices become detached from the concerns, convictions, and lived realities of actual people. From this perspective, I would argue that wherever Hip Hop functions as a vital counter-voice – challenging theology and the church to remain responsive to its context, attentive to lived experience, and willing to confront its own blind spots – this constitutes a form of normativity that, in keeping with the spirit of inductive theology, I regard as not only legitimate but also necessary.

By drawing on Hip Hop as a window into lived religion – and using it as a critical counterpart to established theological frameworks – many of the approaches found in the field of *Hip Hop and Religion* realize precisely what inductive theology sets out to achieve: Placing lived religion at the center of theological reflection and using it as a critical measure for rethinking theological assumptions. Thus, much of the scholarship emerging from *Hip Hop and Religion* – often operating under labels such as "Hip Hop Theology,"[84] "Thug's Theology,"[85] or "Hood Theology"[86] – can be seen not merely as a form of inductive theology *avant la lettre*, one that practices its method without explicitly adopting its name, but also, and more importantly, as a vital dialogue partner. It suggests some answers to three central questions at the heart of inductive theology:

- When it comes to the question, *"Which forms of lived religion should be brought to the center?"*, the answer drawn from *Hip Hop and Religion* research is: The lived beliefs and practices of those who belong to Hip Hop culture – or more broadly, marginalized communities in urban centers.[87]
- When it comes to the question, *"By what methodological means should these practices and convictions be studied?"*, the answer emerging from these approaches is: By analyzing Hip Hop – or more broadly, depending on which communities one seeks to engage, the cultural and artistic expressions of these communities – using multimethod approaches, particularly hermeneutical engagement, to uncover the religious ideas and practices embedded within them.
- And when it comes to the question, *"What normative weight should lived religion carry in shaping theology?"*, the response from Hip Hop theological approaches is: They should be regarded as critical counterparts – challenges against which theological reflection must measure itself in terms of its relevance to lived realities, and which may necessitate reorientation.

In following these approaches, we gain not only a proposal for the role Hip Hop might play within inductive theology, but also a broader methodological model: A model for how specific forms of everyday religion can be methodologically integrated into theological reflection. Thus, the research done in the field of *Hip Hop and Religion* can be seen not merely as an early form of inductive theology, but as a vital resource for reimagining how inductive theology might be constructed, expanded, and practiced going forward.

However, some final critical reflections may be in order. The engagements with Hip Hop presented here have been primarily systematic in focus, while biblical studies and historical theology have remained somewhat in the background. Even within systematic theology, the approaches discussed here have concentrated mainly on dogmatic questions: Asking how lived religion can serve as correctives to doctrinal reflection. Ethical dimensions, by contrast, have received comparatively little attention. Yet it is precisely here that Hip Hop – and the broader field of Hip Hop and Religion – holds immense and still largely untapped potential for theological ethics.[88]

What remains clear is this: future work in inductive theology will have much to gain by taking more seriously the cultural, ethical, and epistemological insights emerging from Hip Hop – and by allowing these voices to shape not only what theology sees, but how it thinks.

---

84 Hodge, "No Church in the Wild;" Hodge, *Hip Hop's Hostile Gospel*; Hodge, *Homeland Insecurity*; Royster, "The Message from the Wilderness."

85 Dyson, *Holler If You Hear Me.*

86 Nava, *Street Scriptures*, 101.

87 The focus on marginalized urban communities proposed here should not be misunderstood as exclusive or dismissive of other communities and contexts. It simply follows from the fact that this article engages with Hip Hop – a cultural form deeply rooted in these settings and therefore particularly well-suited to bringing their perspectives, understandings, and practices into theological reflection. That said, I would emphatically affirm that other communities and their theological sensibilities matter just as much – for instance, those of rural contexts. But if one wishes to engage more deeply with those settings, different cultural entry points – say, stereotypically, country music – may be more appropriate than Hip Hop, which remains a mode of expression shaped by urban struggle and resistance. Fillingim, *Redneck Liberation.*

88 Developing a more systematic Hip Hop Epistemology for theological ethics could open powerful new avenues for integrating inductive elements into ethical reflection. While a full exploration of these possibilities exceeds the scope of this chapter, initial considerations have been outlined elsewhere. Tretter, *Hip-Hop bei Black Lives Matter-Protesten.*

Acknowledgments: A big thank you to Isabella Auer, Fiona Bendig, and Joshua Violette for their invaluable support in drafting and writing this paper. A thousand thanks!

Funding information: Author states no funding involved.

Author contribution: Max Tretter is the sole author of this contribution.

Conflict of interest: MT declares that there is no conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement: ChatGPT-4o was used to copyedit and linguistically refine this manuscript. The use of generative AI was limited to improving readability, grammar, and stylistic clarity.

References

Alexander, Apryl. "Like a Lollipop. Toxic Masculinity and Female Sexual Pleasure in Hip Hop." In *Misogyny, Toxic Masculinity, and Heteronormativity in Post-2000 Popular Music*, edited by Glenn Fosbraey and Nicola Puckey, 253–70. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan, 2021.

Alexander, Prince Charles. *Hip-Hop Production. Inside the Beats*. Boston: Berklee Press, 2022.

Alim, H. Samy, Awad Ibrahim, and Alastair Pennycook, eds. *Global Linguistic Flows. Hip Hop Cultures, Youth Identities, and the Politics of Language*. New York, London: Routledge, 2009.

Blackmon, Douglas A. *Slavery by Another Name. The Re-Enslavement of Black Americans from the Civil War to World War II*. London: Icon, 2012.

Breitenwischer, Dustin. *Die Geschichte des Hip-Hop. 111 Alben*. Stuttgart: Reclam, 2021.

Chang, Jeff. *Can't Stop Won't Stop. A History of the Hip-Hop Generation*. New York: St. Martin's, 2005.

Chilian, Lea and Frederike van Oorschot. "Topical Issue: 'Inductive Theology. How Systematic Theologies Can Relate to Everyday Life'." *Open Theology* 10:1 (2024). doi: 10.1515/opth-2024-0021.

Coddington, Amy. *How Hip Hop Became Hit Pop. Radio, Rap, and Race*. Oakland: University of California Press, 2023.

Dinter, Astrid, Hans-Günter Heimbrock, and Kerstin Söderblom, eds. *Einführung in die empirische Theologie. Gelebte Religion erforschen*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2007.

Driscoll, Christopher M., Anthony B. Pinn, and Monica R. Miller, eds. *Kendrick Lamar and the Making of Black Meaning*. London, New York: Routledge, 2020.

Dyson, Michael Eric. *Holler If You Hear Me. Searching for Tupac Shakur*. New York: Basic Civitas, 2001.

Dyson, Michael Eric. *Know What I Mean? Reflections on Hip-Hop*. New York: Basic Civitas, 2007.

Exarchos, Michail. *Reimagining Sample-Based Hip Hop. Making Records with Records*. London, New York: Routledge, 2024.

Fillingim, David. *Redneck Liberation. Country Music as Theology*. Macon: Mercer University Press, 2003.

Forman, Murray, and Mark Anthony Neal, eds. *That's the Joint! The Hip-Hop Studies Reader*. New York: Routledge, 2004.

Foster Wallace, David and Mark Costello. *Signifying Rappers. Rap and Race in the Urban Present*. New York: Ecco, 1990.

Fritz, Martin. "Wer Braucht Öffentliche Theologie?" *Streit-Kultur. Journal für Theologie* 1 (2023), 62–6. doi: 10.58127.0005.

Gault, Erika and Travis T. Harris, eds. *Beyond Christian Hip Hop. A Move Towards Christians and Hip Hop*. London, New York: Routledge, 2020.

George, Nelson. *The Death of Rhythm and Blues*. New York: Penguin, 1988.

George, Nelson. *Hip Hop America*. New York/Toronto: Penguin, 1998.

Gill, Jon Ivan. *Underground Rap as Religion. A Theopoetic Examination of a Process Aesthetic Religion*. London, New York: Routledge, 2020.

Graff-Kallevåg, Kristin. "Distributed Normativity in Theology: On the Relevance of Empirical Research Approaches to Systematic Theology." *Open Theology* 10:1 (2024). doi: 10.1515/opth-2024-0013.

Harris, Travis T. and Cassandra D. Chaney. "Ain't It Evil to Live Backwards: A Hip Hop Perspective of Religion." *Journal of Hip Hop Studies* 5:1 (2018), 6–34.

Hodge, Daniel White. *Baptized in Dirty Water. Reimagining the Gospel According to Tupac Amaru Shakur*. Eugene: Cascade, 2019.

Hodge, Daniel White. *Hip Hop's Hostile Gospel. A Post-Soul Theological Exploration*. Leiden, Boston: Brill, 2016.

Hodge, Daniel White. *Homeland Insecurity. A Hip Hop Missiology for the Post-Civil Rights Context*. Downers Grove: InterVarsity, 2018.

Hodge, Daniel White. "No Church in the Wild. Hip Hop Theology and Mission." *Missiology. An International Review* 41:1 (2013), 97–109. doi: 10.1177/0091829612467157.

Hodge, Daniel White. *The Soul of Hip Hop. Rims, Timbs and a Cultural Theology*. Westmond: InterVarsity Press, 2010.

Höhne, Florian. "Imaginaries and Normativities. Experimental Impulses for Digital and Public Theologies." *Open Theology* 10:1 (2024). doi: 10.1515/opth-2024-0010.

James, William. *Pragmatism. A New Name for Some Old Ways of Thinking*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1981 (1907).

Kelley, Robin D. G. "Looking for the 'Real' N***A. Social Scientists Construct the Ghetto." In *That's the Joint! The Hip-Hop Studies Reader*, edited by Murray Forman and Mark Anthony Neal, 119–36. New York: Routledge, 2004.

Klein, Gabriele and Malte Friedrich. *Is This Real? Die Kultur Des Hiphop*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 2003.
Maultsby, Portia L. "Foreword." In *Black Lives Matter & Music. Protest, Intervention, Reflection*, edited by Fernando Orejuela and Stephanie Shonekan, ix–xiv. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2018.
Miller, Monica R. *Religion and Hip Hop*. New York, London: Routledge, 2013.
Miller, Monica R., Christopher Driscoll, and Jürgen Manemann. "Hiphop und Religion. Ein Interview mit amerikanischen Religionswissenschaftlern und einem deutschen Philosophen." *εὐangel* 2016:2 (2016). https://www.euangel.de/ausgabe-2-2016/hiphop-und-religion/hiphop-und-religion-ein-interview-mit-amerikanischen-religionswissenschaftlern-und-einem-deutschen-philosophen/.
Miller, Monica R. and Anthony B. Pinn, eds. *The Hip Hop and Religion Reader*. New York: Routledge, 2015.
Miller, Monica R., Anthony B. Pinn, and Bernard Bun B. Freeman, eds. *Religion in Hip Hop. Mapping the New Terrain in the US*. London: Bloomsbury Academic, 2015.
Müller, Sabrina. "Beyond Theory and Practice: Lived Theology and Its Intersection with Empirical Theology." *Open Theology* 10:1 (2024). doi: 10.1515/opth-2024-0014.
Nava, Alejandro. *In Search of Soul. Hip-Hop, Literature, and Religion*. Oakland: University of California Press, 2018.
Nava, Alejandro. *Street Scriptures. Between God and Hip-Hop*. London: The University of Chicago Press, 2022. doi: 10.7208/chicago/9780226819150.
Nitzsche, Sina A. and Walter Grünzweig, eds. *Hip-Hop in Europe. Cultural Identities and Transnational Flows*. Berlin: Lit, 2013.
Peetz, Katharina. "Exploring Ethical Potentials of Christian Narrative Testimonies." *Open Theology* 10:1 (2024). doi: 10.1515/opth-2024-0015.
Perry, Imani. *Prophets of the Hood. Politics and Poetics in Hip Hop*. Durham, London: Duke University Press, 2004.
Peterson, James Braxton. *The Hip-Hop Underground and African American Culture. Beneath the Surface*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2014.
Pinn, Anthony B., ed. *Noise and Spirit. The Religious and Spiritual Sensibilities of Rap Music*. New York, London: New York University Press, 2003.
Pinn, Anthony B. *Terror and Triumph. The Nature of Black Religion. 20th Anniversary Edition*. Minneapolis: Fortress, 2022.
Pough, Gewndolyn D. "Seeds and Legacies. Tapping the Potential in Hip-Hop." *Doula: The Journal of Rap Music and Hip-Hop Culture* 1:2 (2001), 26–9.
Price III, Emmett G., ed. *The Black Church and Hip-Hop Culture. Toward Bridging the Generational Divide*. Lanham: Scarecrow, 2012.
Price III, Emmett G. *Hip Hop Culture*. Santa Barbara, Denver, Oxford: ABC Clio, 2006.
Rollefson, J. Griffith. *Flip the Script. European Hip Hop and the Politics of Postcoloniality*. Chicago, London: The University of Chicago Press, 2017.
Rose, Tricia. *Black Noise. Rap Music and Black Culture in Contemporary America*. Hannover, London: Wesleyan University Press, 1994.
Rose, Tricia. *The Hip Hop Wars. What We Talk About When We Talk About Hip Hop – and Why It Matters*. New York: Basic Civitas, 2008.
Ross, Andrew S., and Elina Westinen. *Hip-Hop Language and Linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2024. https://oxfordre.com/linguistics/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780199384655.001.0001/acrefore-9780199384655-e-993.
Royster, Michael D. "The Message from the Wilderness." In *Urban God Talk. Constructing a Hip Hop Spirituality*, edited by Andre E. Johnson, 21–35. Lanham, Boulder, New York: Lexington, 2013.
Schatzki, Theodore R., Karin Knorr-Cetina, and Eike von Savigny, eds. *The Practice Turn in Contemporary Theory*. London, New York: Routledge, 2001.
Seeliger, Martin. *Soziologie Des Gangstarap. Popkultur Als Ausdruck Sozialer Konflikte*. Weinheim: Beltz Juventa, 2021.
Smith, Robert C. *Racism in the Post-Civil Rights Era. Now You See It, Now You Don't*. New York: State University of New York Press, 1996.
Smith, Robert C. *We Have No Leaders. African Americans in the Post-Civil Rights Era*. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1996.
Speers, Laura. *Hip-Hop Authenticity and the London Scene. Living out Authenticity in Popular Music*. New York: Routledge, 2017.
Spencer, Jon Michael. *Theological Music. An Introduction to Theomusicology*. New York: Greenwood, 1991.
Toop, David. *The Rap Attack. African Jive to New York Hip Hop*. London: Pluto, 1984.
Tretter, Max. "Hip-Hop." *Ethik-Lexikon*, 2023. https://www.ethik-lexikon.de/lexikon/hip-hop.
Tretter, Max. *Hip-Hop bei Black Lives Matter-Protesten. Eine theologisch-ethische Auseinandersetzung mit ästhetischen Artikulationsformen in der Öffentlichkeit*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2025.
Tretter, Max. "More Hip Hop, Please! Exploring the Intersections of Public Theology and Hip Hop Studies." *International Journal of Public Theology* 19:4 (2025), 316–40.
Tretter, Max. "Neben Der Pistole Steht 'Ne Jesus-Ikone.' Über (Nicht-)Religiöse Rhetorik Im Deutschrap." In *Hip Hop Im 21. Jahrhundert. Medialität, Tradierung, Gesellschaftskritik Und Bildungsaspekte Einer (Jugend-)Kultur*, edited by Thomas Wilke and Michael Rappe, 473–87. Wiesbaden: Springer, 2022.
Tretter, Max. "Review: *Das Weisse Album*, Haftbefehl, Audio Cd, Berlin, Urban/Universal." *Global Hip Hop Studies* 1:2 (2021), 325–9. doi: 10.1386/ghhs_00026_5.
Tretter, Max. "Spannend: Hip-Hop und die Theologie: Rezension Zu: Alejandro Nava: Street Scriptures." *Zeitzeichen* 25:3 (2024), 67–8.
Tretter, Max and Till Neuhaus. "Competitive by Nature. Unraveling the Affinity between Basketball and Hip Hop." In *Interdisciplinary Analyses of Professional Basketball. Investigating the Hardwood*, edited by Till Neuhaus and Niklas Thomas. Global Culture and Sport Series, 139–64. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan, 2024.
van Oorschot, Frederike and Lea Chilian. "Induktive Theologie? Oder: Wie sich Theologie auf die Gegenwart beziehen kann." *Feinschwarz*, 2023. https://www.feinschwarz.net/induktive-theologie/.

Vernon, Jim. *Hip Hop, Hegel, and the Art of Emancipation. Let's Get Free*. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan, 2018.
Vernon, Jim. *Sampling, Biting, and the Postmodern Subversion of Hip Hop*. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan, 2021.
Watkins, Ralph Basui. *Hip-Hop Redemption. Finding God in the Rhythm and the Rhyme*. Grand Rapids: Baker Publishing, 2011.
Watkins, S. Craig. *Hip Hop Matters. Politics, Pop Culture, and the Struggle for the Soul of a Movement*. Boston: Beacon, 2005.
Williams, Justin A., ed. *The Cambridge Companion to Hip-Hop*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015.
Winters, Joseph. "Unstrange Bedfellows: Hip Hop and Religion." *Religion Compass* 5:6 (2011), 260–70. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-8171.2011.00279.x.
Wright, Joshua K., Adria Y. Goldman, and VaNatta S. Ford. "I Gotta Testify: Kanye West, Hip Hop, and the Church." *Journal of Hip Hop Studies* 6:1 (2019), 1–140. doi: 10.34718/6jer-7a50.
Zanfagna, Christina. *Holy Hip Hop in the City of Angels*. Oakland: University of California Press, 2017.
Zegarra, Raúl E. "Context-Attentive Theology: On the Rearticulation of Experience in Theological Inquiry." *Open Theology* 10:1 (2024). doi: 10.1515/opth-2024-0019.
Zeller, Kinga. "To Be Oriented and to Orient: Considerations on Principles, Requirements, and Objectives of an Inductive Systematic Theology." *Open Theology* 10:1 (2024). doi: 10.1515/opth-2024-0028.