

IRREDUCIBILITY OF STRONG SIZE LEVELS

ALEJANDRO ILLANES — VERÓNICA MARTÍNEZ-DE-LA-VEGA^c

(Communicated by David Buhagiar)

ABSTRACT. Given a continuum X , $C_n(X)$ denotes the hyperspace of nonempty closed subsets of X with at most n components. A strong size level is a subset of the form $\sigma^{-1}(t)$, where σ is a strong size map for $C_n(X)$ and $t \in (0, 1]$. In this paper, answering a question by Capulín-Pérez, Fuentes-Montes de Oca, Lara-Mejía and Orozco-Zitli, we prove that for each $n \geq 2$, no strong size level for $C_n(X)$ is irreducible.

©2024
Mathematical Institute
Slovak Academy of Sciences

1. Introduction

A *continuum* is a compact connected metric space with more than one point, a *subcontinuum* of X is a compact connected subspace of X , so subcontinua include one-point sets.

In this paper we use the following hyperspaces of X :

$$\begin{aligned} 2^X &= \{A \subset X : A \text{ is a closed nonempty subset of } X\}, \\ C_n(X) &= \{A \in 2^X : A \text{ has at most } n \text{ components}\}, \\ F_n(X) &= \{A \in 2^X : A \text{ has at most } n \text{ points}\}. \end{aligned}$$

All these hyperspaces are considered with the Hausdorff metric [6: Theorem 3.1]. We write $C(X)$ instead of $C_1(X)$. A *map* is a continuous function.

A continuum X is *irreducible* provided that there exist points $p, q \in X$ such that no proper subcontinuum of X contains both p and q .

A *Whitney map* for $C_n(X)$ is a map $\mu: C_n(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that:

- (a) for each $p \in X$, $\mu(\{p\}) = 0$;
- (b) $\mu(X) = 1$; and
- (c) if $A, B \in C_n(X)$ and $A \subsetneq B$, then $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$.

Sets of the form $\mu^{-1}(t)$ where $t \in (0, 1)$ are *Whitney levels*.

A *strong size map* for $C_n(X)$ is a map $\sigma: C_n(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that:

- (a) $\sigma(A) = 0$ for each $A \in F_n(X)$;
- (b) $\sigma(X) = 1$; and

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54F15; Secondary 54B20, 54F16.

Keywords: Continuum, hyperspace, irreducibility, size level, size map, Whitney map.

^c Corresponding author.

The work of Dr. Martínez-de-la-Vega is part of her research project during her sabbatical (academic year 2023–2024) supported by the grant PASPA of program DGAPA of UNAM (Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México).

This paper was partially supported by the project Teoría de Continuos e Hiperespacios, dos" (AI-S-15492) of CONA-CyT and the project "Sistemas Dinámicos discretos y Teoría de Continuos" PAPIIT-IN105624.

(c) if $A, B \in C_n(X)$, $A \subsetneq B$ and $B \notin F_n(X)$, then $\sigma(A) < \sigma(B)$.

Sets of the form $\sigma^{-1}(t)$ where $t \in [0, 1)$ are *strong size levels*.

Notice that the restriction of a strong size map to $C(X)$ is a Whitney map. Whitney levels and strong size levels are continua ([6: Theorem 19.9] and [3: Theorem 2.10]). Hosokawa [3] introduced and studied topological properties of strong size levels. Topological properties of Whitney levels have been studied widely. In [6: Chapter VIII], there is a very complete account of what was known on Whitney levels up to 1998.

A very important line of research about Whitney and strong size levels is to determine for which topological properties \mathcal{P} the following implications hold:

- (a) if X has property \mathcal{P} , then Whitney (strong size) levels have property \mathcal{P} , and
- (b) if Whitney (strong size) levels have property \mathcal{P} , then X has property \mathcal{P} .

Statements (a) and (b) have been studied for more than 40 years (see [6: Chapter VIII]). The study of strong size levels started with the paper [3] in 2011. Since then statements (a) and (b) have been studied for strong size levels in [1–5] and [7–9].

For the case that property \mathcal{P} is irreducibility and $n = 1$, in [4], it was shown that if X is a continuum having a Whitney level irreducible with respect to a finite subset, then X is also irreducible with respect to a finite set; and in [9], it was shown an irreducible, hereditarily decomposable continuum such that none of its proper strong size levels is irreducible. In [1], the authors asked whether there exists a continuum with irreducible strong size levels. In this paper we give a complete solution to this question for the case $n \geq 2$ with the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a continuum and $n \geq 2$. Then the strong size levels for $C_n(X)$ are not irreducible.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Given elements $A, B \in 2^X$ such that $A \subsetneq B$, an *order arc from A to B* is a map $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^X$ such that $\alpha(0) = A$, $\alpha(1) = B$ and if $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$, then $\alpha(s) \subsetneq \alpha(t)$. The most important result about order arcs says that if $A, B \in 2^X$, then there exists an order arc from A to B if and only if $A \subsetneq B$ and each component of B intersects A [6: Theorem 15.3].

Proof of Theorem 1. Take a strong size level $\mathcal{A} = \sigma^{-1}(t_0)$, where $\sigma: C_n(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a strong size map and $t_0 \in [0, 1)$. We will use the following claim.

Claim 2. *Let $D, E \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $E = F \cup G$, G is a component of E , $F \cap G = \emptyset$; L is a nonempty finite subset of F , with $|L|$ equal to the number of components of F ; $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow C_n(X)$ is an order arc from L to D such that $F \in \alpha([0, 1])$ and q is a point of G . Then there exists a subcontinuum \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} such that $\{D, E\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ and for each $K \in \mathcal{B}$, either each component of K intersects $L \cup \{q\}$ or $K = K_0 \cup \{p\}$, where each component of K_0 intersects L , and $p \in X$.*

Proof. Since $E \in C_n(X)$ and G is component of E , we have that E has more components than F . Then $|L| < n$. Since α is an order arc, for each $t \in (0, 1]$, there exists an order arc from L to $\alpha(t)$, then each component of $\alpha(t)$ has a point of L , so $\alpha(t)$ has at most $|L|$ components. In particular, D has less components than E . Since α is an order arc from L to D , we have that L is a proper subset of D and each component of D intersects L . This implies that D is not a finite set. In particular, $\sigma(D) = t_0 > 0$. Let $t_F \in [0, 1]$ be such that $\alpha(t_F) = F$. If $E \subset D$, since $\sigma(E) = \sigma(D)$, we have that $E = D$, a contradiction. Therefore E is not contained in D .

We construct two subcontinua \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 . In the case $G = \{q\}$, we define $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{F \cup \{q\}\} = \{E\}$.

Now, suppose that $\{q\} \subsetneq G$. Take an order arc $\beta: [0, 1] \rightarrow C(X)$, from $\{q\}$ to G .

Given $u \in [0, 1]$, $\beta(u) \cup \alpha(0) = \beta(u) \cup L \subset G \cup F = E$, so $\sigma(\beta(u) \cup \alpha(0)) \leq \sigma(E) = t_0$. On the other hand, $\beta(u) \cup \alpha(1) = \beta(u) \cup D$. This implies that $\sigma(\beta(u) \cup \alpha(1)) \geq t_0$. This implies that there exists $v(u) \in [0, 1]$ such that $\sigma(\beta(u) \cup \alpha(v(u))) = t_0$.

Define $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $g(u) = \beta(u) \cup \alpha(v(u))$. First, we show that the definition of g does not depend on the choice of $v(u)$. Suppose that $v_1, v_2 \in [0, 1]$ satisfy that $\sigma(\beta(u) \cup \alpha(v_1)) = \sigma(\beta(u) \cup \alpha(v_2))$. We may assume that $v_1 < v_2$. Since α is an order arc, we have that $\alpha(v_2)$ is not a finite set. Since $\beta(u) \cup \alpha(v_1) \subset \beta(u) \cup \alpha(v_2)$ and $\sigma(\beta(u) \cup \alpha(v_1)) = \sigma(\beta(u) \cup \alpha(v_2))$, we conclude that $\beta(u) \cup \alpha(v_1) = \beta(u) \cup \alpha(v_2)$. Therefore $g(u)$ does not depend on the choice of $v(u)$. We prove that g is continuous. Take a sequence $\{u_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ converging to $u \in [0, 1]$. We may suppose that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v(u_m) = v$ for some $v \in [0, 1]$. Thus $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \beta(u_m) \cup \alpha(v(u_m)) = \beta(u) \cup \alpha(v)$. By the continuity of σ , $\sigma(\beta(u) \cup \alpha(v)) = t_0$. By the independence of $v(u)$, $g(u) = \beta(u) \cup \alpha(v)$. Therefore $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(u_m) = g(u)$ and g is continuous.

Define $\mathcal{B}_1 = g([0, 1])$. Then \mathcal{B}_1 is a subcontinuum of \mathcal{A} . Given $u \in [0, 1]$, $\{q\} \subset \beta(u)$ and each component of $\alpha(v(u))$ intersects L , so each component of $g(u)$ intersects $L \cup \{q\}$. Since $\beta(1) = G$, we have that $g(1) = \beta(1) \cup \alpha(v(1)) = G \cup \alpha(v(1))$. In the case that $v(1) \leq t_F$, we have that $\alpha(v(1)) \cup G \subset \alpha(t_F) \cup G = F \cup G = E$. Then $g(1) \subset E$. In the case that $t_F \leq v(1)$, we have that $E \subset g(1)$. We have shown that one of the sets E and $g(1)$ is contained in the other. Since the value of σ on both sets is the equal to t_0 and $t_0 > 0$, we conclude that $E = g(1) \in \mathcal{B}_1$. Set $E_1 = g(0) = \{q\} \cup \alpha(v(0))$.

This finishes the construction of \mathcal{B}_1 .

Given $p \in X$, the set $\alpha(0) \cup \{p\} = L \cup \{p\}$ is finite, so $\sigma(\alpha(0) \cup \{p\}) = 0$. On the other hand, $\alpha(1) \cup \{p\}$ contains the set D , so $\sigma(\alpha(1) \cup \{p\}) \geq t_0$. Thus there exists $w(p) \in [0, 1]$ such that $\sigma(\alpha(w(p)) \cup \{p\}) = t_0$. Define $h: X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $h(p) = \alpha(w(p)) \cup \{p\}$. Proceeding as we did with g , it is possible to prove that h does not depend on the choice of $w(p)$ and that h is continuous.

Define $\mathcal{B}_2 = h(X)$. Then \mathcal{B}_2 is a subcontinuum of \mathcal{A} . Since $h(q) = \alpha(w(q)) \cup \{q\}$, h does not depend on $w(q)$ and $E_1 = \{q\} \cup \alpha(v(0)) \in \mathcal{A}$, we conclude that $h(q) = E_1$. Therefore, $E_1 \in \mathcal{B}_2$.

Fix a point $p_0 \in L$. Then $h(p_0) = \{p_0\} \cup \alpha(w(p_0)) \subset \alpha(w(p_0)) \subset D$. Since $\sigma(h(p_0)) = t_0 = \sigma(D)$ and $t_0 > 0$, we conclude that $h(p_0) = D$. Hence $D \in \mathcal{B}_2$.

Define $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$.

In the case that $G = \{q\}$, $h(q) = \alpha(w(q)) \cup \{q\} = \alpha(w(q)) \cup G$. Since $F \in \alpha([0, 1])$, one of the sets $F \cup G$ or $\alpha(w(q)) \cup G$ is contained in the other. Since σ takes the value t_0 on both sets and $t_0 > 0$, we conclude that $F \cup G = \alpha(w(q)) \cup G = h(q)$. Thus $E \in \mathcal{B}_2$, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_2$ is a subcontinuum of \mathcal{A} containing the set $\{D, E\}$ and each element of \mathcal{B} is of the form $K = K_0 \cup \{p\}$, where $K_0 \in \alpha([0, 1])$ and $p \in X$. Notice that each component of K_0 intersects L .

In the case that $G \neq \{q\}$, since $E_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2$, we have that \mathcal{B} is a subcontinuum of \mathcal{A} that contains the elements D and E and for each $K \in \mathcal{B}$, if $K \in \mathcal{B}_1$ each component of K intersects $L \cup \{q\}$ and if $K \in \mathcal{B}_2$, then $K = K_0 \cup \{p\}$ for some $K_0 \in \alpha([0, 1])$ and $p \in X$, so each component of K_0 intersects L . This ends the proof of the claim. \square

In order to prove that \mathcal{A} is not irreducible, take $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose that A_1, \dots, A_r are the components of A and B_1, \dots, B_s are the components of B . Fix points $p_1 \in A_1, \dots, p_r \in A_r$ and $q_1 \in B_1, \dots, q_s \in B_s$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $t_0 = 0$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $A_i = \{p_i\}$ and for each $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, $B_j = \{q_j\}$. Fix two points $p_0 \neq q_0$ in $X \setminus (A \cup B)$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{\{p_1\} \cup E : E \in F_{n-1}(X)\}$. Then \mathcal{C} is a subcontinuum of \mathcal{A} such that $A, \{p_1\} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\{p_0, q_0\} \notin \mathcal{C}$. Similarly, there exists a subcontinuum \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{A} such that $B, \{q_1\} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\{p_0, q_0\} \notin \mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{D} \cup F_1(X)$ is a subcontinuum of \mathcal{A} containing $\{A, B\}$ and not having the element $\{p_0, q_0\}$. Therefore, in this case, \mathcal{A} is not irreducible.

Case 2. $t_0 > 0$. Taking an order arc from a one-point set to X , it is possible to show that there exists a connected element W in \mathcal{A} . Since $t_0 < 1$, the set $X \setminus W$ is infinite. Fix a point $z \in X \setminus (W \cup \{p_1, \dots, p_r\} \cup \{q_1, \dots, q_s\})$. Using an order arc from $\{z\}$ to X , it is possible to construct a subcontinuum M_0 of X with the properties: M_0 is non-degenerate, $M_0 \subset X \setminus (W \cup \{p_1, \dots, p_r\} \cup \{q_1, \dots, q_s\})$ and $\sigma(M_0) < t_0$. Since $\sigma(W \cup M_0) > t_0$ ($n \geq 2$) using again an order arc from a one-point set to W it is possible to obtain a subcontinuum N_0 of W such that the set $T = M_0 \cup N_0$ belongs to \mathcal{A} . Notice that $M_0 \cap N_0 = \emptyset$, so T has a component (the continuum M_0) not intersecting $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\} \cup \{q_1, \dots, q_s\}$.

We are going to show that there exist a subcontinuum S of X and a subcontinuum \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} such that $\{A, S\} \subset \mathcal{C}$ and $T \notin \mathcal{C}$. In the case that A is connected, set $S = A$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{A\}$. Since all the components of A intersect $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$, by the previous paragraph, we infer that $A \neq T$, so $T \notin \mathcal{C}$. Suppose then that A is not connected. Then $r > 1$.

We apply the Claim 2 to $F = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{r-1}$, $G = A_r$, $E = A$, $L = \{p_1, \dots, p_{r-1}\}$ and $q = p_r$. Taking an order arc from F to X , it is possible to find an element $S_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that each component of S_1 intersects F . Since each component of F intersects L , it is possible to find an order arc $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow C_n(X)$ such that $\alpha(0) = L$, $\alpha(1) = S_1$ and $F \in \alpha([0, 1])$. Then there exists a subcontinuum \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} such that $\{S_1, A\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ and for each $K \in \mathcal{B}$, either each component of K intersects $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ or $K = K_0 \cup \{p\}$, where each component of K_0 intersects L and $p \in X$.

Since the component M_0 of T is not degenerate and it does not intersect $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$, we have that $T \notin \mathcal{B}$. Since each component of S_1 intersects $\{p_1, \dots, p_{r-1}\}$, we obtain that S_1 has at most $r - 1$ components. Suppose that D_1, \dots, D_k are the components of S_1 . Then we can choose points $p_{l_1} \in D_1, \dots, p_{l_k} \in D_k$.

We have shown that A can be connected by a continuum contained in $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{T\}$ with an element $S_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ having less components than A . In the case that S_1 is connected, let $S = S_1$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B}$. In the case that S_1 is not connected, we can repeat the procedure to obtain an element $S_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and a continuum \mathcal{B}_1 contained in $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{T\}$ such that $\{S_1, S_2\} \subset \mathcal{B}_1$, S_2 has less components than S_1 and each component of S_2 intersects $\{p_{l_1}, \dots, p_{l_k}\}$. If S_2 is connected, let $S = S_2$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$. In the case that S_2 is not connected, we repeat this process until we obtain a connected set $S_m = S$ and a continuum $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{B}_m$ contained in $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{T\}$ such that $\{A, S\} \subset \mathcal{C}$.

In a similar way, there exist a connected element $S_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ and a continuum \mathcal{C}_0 contained in $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{T\}$ such that $\{S_0, B\} \subset \mathcal{C}_0$. Define

$$\mathcal{C}^* = \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{C}_0 \cup (\sigma|C(X))^{-1}(t_0).$$

Since $\sigma|C(X)$ is a Whitney map for $C(X)$, we have that $(\sigma|C(X))^{-1}(t_0)$ is a Whitney level for $C(X)$ and then this set is connected. Thus \mathcal{C}^* is a subcontinuum of \mathcal{A} . Since T has two components, $T \notin \mathcal{C}^*$. Therefore \mathcal{C}^* is a proper subcontinuum of \mathcal{A} containing A and B . Hence \mathcal{A} is not irreducible. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgement. We wish to thank the referee for his/her careful reading of the paper and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] CAPULÍN, F.—LARA, M. A.—OROZCO-ZITLI, F.: *Sequential decreasing strong size properties*, Math. Slovaca **68**(5) (2018), 1141–1148.
- [2] CAPULÍN F.—FUENTES-MONTES DE OCA, A.—LARA-MEJÍA, M. A.—OROZCO-ZITLI, F.: *Increasing strong size properties and strong size block properties*, Topology Appl. **283** (2020), Art. ID 107339.
- [3] HOSOKAWA, H.: *Strong size levels of $C_n(X)$* , Houston J. Math. **37**(3) (2011), 955–965.

IRREDUCIBILITY OF STRONG SIZE LEVELS

- [4] ILLANES, A.: *Irreducible Whitney levels with respect to finite and countable subsets*, An. Inst. Mat. Univ. Nac. Autónoma México **26** (1986), 59–64.
- [5] ILLANES, A.—MARTÍNEZ-DE-LA-VEGA, V.—MARTÍNEZ-MONTEJANO, J.: *Problems on hyperspaces of continua, some answers*, Topology Appl. **310** (2022), Art. ID 108006.
- [6] ILLANES, A.—NADLER, Jr. S. B.: *Hyperspaces, Fundamentals and Recent Advances*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 216, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York and Basel, 1999.
- [7] MACÍAS, S.—PICENO, C.: *Strong size properties*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **48**(68) (2013), 103–114.
- [8] MACÍAS, S.—PICENO, C.: *More on strong size properties*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **50**(70) (2015), 467–488.
- [9] PAREDES-RIVAS, L.—PELLICER-COVARRUBIAS, P.: *On strong size levels*, Topology Appl. **160**(13) (2013), 1816–1828.

Received 16. 9. 2022

Accepted 2. 4. 2023

*Instituto de Matemáticas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Cd. de México, 04510
MÉXICO*

E-mail: illanes@matem.unam.mx
vmvm@matem.unam.mx