

## Research Article

Tingting Hou and Hui Zhang\*

# The stability with general decay rate of hybrid stochastic fractional differential equations driven by Lévy noise with impulsive effects

<https://doi.org/10.1515/math-2022-0004>

received July 19, 2021; accepted December 31, 2021

**Abstract:** In this paper, our aims are to study the stability with general decay rate of hybrid stochastic fractional differential equations driven by Lévy noise with impulsive effects. Using Lyapunov function, nonnegative semi-martingale convergence theorem, we obtain the almost sure stability with general decay rate, including the exponential stability and the polynomial stability. Moreover, we give an upper bound of each coefficient at any mode according to the theory of M-matrix. Finally, one example is given to show the effectiveness of the obtained theory.

**Keywords:** stability, hybrid stochastic fractional differential equations, Lévy noise, general decay, impulsive effects

**MSC 2020:** 60G51, 60H10, 34A37

## 1 Introduction

With the wide application of stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy noise in biology, engineering, finance and economy, more and more experts and scholars pay attention to stochastic differential equations [1–3]. The stability has become one of the important topics, such as stochastic stability, stochastic asymptotic stability, moment exponential stability, almost everywhere stability and mean square polynomial stability (see [4–9]). Li and Deng [10] studied the almost sure stability with general decay rate of neutral delay stochastic differential equations with Lévy noise, while Shen et al. [11] studied the stability of solutions of neutral stochastic functional hybrid differential equations with Lévy noise. Shen's conclusion is more specific and universal than Deng's.

As is known to all, the integer order differential equations determine the local characteristics of the function, while the fractional order differential equations describe the overall information of the function in the form of weighting, so it is more flexible and widely used in the model. Abouagwa et al. [12] studied the existence and uniqueness by using Carathéodory approximation under non-Lipschitz conditions. Shen et al. [13] obtained an averaging principle and stability of hybrid stochastic fractional differential equations driven by Lévy noise. Recently, the classical mathematical modelling approach coupled with the stochastic methods were used to develop stochastic dynamic models for financial data (stock price). In order to extend

\* **Corresponding author: Hui Zhang**, Department of Electronic Engineering, Wanjiang College of Anhui Normal University, Anhui Wuhu, 241000, P. R. China, e-mail: 138553396@qq.com

**Tingting Hou:** Department of Electronic Engineering, Wanjiang College of Anhui Normal University, Anhui Wuhu, 241000, P. R. China, e-mail: wjxyhoutt@163.com

this approach to more complex dynamic processes in science and engineering operating under internal structural and external environmental perturbations, Pedjeu and Ladde [14] modified the existing mathematical models by incorporating certain significant attributable parameters or variables with state variables, explicitly. Meanwhile, they obtained the existence and uniqueness of the solution by using the Picard-Lindel successive approximations. This motivates us to initiate to partially characterize intrastructural and external environmental perturbations by a set of linearly independent time-scales. For example,  $t, B(t), t^\alpha, \tilde{N}$ , where  $B(t)$  is the standard Wiener process, and  $\tilde{N}$  is the Lévy process,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ .

In addition, impulsive stochastic differential systems [15–17] with Markovian switching have been investigated. Zhu [18] has determined the  $p$ th moment exponential stability of impulsive stochastic functional differential equations with Markovian switching. Then Kao et al. [19] proved the  $p$ th moment exponential stability, almost exponential stability and instability on the basis of predecessors. Tan et al. [20] discussed the stability of hybrid impulsive and switching stochastic systems with time delay.

However, it is worth mentioning that to the best of our knowledge, the stability with general decay rate of hybrid stochastic fractional differential equations driven by Lévy noise with impulsive effects has not been investigated yet and this arouses our interest in research. In order to fill this gap, in this paper, combined with previous work, we consider the following stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy noise with impulsive effects

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = u(x(t-), t, r(t))dt + b(x(t-), t, r(t))dB(t) + \sigma(x(t-), t, r(t))(dt)^\alpha \\ \quad + \int_{|y|<c} h(x(t-), y, t, r(t))\tilde{N}(dt, dy), \quad t \neq t_k, t \geq 0, \\ \Delta x(t_k) = I_k(x(t_k^-), t_k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $x(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the initial value satisfying  $E|x_0|^2 < \infty$ , the constant  $c$  is the maximum allowable jump size and the mappings  $u, \sigma : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $b : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  are continuous functions. The fixed impulse time sequence  $\{t_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < \dots$ ,  $t_k \rightarrow \infty$  (as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ),  $\Delta x(t_k) = I_k(x(t_k^-), t_k)$  denotes the state jumping at impulsive time instant  $t_k$ . Denote by  $x(t; 0, x_0)$  the solution to the system which is assumed to be right continuous, i.e.,  $x(t_k^+) = x(t_k)$ .

In this paper, we utilize the local Lipschitz condition and a weaker condition to replace the linear growth condition to obtain a unique global solution for system (1). According to the method of Lyapunov function, we can prove there is a unique global solution. Then we present a kind of  $\lambda$ -type function which will be introduced in Section 2. By means of nonnegative semi-martingale convergence theorem and Lyapunov function, we derive a kind of almost sure  $\lambda$ -type stability, including almost sure exponential stability, almost sure polynomial stability and almost sure logarithmic stability. The main features of this paper are as follows:

- (i) The presented hybrid stochastic fractional differential equations driven by Lévy noise with impulsive effects have not been considered before.
- (ii) A more general almost sure stability (including almost sure exponential stability, almost sure polynomial stability and almost sure logarithmic stability) problem has been investigated under much weaker conditions.
- (iii) The upper bound of each coefficient at any mode is obtained.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present several definitions and preliminaries. In Section 3, the conditions for the existence and uniqueness of the global solution and the sufficient conditions for  $\lambda$ -type stability are established, respectively. In Section 4, we prove the  $\lambda$ -type stability about the upper bound of each coefficient at any mode by using the theory of the  $M$ -matrix. Finally, an example is given to illustrate the obtained theory.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified, we use the following notations.  $\mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space, and  $|x|$  denotes the Euclidean norm of a vector  $x$ .  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$  and  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$ .  $\text{Diag}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N)$  denotes a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N$ . Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$  be a complete probability space with a filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying the usual conditions (i.e., it is right continuous and  $\mathcal{F}_0$  contains all  $\mathbb{P}$ -null sets).  $B(t) = (B_1(t), B_2(t), \dots, B_m(t))^T$  be an  $m$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted Brownian motion defined on the complete probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ , and  $N(t, z)$  be a  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted Poisson random measure on  $[0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$  with a  $\sigma$ -finite intensity measure  $\nu(dz)$ , the compensator martingale measure  $\tilde{N}(t, z)$  satisfies  $\tilde{N}(dt, dz) = N(dt, dz) - \nu(dz)dt$ . Let  $r(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  be a right-continuous Markov chain defined on the probability space taking values in a finite state  $\mathbb{S} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  with generator  $\Gamma = (\gamma_{ij})_{N \times N}$  given by

$$P\{r(t + \Delta) = j | r(t) = i\} = \begin{cases} \gamma_{ij}\Delta + o(\Delta), & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ 1 + \gamma_{ii}\Delta + o(\Delta), & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Delta > 0$ , and  $\gamma_{ij} \geq 0$  is the transition rate from  $i$  to  $j$  if  $i \neq j$  while  $\gamma_{ii} = -\sum_{i \neq j} \gamma_{ij}$ . And we assume that the Markov chain  $r(t)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted but independent of the Brownian motion  $B(t)$ .

Next, we give some definitions about fractional calculus and  $\lambda$ -type function, which will be used in this paper.

**Definition 2.1.** [21] (Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals, Samko et al., 1993): For any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and function  $f \in L^1[a, b; \mathbb{R}^n]$ , the left-sided and right-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order  $\alpha$  are defined for almost all  $a < t < b$  by

$$(I_{a+}^\alpha f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad t > a$$

and

$$(I_{b-}^\alpha f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^b (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad t < b,$$

where  $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty s^{\alpha-1} e^{-s} ds$  is the Gamma function and  $L^1[a, b]$  is the space of integrable functions in a finite interval  $[a, b]$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [21] (Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives, Samko et al., 1993): For any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and well-defined absolutely continuous function  $f$  on an interval  $[a, b]$ , the left-sided and right-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives are defined, respectively, by

$$(D_{a+}^\alpha f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left[ \frac{f(a)}{(t-a)^\alpha} + \int_a^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} f'(s) ds \right]$$

and

$$(D_{b-}^\alpha f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left[ \frac{f(b)}{(b-t)^\alpha} - \int_t^b (s-t)^{-\alpha} f'(s) ds \right].$$

**Definition 2.3.** [22] (Jumarie, 2005): Let  $\sigma(t)$  be a continuous function, then its integration with respect to  $(dt)^\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , is defined by

$$\int_0^t \sigma(s) (ds)^\alpha = \alpha \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \sigma(s) ds.$$

**Definition 2.4.** The function  $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -type function if the function satisfies the following three conditions:

- (1) It is continuous and nondecreasing in  $\mathbb{R}$  and differentiable in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ,
- (2)  $\lambda(0) = 1, \lambda(\infty) = \infty$  and  $r = \sup_{t \geq 0} \left[ \frac{\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right] < \infty$ ,
- (3) For any  $s, t \geq 0, \lambda(t) \leq \lambda(s)\lambda(t-s)$ .

**Remark 2.5.** It is obvious that the functions  $\lambda(t) = e^t, \lambda(t) = (1+t^+)$  and  $\log(1+t^+)$  are  $\lambda$ -type functions since they satisfy the aforementioned three conditions. Next, we give the definition of the almost sure stability with general decay rate based on Definition 2.4.

**Definition 2.6.** Let the function  $\lambda(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}^+)$  be a  $\lambda$ -type function. Then for any initial  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the trivial solution is said to be almost surely stable with decay  $\lambda(t)$  of order  $\gamma$  if

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log|x(t, x_0)|}{\log\lambda(t)} \leq -\gamma, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

**Remark 2.7.** It is obvious that this almost sure  $\lambda$ -type stability implies the almost sure exponential stability, almost sure polynomial stability and almost sure logarithmic stability when  $\lambda(t)$  is replaced by  $e^t, 1+t^+, \log(1+t^+)$ , respectively. Because we have a wide choice for  $\lambda$ -type functions, thus our results will be more general than some classical results.

Let  $C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+)$  denote the family of all functions  $V(x, t, i)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}$ , which are continuously twice differentiable in  $x$  and once in  $t$ . Define three functions  $L_1V, L_2V, L_3V : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$L_1V(x, t, i) = V_t(x, t, i) + V_x(x, t, i)u(x, t, i) + \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij}V(x, t, j) + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}[b^T(x, t, i)V_{xx}(x, t, i)b(x, t, i)],$$

$$L_2V(x, t, i) = \int_{|y| < c} [V(x + h(x, y, t, i), t, i) - V(x, t, i) - V_x(x, t, i)h(x, y, t, i)]v(dy),$$

$$L_3V(x, t, i) = V_x(x, t, i)\sigma(x, t, i),$$

$$\text{where } V_t(x, t, i) = \frac{\partial V(x, t, i)}{\partial t}, V_x(x, t, i) = \left( \frac{\partial V(x, t, i)}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial V(x, t, i)}{\partial x_n} \right), V_{xx}(x, t, i) = \left( \frac{\partial^2 V(x, t, i)}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} \right)_{n \times n}.$$

By the generalized Itô formula, for  $t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]$

$$\begin{aligned} V(x(t), t, r(t)) &= V(x(t_{j-1}), t_{j-1}, r(t_{j-1})) + \int_{t_{j-1}}^t L_1V(x(s), s, r(s))ds + \int_{t_{j-1}}^t L_2V(x(s), s, r(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \alpha(t-s)^{\alpha-1} L_3V(x(s), s, r(s))ds + G(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &= \int_{t_{j-1}}^t V_x(x(s), s, r(s))b(x(s-), s, r(s))dB(s) + \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \int_{|y| < c} [V(x(s) + h(x(s-), y, s, r(s)), s, r(s)) \\ &\quad - V(x(s), s, r(s))] \tilde{N}(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

In fact, if a stochastic process is a martingale, then it is a local martingale. Hence, we can easily know that  $\{G(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a local martingale.

### 3 Main result

Before we state our main results in this section, the following hypotheses are imposed.

**Assumption 3.1.** (Local Lipschitz condition). For arbitrary  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $|x_1| \vee |x_2| \leq n$ , there is a positive constant  $L_n$  such that

$$|u(x_1, t, i) - u(x_2, t, i)| \vee |b(x_1, t, i) - b(x_2, t, i)| \vee |\sigma(x_1, t, i) - \sigma(x_2, t, i)| \vee \int_{|y| < c} |h(x_1, y, t, i) - h(x_2, y, t, i)| \nu(dy) \leq L_n(|x_1 - x_2|^2).$$

**Assumption 3.2.** For any  $(t, i) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}$ ,  $u(0, t, i) = b(0, t, i) = \sigma(0, t, i) = h(0, y, t, i) = 0$ .

For the stability analysis, Assumption 3.2 implies that  $x(t) = 0$  is the trivial solution.

**Assumption 3.3.** There are several nonnegative number  $C$  and  $p_u$ , real number  $K_u$  and  $\beta_u$  ( $1 \leq u \leq U$ , for positive integer  $U$ ), a Lyapunov function  $V \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}; \mathbb{R}^+)$ , such that

- (i)  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{0 \leq t < \infty} V(x(t), t, i) = \infty$ .
- (ii)  $L_1 V(x, s, i) + L_2 V(x, s, i) + \alpha(t-s)^{\alpha-1} L_3 V(x, s, i) \leq C + \sum_{u=1}^U K_u |x|^{p_u}$ .
- (iii)  $V(x(t_k^-) + I_k(x(t_k^-), t_k), t_k, r(t_k)) \leq d(x(t_k^-), t_k) + V(x(t_k^-), t_k, r(t_k))$ .
- (iv)  $\sum_{j=n}^k d(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}) \leq \sum_{u=1}^U \beta_u \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_k} |x|^{p_u} ds$ .

For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \leq s < t$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{S}$ .

According to the above assumptions, let us state the following existence and uniqueness theorem:

**Theorem 3.4.** Assume that Assumptions 3.1–3.3 hold, then for any initial data  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there is a unique global solution  $x(t; 0, x(0))$  on  $t > 0$  to system (1).

**Proof.** Applying the standing truncation technique, Assumptions 3.1 and 3.2 admit a unique maximal local solution to system (1). Let  $x(t)$  ( $t \in [0, \varrho_\infty)$ ) be the maximal local solution to system (1) and  $\varrho_\infty$  be the explosion time. And let  $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  be sufficiently large for  $|x_0| \leq a_0$ . For any integer  $a \geq a_0$ , define the stopping time

$$\tau_a = \inf\{t \in [0, \varrho_\infty); |x(t)| \geq a\},$$

where  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . Obviously, the sequence  $\tau_a$  is increasing. So we have a limit  $\tau_\infty = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \tau_a$ , whence  $\tau_\infty \leq \varrho_\infty$ . If we can show that  $\tau_\infty = \infty$  a.s., then we have  $\varrho_\infty = \infty$  a.s. Therefore, we only need to devote to prove  $\tau_\infty = \infty$  a.s., which is equivalent to proving that  $P(\tau_a \leq t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $a \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $t > 0$ . In fact, by the generalized Itô formula, for  $t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left(I_{\tau_a \leq t} V(x(\tau_a), \tau_a, r(\tau_a))\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} V(x(t \wedge \tau_a), t \wedge \tau_a, r(t \wedge \tau_a)) \\ & = \mathbb{E} V(x(t_{j-1}), t_{j-1}, r(t_{j-1})) + \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t \wedge \tau_a} L_1 V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds + \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t \wedge \tau_a} L_2 V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t \wedge \tau_a} \alpha(t-s)^{\alpha-1} L_3 V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

By condition (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(x(t_{j-1}), t_{j-1}, r(t_{j-1})) &= V(x(t_{j-1}^-) + I_{j-1}(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_k), t_{j-1}, r(t_{j-1})) \\ &\leq d(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}) + V(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}, r(t_{j-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(I_{\tau_a \leq t} V(x(\tau_a), \tau_a, r(\tau_a))) &\leq \mathbb{E}V(x(0), 0, r(0)) + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_a} L_1 V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_a} L_2 V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_a} \alpha(t-s)^{\alpha-1} L_3 V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds + \sum_{j: 0 < t_j \leq t} \mathbb{E} d(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}V(x(0), 0, r(0)) + Ct + \sum_{u=1}^U K_u \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_a} |x(s)|^{p_u} ds + \sum_{j: 0 < t_j \leq t} \mathbb{E} d(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , there is an integer  $m_0 = m_0(\omega)$ , for any  $m \geq m_0$  and  $0 \leq t \wedge \tau_a < m$ , define

$$t_{k_m} = \max\{t_k : t_k \leq t \wedge \tau_a\}.$$

Combining with condition (iv),

$$\sum_{j: 0 < t_j \leq t} d(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{t_{k_m}} d(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}) = \sum_{u=1}^U \beta_u \int_0^{t_{k_m}} |x(s)|^{p_u} ds. \quad (4)$$

Substituting (4) to (3), for  $0 < t \wedge \tau_a < m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(I_{\tau_a \leq t} V(x(\tau_a), \tau_a, r(\tau_a))) &\leq \mathbb{E}V(x(0), 0, r(0)) + Ct + \sum_{u=1}^U K_u \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_a} |x(s)|^{p_u} ds + \sum_{u=1}^U \beta_u \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t_{k_m}} |x(s)|^{p_u} ds \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}V(x(0), 0, r(0)) + Ct + \sum_{u=1}^U (K_u + \beta_u) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |x(s)|^{p_u} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{0 \leq t < \infty} V(x(t), t, r(t)) = \infty$ , let  $\mu_a = \inf_{|x| \geq a, 0 \leq t < \infty} V(x(t), t, r(t))$ , for  $a \geq a_0$ . Therefore, we have

$$P(\tau_a \leq t) \mu_a \leq \mathbb{E}(I_{\tau_a \leq t} V(x(\tau_a), \tau_a, r(\tau_a))).$$

Using the idea of Theorem 3.1 in [8], letting  $a \rightarrow \infty$ , by Fatou's lemma, we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq P(\tau_\infty \leq t) &\leq \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} P(\tau_a \leq t) \\ &= \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}V(x(0), 0, r(0)) + Ct + \sum_{u=1}^U (K_u + \beta_u) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |x(s)|^{p_u} ds}{\mu_a} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This implies that there exists a unique global solution  $x(t; 0, x(0))$  for system (1).  $\square$

Next, in order to obtain sufficient conditions of the almost sure stability with general decay rate, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.5.** [23] *Let  $\{M_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a local martingale and  $\{N_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a locally bounded predictable process, then the stochastic integral  $\int_0^t N_s dM_s$  is also a local martingale.*

**Lemma 3.6.** (Nonnegative semi-martingale convergence theorem) [10] *Assume  $\{A_t\}$  and  $\{U_t\}$  are two continuous predictable increasing processes vanishing at  $t = 0$  a.s. and  $\{M_t\}$  is a real-valued continuous local martingale with  $M_0 = 0$  a.s. Let  $X(t)$  be a nonnegative adapted process and  $\xi$  be a nonnegative  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random variable satisfying*

$$X_t \leq \xi + A_t - U_t + M_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

If  $A_\infty := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_t < \infty$ , a.s., then, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} X_t < \infty, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

With these above assumptions and lemmas, we can now state our results about the almost sure stability with general decay rate.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let Assumptions 3.1 and 3.2 hold. If there are positive numbers  $c_1, c_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, q > 2$ , such that the functions  $V(x, t, i)$  and  $L_j V(x, t, i)$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ) satisfy

- (i)  $c_1|x|^2 \leq V(x, t, i) \leq c_2|x|^2$ .
- (ii)  $L_1 V(x, s, i) + L_2 V(x, s, i) + \alpha(t-s)^{\alpha-1} L_3 V(x, s, i) \leq -\alpha_1|x|^2 - \alpha_2|x|^q$ .
- (iii)  $V(x(t_k^-) + I_k(x(t_k^-), t_k), t_k, r(t_k)) \leq d(x(t_k^-), t_k) + V(x(t_k^-), t_k, r(t_k))$ .
- (iv)  $\sum_{j=n}^k \lambda^\varepsilon(t_{j-1}) d(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}) \leq \alpha_3 \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_k} \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x|^2 ds + \alpha_4 \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_k} \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x|^q ds$ .

If there exists a small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\alpha_1 - c_2 \varepsilon \alpha - \alpha_3 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_2 - \alpha_4 > 0$ .

Therefore, for any initial data  $x_0$ , the inequality

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log|x(t, x_0)|}{\log \lambda(t)} < -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

holds, that is, the trivial solution of system (1) is almost surely stable with decay  $\lambda(t)$  of order  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

**Proof.** Note that conditions (i)–(iv) are stronger than Assumption 3.3, so there is a unique global solution for system (1). Let  $\lambda(t)$  be a  $\lambda$ -type function, and applying the generalized Itô formula to  $\lambda^\varepsilon(t)V(x(t), t, r(t))$ , for  $t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^\varepsilon(t)V(x(t), t, r(t)) &= \lambda^\varepsilon(t_{j-1})V(x(t_{j-1}), t_{j-1}, r(t_{j-1})) + \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \varepsilon \frac{\lambda'(s)}{\lambda(s)} \lambda^\varepsilon(s)V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) L_1 V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds + \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) L_2 V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) \alpha(t-s)^{\alpha-1} L_3 V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds + M_{t_{j-1}}^t, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $M_{t_{j-1}}^t = \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) dG(s)$  is a real-valued continuous local martingale with  $M_0 = 0$  by Lemma 3.5.

By condition (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^\varepsilon(t_{j-1})V(x(t_{j-1}), t_{j-1}, r(t_{j-1})) &= \lambda^\varepsilon(t_{j-1})V(x(t_{j-1}^-) + I_{j-1}(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_k), t_{j-1}^-, r(t_{j-1})) \\ &\leq \lambda^\varepsilon(t_{j-1})[d(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}) + V(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}^-, r(t_{j-1}))] \\ &= \lambda^\varepsilon(t_{j-1})V(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}^-, r(t_{j-1})) + \lambda^\varepsilon(t_{j-1})d(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Hence, for all  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^\varepsilon(t)V(x(t), t, r(t)) &= V(x(0), 0, r(0)) + \int_0^t \varepsilon \frac{\lambda'(s)}{\lambda(s)} \lambda^\varepsilon(s)V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds + \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) L_1 V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) L_2 V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds + \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) \alpha(t-s)^{\alpha-1} L_3 V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{j: 0 < t_{j-1} \leq t} \lambda^\varepsilon(t_{j-1})d(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}) + M_0^t. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

For almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , there is an integer  $m_0 = m_0(\omega)$ , for any  $m \geq m_0$  and  $0 \leq t < m$ , define

$$t_{km} = \max\{t_k : t_k \leq t\},$$

while  $0 < t < m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j: 0 < t_j \leq t} \lambda^\varepsilon(t_j) d(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}) &= \sum_{j=1}^{t_{km}} \lambda^\varepsilon(t_j) d(x(t_{j-1}^-), t_{j-1}) \\ &\leq \alpha_3 \int_0^{t_{km}} \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x|^2 ds + \alpha_4 \int_0^{t_{km}} \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x|^q ds. \\ &\leq \alpha_3 \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x|^2 ds + \alpha_4 \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x|^q ds. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

By condition (ii) and the definition of  $\lambda$ -type function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^\varepsilon(t) V(x(t), t, r(t)) &\leq V(x(0), 0, r(0)) + \varepsilon \alpha \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) V(x(s), s, r(s)) ds - \alpha_1 \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x(s)|^2 ds \\ &\quad - \alpha_2 \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x(s)|^q ds + \alpha_3 \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x(s)|^2 ds + \alpha_4 \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x(s)|^q ds + M_0^t. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^\varepsilon(t) V(x(t), t, r(t)) &\leq V(x(0), 0, r(0)) + c_2 \varepsilon \alpha \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x(s)|^2 ds - \alpha_1 \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x(s)|^2 ds \\ &\quad - \alpha_2 \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x(s)|^q ds + \alpha_3 \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x(s)|^2 ds + \alpha_4 \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x(s)|^q ds + M_0^t \\ &\leq V(x(0), 0, r(0)) - (\alpha_1 - c_2 \varepsilon \alpha - \alpha_3) \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x(s)|^2 ds - (\alpha_2 - \alpha_4) \int_0^t \lambda^\varepsilon(s) |x(s)|^q ds + M_0^t. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Consequently, by inequality  $\alpha_1 - c_2 \varepsilon \alpha - \alpha_3 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_2 - \alpha_4 > 0$  and (12) imply

$$\lambda^\varepsilon(t) V(x(t), t, r(t)) \leq V(x(0), 0, r(0)) + M_0^t.$$

Applying Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^\varepsilon(t) V(x(t), t, r(t)) < \infty, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Thus, there exists a positive constant  $H$  such that for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$c_1 \lambda^\varepsilon(t) |x(t)|^2 \leq \lambda^\varepsilon(t) V(x(t), t, r(t)) \leq H.$$

Moreover,

$$\lambda^\varepsilon(t) |x(t)|^2 \leq \frac{H}{c_1} < \infty.$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |x(t, x_0)|}{\log \lambda(t)} < -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

□



## 4 Almost surely stable with decay $\lambda(t)$

We find that conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.7 are somewhat inconvenient in applications since they are not related to the coefficient  $u, b, \sigma, h$  explicitly. In this section, we will give the visualized conditions for the coefficient  $u, b, \sigma, h$  to study the  $\lambda$ -type stability.

We first recall some definitions and preliminaries.

**Definition 4.1.** A square matrix  $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{N \times N}$  is called a  $Z$ -matrix if its off-diagonal entries are less than or equal to zero, namely  $a_{ij} \leq 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

**Definition 4.2.** (( $M$ -matrix) [24]) Let  $A$  be a  $N \times N$  real  $Z$ -matrix. And the matrix  $A$  is also a nonsingular  $M$ -matrix if it can be expressed in the form  $A = sI - B$  while all the elements of  $B = (b_{ij})$  are nonnegative and  $s \geq \rho(B)$ , where  $I$  is an identity matrix and  $\rho(B)$  the spectral radius of  $B$ .

Noting that if  $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{N \times N}$  is an  $M$ -matrix, then it has positive diagonal entries and nonpositive off-diagonal entries, that is,  $a_{ii} \geq 0$  while  $a_{ij} \leq 0, i \neq j$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** ([24]) If  $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{N \times N}$  is a  $Z$ -matrix, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $A$  is a nonsingular  $M$ -matrix.
- (2) Every real eigenvalue of  $A$  is positive.
- (3) All of the principle minors of  $A$  are positive.
- (4)  $A^{-1}$  exist and its elements are all nonnegative.

**Assumption 4.4.** For each  $i \in \mathbb{S}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $0 \leq s < t$ , there exists a positive integer  $q > 2$ , suppose the following conditions hold.

- (1) There exist constants  $\alpha_{i1}, \alpha_{i2}$  such that

$$x^T(u(x, s, i) + \int_{|y|<c} h(x, y, s, i)v(dy)) \leq -\alpha_{i1}|x|^2 + \alpha_{i2}|x|^q.$$

- (2) There exist constants  $\beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}$  such that

$$x^T(\sigma(x, s, i)a(t-s)^{\alpha-1} - \int_{|y|<c} h(x, y, s, i)v(dy)) \leq \beta_{i1}|x|^2 - \beta_{i2}|x|^q.$$

- (3) There exist constants  $\eta_{i1}, \eta_{i2}$  such that

$$|b(x, s, i)|^2 \leq \eta_{i1}|x|^2 + \eta_{i2}|x|^q.$$

- (4) There exist constants  $\rho_{i1}, \rho_{i2}$  such that

$$\int_{|y|<c} |h(x, y, s, i)|^2 v(dy) \leq \rho_{i1}|x|^2 + \rho_{i2}|x|^q.$$

**Remark 4.5.** Note that  $q > 2$  in Assumption 4.4 which means that we can allow the coefficients  $u, b, \sigma, h$  of system (1) to be high-order nonlinear.

**Assumption 4.6.** According to the definition of  $M$ -matrix, let

$$\mathcal{A} := -\text{diag}(-2\alpha_{11} + 2\beta_{11} + \eta_{11} + \rho_{11}, \dots, -2\alpha_{N1} + 2\beta_{N1} + \eta_{N1} + \rho_{N1}) - \Gamma$$

be a nonsingular  $M$ -matrix, where  $\Gamma = (\gamma_{ij})_{N \times N}$  is given in Section 2. Moreover, from the fourth equivalent condition in Lemma 4.3, we obtain

$$(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_N)^T := \mathcal{A}^{-1} \vec{1} > 0,$$

where  $\vec{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ . That is,  $\theta_i > 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{S}$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** Let Assumptions 3.1–3.2, 4.4 and 4.6 hold. Those constants satisfy the following inequalities

$$0 < \underline{a} < \bar{a},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{a} &= \min_{i \in \mathbb{S}} [\theta_i (-2\alpha_{i2} + 2\beta_{i2} - \eta_{i2} - \rho_{i2})], \\ \bar{a} &= \max_{i \in \mathbb{S}} [\theta_i (-2\alpha_{i2} + 2\beta_{i2} - \eta_{i2} - \rho_{i2})].\end{aligned}$$

Then for any given initial data  $x_0$ , there is a unique global solution  $x(t; x_0)$  and the trivial solution is almost surely stable with decay  $\lambda(t)$ .

**Proof.** Let function  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by  $V(x, s, i) = \theta_i |x|^2$ , choose two positive numbers  $c_1 = \min_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \{\theta_i\}$ ,  $c_2 = \max_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \{\theta_i\}$ , such that

$$c_1 |x|^2 \leq V(x, s, i) \leq c_2 |x|^2.$$

By the definition of operator  $L_j V$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$  in Section 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{j=1}^2 L_j V(x(s), s, i) + \alpha(t-s)^{\alpha-1} L_3 V(x, s, i) \\&= 2\theta_i x^T u(x, s, i) + \theta_i |b(x, s, i)|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} \theta_j |x|^2 + 2\theta_i x^T \sigma(x, s, i) \alpha(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \\&+ \theta_i \int_{|y|<c} [|x + h(x, y, s, i)|^2 - |x|^2] \nu(dy) - \int_{|y|<c} 2\theta_i x^T h(x, y, s, i) \nu(dy) \\&\leq 2\theta_i x^T [u(x, s, i) + \int_{|y|<c} h(x, y, s, i) \nu(dy)] + \theta_i |b(x, s, i)|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} \theta_j |x|^2 \\&+ \theta_i \int_{|y|<c} |h(x, y, s, i)|^2 \nu(dy) + 2\theta_i x^T [\sigma(x, s, i) \alpha(t-s)^{\alpha-1} - \int_{|y|<c} h(x, y, s, i) \nu(dy)].\end{aligned}$$

By Assumption 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{j=1}^2 L_j V(x(s), s, i) + \alpha(t-s)^{\alpha-1} L_3 V(x, s, i) \\&\leq 2\theta_i (-\alpha_{i1} |x|^2 + \alpha_{i2} |x|^q) + 2\theta_i (\beta_{i1} |x|^2 - \beta_{i2} |x|^q) + \theta_i (\eta_{i1} |x|^2 + \eta_{i2} |x|^q) + \theta_i (\rho_{i1} |x|^2 + \rho_{i2} |x|^q) + \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} \theta_j |x|^2 \\&= (-2\theta_i \alpha_{i1} + 2\theta_i \beta_{i1} + \theta_i \eta_{i1} + \theta_i \rho_{i1}) |x|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} \theta_j |x|^2 - (-2\theta_i \alpha_{i2} + 2\theta_i \beta_{i2} - \theta_i \eta_{i2} - \theta_i \rho_{i2}) |x|^q \\&= [\theta_i (-2\alpha_{i1} + 2\beta_{i1} + \eta_{i1} + \rho_{i1}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} \theta_j] |x|^2 - \theta_i (-2\alpha_{i2} + 2\beta_{i2} - \eta_{i2} - \rho_{i2}) |x|^q.\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

By Assumption 4.6, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 L_j V(x(s), s, i) + \alpha(t-s)^{\alpha-1} L_3 V(x, s, i) \leq -|x|^2 - \theta_i (-2\alpha_{i2} + 2\beta_{i2} - \eta_{i2} - \rho_{i2}) |x|^q \leq -|x|^2 - \underline{a} |x|^q.\tag{14}$$

By Assumptions 3.1–3.2, conditions (iii)–(iv) of Assumption 3.3, inequality (14) and Theorem 3.4, there is a unique global solution for any initial data. By inequality (14) and Theorem 3.7, the trivial solution is almost surely  $\lambda$ -type stable.  $\square$

## 5 Example

We consider two scalar stochastic fractional hybrid differential equations driven by Lévy noise with impulsive effects, and 2-state Markovian switching such that our results have been illustrated simply.

**Example 5.1.**

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = u(x(t-), t, r(t))dt + b(x(t-), t, r(t))dB(t) + \sigma(x(t-), t, r(t))(dt)^\alpha \\ \quad + \int_{|y|<c} h(x(t-), y, t, r(t))\tilde{N}(dt, dy), \quad t \neq t_k, t \geq 0, \\ \Delta x(t_k) = I_k(x(t_k^-), t_k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where  $\alpha = 0.5$ ,  $c = 1$ , and Lévy measure  $\nu$  satisfies  $\nu(dy) = |y|dy$ ,  $r(t)$  is a Markov chain in the state space  $\mathbb{S} = 1, 2$  with the generator  $\Gamma$

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t, 1) &= -6x - 3x^2, & u(x, t, 2) &= -5x - 1.5x^2, \\ b(x, t, 1) &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x^3, & b(x, t, 2) &= 0.5x + 0.5x^3, \\ \sigma(x, t, 1) &= -2x, & \sigma(x, t, 2) &= -2x^3, \\ h(x, y, t, 1) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}x^3y - 2x, & h(x, y, t, 2) &= -x. \end{aligned}$$

By computing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{11} &= 8, \quad \alpha_{12} = -3, & \alpha_{21} &= 6, & \alpha_{22} &= -1.5, \\ \beta_{11} &= 2, \quad \beta_{12} = 0, & \beta_{21} &= 1, & \beta_{22} &= 0, \\ \eta_{11} &= 1, \quad \eta_{12} = 1, & \eta_{21} &= 0.5, & \eta_{22} &= 0.5, \\ \rho_{11} &= 4, \quad \rho_{12} = 0.375, & \rho_{21} &= 1, & \rho_{22} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \begin{pmatrix} 8 & -1 \\ -2 & 10.5 \end{pmatrix}, & \mathcal{A}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{82} \begin{pmatrix} 10.5 & 1 \\ 2 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \\ \theta_1 &= 0.1402, & \theta_2 &= 0.1220. \end{aligned}$$

Then we take Lyapunov functions

$$V(x, t, 1) = 0.1402|x(t)|^2, \quad V(x, t, 2) = 0.1220|x(t)|^2,$$

by Theorem 4.7, we derive that

$$\underline{a} = 0.305, \quad \bar{a} = 0.6484.$$

Let

$$I_k(x(t_k^-), t_k) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - 1 \right)x + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x(t_k - t_{k-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \lambda^\varepsilon(t) = e^{0.01t}.$$

By a simple calculation, we have

$$d(x(t_{k-1}^-), t_{k-1}) = 0.7x^2(t_k - t_{k-1}), \quad \sum_{j=n}^k e^{0.01t_{j-1}} 0.7x^2(t_j - t_{j-1}) \leq 0.7 \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_k} e^{0.01s} |x|^2 ds,$$

which verifies the condition of Theorem 3.7 with

$$\alpha_1 = 1, \quad \alpha_2 = 0.305, \quad c_2 = 0.1402, \quad \varepsilon = 0.01, \quad \alpha = 1, \quad \alpha_3 = 0.7, \quad \alpha_4 = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\alpha_1 - c_2\varepsilon\alpha - \alpha_3 = 0.2986 > 0, \quad \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 = 0.305 > 0.$$

Therefore, system (5.1) is almost surely stable with decay  $\lambda(t)$  of order  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

**Acknowledgements:** The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

**Funding information:** The research was supported by the Domestic study visit and training program for outstanding young college teachers of Anhui Province (No. gxgnfx2021173) and the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (No. KJ2020A1192).

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] D. Applebaum, D. Bollobas, and W. Fulton, *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [2] I. I. Gikhman and A. V. Skorokhod, *Stochastic Differential Equations*, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [3] X. Mao, *Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications*, second ed., Horwood Publishing Limited, Chichester, UK, 2008.
- [4] D. Applebaum and M. Siakalli, *Asymptotic stability of stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy noise*, J. Appl. Probab. **46** (2009), no. 4, 1116–1129.
- [5] J. Bao and C. Yuan, *Stochastic population dynamics driven by Lévy noise*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **391** (2012), 363–375.
- [6] K. Do and H. Nguyen, *Almost sure exponential stability of dynamical systems driven by Lévy processes and its application to control design for magnetic bearings*, Internat. J. Control **93** (2018), no. 6, 1–19.
- [7] X. Zong, F. Wu, G. Yin, and Z. Jin, *Almost sure and pth-moment stability and stabilization of regime-switching jump diffusion systems*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **52** (2014), 2595–2622.
- [8] X. Zong, F. Wu, and G. Yin, *Stochastic regularization and stabilization of hybrid functional differential equations*, in: Proceedings of Decision and Control Conference (Japan, Osaka), 2015, Dec 15–18, pp. 1211–1216.
- [9] W. Zhou, J. Yang, X. Yang, A. Dai, H. Liu, and J. Fang, *pth moment exponential stability of stochastic delayed hybrid systems with Levy noise*, Appl. Math. Model. **39** (2015), no. 18, 5650–5658.
- [10] M. Li and F. Deng, *Almost sure stability with general decay rate of neutral stochastic delayed hybrid systems with Lévy noise*, Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst. **24** (2017), 171–185.
- [11] G. Shen, W. Xu, and D. Zhu, *The stability with general decay rate of neutral stochastic functional hybrid differential equations with Lévy noise*, Systems Control Lett. **143** (2020), 104742.
- [12] M. Abouagwa, J. Liu, and J. Li, *Carathéodory approximations and stability of solutions to non-Lipschitz stochastic fractional differential equations of Itô-Doob type*, Appl. Math. Comput. **329** (2018), 143–153.
- [13] G. J. Shen, R. D. Xiao, and X. W. Yin, *Averaging principle and stability of hybrid stochastic fractional differential equations driven by Lévy noise*, Internat. J. Systems Sci. **51** (2020), no. 12, 2115–2133.
- [14] J. C. Pedjeu and G. S. Ladde, *Stochastic fractional differential equations: Modeling, method and analysis*, Chaos Solitons Fractals **45** (2012), no. 3, 279–293.
- [15] J. Liu, X. Liu, and W. C. Xie, *Impulsive stabilization of stochastic functional differential equations*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), no. 3, 264–269.
- [16] P. Cheng and F. Deng, *Global exponential stability of impulsive stochastic functional differential systems*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **79** (2010), no. 23–24, 1854–1862.
- [17] P. Cheng, F. Deng, and F. Yao, *Almost sure exponential stability and stochastic stabilization of stochastic differential systems with impulsive effects*, Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst. **30** (2018), 106–117.
- [18] Q. Zhu, *pth moment exponential stability of impulsive stochastic functional differential equations with Markovian switching*, J. Franklin Inst. **351** (2014), 3965–3986.
- [19] Y. Kao, Q. Zhu, and W. Qi, *Exponential stability and instability of impulsive stochastic functional differential equations with Markovian switching*, Appl. Math. Comput. **271** (2015), 795–804.

- [20] X. Tan, B. Hu, Z. H. Guan, R. Q. Liao, J. W. Xiao, and Y. Huang, *Stability of hybrid impulsive and switching stochastic systems with time-delay*, Int. J. Control. Autom. Syst. **16** (2018), no. 4, 1532–1540.
- [21] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, Switzerland, 1993.
- [22] G. Jumarie, *On the representation of fractional Brownian motion as an integral with respect to dta*, Appl. Math. Lett. **18** (2005), no. 7, 739–748.
- [23] Y. Hu, F. Wu, and C. Huang, *Stochastic stability of a class of unbounded delay neutral stochastic differential equations with general decay rate*, Internat. J. Sys. Sci. **43** (2012), no. 2, 308–318.
- [24] L. Hu, X. Mao, and L. Zhang, *Robust stability and boundedness of nonlinear hybrid stochastic differential delay equations*, IEEE Trans. Automat. Control. **58** (2013), 2319–2332.