

Research Article

Bing He, Yong Hong*, and Qiang Chen

The equivalent parameter conditions for constructing multiple integral half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with a class of nonhomogeneous kernels and their applications

<https://doi.org/10.1515/math-2021-0023>

received September 2, 2020; accepted February 4, 2021

Abstract: In this paper, we establish equivalent parameter conditions for the validity of multiple integral half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with the nonhomogeneous kernel $G(n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2})$ ($\lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0$) and obtain best constant factors of the inequalities in specific cases. In addition, we also discuss their applications in operator theory.

Keywords: multiple integral half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality, nonhomogeneous kernel, equivalent parameter condition, best constant factor, operator norm, bounded operator

MSC 2020: 26D15, 47A07

1 Introduction and preliminary knowledge

Suppose that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ($p > 1$), $\tilde{a} = \{a_m\} \in l_p$, $\tilde{b} = \{b_n\} \in L_q$, the classical Hilbert series inequality was obtained in 1925 [1]:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \|\tilde{a}\|_p \|\tilde{b}\|_q, \quad (1)$$

where the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ is the best.

If $f(x) \in L_p(0, +\infty)$, $g(y) \in L_q(0, +\infty)$, the corresponding Hilbert integral inequality was obtained in 1934 [2]:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q, \quad (2)$$

where the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ is still the best.

Since (1) is of great significance for the study of boundedness and norm of series operator in l_p and (2) is of great significance for the study of boundedness and norm of integral operator in $L_p(0, +\infty)$, Hilbert in-

* **Corresponding author: Yong Hong**, Department of Applied Mathematics, Guangzhou Huashang College, Guangzhou, China, e-mail: yonghonglbz@163.com

Bing He: Department of Mathematics, Guangdong University of Education, Guangzhou, China, e-mail: hzs314@163.com

Qiang Chen: Department of Computer Science, Guangdong University of Education, Guangzhou, China, e-mail: cq_c@gdei.edu.cn

equality has been widely concerned. To study the operator boundedness and operator norm from sequence space l to function space L or from function space L to sequence space l , the half-discrete Hilbert inequality has been paid more attention. In 2011, the following results were obtained [3]: If $\tilde{a} = \{a_n\} \in l_p$, $f(x) \in L_q(0, +\infty)$, then

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n f(x)}{n+x} dx \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \|\tilde{a}\|_p \|f\|_q, \quad (3)$$

the constant factor is also the best. Later on the equivalent conditions for validity of multiple integral half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with generalized homogeneous kernel were discussed [4]. In [5], the parameter conditions for the optimal constant factor of half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with homogeneous kernel in one dimension were established. Good results were obtained.

To further discuss the multiple integral half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality, we need to introduce the following notations: Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$, $\mathbb{R}_+^m = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) : x_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$. For $\rho > 0$, the norm of x is defined by

$$\|x\|_{m,\rho} = (x_1^\rho + x_2^\rho + \dots + x_m^\rho)^{1/\rho}.$$

Spaces l and L are defined by, respectively,

$$l_p^\alpha = \left\{ \tilde{a} = \{a_n\} : \|\tilde{a}\|_{p,\alpha} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\alpha |a_n|^p \right)^{1/p} < +\infty \right\},$$

$$L_q^\beta(\mathbb{R}_+^m) = \left\{ f(x) : \|f\|_{q,\beta} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^\beta |f(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} < +\infty \right\}.$$

If $K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) = G(n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2}) \geq 0$, then $K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho})$ is a nonhomogeneous nonnegative function. In this paper, we will discuss the equivalent parameter conditions under which the multiple integral half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} G(n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2}) a_n f(x) dx \leq M \|\tilde{a}\|_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_{q,\beta} \quad (4)$$

can be established when $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0$. That is, what conditions do the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, p, q$ meet if there is a constant $M > 0$ such that (4) holds? On the contrary, if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that (4) holds, then what conditions do the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, p, q$ satisfy? Such problems are undoubtedly very important theoretical problems, which have not been well solved at present. At the same time, we also discuss the best constant factor of (4) and its application in operator theory. More related literature can be found in [6–21].

2 Some lemmas

By using the Hölder's inequality of integral and series, we can easily get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Assume that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ($p > 1$), $a_n(x) \geq 0$, $b_n(x) \geq 0$, Ω is measurable. Then, the mixed Hölder's inequality can be obtained

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(x) b_n(x) dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} a_n(x) b_n(x) dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q(x) dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

Lemma 2.2. [22] If $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $\rho > 0$, $r > 0$, $\psi(u)$ is measurable, then

$$\int_{\|x\|_{m,\rho} \leq r} \psi(\|x\|_{m,\rho}) dx = \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \int_0^r \psi(u) u^{m-1} du,$$

$$\int_{\|x\|_{m,\rho} \geq r} \psi(\|x\|_{m,\rho}) dx = \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \int_r^{+\infty} \psi(u) u^{m-1} du,$$

where $\Gamma(t)$ is the Gamma function, and $\|x\|_{m,\rho} \leq r$ represents the region $\Omega_r = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) : x_i \geq 0, \|x\|_{m,\rho} \leq r\}$.

According to Lemma 2.2, one gets

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \psi(\|x\|_{m,\rho}) dx = \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \int_0^{+\infty} \psi(u) u^{m-1} du.$$

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $\rho > 0$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ($p > 1$), $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0$, $K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) = G(n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2})$ is nonnegative measurable, $\frac{1}{\lambda_2} \left(\frac{m\lambda_1 + \alpha\lambda_2}{p} - \frac{\lambda_2 + \beta\lambda_1}{q} \right) = c$, and $K(t, 1)t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}+c}$ is monotonically decreasing in $(0, +\infty)$. Denote that

$$W_1 = \int_0^{+\infty} K(1, t) t^{-\frac{\beta+m}{q}+m-1} dt, \quad W_2 = \int_0^{+\infty} K(t, 1) t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}+c} dt.$$

Then,

$$\lambda_1 W_2 = \lambda_2 W_1$$

and

$$\omega_1(n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{-\frac{\beta+m}{q}} dx = n^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \left(\frac{\beta+m}{q} - m \right)} \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} W_1,$$

$$\omega_2(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) n^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}+c} \leq \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} - 1 - c \right)} W_2.$$

Proof. It follows from $\frac{m\lambda_1 + \alpha\lambda_2}{p} - \frac{\lambda_2 + \beta\lambda_1}{q} = \lambda_2 c$ that $\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left(-\frac{\alpha\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_2}{q} + \lambda_2 c \right) - 1 = -\frac{\beta+m}{q} + m - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} W_2 &= \int_0^{+\infty} K\left(1, t^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}}\right) t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}+c} dt \\ &= \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \int_0^{+\infty} K(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \left(-\frac{\alpha+1}{p} + c \right) + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} - 1} du \\ &= \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \int_0^{+\infty} K(1, u) u^{\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left(-\frac{\alpha\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_2}{q} + \lambda_2 c \right) - 1} du \\ &= \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \int_0^{+\infty} K(1, u) u^{-\frac{\beta+m}{q}+m-1} du = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} W_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lambda_1 W_2 = \lambda_2 W_1$.

By Lemma 2.2, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1(n) &= \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \int_0^{+\infty} K(n, t) t^{-\frac{\beta+m}{q}+m-1} dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \int_0^{+\infty} K\left(1, t \cdot n^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}}\right) t^{-\frac{\beta+m}{q}+m-1} dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} n^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\left(-\frac{\beta+m}{q}+m-1\right)-\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}} \int_0^{+\infty} K(1, u) u^{-\frac{\beta+m}{q}+m-1} du \\ &= n^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\left(\frac{\beta+m}{q}-m\right)} \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} W_1.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $K(t, 1)t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}+c}$ is monotonically decreasing in $(0, +\infty)$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_2(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K(n \cdot \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}, 1) n^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}+c} \\ &= \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p}-c\right)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K(n \cdot \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}, 1) (n \cdot \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2/\lambda_1})^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}+c} \\ &\leq \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p}-c\right)} \int_0^{+\infty} K(t \cdot \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}, 1) (t \cdot \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2/\lambda_1})^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}+c} dt \\ &= \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p}-1-c\right)} \int_0^{+\infty} K(u, 1) u^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}+c} du \\ &= \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p}-1-c\right)} W_2.\end{aligned}$$

□

3 Main results

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $\rho > 0$, $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ($p > 1$), $K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) = G(n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2})$ is non-negative measurable, $\frac{1}{\lambda_2} \left(\frac{m\lambda_1 + \alpha\lambda_2}{p} - \frac{\lambda_2 + \beta\lambda_1}{q} \right) = c$, $K(t, 1)t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}}$ and $K(t, 1)t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}+c}$ are monotonically decreasing in $(0, +\infty)$, and

$$W_0 = |\lambda_1| \int_0^{+\infty} K(t, 1) t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}} dt < +\infty.$$

Then,

(i) The necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of inequality

$$\sum_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) a_n f(x) dx \leq M \|\tilde{a}\|_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_{q,\beta} \quad (5)$$

with some constant $M > 0$ is $c \geq 0$, where $\tilde{a} = \{a_n\} \in l_p^\alpha$, $f(x) \in L_q^\beta(\mathbb{R}_+^m)$.

(ii) For $c = 0$, the best constant factor of (5) is

$$\inf M = \frac{W_0}{|\lambda_1|^{1/q} |\lambda_2|^{1/p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. (i) Suppose that (5) holds. If $c < 0$, for $\varepsilon = \frac{-c}{2|\lambda_1|} > 0$, taking

$$a_n = n^{(-\alpha-1-|\lambda_1|\varepsilon)/p}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{(-\beta-m+|\lambda_2|\varepsilon)/q}, & 0 < \|x\|_{m,\rho} \leq 1, \\ 0, & \|x\|_{m,\rho} > 1. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} M\|\tilde{a}\|_{p,\alpha}\|f\|_{q,\beta} &= M\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1-|\lambda_1|\varepsilon}\right)^{1/p}\left(\int_{0<\|x\|_{m,\rho}\leq 1}\|x\|_{m,\rho}^{-m+|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}dx\right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq M\left(1+\int_1^{+\infty} t^{-1-|\lambda_1|\varepsilon}dt\right)^{1/p}\left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)}\int_0^1 t^{-1+|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}dt\right)^{1/q} \\ &= \frac{M}{\varepsilon|\lambda_1|^{1/p}|\lambda_1|^{1/q}}(1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon)^{1/p}\left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)}\right)^{1/p} \\ &= \frac{2M}{-c}\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{1/q}\left(1-\frac{c}{2}\right)^{1/p}\left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)}\right)^{1/p} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $K(t, 1)t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}}$ is monotonically decreasing in $(0, +\infty)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) a_n f(x) dx &= \int_{0<\|x\|_{m,\rho}\leq 1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{(-\beta-m+|\lambda_2|\varepsilon)/q} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) n^{-(\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon)/p}\right) dx \\ &= \int_{0<\|x\|_{m,\rho}\leq 1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{-\frac{\beta-m+|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}{q}-\frac{\lambda_2-\alpha-1-|\lambda_1|\varepsilon}{\lambda_1}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K\left(n\cdot\|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}}, 1\right)\left(n\cdot\|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon}{p}}\right] dx \\ &\geq \int_{0<\|x\|_{m,\rho}\leq 1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{-\frac{\beta-m+|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}{q}+\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\frac{\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon}{p}} \left[\int_1^{\infty} K\left(u\cdot\|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}}, 1\right)\left(u\cdot\|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon}{p}} du\right] dx \\ &= \int_{0<\|x\|_{m,\rho}\leq 1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{-\frac{\beta-m+|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}{q}+\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\frac{\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon}{p}-\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}} \left[\int_{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\|x\|_{m,\rho}}^{\infty} K(t, 1)t^{-\frac{\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon}{p}} dt\right] dx \\ &\geq \int_{0<\|x\|_{m,\rho}\leq 1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{-m+\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}c+|\lambda_2|\varepsilon} \left[\int_1^{+\infty} K(t, 1)t^{-\frac{\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon}{p}} dt\right] dx \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \int_0^1 t^{-1+\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}c+|\lambda_2|\varepsilon} dt \int_1^{+\infty} K(t, 1)t^{-\frac{\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon}{p}} dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \int_0^1 t^{-1+\frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_1}c} dt \int_1^{+\infty} K(t, 1)t^{-\frac{\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon}{p}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\int_0^1 t^{-1+\frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_1}c} dt \int_1^{+\infty} K(t, 1)t^{-\frac{\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon}{p}} dt \leq \frac{2M}{-c}\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^{1/q}\left(1-\frac{c}{2}\right)^{1/p}\left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)}\right)^{-1/q} < +\infty. \quad (6)$$

Note that $\frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_1}c < 0$, hence $\int_0^1 t^{-1+\frac{\lambda_2}{2\lambda_1}c} dt = +\infty$, which contradicts (6). Therefore, $c \geq 0$.

On the contrary, suppose that $c \geq 0$. It follows from the mixed Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) a_n f(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n^{(\alpha+1-pc)/(pq)}}{\|x\|_{m,\rho}^{(\beta+m)/(pq)}} \cdot a_n \right) \left(\frac{\|x\|_{m,\rho}^{(\beta+m)/(pq)}}{n^{(\alpha+1-pc)/(pq)}} \cdot f(x) \right) K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) dx \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{(\alpha+1-pc)/q}}{\|x\|_{m,\rho}^{(\beta+m)/q}} \cdot |a_n|^p \cdot K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) dx \right)^{1/p} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|x\|_{m,\rho}^{(\beta+m)/p}}{n^{(\alpha+1-pc)/p}} \cdot |f(x)|^q \cdot K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) dx \right)^{1/q} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(\alpha+1-pc)/q} |a_n|^p \omega_1(n) \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{(\beta+m)/p} |f(x)|^q \omega_2(x) dx \right)^{1/q} \\
 &\leq W_1^{1/p} W_2^{1/q} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{\alpha+1-pc}{q} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \left(\frac{\beta+m}{q} - m \right)} |a_n|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\frac{\beta+m}{p} + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} - 1 - c \right)} |f(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\
 &= \frac{W_0}{|\lambda_1|^{1/q} |\lambda_2|^{1/p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha-pc} |a_n|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\beta} |f(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\
 &\leq \frac{W_0}{|\lambda_1|^{1/q} |\lambda_2|^{1/p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha} |a_n|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\beta} |f(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\
 &= \frac{W_0}{|\lambda_1|^{1/q} |\lambda_2|^{1/p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/p} \|\tilde{a}\|_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_{q,\beta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $M \geq \frac{W_0}{|\lambda_1|^{1/q} |\lambda_2|^{1/p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/p}$ arbitrarily, one can get (5).

(ii) For $c = 0$, assuming the best constant factor of (5) is M_0 , then we can see from the previous proof that

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_0 &\leq \frac{W_0}{|\lambda_1|^{1/q} |\lambda_2|^{1/p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/p}, \\
 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) a_n f(x) dx &\leq M_0 \|\tilde{a}\|_{p,\alpha} \|f\|_{q,\beta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, sufficiently small and N sufficiently large, taking

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_n &= n^{(-\alpha-1-|\lambda_1|\varepsilon)/p}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \\
 f(x) &= \begin{cases} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{(-\beta-m+|\lambda_2|\varepsilon)/q}, & 0 < \|x\|_{m,\rho} \leq N, \\ 0, & \|x\|_{m,\rho} > N. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_0 \| \tilde{a} \|_{p,\alpha} \| f \|_{q,\beta} &= M_0 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n^{-1-|\lambda_1|\varepsilon} \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{0 < \|x\|_{m,\rho} \leq N} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{-m+|\lambda_2|\varepsilon} dx \right)^{1/q} \\
 &\leq M_0 \left(1 + \int_1^{+\infty} t^{-1-|\lambda_1|\varepsilon} dt \right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \int_0^N t^{-1+|\lambda_2|\varepsilon} dt \right)^{1/q} \\
 &= \frac{M_0}{\varepsilon |\lambda_1|^{1/p} |\lambda_2|^{1/q}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/q} N^{|\lambda_2|\varepsilon/q} (1 + |\lambda_1|\varepsilon)^{1/p}, \\
 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) a_n f(x) dx &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon)/p} \left(\int_{0 < \|x\|_{m,\rho} \leq N} K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{(-\beta-m+|\lambda_2|\varepsilon)/q} dx \right) \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon)/p} \left(\int_0^N K(n, t) t^{-\frac{\beta+m-|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}{q}+m-1} dt \right) \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon)/p} \left(\int_0^N K(1, t \cdot n^{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}) t^{-\frac{\beta+m-|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}{q}+m-1} dt \right) \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{\alpha+1+|\lambda_1|\varepsilon}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \left(\frac{\beta+m-|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}{q} - m + 1 \right) - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}} \int_0^{N \cdot n^{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}} K(1, u) u^{-\frac{\beta+m-|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}{q}+m-1} du \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1-|\lambda_1|\varepsilon} \int_0^{N \cdot n^{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}} K(1, u) u^{-\frac{\beta+m-|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}{q}+m-1} du \\
 &\geq \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1-|\lambda_1|\varepsilon} \int_0^N K(1, u) u^{-\frac{\beta+m-|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}{q}+m-1} du \\
 &\geq \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \int_1^{+\infty} t^{-1-|\lambda_1|\varepsilon} dt \int_0^N K(1, u) u^{-\frac{\beta+m-|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}{q}+m-1} du \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{|\lambda_1|\varepsilon \rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \int_N^{+\infty} K(1, u) u^{-\frac{\beta+m-|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}{q}+m-1} du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{|\lambda_1|\varepsilon \rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \int_0^N K(1, u) u^{-\frac{\beta+m-|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}{q}+m-1} du &\leq \frac{M_0}{\varepsilon |\lambda_1|^{1/p} |\lambda_2|^{1/q}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/q} N^{|\lambda_2|\varepsilon/q} (1 + |\lambda_1|\varepsilon)^{1/p}, \\
 \frac{|\lambda_1|^{1/p} |\lambda_2|^{1/q}}{|\lambda_1|} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/p} \int_0^N K(1, u) u^{-\frac{\beta+m-|\lambda_2|\varepsilon}{q}+m-1} du &\leq M_0 \cdot N^{|\lambda_2|\varepsilon/q} (1 + |\lambda_1|\varepsilon)^{1/p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, then

$$\frac{|\lambda_1|^{1/p} |\lambda_2|^{1/q}}{|\lambda_1|} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/p} \int_0^N K(1, u) u^{-\frac{\beta+m}{q}+m-1} du \leq M_0.$$

In addition, let $N \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda_1|^{1/q} |\lambda_2|^{1/p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1} \Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/p} |\lambda_2| \int_0^{+\infty} K(1, u) u^{-\frac{\beta+m}{q} + m-1} du \leq M_0.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\frac{W_0}{|\lambda_1|^{1/q} |\lambda_2|^{1/p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1} \Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/p} \leq M_0.$$

Hence, the best constant factor of (5) is

$$M_0 = \frac{W_0}{|\lambda_1|^{1/q} |\lambda_2|^{1/p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1} \Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/p}. \quad \square$$

4 Applications in operator theory

The series operator T_1 and singular integral operator T_2 are defined by, respectively,

$$T_1(\tilde{a})(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) a_n, \quad T_2(f)_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) f(x) dx. \quad (7)$$

According to the basic theory of Hilbert-type inequality, (5) can be equivalently written as the following two expressions:

$$\|T_1(\tilde{a})\|_{p,\beta(1-p)} \leq M \|\tilde{a}\|_{p,\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_2(f)\|_{q,\alpha(1-q)} \leq M \|f\|_{q,\beta}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.1, one has

Theorem 4.1. Assume that $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $\rho > 0$, $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ($p > 1$), $K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) = G(n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2})$ is non-negative measurable, $\frac{1}{\lambda_2} \left(\frac{m\lambda_1 + \alpha\lambda_2}{p} - \frac{\lambda_2 + \beta\lambda_1}{q} \right) = c$, $K(t, 1)t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}}$ and $K(t, 1)t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}+c}$ are monotonically decreasing in $(0, +\infty)$, and

$$W_0 = |\lambda_1| \int_0^{+\infty} K(t, 1) t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}} dt$$

is convergent. Then,

- (i) $T_1 : l_p^\beta \rightarrow L_p^{\beta(1-p)}(\mathbb{R}_+^m)$ and $T_2 : L_q^\beta(\mathbb{R}_+^m) \rightarrow l_q^{\alpha(1-q)}$ are bounded operators if and only if $c \geq 0$;
- (ii) For $c = 0$, i.e., $\frac{m\lambda_1 + \alpha\lambda_2}{p} = \frac{\lambda_2 + \beta\lambda_1}{q}$, the operator norms of T_1 and T_2 are as follows:

$$\|T_1\| = \|T_2\| = \frac{W_0}{|\lambda_1|^{1/q} |\lambda_2|^{1/p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1} \Gamma(m/\rho)} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Corollary 4.1. Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $\rho > 0$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ($p > 1$), $a > 0$, $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, $\frac{1}{\lambda_2} \left(\frac{m\lambda_1 + \alpha\lambda_2}{p} - \frac{\lambda_2 + \beta\lambda_1}{q} \right) = l$, $\frac{p}{q} + \max\{p\lambda_1(b-a), p(\lambda_1 b - 1), p(\lambda_1 c - 1), p(\lambda_1 b + l - 1), p(\lambda_1 c + l - 1)\} < \alpha < \frac{p}{q} + p\lambda_1 c$, and

$$W_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1+t)^a} \left[t^{c+\frac{1}{\lambda_1}(1-\frac{\alpha+1}{p})-1} + t^{a-b-\frac{1}{\lambda_1}(1-\frac{\alpha+1}{p})-1} \right] dt.$$

The operators T_1 and T_2 are defined by, respectively,

$$T_1(\tilde{a})(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\max\{1, n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2}\})^b (\min\{1, n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2}\})^c}{(1 + n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2})^a} \cdot a_n,$$

$$T_2(f)_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \frac{(\max\{1, n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2}\})^b (\min\{1, n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2}\})^c}{(1 + n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2})^a} \cdot f(x) dx.$$

Then,

- (i) T_1 is a bounded operator from l_p^α to $L_p^{\beta(1-p)}(\mathbb{R}_+^m)$ and T_2 is a bounded operator from $L_q^\beta(\mathbb{R}_+^m)$ to $l_q^{\alpha(1-q)}$ if and only if $l \geq 0$;
- (ii) For $l = 0$, i.e., $\frac{m\lambda_1 + \alpha\lambda_2}{p} = \frac{\lambda_2 + \beta\lambda_1}{q}$, the operator norms of T_1 and T_2 are as follows:

$$\|T_1\| = \|T_2\| = \frac{W_0}{\lambda_1^{1/q} \lambda_2^{1/p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/p)}{\rho^{m-1} \Gamma(m/p)} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. It follows from $\frac{p}{q} + p\lambda_1(b-a) < \alpha < \frac{p}{q} + p\lambda_1 c$ that $c + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}\right) > 0$, $a - b - \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}\right) > 0$, and the integral in W_0 is convergent. Denote that

$$K(n, \|x\|_{m,\rho}) = \frac{(\max\{1, n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2}\})^b (\min\{1, n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2}\})^c}{(1 + n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2})^a}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} W_0 &= \lambda_1 \int_0^{+\infty} K(t, 1) t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}} dt \\ &= \lambda_1 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(\max\{1, t^{\lambda_1}\})^b (\min\{1, t^{\lambda_1}\})^c}{(1 + t^{\lambda_1})^a} \cdot t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}} dt \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(\max\{1, u\})^b (\min\{1, u\})^c}{(1 + u)^a} \cdot u^{\frac{1}{\lambda_1}(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}) - 1} du \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{u^{c + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}) - 1}}{(1 + u)^a} du + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{u^{b + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}) - 1}}{(1 + u)^a} du \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1 + t)^a} \left[t^{c + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}) - 1} + t^{a - b - \frac{1}{\lambda_1}(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}) - 1} \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

According to $\alpha > \frac{p}{q} + p(\lambda_1 b - 1)$ and $\alpha > \frac{p}{q} + p(\lambda_1 c - 1)$, one has $\lambda_1 b - \frac{\alpha+1}{p} < 0$, $\lambda_1 c - \frac{\alpha+1}{p} < 0$, and

$$K(t, 1) t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}} = \frac{(\max\{1, t^{\lambda_1}\})^b (\min\{1, t^{\lambda_1}\})^c}{(1 + t^{\lambda_1})^a} \cdot t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1 + t^{\lambda_1})^a} \cdot t^{\lambda_1 c - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}}, & 0 < t \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{(1 + t^{\lambda_1})^a} \cdot t^{\lambda_1 b - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}}, & t > 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $K(t, 1) t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}}$ is monotonically decreasing in $(0, +\infty)$.

Note that $\alpha > \frac{p}{q} + p(\lambda_1 b + l - 1)$ and $\alpha > \frac{p}{q} + p(\lambda_1 c + l - 1)$, we get $\lambda_1 b - \frac{\alpha+1}{p} + l < 0$, $\lambda_1 c - \frac{\alpha+1}{p} + l < 0$, and

$$K(t, 1) t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p} + l} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1 + t^{\lambda_1})^a} \cdot t^{\lambda_1 c - \frac{\alpha+1}{p} + l}, & 0 < t \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{(1 + t^{\lambda_1})^a} \cdot t^{\lambda_1 b - \frac{\alpha+1}{p} + l}, & t > 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $K(t, 1)t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}+l}$ is monotonically decreasing in $(0, +\infty)$.

Finally, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that Corollary 4.1 holds. $\square$

Taking $c = b$ in Corollary 4.1, according to the properties of Beta function, one gets

$$W_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1+t)^a} \left[t^{b+\frac{1}{\lambda_1}(1-\frac{\alpha+1}{p})-1} + t^{a-(b+\frac{1}{\lambda_1}(1-\frac{\alpha+1}{p}))} \right] dt = B\left(b + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\left(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}\right), a - \left[b + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\left(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}\right)\right]\right).$$

Hence, we have

Corollary 4.2. Assume that $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $\rho > 0$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ($p > 1$), $a > 0$, $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, $\frac{1}{\lambda_2}\left(\frac{m\lambda_1 + \alpha\lambda_2}{p} - \frac{\lambda_2 + \beta\lambda_1}{q}\right) = l$, $\frac{p}{q} + \max\{p\lambda_1(b-a), p(\lambda_1 b - 1), p(\lambda_1 b + l - 1)\} < \alpha < \frac{p}{q} + p\lambda_1 b$, operators T_1 and T_2 are defined by, respectively,

$$T_1(\tilde{a})(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2})^b}{(1 + n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2})^a} \cdot a_n,$$

$$T_2(f)_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \frac{(n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2})^b}{(1 + n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2})^a} \cdot f(x) dx.$$

Then,

(i) $T_1 : l_p^\alpha \rightarrow L_p^{\beta(1-p)}(\mathbb{R}_+^m)$ and $T_2 : L_q^\beta(\mathbb{R}_+^m) \rightarrow l_q^{\alpha(1-q)}$ are bounded operators if and only if $l \geq 0$.

(ii) For $l = 0$, i.e., $\frac{m\lambda_1 + \alpha\lambda_2}{p} = \frac{\lambda_2 + \beta\lambda_1}{q}$, the operator norms of T_1 and T_2 are

$$\|T_1\| = \|T_2\| = \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{1/q} \lambda_2^{1/p}} B\left(b + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\left(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}\right), a - \left[b + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\left(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}\right)\right]\right) \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)}\right)^{1/p}.$$

We can get the following results by taking $b = 0$ in Corollary 4.2.

Corollary 4.3. Assume that $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $\rho > 0$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ($p > 1$), $a > 0$, $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, $\frac{1}{\lambda_2}\left(\frac{m\lambda_1 + \alpha\lambda_2}{p} - \frac{\lambda_2 + \beta\lambda_1}{q}\right) = l$, $\max\{p(1 - \lambda_1 a) - 1, -1, pl - 1\} < \alpha < p - 1$, operators T_1 and T_2 are defined by, respectively,

$$T_1(\tilde{a})(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(1 + n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2})^a} \quad \text{and} \quad T_2(f)_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \frac{f(x) dx}{(1 + n^{\lambda_1} \|x\|_{m,\rho}^{\lambda_2})^a}.$$

Then,

(i) $T_1 : l_p^\alpha \rightarrow L_p^{\beta(1-p)}(\mathbb{R}_+^m)$ and $T_2 : L_q^\beta(\mathbb{R}_+^m) \rightarrow l_q^{\alpha(1-q)}$ are bounded operators if and only if $l \geq 0$.

(ii) For $l = 0$, i.e., $\frac{m\lambda_1 + \alpha\lambda_2}{p} = \frac{\lambda_2 + \beta\lambda_1}{q}$, the operator norms of T_1 and T_2 are expressed as follows:

$$\|T_1\| = \|T_2\| = \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{1/q} \lambda_2^{1/p}} B\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\left(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}\right), a - \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\left(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{p}\right)\right) \left(\frac{\Gamma^m(1/\rho)}{\rho^{m-1}\Gamma(m/\rho)}\right)^{1/p}.$$

In Corollary 4.1, let $m = 1$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{\lambda_2}(p\sigma - \lambda_1)$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{\lambda_1}(q\sigma - \lambda_2)$, then $\frac{m\lambda_1 + \alpha\lambda_2}{p} = \sigma = \frac{\lambda_2 + \beta\lambda_1}{q}$. The following results can be obtained.

Corollary 4.4. Assume that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ($p > 1$), $a > 0$, $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, $\frac{\lambda_1}{p} + \frac{\lambda_2}{q} + \max\{\lambda_1\lambda_2(b-a), \lambda_1\lambda_2 b - \lambda_2, \lambda_1\lambda_2 c - \lambda_2\} < \sigma < \frac{\lambda_1}{p} + \frac{\lambda_2}{q} + \lambda_1\lambda_2 c$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{\lambda_2}(p\sigma - \lambda_1)$, $\beta = \frac{1}{\lambda_1}(q\sigma - \lambda_2)$. Denote that

$$W_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1+t)^a} \left[t^{\frac{1}{\lambda_1\lambda_2}\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{p} + \frac{\lambda_2}{q} + \lambda_1\lambda_2 c - \sigma\right)-1} + t^{a-\frac{1}{\lambda_1\lambda_2}\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{p} + \frac{\lambda_2}{q} + \lambda_1\lambda_2 b - \sigma\right)-1} \right] dt.$$

Operators T_1 and T_2 are defined by, respectively,

$$T_1(\tilde{a})(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\max\{1, n^{\lambda_1} x^{\lambda_2}\})^b (\min\{1, n^{\lambda_1} x^{\lambda_2}\})^c}{(1 + n^{\lambda_1} x^{\lambda_2})^a} \cdot a_n,$$

$$T_2(f)_n = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(\max\{1, n^{\lambda_1} x^{\lambda_2}\})^b (\min\{1, n^{\lambda_1} x^{\lambda_2}\})^c}{(1 + n^{\lambda_1} x^{\lambda_2})^a} \cdot f(x) dx.$$

Then, $T_1 : l_p^\alpha \rightarrow L_p^{\beta(1-p)}(0, +\infty)$ and $T_2 : L_q^\beta(0, +\infty) \rightarrow l_q^{\alpha(1-q)}$ are bounded operators, and the operator norms of T_1 and T_2 are expressed as follows:

$$\|T_1\| = \|T_2\| = \frac{W_0}{\lambda_1^{1/q} \lambda_2^{1/p}}.$$

Funding information: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071491) and the Innovation Team Construction Project of Guangdong Province (No. 2018KCXTD020).

Conflict of interest: Authors state no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, *Note on a theorem of Hilbert concerning series of positive term*, P. Lond. Math. Soc. **23** (1925), no. 2, Records of Proc. xlv–xlvii, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s2-23.1.1-s>.
- [2] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1934.
- [3] B. C. Yang, *A half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality*, J. Guangdong Univ. Educ. **31** (2011), no. 3, 1–7.
- [4] Q. Chen, B. He, Y. Hong, and Z. Li, *Equivalent parameter conditions for the validity of half-discrete Hilbert-type multiple integral inequality with generalized homogeneous kernel*, J. Funct. Space **2020** (2020), 7414861, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/7414861>.
- [5] Y. Hong and Z. H. Zeng, *Condition for half-discrete Hilbert-type multiple integral inequality with generalized homogeneous kernel to take the best constant factor and its application*, J. Nanchang Univ. (Natural Science) **43** (2019), no. 3, 216–220.
- [6] Q. W. Ma, B. C. Yang, and L. P. He, *A half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality in the whole plane with multiparameters*, J. Funct. Space **2016** (2016), 6059065, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/6059065>.
- [7] B. C. Yang, *Two Types of Multiple Half-Discrete Hilbert-type Inequalities*, Lambert Academic Publishing, Saarbrücken, 2012.
- [8] L. E. Azar, *On some extensions of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality and applications*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2008** (2008), 546829.
- [9] M. Th. Rassias and B. C. Yang, *On a Hilbert-type integral inequality in the whole plane related to the extended Riemann zeta function*, Complex Anal. Oper. Th. **13** (2019), 1765–1782, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-018-0830-5>.
- [10] M. Th. Rassias and B. C. Yang, *On a Hilbert-type integral inequality related to the extended Hurwitz zeta function in the whole plane*, Acta Appl. Math. **160** (2019), 67–80, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10440-018-0195-9>.
- [11] M. Th. Rassias and B. C. Yang, *Equivalent properties of a Hilbert-type integral inequality with the best constant factor related to the Hurwitz zeta function*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **9** (2018), no. 2, 282–295, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1215/20088752-2017-0031>.
- [12] M. Th. Rassias and B. C. Yang, *A half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality in the whole plane related to the Riemann zeta function*, Appl. Anal. **97** (2018), no. 9, 1505–1525, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036811.2017.1313411>.
- [13] B. C. Yang and M. Th. Rassias, *On Hilbert-type and Hardy-type Integral Inequalities and Applications*, Springer, Switzerland, 2019.
- [14] M. Krnić, J. E. Pečarić, and P. Vuković, *On some higher-dimensional Hilbert's and Hardy-Hilbert's type integral inequalities with parameters*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **11** (2008), no. 4, 701–716.
- [15] M. Krnić and P. Vuković, *On a multidimensional version of the Hilbert-type inequality*, Anal. Math. **38** (2012), 291–303, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10476-012-0402-2>.
- [16] Q. Chen and B. C. Yang, *On a parametric more accurate Hilbert-type inequality*, J. Math. Inequal. **14** (2020), no. 4, 1135–1149.
- [17] M. Th. Rassias, B. C. Yang, and A. Raigorodskii, *On the reverse Hardy-type integral inequalities in the whole plane with the extended Riemann-Zeta function*, J. Math. Inequal. **14** (2020), no. 2, 525–546.

- [18] M. Th. Rassias and B. C. Yang, *On an equivalent property of a reverse Hilbert-type integral inequality related to the extended Hurwitz-zeta function*, J. Math. Inequal. **13** (2019), no. 2, 315–334.
- [19] R. C. Luo and B. C. Yang, *Parameterized discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with intermediate variables*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2019** (2019), 142, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-019-2095-6>.
- [20] M. Krnić and P. Vuković, *A class of Hilbert-type inequalities obtained via the improved Young inequality*, Results Math. **71** (2017), 185–196, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-015-0506-7>.
- [21] M. Th. Rassias, B. C. Yang, and A. Raigorodskii, *Two kinds of the reverse Hardy-type integral inequalities with the equivalent forms related to the extended Riemann zeta function*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. **12** (2018), no. 2, 273–296, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2298/AADM180130011R>.
- [22] G. M. Fichtingoloz, *A Course in Differential and Integral Calculus*, People's Education Press, Beijing, 1957.