

Research Article

Guangyu An* and Ying Yao

Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of (m, n) -Jordan derivations

<https://doi.org/10.1515/math-2020-0109>

received May 26, 2020; accepted October 26, 2020

Abstract: In this paper, we study the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of (m, n) -Jordan derivations. As applications, we characterize (m, n) -Jordan derivations on C^* -algebras and some non-self-adjoint operator algebras.

Keywords: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability, (m, n) -Jordan derivation, C^* -algebra

MSC 2020: 16W25, 39B62, 47B47, 47L35

1 Introduction

In 1940, S. Ulam [1] posed a problem about group homomorphisms. Suppose that G_1 is a group, (G_2, d) is a metric group, and ε is a positive number. Does there exist a positive number δ , such that if a mapping f from G_1 into G_2 satisfies the inequality

$$d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) \leq \delta$$

for each x, y in G_1 , then there exists a homomorphism h from G_1 into G_2 such that

$$d(f(x), h(x)) \leq \varepsilon$$

for every x in G_1 ? If this problem has a solution, we say that the homomorphisms from G_1 into G_2 are *stable*.

In 1941, D. Hyers [2] answers the question of Ulam's problem for Banach spaces. Suppose that X_1 is a normed space and X_2 is a Banach space. If f is a mapping from X_1 into X_2 , and there exists a positive number ε such that

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| < \varepsilon$$

for each x and y in X_1 , then there exists a unique additive mapping h from X_1 into X_2 such that

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| < \varepsilon$$

for every x in X_1 . This stability phenomenon is called the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional equation $h(x + y) = h(x) + h(y)$.

In 1950, T. Aoki [3] generalized Hyers's theorem. Suppose that X_1 and X_2 are two Banach spaces. If f is a mapping from X_1 into X_2 , and there exists a positive number ε and $0 \leq p < 1$ such that

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for each x and y in X_1 , then there exists a positive number θ and a unique linear mapping h from X_1 into X_2 such that

* **Corresponding author: Guangyu An**, Department of Mathematics, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710021, China, e-mail: anguangyu310@163.com

Ying Yao: Department of Mathematics, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710021, China, e-mail: yaoyingofmath@163.com

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \theta \|x\|^p$$

for every x in X_1 .

In 1978, Th. Rassias [4] introduced unbounded Cauchy difference and proved the stability of linear mappings between Banach spaces. Suppose that X_1 and X_2 are two Banach spaces. If f is a mapping from X_1 into X_2 , and there exist positive constants ε and $0 \leq p < 1$ such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for each x and y in X_1 , then there exists a unique additive mapping h from X_1 into X_2 such that

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for every x in X_1 . Moreover, if $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for every x in X_1 , then h is a linear mapping, where \mathbb{R} denotes the set of the real numbers. In 1991, Z. Gajda [5] proved that the result in [4] is also true when $p > 1$, and Z. Gajda [5] also gave an example to show that the Rassias's stability result is not valid for $p = 1$. This phenomenon is called the *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability*.

On the other hand, J. Rassias [6–8] generalized Hyers's stability result by presenting a weaker condition involving a product of different powers of norms. Suppose that X_1 is a normed space and X_2 is a Banach space. If f is a mapping from X_1 into X_2 and there exist positive constants $\varepsilon \geq 0$, p_1 and p_2 in \mathbb{R} with $p = p_1 + p_2 \neq 1$, such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon \|x\|^{p_1} \|y\|^{p_2}$$

for each x and y in X_1 , then there exists a unique additive mapping h from X_1 into X_2 such that

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|2 - 2^p|} \|x\|^p$$

for every x in X_1 . Moreover, if $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for every x in X_1 , then h is a linear mapping.

In this paper, we suppose that \mathcal{A} is an algebra over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , and all linear mappings are \mathbb{C} -linear mappings.

Let \mathcal{M} be an \mathcal{A} -bimodule. A linear mapping δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is called a *derivation* if

$$\delta(xy) = x\delta(y) + \delta(x)y$$

for each x, y in \mathcal{A} ; and δ is called a *Jordan derivation* if

$$\delta(x^2) = x\delta(x) + \delta(x)x$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} . In 1996, K. Jun and D. Park [9] considered the stability of derivations from a Banach algebra $C^n[0,1]$ into a finite dimensional Banach $C^n[0,1]$ -bimodule. In 2004, C. Park [10] gave a characterization of the stability of derivations from a Banach algebra into its Banach bimodule.

In 1990, M. Brešar and J. Vukman [11] introduced the concepts of left derivations and Jordan left derivations. Let \mathcal{M} be a left \mathcal{A} -module. A linear mapping δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is called a *left derivation* if

$$\delta(xy) = x\delta(y) + y\delta(x)$$

for each x, y in \mathcal{A} ; and δ is called a *Jordan left derivation* if

$$\delta(x^2) = 2x\delta(x)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} . In 2008, Y. Jung [12] characterized the stability of left derivations.

In 2008, J. Vukman [13] introduced the concept of (m, n) -Jordan derivations. Let \mathcal{M} be an \mathcal{A} -bimodule, $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$ be two fixed integers with $m + n \neq 0$. A linear mapping δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is called an (m, n) -Jordan derivation if

$$(m + n)\delta(x^2) = 2mx\delta(x) + 2n\delta(x)x$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} . By simple calculation, it is easy to show that δ is an (m, n) -Jordan derivation if and only if

$$(m + n)\delta(xy + yx) = 2mx\delta(y) + 2n\delta(x)y + 2my\delta(x) + 2n\delta(y)x$$

for each x and y in \mathcal{A} . It is clear that the notions of Jordan derivations and Jordan left derivations are particular cases of (m, n) -Jordan derivations, obtained when $m = n = 1$ and when $m = 1$ and $n = 0$, respectively.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of (m, n) -Jordan derivations.

In Section 3, we give the applications on C^* -algebras and some non-self-adjoint operator algebras.

2 Stability of (m, n) -Jordan derivations

In this section, we denote $\mathbb{T}^1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$. To prove the main theorem, we need the following results.

Lemma 2.1. [14] *Suppose that X and Y are two linear spaces. If f is an additive mapping from X into Y such that $f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$ for every x in X and every λ in \mathbb{T}^1 , then f is a linear mapping.*

In [15], P. Găvruta generalized the results in [4] and [6] with the admissible control function as follows.

Lemma 2.2. [15] *Suppose that $(G, +)$ is an abelian group and X is a Banach space. Let φ be a mapping from $G \times G$ into $[0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) := 2^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k y) < \infty$$

for each x and y in G . If f is a mapping from G into X such that

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for each x and y in G , then there exists a unique additive mapping h from G into X such that

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x)$$

for every x in G .

Let \mathcal{A} be a normed algebra, \mathcal{M} be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and m, n be two fixed non-negative integers with $m + n \neq 0$. For the sake of convenience, we use the same symbol $\|\cdot\|$ to represent the norms on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{M} . A mapping φ from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $[0, \infty)$ is said to have *property P* if

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) := 2^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k y) < \infty \quad (2.1)$$

for each x, y in \mathcal{A} . A mapping f from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is said to have *property Q* if f satisfies the following two inequalities:

$$\|f(\lambda x + y) - \lambda f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\|(m + n)f(xy + yx) - 2mx\delta(y) - 2nf(x)y - 2my\delta(x) - 2nf(y)x\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad (2.3)$$

for each x, y in \mathcal{A} and every λ in \mathbb{T}^1 . Depending on f , we define a mapping δ_f from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that

$$\delta_f(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-k} f(2^k x) \quad (2.4)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} . The definition of δ_f will be used in most of the theorems and corollaries of the paper.

Theorem 2.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a normed algebra, \mathcal{M} be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and m, n be two fixed non-negative integers with $m + n \neq 0$. Suppose that φ is a mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the property \mathbb{P} and f is a mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} satisfying the property \mathbb{Q} . Then there exists a unique (m, n) -Jordan derivation δ_f from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta_f(x)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} .

Proof. First we prove that there exists a unique linear mapping δ_f from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta_f(x)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} . Let $\lambda = 1$ in (2.2), it implies that

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad (2.5)$$

for each x and y in \mathcal{A} .

Define a mapping δ_f from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} as in (2.4). By the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [15] and (2.5), and also that the sequence $\{2^{-k}f(2^kx)\}$ is convergent, we know that δ_f is a unique additive mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta_f(x)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} . Replacing x, y by $2^kx, 2^ky$ in (2.2), respectively. It follows that

$$\|f(2^k(\lambda x + y)) - \lambda f(2^kx) - f(2^ky)\| \leq \varphi(2^kx, 2^ky) \quad (2.6)$$

for each x, y in \mathcal{A} , and every λ in \mathbb{T}^1 . Multiplying 2^{-k} from the left of (2.6), we can obtain the following inequality

$$\|2^{-k}f(2^k(\lambda x + y)) - 2^{-k}\lambda f(2^kx) - 2^{-k}f(2^ky)\| \leq 2^{-k}\varphi(2^kx, 2^ky) \quad (2.7)$$

for each x, y in \mathcal{A} , and every λ in \mathbb{T}^1 . Taking the limit in (2.7) as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and by (2.4), we have that

$$\delta_f(\lambda x + y) = \lambda \delta_f(x) + \delta_f(y)$$

for each x, y in \mathcal{A} , and every λ in \mathbb{T}^1 . By Lemma 2.1, we know that δ_f is a linear mapping.

Next we show that δ_f is an (m, n) -Jordan derivation. Replacing x, y by $2^kx, 2^ky$ in (2.3), respectively, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(m + n)f(2^{2k}(xy + yx)) - 2m2^kxf(2^ky) - 2nf(2^kx)2^ky \\ - 2m2^kyf(2^kx) - 2nf(2^ky)2^kx\| \leq \varphi(2^kx, 2^ky) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

for each x and y in \mathcal{A} . Multiplying 2^{-2k} from the left of (2.8), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(m + n)2^{-2k}f(2^{2k}(xy + yx)) - 2m2^{-k}xf(2^ky) - 2nf(2^kx)2^{-k}y \\ - 2m2^{-k}yf(2^kx) - 2nf(2^ky)2^{-k}x\| \leq 2^{-2k}\varphi(2^kx, 2^ky) \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

for each x and y in \mathcal{A} . By the convergence of (2.1), it follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-2k}\varphi(2^kx, 2^ky) = 0$. Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.9) and by (2.4), we have that

$$(m + n)\delta_f(xy + yx) = 2mx\delta_f(y) + 2n\delta_f(x)y + 2my\delta_f(x) + 2n\delta_f(y)x$$

for each x and y in \mathcal{A} . It means that δ_f is an (m, n) -Jordan derivation. \square

Corollary 2.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a normed algebra, \mathcal{M} be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and $m = 1, n = 1$. Suppose that φ is a mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the property \mathbb{P} and f is a mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} satisfying the property \mathbb{Q} . Then there exists a unique Jordan derivation δ_f from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta_f(x)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} .

In the following, we assume that \mathcal{A} is a unital normed algebra with a unit element e and \mathcal{M} is a unital Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, that is,

$$em = me = m$$

for every m in \mathcal{M} . A mapping φ from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $[0, \infty)$ is said to have the *property $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$* if φ satisfies the property \mathbb{P} and the following equation:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-k} \varphi(2^k e, x) = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

for each x in \mathcal{A} .

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital normed algebra, \mathcal{M} be a unital Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and m, n be two fixed non-negative integers with $m + n \neq 0$. Suppose that φ is a mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the property $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ and f is a mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} satisfying the property \mathbb{Q} . Then $f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$ for every x in \mathcal{A} and λ in \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. Define a mapping δ_f from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} as in (2.4). By Theorem 2.3, we know that δ_f is a unique (m, n) -Jordan derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta_f(x)\| \leq 2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \varphi(2^i x, 2^i x) \quad (2.11)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} . Let e be a unit element of \mathcal{A} and λ be in \mathbb{C} , we have the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(m+n)\delta_f((2^k e)(\lambda x)) - \lambda[2m(2^{k-1}e)f(x) + 2nf(2^{k-1}e)x + 2mxf(2^{k-1}e) + 2nf(x)(2^{k-1}e)]\| \\ & \leq |\lambda| \|(m+n)f(2^k ex) - 2m2^{k-1}ef(x) - 2nf(2^{k-1}e)x \\ & \quad - 2mxf(2^{k-1}e) - 2nf(x)2^{k-1}e\| + |\lambda|(m+n)\|\delta_f(2^k ex) - f(2^k ex)\| \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} and every k in \mathbb{N} . By (2.3), (2.11), and (2.12), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(m+n)\delta_f((2^k e)(\lambda x)) - \lambda[2m(2^{k-1}e)f(x) + 2nf(2^{k-1}e)x + 2mxf(2^{k-1}e) + 2nf(x)(2^{k-1}e)]\| \\ & \leq |\lambda|\varphi(2^{k-1}e, x) + |\lambda|(m+n)2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \varphi(2^{i+k} ex, 2^{i+k} ex) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} and every k in \mathbb{N} . By (2.11) and (2.13), we can obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(m+n)f((2^k e)(\lambda x)) - \lambda[2m(2^{k-1}e)f(x) + 2nf(2^{k-1}e)x + 2mxf(2^{k-1}e) + 2nf(x)(2^{k-1}e)]\| \\ & \leq \|(m+n)\delta_f((2^k e)(\lambda x)) - \lambda[2m(2^{k-1}e)f(x) + 2nf(2^{k-1}e)x \\ & \quad + 2mxf(2^{k-1}e) + 2nf(x)(2^{k-1}e)]\| + (m+n)\|f((2^k e)(\lambda x)) - \delta_f((2^k e)(\lambda x))\| \\ & \leq |\lambda|\varphi(2^{k-1}e, x) + |\lambda|(m+n)2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \varphi(2^{i+k} ex, 2^{i+k} ex) \\ & \quad + (m+n)2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \varphi(2^{i+k} e\lambda x, 2^{i+k} e\lambda x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} and every k in \mathbb{N} . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \|2m2^{k-1}(f(\lambda x) - \lambda f(x))\| \\ & = \|2m2^{k-1}e(f(\lambda x) - \lambda f(x))\| \\ & \leq \|2m2^{k-1}ef(\lambda x) + 2nf(2^{k-1}e)\lambda x + 2m\lambda xf(2^{k-1}e) + 2nf(\lambda x)2^{k-1}e - (m+n)f((2^k e)(\lambda x))\| \\ & \quad + \|(m+n)f((2^k e)(\lambda x)) - 2m\lambda 2^{k-1}ef(x) - 2n\lambda f(2^{k-1}e)x - 2m\lambda xf(2^{k-1}e) - 2n\lambda f(x)2^{k-1}e\| \\ & \quad + \|2n2^{k-1}(f(\lambda x) - \lambda f(x))\| \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} and every k in \mathbb{N} . By (2.3), (2.14), and (2.15), we can obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & (2m + 2n)2^{k-1}\|f(\lambda x) - \lambda f(x)\| \\ & \leq \|2m2^{k-1}ef(\lambda x) + 2nf(2^{k-1}e)\lambda x + 2m\lambda xf(2^{k-1}e) + 2nf(\lambda x)2^{k-1}e - (m + n)f((2^k e)(\lambda x))\| \\ & \quad + \|(m + n)f((2^k e)(\lambda x)) - 2m\lambda 2^{k-1}ef(x) - 2n\lambda f(2^{k-1}e)x - 2m\lambda xf(2^{k-1}e) - 2n\lambda f(x)2^{k-1}e\| \\ & \leq \varphi(2^{k-1}e, \lambda x) + |\lambda|\varphi(2^{k-1}e, x) + |\lambda|(m + n)2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i}\varphi(2^{i+k}ex, 2^{i+k}ex) + (m + n)2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i}\varphi(2^{i+k}e\lambda x, 2^{i+k}e\lambda x) \end{aligned}$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} and every k in \mathbb{N} . This means that

$$\begin{aligned} & (m + n)\|f(\lambda x) - \lambda f(x)\| \\ & \leq 2^{-k} \left[\varphi(2^{k-1}e, \lambda x) + |\lambda|\varphi(2^{k-1}e, x) + |\lambda|(m + n)2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i}\varphi(2^{i+k}ex, 2^{i+k}ex) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (m + n)2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i}\varphi(2^{i+k}e\lambda x, 2^{i+k}e\lambda x) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} and every k in \mathbb{N} .

Taking the limit in (2.16) as $k \rightarrow \infty$, by the convergence of (2.1) and the property $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$, we have that

$$(m + n)(f(\lambda x) - \lambda f(x)) = 0$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} and λ in \mathbb{C} . Since $m + n \neq 0$, $f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$ for every x in \mathcal{A} and λ in \mathbb{C} . \square

The following theorem is the main result in this section.

Theorem 2.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital normed algebra, \mathcal{M} be a unital Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and m, n be two fixed non-negative integers with $m + n \neq 0$. Suppose that φ is a mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the property $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ and f is a mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} satisfying the property \mathbb{Q} . Then f is an (m, n) -Jordan derivation.*

Proof. Define a mapping δ_f from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} as in (2.4). By Theorem 2.3, we know that δ_f is a unique (m, n) -Jordan derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta_f(x)\| \leq 2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i}\varphi(2^i x, 2^i x)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} . By Lemma 2.4, it follows that $f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$ for every x in \mathcal{A} and λ in \mathbb{C} . Hence, we have the following inequality:

$$\|f(x) - \delta_f(x)\| = \|2^{-k}f(2^k x) - 2^{-k}\delta_f(2^k x)\| \leq 2^{-k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i}\varphi(2^{i+k}x, 2^{i+k}x) \quad (2.17)$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} and every k in \mathbb{N} . Taking the limit in (2.17) as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and by the convergence of (2.1), we have that $f(x) = \delta_f(x)$ for every x in \mathcal{A} . It means that f is an (m, n) -Jordan derivation. \square

By Th. Rassias [4], we have the following result.

Corollary 2.7. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital normed algebra, \mathcal{M} be a unital Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and m, n be two fixed non-negative integers with $m + n \neq 0$. Suppose that f is a mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that*

$$\|f(\lambda x + y) - \lambda f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

and

$$\|(m + n)f(xy + yx) - 2mxf(y) - 2nf(x)y - 2myf(x) - 2nf(y)x\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for each x, y in \mathcal{A} and every λ in \mathbb{T}^1 , where $\theta \geq 0$ and $0 < p < 1$. Then f is an (m, n) -Jordan derivation.

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for each x, y in \mathcal{A} , with $\theta \geq 0$ and $0 < p < 1$. In the following we show that φ satisfies the property $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$. Since $0 < p < 1$, it follows that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) := 2^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k y) = 2^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \theta(\|2^k x\|^p + \|2^k y\|^p) = 2^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(p-1)} \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) < \infty \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-k} \varphi(2^k e, x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-k} \theta(\|2^k e\|^p + \|x\|^p) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta(2^{k(p-1)} + 2^{-k} \|x\|^p) = 0. \quad (2.19)$$

Thus by (2.18), (2.19), and Theorem 2.5, we can conclude that f is an (m, n) -Jordan derivation. \square

By J. Rassias [6], we have the following result.

Corollary 2.8. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital normed algebra, \mathcal{M} be a unital Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and m, n be two fixed non-negative integers with $m + n \neq 0$. Suppose that f is a mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that

$$\|f(\lambda x + y) - \lambda f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^{p_1} \|y\|^{p_2})$$

and

$$\|(m + n)f(xy + yx) - 2mxf(y) - 2nf(x)y - 2myf(x) - 2nf(y)x\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^{p_1} \|y\|^{p_2})$$

for each x, y in A and every λ in \mathbb{T}^1 , where $\theta \geq 0$, and $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p = p_1 + p_2 < 1$. Then f is an (m, n) -Jordan derivation.

Proof. Since $p = p_1 + p_2 < 1$, without loss of generality, we can assume that $p_1 < 1$. Suppose that $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^{p_1} \|y\|^{p_2})$$

for each x, y in A . In the following we show that φ satisfies the property $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$. Since $p = p_1 + p_2 < 1$ and $p_1 < 1$, it follows that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) := 2^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k y) = 2^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \theta(\|2^k x\|^{p_1} \|2^k y\|^{p_2}) = 2^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(p_1+p_2-1)} \theta(\|x\|^{p_1} \|y\|^{p_2}) < \infty \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-k} \varphi(2^k e, x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-k} \theta(\|2^k e\|^{p_1} \|x\|^{p_2}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{k(p_1-1)} \theta\|x\|^{p_2} = 0. \quad (2.21)$$

Thus by (2.20), (2.21) and Theorem 2.5, we can deduce that f is an (m, n) -Jordan derivation. \square

Corollary 2.9. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital normed algebra, \mathcal{M} be a unital Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and $m = 1, n = 1$. Suppose that φ is a mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the property $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ and f is a mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} satisfying the property \mathbb{Q} . Then f is an Jordan derivation.

Remark 1. Suppose that φ is a mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the property \mathbb{P} and f_1, f_2 are two mappings from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} satisfying the property \mathbb{Q} . It is obvious that the mapping $|\mu|\varphi$ is also a mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the property \mathbb{P} , μf , and $f_1 + f_2$ are two mappings from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} satisfying the property \mathbb{Q} . Moreover, we have that

$$\mu \delta_f = \delta_{\mu f} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{f_1} + \delta_{f_2} = \delta_{f_1+f_2}$$

are (m, n) -Jordan derivations. Let $V = \{\delta_f \mid f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \text{ with the property Q}\}$ and $V_0 = \{\delta_f = 0 \mid f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \text{ with the property Q}\}$, it follows that $W = V/V_0$ is a linear space and it is interesting to consider the structure of V_0 and W .

Remark 2. We should notice that if \mathcal{A} is an algebra and a mapping φ from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the property \mathbb{P} :

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) := 2^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k y) < \infty$$

for each x and y in \mathcal{A} , then we cannot deduce the following equation

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-k} \varphi(2^k e, x) = 0$$

for each x in \mathcal{A} . Indeed, suppose that $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}$ and define a two-variable non-negative function φ by

$$\varphi(x, y) = \frac{|xy|}{y^2 + 1}$$

for each x and y in \mathbb{R} . It is clear that $\tilde{\varphi}(x, y)$ is a convergent series for each x, y in \mathbb{R} , but $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-k} \varphi(2^k, x) \neq 0$ when $x \neq 0$. It means that (2.10) is not a consequence of (2.1).

Remark 3. In 2010, J. Vukman [16] gave the definition of (m, n) -Jordan centralizer. Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra and \mathcal{M} be an \mathcal{A} -bimodule, $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$ be two fixed integers with $m + n \neq 0$. A linear mapping δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is called an (m, n) -Jordan centralizer if

$$(m + n)\delta(x^2) = mx\delta(x) + n\delta(x)x$$

for every x in \mathcal{A} . It is clear that δ is an (m, n) -Jordan centralizer if and only if

$$(m + n)\delta(xy + yx) = mx\delta(y) + n\delta(x)y + my\delta(x) + n\delta(y)x$$

for each x and y in \mathcal{A} .

Similarly, via the same technique used in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we can characterize the stability of (m, n) -Jordan centralizers.

3 Some applications

In [17], G. An and J. He proved that every (m, n) -Jordan derivation from a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} into its Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule is zero. Thus, by Theorem 2.5, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra, \mathcal{M} be a unital Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and m, n be two fixed non-negative integers with $m + n \neq 0$. Suppose that φ is a mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the property $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ and f is a mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} satisfying the property \mathbb{Q} . Then $f \equiv 0$.

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space, and $B(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . By a subspace lattice on \mathcal{H} , we mean a collection \mathcal{L} of subspaces of \mathcal{H} with (0) and \mathcal{H} in \mathcal{L} such that, for every family $\{M_i\}$ of elements of \mathcal{L} , both $\cap M_i$ and $\vee M_i$ belong to \mathcal{L} , where $\vee M_i$ denotes the closed linear span of $\{M_i\}$. For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we disregard the distinction between a closed subspace and the orthogonal projection onto it. Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{L} is called a commutative subspace lattice (CSL) if it consists of mutually commuting projections.

Let \mathcal{B} be a von Neumann algebra on \mathcal{H} , and $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be a CSL on \mathcal{H} . Then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \cap \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ is said to be a CSL subalgebra of the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{B} .

In [17], G. An and J. He proved that if \mathcal{B} is a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is a CSL on \mathcal{H} , then every (m, n) -Jordan derivation from $\mathcal{B} \cap \text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ into $B(\mathcal{H})$ is zero. Thus by Theorem 2.5, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.2. *Let \mathcal{B} be a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be a CSL on \mathcal{H} , and m, n be two fixed non-negative integers with $m + n \neq 0$. Suppose that φ is a mapping from $(\mathcal{B} \cap \text{Alg}\mathcal{L}) \times (\mathcal{B} \cap \text{Alg}\mathcal{L})$ into $[0, \infty)$ satisfying property $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ and f is a mapping from $\mathcal{B} \cap \text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ into $B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying the property Q. Then $f \equiv 0$.*

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital algebra and \mathcal{M} be a unital \mathcal{A} -bimodule. Suppose that \mathcal{J} is an ideal of \mathcal{A} , we say that \mathcal{J} is a *right separating set* (resp. *left separating set*) of \mathcal{M} if for every m in \mathcal{M} , $\mathcal{J}m = \{0\}$ implies $m = 0$ (resp. $m\mathcal{J} = \{0\}$ implies $m = 0$). We denote by $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$ the subalgebra of \mathcal{A} generated algebraically by all idempotents in \mathcal{A} .

Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , define $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}} = \{E \in \mathcal{L} : E \not\subseteq E_-, \text{ where } E_- = \vee\{F \in \mathcal{L} : F \not\subseteq E\} \text{ and let } E_+ = \cap\{F \in \mathcal{L} : F \not\subseteq E\}\}$. A subspace \mathcal{L} is called a *completely distributive* if $L = \vee\{E \in \mathcal{L} : E \not\subseteq L\}$ for every $L \in \mathcal{L}$; \mathcal{L} is called a *\mathcal{P} -subspace lattice* if $\vee\{E : E \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\} = \mathcal{H}$ or $\cap\{E_- : E \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\} = (0)$. For some properties of completely distributive subspace lattices and \mathcal{P} -subspace lattices, see [19,18]. A totally ordered subspace lattice \mathcal{N} is called a *nest*.

By [18,20], we know that if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{M} satisfy one of the following conditions:

- (1) $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \cap \text{Alg}\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{N} is a nest in a factor von Neumann algebra \mathcal{B} ;
- (2) $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $(0)_+ \neq (0)$ or $\mathcal{H}_- \neq \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{M} = B(\mathcal{H})$;
- (3) $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $\vee\{E : E \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\} = \mathcal{H}$ or $\cap\{E_- : E \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}\} = (0)$, $\mathcal{M} = B(\mathcal{H})$;
- (4) $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and \mathcal{M} is a dual normal Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, where \mathcal{L} is a completely distributive subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ;

then \mathcal{M} has a right or a left separating set \mathcal{J} with $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$.

In [21], G. An and J. Li showed that if \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra and \mathcal{M} is a unital \mathcal{A} -bimodule with a right (left) separating set generated algebraically by idempotents in \mathcal{A} , then every (m, n) -Jordan derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is zero. By Theorem 2.5, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital algebra, \mathcal{M} be a unital \mathcal{A} -bimodule, with a right (left) separating set $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$, and m, n be two fixed non-negative integers with $m + n \neq 0$. Suppose that φ is a mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the property $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ and f is a mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} satisfying the property Q. Then $f \equiv 0$.*

Acknowledgement: The authors thank the reviewers for his or her suggestions. This research was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11801342), the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province (Grant No. 2020JQ-693), and the Scientific research plan projects of Shaanxi Education Department (Grant No. 19JK0130).

References

- [1] S. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1964.
- [2] D. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **27** (1941), no. 4, 222–224, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/jahist/jav119>.
- [3] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **2** (1950), no. 1, 64–66, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/00210064>.
- [4] Th. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), no. 2, 297–300, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1978-0507327-1>.
- [5] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), no. 3, 431–434, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/S016117129100056X>.

- [6] J. Rassias, *On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, J. Funct. Anal. **46** (1982), no. 1, 126–130, DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(82\)90048-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(82)90048-9).
- [7] J. Rassias, *On approximately of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, Bull. Sci. Math. **108** (1984), no. 4, 445–446.
- [8] J. Rassias, *Solution of a problem of Ulam*, J. Approx. Theory **57** (1989), no. 3, 268–273, DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9045\(89\)90041-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9045(89)90041-5).
- [9] K. Jun and D. Park, *Almost derivations on the Banach algebra $C^n[0,1]$* , Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **33** (1996), no. 3, 359–366.
- [10] C. Park, *Linear derivations on Banach algebras*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. **9** (2004), no. 3, 359–368.
- [11] M. Brešar and J. Vukman, *On left derivations and related mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), no. 1, 7–16, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1990-1028284-3>.
- [12] Y. Jung, *On the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of module left derivations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **339** (2008), no. 1, 108–114, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.07.003>.
- [13] J. Vukman, *On (m,n) -Jordan derivations and commutativity of prime rings*, Demonstr. Math. **41** (2008), no. 4, 773–778, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2008-0405>.
- [14] C. Park, *Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebras*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **36** (2005), no. 1, 79–97, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00574-005-0029-z>.
- [15] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), no. 3, 431–436, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1994.1211>.
- [16] J. Vukman, *On (m,n) -Jordan centralizers in rings and algebras*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **45** (2010), no. 1, 43–53, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3336/gm.45.1.04>.
- [17] G. An and J. He, *Characterizations of (m,n) -Jordan derivations on some algebras*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **35** (2019), no. 3, 378–390, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10114-018-7495-x>.
- [18] Y. Chen and J. Li., *Mappings on some reflexive algebras characterized by action on zero products or Jordan zero products*, Studia Math. **206** (2011), no. 2, 121–134, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4064/sm206-2-2>.
- [19] W. Longstaff, *Strongly reflexive lattices*, J. London. Math. Soc. **11** (1975), no. 2, 491–498, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-11.4.491>.
- [20] D. Hadwin and J. Li., *Local derivations and local automorphisms on some algebras*, J. Operator Theory **60** (2008), no. 1, 29–44, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2003.10.015>.
- [21] G. An and J. Li, *Characterizations of (m,n) -Jordan derivations on operator algebras*, Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.) **60** (2017), no. 1, 173–184, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10114-018-7495-x>.