Reviewer Assessment Open Access

Dirk Weyhe, Navid Tabriz, Bianca Sahlmann and Verena-Nicole Uslar*

Risk factors for perioperative complications in inguinal hernia repair – a systematic review

DOI 10.1515/iss-2017-0008 Received January 30, 2017; accepted February 9, 2017

Medical Campus University of Oldenburg, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University Hospital for Visceral Surgery, Pius-Hospital Oldenburg, Georgstr. 12, 26121 Oldenburg, Germany, E-mail: verena.uslar@uni-oldenburg.de

Reviewers' Comments to Original Submission

Reviewer 1: anonymous

Jan 31, 2017

Reviewer Recommendation Term:	Accept
Overall Reviewer Manuscript Rating:	70
Custom Review Questions	Response
Is the subject area appropriate for you?	5 - High/Yes
Does the title clearly reflect the paper's content?	4
Does the abstract clearly reflect the paper's content?	4
Do the keywords clearly reflect the paper's content?	2
Does the introduction present the problem clearly?	4
Are the results/conclusions justified?	4
How comprehensive and up-to-date is the subject matter presented?	4
How adequate is the data presentation?	4
Are units and terminology used correctly?	4
Is the number of cases adequate?	5 - High/Yes
Are the experimental methods/clinical studies adequate?	3
Is the length appropriate in relation to the content?	4
Does the reader get new insights from the article?	3
Please rate the practical significance.	5 - High/Yes
Please rate the accuracy of methods.	3
Please rate the statistical evaluation and quality control.	3
Please rate the appropriateness of the figures and tables.	4
Please rate the appropriateness of the references.	5 - High/Yes
Please evaluate the writing style and use of language.	5 - High/Yes
Please judge the overall scientific quality of the manuscript.	3
Are you willing to review the revision of this manuscript?	Yes

^{*}Corresponding author: Verena-Nicole Uslar,

Comments to Authors:

This is a well presented topic of significant clinical relevance. As the authors state - hernia repairs are one of the most frequent operations worldwide. It is of utmost significance to know the risk factors for perioperative complications in particular due to the fact that many inguinal/femoral hernia repairs are performed on an outpatient Basis. Evaluating a vast amount of studies the authors could finally identify 39 publications which were further evaluated. Well-known risk factos were identified and may help in the future to improve the perioperative data for inguinal hernia repair.

The study definitely has some limitations, which are not adressed in this review because the authors clearly state these limitations in the discussion of the data. The figures in the manuscript substantially improve the paper and are essential for the understanding. It seems justified to argue for a greater acceptance of the Clavien-Dindo classification to report perioperative complications in order to better compare different studies.

The key words should be ranked as follows and extended by: inguinal hernia surgery - periopertive complications - risk factors - Clavien-Dindo classification

That can the done by the publisher and does not require a revision by the authors.

Reviewer 2: Timm Franzke

Feb 09, 2017

Reviewer Recommendation Term: Overall Reviewer Manuscript Rating:	Accept N/A	
Custom Review Questions	Response	
Is the subject area appropriate for you?	5 - High/Yes	
Does the title clearly reflect the paper's content?	3	
Does the abstract clearly reflect the paper's content?	3	
Do the keywords clearly reflect the paper's content?	2	
Does the introduction present the problem clearly?	4	
Are the results/conclusions justified?	3	
How comprehensive and up-to-date is the subject matter presented?	4	
How adequate is the data presentation?	3	
Are units and terminology used correctly?	4	
Is the number of cases adequate?	4	
Are the experimental methods/clinical studies adequate?	3	
Is the length appropriate in relation to the content?	4	
Does the reader get new insights from the article?	2	
Please rate the practical significance.	4	
Please rate the accuracy of methods.	3	
Please rate the statistical evaluation and quality control.	2	
Please rate the appropriateness of the figures and tables.	3	
Please rate the appropriateness of the references.	4	
Please evaluate the writing style and use of language.	4	
Please judge the overall scientific quality of the manuscript.	3	
Are you willing to review the revision of this manuscript?	Yes	

Comments to Authors:

The work gives a good overview of the perioperative complications after operative interventions in inguinal hernias. The known risk factors have been confirmed. The authors found that the generally accepted Clavien-Dindo classification was only rarely used and thus a comparison is difficult. The authors advocate a consistent application of this classification in the future. Unfortunately, 2 major complications are not discussed in the area of hernia surgery, recurrence, and chronical pain. The authors justify this by the difficult identification of these complications. Unfortunately, it is not fully comprehensible how the authors come to their final statements. Furthermore, the differences between surgical procedures are not clearly discussed.