

A Feasibility Study on Low Temperature Thermochemical Treatments of Austenitic Stainless Steel in Fluidized Bed Furnace

Esa Haruman,^{1,*} Yong Sun,² Askar Triwiyanto,³
Yupiter H. P. Manurung¹ and Erry Y. Adesta⁴

¹ Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal, Universiti Teknologi Mara, Shah Alam, Malaysia

² School of Engineering and Technology, De Montfort University, Leicester, UK

³ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, Malaysia

⁴ Department of Manufacturing and Materials Engineering, Kulliyah of Engineering, International Islamic University Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Abstract. In this work, the feasibility of using an industrial fluidized bed furnace to perform low temperature thermochemical treatments of austenitic stainless steels has been studied, with the aim to produce expanded austenite layers with combined wear and corrosion resistance, similar to those achievable by plasma and gaseous processes. Several low temperature thermochemical treatments were studied, including nitriding, carburizing, combined nitriding-carburizing (hybrid treatment), and sequential carburizing and nitriding. The results demonstrate that it is feasible to produce expanded austenite layers on the investigated austenitic stainless steel by the fluidized bed heat treatment technique, thus widening the application window for the novel low temperature processes. The results also demonstrate that the fluidized bed furnace is the most effective for performing the hybrid treatment, which involves the simultaneous incorporation of nitrogen and carbon together into the surface region of the component in nitrogen and carbon containing atmospheres. Such hybrid treatment produces a thicker and harder layer than the other three processes investigated.

Keywords. Stainless steel, fluidized bed furnace, expanded austenite, nitrogen, carbon.

PACS®(2010). 81.40.Gh.

Corresponding author: Esa Haruman, Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal, Universiti Teknologi Mara, Shah Alam 40450, Malaysia; E-mail: esa@salam.uitm.edu.my.

Received: May 24, 2010. Accepted: August 18, 2010.

1 Introduction

Austenitic stainless steels are the most popular materials in the stainless steel family used in various applications due to their excellent corrosion resistance and good forming characteristics. Nevertheless, this type of materials has low hardness as well as poor wear resistance due to the inherent austenitic structure. To overcome this problem, efforts have been made in the past decades to modify the surfaces of these materials so as to improve their surface hardness and wear resistance. Nitriding treatment was proposed by various investigators [1–5], but problems arise due to the sensitization effect caused by the formation of chromium nitrides when these materials are treated at a nitriding temperature above 773 K [1]. Early investigations in the mid of 1980s suggested that applying low temperature nitriding would suppress the formation of chromium nitrides and produce a thin nitrided layer with high hardness and acceptable corrosion resistance [2, 3]. Since then, significant progress has been made towards achieving combined improvements in wear and corrosion resistance of austenitic stainless steels by low temperature thermochemical treatments [4, 6].

The two major low temperature thermochemical processes developed for austenitic stainless steels are nitriding and carburizing [4, 7]. The former is normally carried out at temperatures below 723 K and the later below 773 K. The purpose of using low temperatures is to suppress the formation of chromium nitrides and carbides in the alloyed layers, such that chromium is retained in solid solution for corrosion protection [5, 8]. Hardening of the nitrided layer and the carburised layer is due to the incorporation of nitrogen and carbon respectively in the austenite lattice, forming a structure termed expanded austenite, which is supersaturated with nitrogen and carbon respectively [7, 9]. More recently, a hybrid process has also been developed, which combines the nitriding and carburizing actions in a single process cycle by introducing nitrogen and carbon simultaneously into the austenite lattice to form a hardened zone comprising a nitrogen expanded austenite layer on top of a carbon expanded austenite layer [10–12]. There exist some synergetic effects between nitrogen and carbon: under similar processing conditions, the hybrid treated layer is thicker, harder and possesses better corrosion resistance than the individual nitrided layer and carburised layer.

The most popular technology used to achieve the aforementioned low temperature thermochemical treatments of

Treatment	Time (h)	Temp (K)	Gas (%)			Gas (%)		
			CH ₄	N ₂	NH ₃	CH ₄	N ₂	NH ₃
Nitriding	8	723	—	85	15	—	—	—
Carburising	8	723	5	95	—	—	—	—
Hybrid Process	8	723	5	80	15	—	—	—
			1 st Step (C)			2 nd Step (N)		
Carburizing + Nitriding	4 + 4	723	5	95	—	—	85	15

723 K = 450 °C

Table 1. Treatment conditions and corresponding layer thicknesses.

stainless steels is plasma technology, namely plasma nitriding [6, 13], plasma carburizing [14, 15] and plasma hybrid treatments [11, 12]. Due to the formation of a native oxide film on stainless steel surface when exposed to air or residual oxygen before and during the treatment process, it is rather difficult to facilitate nitrogen and carbon mass transfer from the treatment media to the component surface. However, during plasma processing, due to the sputtering effects of energetic ions, the oxide film can be removed easily and effective mass transfer is obtained. This makes the plasma technology unique for surface treatment of stainless steels. An alternative is using the more conventional gaseous processes like gas nitriding [16] and gas carburizing [17]. These have proven feasible and industrially acceptable for performing low temperature nitriding and carburising of stainless steels, provided that the component surface is activated before the gaseous process by special chemical treatments and the oxide film formed during the gaseous process is disrupted by introducing certain special gas components [16].

Fluidized bed technology is being increasingly used in the heat treatment industry, due to the increased energy efficiency, more uniform temperature and reduced treatment time achievable in a fluidized bed furnace [18, 19]. Indeed, nitriding and nitrocarburizing are now commonly performed in fluidized bed furnaces for surface hardening of engineering steel components [18]. However, very few efforts have been made to produce expanded austenite layers on austenitic stainless steels in a fluidized bed furnace by employing the low temperature techniques. Recent work by the present authors has demonstrated that a nitrogen expanded austenite layer can be formed on 316L austenitic stainless steel in a fluidized bed furnace by low temperature nitriding [20]. Further research has since been conducted to study the possibility of forming a carburized layer and a combined nitrided and carburized layer by the low temperature carburizing and hybrid processes in a fluidized bed furnace, with the aim of widening the application window for the low temperature technology. This paper reports the experimental results obtained and describes the characteristics of 316 L steel treated at 723 K and for 8 hours duration.

2 Experimental

The material used in the present work is AISI 316L austenitic stainless steel with the following nominal chemical compositions (in mass %): 17.018 Cr, 10.045 Ni, 2.00 Mo, 1.53 Mn, 0.03 C, 0.048 Si, 0.084 P, 0.03 S and balance Fe. The material was received in the form of a hot-rolled plate and was machined into test specimens of 20 × 20 × 2 mm³ sizes. Prior to the treatments, the sample surface was ground and polished to 1 µm surface finish (R_a) and soaked into concentrated HCl (2M) solution to remove the native oxide film on the surface. The treatments were performed at 723 K for a total duration of 8 hours in an electrical resistance heated fluidized bed furnace having 105 µm particulate alumina as fluidized particles which flow inside the chamber due to the flow of nitriding or carburizing gases. The fluidized bed furnace, which was manufactured by Quality Heat Technologies Pty Ltd has a working chamber of 100 mm diameter × 250 mm deep with maximum worksize of 70 mm diameter × 150 mm high. Before charging the samples, the chamber was heated to the treatment temperature of 723 K with the flow of nitrogen gas at 1.05 m³ per hour. Then the samples were charged to the furnace and the treatment gases were introduced and their flow rates were adjusted to meet the required composition, with the total gas flow rate maintained at 0.62 m³ per hour. Table 1 summarizes the process conditions employed in this work. Four different treatments were conducted, including low temperature nitriding, carburizing, hybrid process, and sequential carburizing-nitriding. The hybrid process involved treating the sample in an atmosphere containing both NH₃ (for nitriding) and CH₄ (for carburizing) for a total duration of 8 h, whilst the sequential process involved treating the sample in the carburizing atmosphere for 4 h and then in the nitriding atmosphere for further 4 h.

After the treatments, the structure and morphology of the treated specimens were characterized using scanning electron microscope (SEM). The hybrid treated specimen was also characterized by scanning probe microscope (SPM 9600 Shimadzu) to reveal 3D surface topographical profile at higher resolutions. To obtain the topographical profile of the specimen, the contact mode was applied with 1.2 V




Figure 1. SEM micrographs of 450 °C treated specimens: (a) Nitrided 8 h, (b) carburised 8 h, (c) Hybrid treated 8 h, (d) Sequential: 4 h carburised + 4 h nitrided.

constant operating force, 1 Hz scanning rate, 0.1 μm Z axis range, for both 1 $\mu\text{m} \times 1 \mu\text{m}$ top surface and 5 $\mu\text{m} \times 5 \mu\text{m}$ cross sectional cut. Microhardness profiles across the resultant alloyed layers were measured by micro Vickers hardness testing with an indentation load of 50 g. Elemental profile of carbon across the hybrid treated layer was obtained by EDS-SEM to reveal the push-in effect of dissolved carbon by nitrogen. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis using Cu-K α radiation (40 kV, 150 mA) was performed to identify the phase composition in the treated layers under various process conditions.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Layer Morphology

The morphology of the hardened layers resulting from various treatments is shown in Figure 1. Clearly, nitriding in the

fluidized bed resulted in the formation of a single layer, presumably nitrogen expanded austenite (γ_N), on the surface (Figure 1 (a)). This confirms the results reported earlier [20]. More importantly, the present work demonstrates that the fluidized bed furnace can also be used to successfully perform low temperature carburising, as can be seen from Figure 1 (b), which shows that a single carburized layer comprising carbon expanded austenite (γ_C) was formed on the surface as revealed by XRD patterns discussed in the following Section 3.3.

As expected, the hybrid treatment resulted in the formation of a dual layer structure with a thick nitrogen expanded austenite (γ_N) occupying the outer layer zone and a thinner carbon expanded austenite (γ_C) occupying the inner layer zone (Figure 1 (c)). Although not clearly revealed in the present micrograph, this separation of dual structure is observable under optical microscope. The carbon profile across the hybrid dual layer is given in Figure 2. A high car-






Figure 2. Carbon peak at the bottom part of the hybrid treated layer, as revealed by EDS line scanning.

bon peak was detected at the inner layer, thus proving the push-in effect of dissolved carbon by nitrogen during the hybrid process. The results from the fluidized bed hybrid treatment are similar to those reported for plasma hybrid treatments [11, 12]. The formation of a dual layer structure is obviously the result of the simultaneous introduction of nitriding species and carbon species in the treatment atmosphere. The carbon species possess greater diffusivity and would penetrate further into the substrate than nitrogen [11]. Since both carbon and nitrogen occupy the same kind of interstitial sites in the fcc lattice, the arrival of the slower diffusing nitrogen atoms would force the carbon atoms (the early comer) to make available the interstitial sites. The only easy path for carbon is to diffuse further into the substrate, thus forming a push-in effect of carbon by nitrogen and a separate carbon expanded austenite layer. Surface topography of the hybrid treated specimen obtained by SPM is given in Figure 3 (a). It can be seen that the treated surface is populated with globular features of nanometer scale in size, similar to the morphological features observed from plasma hybrid treated surface [11]. SPM examination of the cross-section revealed further details that have not been noticed before (Figure 3 (b)). The dual layer structure is clearly seen in Figure 3 (b), which also reveals the columnar growth of the two sublayers as a result of nitrogen and carbon diffusion. The densely packed columnar structure of the two expanded austenite layers correlates well with the globular surface structure observed in Figure 3 (a).

Rather surprisingly, the sequential carburising-nitriding treatment did not result in the formation of a dual layer structure, but only a thin single layer (Figure 1 (d)). XRD analysis discussed later showed that this single layer comprises carbon expanded austenite. Thus, nitrogen expanded austenite failed to develop during the sequential treatment. This observation is different from that found during plasma



(a)



(b)

Figure 3. SPM images showing (a) 3D surface morphology of expanded austenite layer from top view, and (b) cross sectional view of the hybrid treated layer.

processing. For example, Tsujikawa et al. [10] conducted sequential carburizing-nitriding treatment of 316 stainless steel and found the formation of a duplex layer structure. The absence of a dual layer structure by the fluidized bed sequential treatment is likely due to the fact that transfer of nitrogen and carbon in fluidized bed furnace is solely controlled by thermal decomposition of ammonia and hydrocarbon gas on the austenitic stainless steel surface. Further to this sequential treatment, carbon expanded austenite which already formed in the first sequential stage might be argued as a barrier of nitrogen diffusion into the surface and thus inhibiting the formation of nitrogen expanded austenite.

3.2 Layer Thickness

The layer thicknesses are also found to be different for different treatments. In the present work, at a constant temperature of 723 K and for 8 hours duration, the hybrid process produced a larger layer thickness than those produced by nitriding, carburizing and sequential carburizing-nitriding (Figure 4), confirming the synergy between carbon and nitrogen. However, such synergetic effect was found only when nitrogen and carbon are introduced simultaneously. This work shows that introducing sequential carbon and nitrogen diffusion (in the sequential carburizing-nitriding treatment) did not result in similar layer characteristics compared to the layer produced by simultaneous carbon and nitrogen diffusion in the hybrid process. The resultant layer thickness produced by sequential carburizing-nitriding is much smaller than the layer thickness produced by the hybrid process, and is even smaller than that produced by individual carburizing and nitriding. Despite the lack of measured data, this phenomenon could be explained as follows. The first step of the process, carburizing for 4 h, would produce a carburized layer with carbon atoms occupying the interstitial sites of the fcc lattice in the surface region. When the second step, i.e. nitriding commenced after 4 h, the carburizing effect ceased and the diffusion of nitrogen became sluggish in the carbon expanded austenite layer already formed in the previous carburizing cycle. The push-in effect still prevailed, which would lead to the formation of a dual layer structure. But since there was no more carbon supply during the nitriding cycle, the carbon atoms pushed to the nitriding front still continued to diffuse into the substrate, leading to the gradual leveling off of the carbon concentration and thus the diminish of the carburized layer. Other possibility could be due to the decarburization of the carburized layer during the early stage of the subsequent nitriding cycle, which would lead to a reduction in the carbon expanded austenite (γ_C) layer thickness. In




Figure 4. Layer thickness of expanded austenite layers resulting from low temperature treatments.

addition, since the nitrided layer was allowed to grow for only 4 h, a thinner layer thickness is expected than that produced by individual nitriding for 8 h.

The greater thickness of the dual layer resulting from hybrid process can be explained by the enhanced thermodynamic activities (a_C , a_N) of both diffusing carbon and nitrogen in the alloyed zone during the treatment, a situation similar to conventional carbonitriding of low alloy steels at temperatures around 1123 K [21]. In addition, some carbon-nitrogen radicals (CN_x) would possibly form in the treatment atmosphere, which have a high reducing power to reduce the surface oxide film on the specimen surface, thus promoting nitrogen and carbon mass transfer during the hybrid process.

3.3 XRD Analysis

XRD analysis (Figure 5) confirmed the phase composition and layer structure discussed in Section 3.1 for various resultant layers. In all the resultant layers, no nitride and carbide precipitates were detectable by XRD. This is in close agreement with previous results [5, 9, 17] on individual plasma nitriding and carburizing which conclude that the formation of nitride and carbide precipitates requires a higher temperature, and the temperature of 723 K does not favour the formation of these precipitates. Thermodynamically, chromium nitrides and carbides could form un-




Figure 5. XRD patterns of various low temperature treated surfaces showing the formation of γ_N and/or γ_C , and the absence of nitride and carbide precipitates.

der this process condition, however at this relatively low processing temperature, the rate of precipitation is sluggish such that for a short treatment period the produced nitrided and carburized layers are precipitation-free. The significant amount of carbon or nitrogen dissolved in the layer obviously leads to the supersaturation of the austenite lattices [4]. The austenite lattice in the surface alloyed layer is expanded as evidenced from the shift of the XRD peaks to lower diffraction angles as compared to the corresponding peaks from the untreated substrate. According to the XRD results, a lattice expansion by 9% was found for the γ_N phase and 3% for the γ_C phase in the present work.

3.4 Hardness Profile

Hardness profile measurements across each of the resultant layers confirm the hardening effect of the low temperature thermochemical treatments. As can be seen from Figure 6, the sequential carburizing-nitriding treatment resulted in the smallest hardened layer thickness, and the individual carburized layer exhibits the lowest surface hardness (but still as high as 1200 HV) but a gradually decreased hardness gradient. On the other hand, the individual nitrided layer exhibits much higher hardness than the carburised layer, but with a more abrupt drop in hardness at the layer/core interface. The hybrid layer possesses the most favorable hardness distribution: the surface hardness is as high as that of the individual nitride layer, and the hardness decreases gradually towards the layer/core interface. Such a gentle hardness gradient in the dual layer from hybrid process is expected to be beneficial in achieving enhanced tribological and load bearing properties [9, 11].

It is worthwhile to point out that under similar processing temperature and time conditions, plasma processes produce much thicker layers than those produced by the present treatments in fluidized bed furnace. In plasma processes,

the native oxide film is removed mostly by bombardment of the positive charged particles, which is completely absent in conventional fluidized processes. Thermal decomposition and mechanical impinging by flowing alumina particulates are believed to be the mechanisms responsible for disruption of the surface oxide film during fluidized processes. Further efforts are required to optimize the fluidized processes so as to achieve much accelerated mass transfer and layer growth kinetics.

4 Conclusion

The present work demonstrates that it is feasible to produce expanded austenite layers on the investigated austenitic stainless steel by the fluidized bed processes, thus widening the application window for the novel low temperature processes. The expanded austenite layers resulting from the fluidized bed processes have similar characteristics to those produced by plasma processes. But the layers produced by the fluidized processes are thinner than those produced by plasma processes, due to different mass transfer mechanisms. The results also demonstrate that the fluidized bed furnace is the most effective for performing the hybrid treatment, which involves the simultaneous incorporation of nitrogen and carbon together into the surface region of the component in nitrogen and carbon containing atmospheres. Such hybrid treatment produces a thicker and harder layer than the other three processes investigated.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Institute of Technology National Malang, Indonesia, for the provision of fluidized bed heat treatment furnace to enable the present work to be completed.

References

- [1] E. Rolinski, *Surf. Eng.*, **3** (1987), 35–40.
- [2] K. Ichii, K. Fujimura and T. Takase, *Tech. Rep. Kansai Univ.*, **27** (1986), 135–144.
- [3] Z. L. Zhang and T. Bell, *Surf. Eng.*, **1** (1985), 131–136.
- [4] T. Bell and Y. Sun, *Heat Treatment of Metals*, **29** (3) (2002), 57–64.
- [5] Y. Sun, X. Y. Li and T. Bell, *J. Mater. Sci.*, **34** (1999), 4793–4802.
- [6] K.-T. Rie, E. Broszeit, *Surf. Coat. Tech.*, **76/77** (1995), 425–436.
- [7] D. B. Lewis, A. Leyland, P. R. Stevenson, J. Cawley and A. Matthews, *Surf. Coat. Tech.*, **60** (1993), 416–423.
- [8] Y. Sun, X. Y. Li and T. Bell, *Surf. Eng.*, **15** (1999), 49–54.




Figure 6. Hardness profiles measured across the hardened layers resulting from various treatment conditions.

- [9] S. Thaiwatthana, X. Y. Li, H. Dong and T. Bell, *Surf. Eng.*, **18** (2002), 14–144.
- [10] M. Tsujikawa, D. Yoshida, N. Yamauchi, N. Ueda, T. Sone and S. Tanaka, *Surf. Coat. Tech.*, **200** (2005), 507–511.
- [11] Y. Sun and E. Haruman, *Surf. Coat. Tech.*, **202** (2008), 4069–4075.
- [12] X. Y. Li, J. Buhagiar and H. Dong, *Surf. Eng.*, **26** (2010), 67–73.
- [13] J. C. Stinville, P. Villechaise, C. Templier, J. P. Riviere and M. Drouet, *Surf. Coat. Tech.*, **204** (2010), 1947–1951.
- [14] Y. Sun, *J. Mater. Proc. Tech.*, **168** (2005), 189–194.
- [15] M. Tsujikawa, S. Noguchi, N. Yamauchi, N. Ueda and T. Sone, *Surf. Coat. Tech.*, **201** (2007), 5102–5107.
- [16] K. Gemma, T. Obtruka, T. Fujiwara and M. Kwakami, *Prospects for rapid nitriding in high Cr austenitic alloys, in Stainless Steel 2000*, Edited by Tom Bell and Katruya Akamatsu, Maney Publishing, Leeds, (2001), pp. 159–166.
- [17] F. Ernst, Y. Cao, G. M. Michal, A. H. Heuer, *Acta Mater.*, **55** (2007), 1895–1906.
- [18] W. M. Gao, L. X. Kong and P. D. Hodgson, *J. Mater. Proc. Tech.* **125/126** (2002), 170–178.
- [19] Ahmet Türk, Orcun Ok, Cuma Bindal, *Vacuum*, **80** (2005), 332–342.
- [20] E. Haruman, Y. Sun, H. Malik and S. Mridha, *Solid State Phenomena* **118** (2006), 125–130.
- [21] K. H. Prabhudev, *Handbook of Heat Treatment of Steels*, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing, Delhi (1999).