Research Article

Antonio Giuseppe Grimaldi*

Higher differentiability for bounded solutions to a class of obstacle problems with (p, q)-growth

https://doi.org/10.1515/forum-2022-0167 Received June 1, 2022; revised September 7, 2022

Abstract: We establish the higher fractional differentiability of bounded minimizers to a class of obstacle problems with non-standard growth conditions of the form

$$\min\bigg\{\int\limits_{\Omega}F(x,Dw)dx:w\in\mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega)\bigg\},$$

where Ω is a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, the function $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a fixed function called *obstacle*, and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega) := \{ w \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : w \ge \psi \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \}$$

is the class of admissible functions. If the obstacle ψ is locally bounded, we prove that the gradient of solution inherits some fractional differentiability property, assuming that both the gradient of the obstacle and the mapping $x \mapsto D_{\xi}F(x,\xi)$ belong to some suitable Besov space. The main novelty is that the Besov type regularity on the partial map $x \mapsto D_{\xi}F(x,\xi)$ is not related to the dimension n.

Keywords: Besov spaces, higher differentiability, non-standard growth, obstacle problem

MSC 2010: 35J87, 47J20, 49J40

Communicated by: Frank Duzaar

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the higher fractional differentiability properties of the gradient of bounded solutions $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to obstacle problems of the form

$$\min \left\{ \int_{\Omega} F(x, Dw) dx : w \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega) \right\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Here Ω is a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 2$, the function $\psi : \Omega \to [-\infty, +\infty)$, called *obstacle*, belongs to the Sobolev class $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $p \ge 2$, and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega) := \left\{ w \in u_0 + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : w \ge \psi \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \right\}$$

is the class of admissible functions, where $u_0 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a fixed boundary datum. To avoid trivialities, we shall assume that $\mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega)$ is not empty.

^{*}Corresponding author: Antonio Giuseppe Grimaldi, Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "R. Caccioppoli", Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II", Via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy, e-mail: antoniogiuseppe.grimaldi@unina.it. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5400-9092

In what follows, we assume that the energy density $F: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, +\infty)$ is a Carathéodory function with Uhlenbeck structure, i.e. there exists a function $\tilde{F}: \Omega \times [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ such that

$$F(x,\xi) = \tilde{F}(x,|\xi|) \tag{F1}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Moreover, we also assume that there exist positive constants \tilde{v} , \tilde{L} , \tilde{l} , exponents $2 \le p < q < +\infty$ and a parameter $\mu \in [0,1]$, which will allow us to consider in our analysis both the degenerate and the non-degenerate situation, such that the following assumptions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{i}}(|\xi|^p - \mu^p) \le F(x, \xi) \le \tilde{l}(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}},\tag{F2}$$

$$\langle D_{\xi\xi}F(x,\xi)\lambda,\lambda\rangle \ge \tilde{\nu}(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\lambda|^2,\tag{F3}$$

$$|D_{\xi\xi}F(x,\xi)| \le \tilde{L}(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}}$$
 (F4)

for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$ and every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In the vectorial case, assumption (F1) is quite common to prove regularity results for local minimizers (see [49]), but, in the scalar case, it plays a crucial role, since it allows to approximate F with a sequence of integrands F_i with p-growth, monotonically convergent to F (see Lemma 4.1).

Very recently, in [15] it has been proved, under the structure assumption (F1), that (F3) and (F4) imply (F2), i.e. if p < q, the functional F has non-standard growth conditions of (p, q)-type, as initially defined and studied by Marcellini [40, 41].

We say that the function *F* satisfies assumption (F5) if there exists a non-negative function

$$g\in L^{rac{p+2eta}{p+eta-q}}_{
m loc}(\Omega),$$

with $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$, such that

$$|D_{\varepsilon}F(x,\xi) - D_{\varepsilon}F(y,\xi)| \le |x - y|^{\alpha} (g(x) + g(y))(\mu^{2} + |\xi|^{2})^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$$
 (F5)

for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$ and every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

On the other hand, we say that assumption (F6) is satisfied if there exists a sequence of measurable non-negative functions

$$g_k \in L^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega),$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$, such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\|g_k\|_{L^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}}(\Omega)}^{\sigma}<\infty$$

for some $\sigma \geq 1$, and at the same time

$$|D_{\xi}F(x,\xi) - D_{\xi}F(y,\xi)| \le |x-y|^{\alpha} (g_k(x) + g_k(y))(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$$
(F6)

for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$ such that $2^{-k} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega) \le |x - y| < 2^{-k+1} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

It is well known that the regularity of the minima often comes from the fact that they are also solutions to the corresponding Euler–Lagrange system, in the unconstrained setting, or, in the case of obstacle problems, to the corresponding variational inequality. In the case of standard growth conditions, that is, p=q, we have that $u\in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a solution to the obstacle problem in $\mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega)$ if and only if $u\in \mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega)$ solves the variational inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du), D(\varphi - u) \rangle dx \ge 0$$
(1.2)

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega)$, where we set

$$\mathcal{A}(x,\xi)=D_{\xi}F(x,\xi).$$

On the other hand, in the case of non-standard growth conditions, even in the unconstrained case, the relation between extremals and minima is an issue that requires a careful investigation (see for example [7, 8, 22]).

From conditions (F2)–(F4), we deduce the existence of positive constants v, L, l such that the following *p*-ellipticity and *q*-growth conditions are satisfied by the map A:

$$|\mathcal{A}(x,\xi)| \le l(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}},$$
 (A1)

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(x,\xi) - \mathcal{A}(x,\eta), \xi - \eta \rangle \ge \nu |\xi - \eta|^2 (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}},$$
 (A2)

$$|\mathcal{A}(x,\xi) - \mathcal{A}(x,\eta)| \le L|\xi - \eta|(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}}$$
 (A3)

for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$ and every $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Furthermore, if one of the conditions (F5) or (F6) holds, then \mathcal{A} satisfies assumption (A4) or (A5), respectively, i.e.

$$|\mathcal{A}(x,\xi) - \mathcal{A}(y,\xi)| \le |x - y|^{\alpha} (g(x) + g(y)) (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$$
(A4)

for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$ and every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, or

$$|\mathcal{A}(x,\xi) - \mathcal{A}(y,\xi)| \le |x-y|^{\alpha} (g_k(x) + g_k(y)) (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$$
(A5)

for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$ such that $2^{-k} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega) \le |x - y| < 2^{-k+1} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The study of obstacle problems started with the works by Stampacchia [47] and Fichera [23] and has since then attracted much attention. It is usually observed that the regularity of the solutions to the obstacle problems is influenced by the one of the obstacle; for example, for linear obstacle problems, obstacle and solutions have the same regularity [3, 5, 38]. This does not apply in the nonlinear setting, and hence there have been intense research activities in this direction (see [11, 12, 19, 42], just to mention a few).

When referring to functionals with non-standard growth conditions, it is well known that in order to get regularity of minimizers, even the boundedness, a restriction between p and q need to be imposed, usually expressed in the form $q \le c(n)p$ with a suitable constant c(n) that goes to 1 as n goes to ∞ (see [29, 41] for counterexamples).

In recent years, there has been a considerable interest in analyzing how an extra differentiability of integer or fractional order of the obstacle transfers to the gradient of solutions: for instance we quote [9, 20, 21, 26, 27, 34] in the setting of standard growth conditions, and [10, 16, 24, 25, 28, 35, 36, 50] in the setting of non-standard growth conditions.

The analysis comes from the fact that the regularity of the solutions to obstacle problem (1.2) is strictly connected to the analysis of the regularity of the solutions to partial differential equations of the form

$$\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\psi),$$

whose higher differentiability properties have been widely investigated (see for instance [1, 2, 13, 30–32, 44, 45].

It is well known that no extra differentiability properties for the solutions can be expected even if the obstacle ψ is smooth, unless some assumption is given on the coefficients of the operator \mathcal{A} . A $W^{1,r}$ Sobolev regularity, with $r \ge n$, or a $B^s_{r,\sigma}$ Besov regularity, with $r \ge \frac{n}{s}$, on the partial map $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x,\xi)$ is a sufficient condition for the higher differentiability of solutions to obstacle problems (see [20, 24-26] for the case of Sobolev class of integer order, and [20, 35] for the fractional one).

In particular, in [35] the author and Ipocoana obtained higher fractional differentiability properties for the solution to (1.1) in the sense of

$$(\mu^2 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{4}} Du \in B_{2,\sigma,\log}^{\alpha}(\Omega),$$

provided the obstacle function satisfies

$$D\psi \in B_{2q-p,\sigma,\mathrm{loc}}^{\gamma}(\Omega)$$

for $0 < \alpha < \gamma < 1$, and assuming that, in the case $\sigma = \infty$, there exists a measurable, non-negative function $g \in L^r_{loc}(\Omega)$ satisfying (F5) or, in the case of a constant $\sigma \leq \frac{2n}{n-2a}$, in which even parameters $\gamma = \alpha$ are admissible, there exists a sequence of measurable, non-negative functions $g_k \in l^{\sigma}(L^r_{loc}(\Omega))$ satisfying (F6), where $r > \frac{n}{a}$. Moreover, the above results have been proved under the condition on the gap between q and p of the type

$$\frac{q}{p} < 1 + \frac{\alpha}{n} - \frac{1}{r}.$$

For precise definition and properties of Besov spaces, see Sections 2.2 and 3.

When one deals with bounded minimizers, both for unconstrained and constrained problems with (p,q)-growth, regularity results for the gradient can be proved under dimension-free conditions on the gap q/p and weaker assumptions on the data of the problem (see [6, 14, 17, 27, 28, 32]). Moreover, in [27, 28, 32], the higher differentiability of integer order of bounded solutions to (1.2) is obtained assuming that the coefficients of $\mathcal A$ and the gradient of the obstacle belong to a Sobolev class that is not related to the dimension n but to the ellipticity and the growth exponents of the functional.

Recently, in [18], it has been proved that, assuming the local boundedness of the obstacle ψ , the solution to the obstacle problem (1.1) is locally bounded under a sharp relation between p and q. Here, we study higher fractional differentiability properties of bounded solutions to obstacle problems satisfying (p,q)-growth conditions. The novelty of this work consists in showing that, even in the fractional setting, the higher differentiability properties of bounded solutions to (1.1) hold true assuming that the Besov type regularity on the partial map $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x,\xi)$ is not related to the dimension n. We observe that the bound (1.4) is only needed to get the local boundedness of the solution (see Theorem 2.3). Therefore, if we deal with a priori bounded minimizers, then the result holds without the hypothesis (1.4).

More precisely, we shall prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. Let $F(x, \xi)$ satisfy (F1)–(F5) for exponents $2 \le p < q$ such that

$$q$$

and

$$\frac{1}{q} \ge \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n-1}.\tag{1.4}$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega)$ be the solution to the obstacle problem (1.1). If $\psi \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$, then we have that

$$D\psi \in B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-\alpha},\infty,\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega) \quad implies \quad (\mu^2+|Du|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{4}}Du \in B^{\alpha}_{2,\infty,\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega),$$

provided $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$.

On the other hand, a Besov regularity of the type $B^a_{r,\sigma}$, with σ finite, is stronger than the one of the type $B^a_{p,\infty}$. In this case, we prove higher fractional differentiability properties for bounded minimizers under weaker assumptions both on the coefficients of $\mathcal A$ and on the gradient of the obstacle and on the bound for the gap q/p. The main difference is that a stronger embedding theorem between Sobolev and Besov spaces holds (see Lemma 3.6).

Theorem 1.2. Let $F(x, \xi)$ satisfy (F1)–(F4) and (F6) for exponents $2 \le p < q$ verifying (1.4) and

$$q .$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega)$ be the solution to the obstacle problem (1.1). If $\psi \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$, then we have that

$$D\psi \in B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-\alpha},\sigma,\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega) \quad implies \quad (\mu^2 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{4}}Du \in B^{\alpha}_{2,\sigma,\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega),$$

for a constant $\sigma \ge 1$ such that $\sigma(\alpha + \frac{p}{2}) \le 2$.

Note that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 improve the results contained in [35]. Here, the local boundedness allows us to use an interpolation inequality (see Lemma 3.2) that gives the higher local integrability $L^{p+2\alpha}$ of the gradient of the solutions. Such higher integrability is the key tool in order to weaken the assumptions on the partial map $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$ and on $D\psi$ with respect to the higher differentiability results established in [35]. Indeed, for $p < n - 2\alpha$ and q , we have

$$L^{\frac{n}{a}} \subset L^{\frac{p+2a}{p+a-q}}$$

and, moreover, under our assumption on the gap, i.e. q ,

$$B_{2q-p,\sigma}^{\alpha} \in B_{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q},\sigma}^{\alpha}$$
.

The structure of this paper is the following. After recalling some notation and preliminary results in Section 2, a Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequality in Besov spaces is established in Section 3 for a priori bounded

minimizers. Then we concentrate on proving our main result. The strategy is to prove uniform a priori estimates for solutions to a family of approximating problems. Therefore, in Section 4, we present the approximation lemma that allows to construct a sequence of functions satisfying p-growth conditions that converges to the initial problem. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.1. In particular, we derive the a priori estimates in Section 5.1, and, in Section 5.2, we pass to the limit in the approximating problems. Eventually, in Section 6, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2, focusing only on the a priori estimate since the approximation procedure works exactly in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 Notations and preliminary results

For the rest of the paper, we denote by C, c, π general positive constants. Different occurrences from line to line will still be denoted using the same letters. Relevant dependencies on parameters will be emphasized using parentheses or subscripts. We denote by $B(x, r) = B_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x| < r\}$ the ball centered at x of radius r. We shall omit the dependence on the center and on the radius when no confusion arises. For a function $u \in L^1(B)$, the symbol

$$\int_{R} u(x)dx = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{R} u(x)dx$$

will denote the integral mean of the function u over the set B.

It is convenient to introduce an auxiliary function

$$V_p(\xi) = (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{4}} \xi$$

defined for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. One can easily check that, for $p \geq 2$, it holds

$$|\xi|^p \le |V_p(\xi)|^2.$$

For the auxiliary function V_p , we recall the following estimate (see the proof of [33, Lemma 8.3]).

Lemma 2.1. Let 1 . There exists a constant <math>c = c(n, p) > 0 such that

$$c^{-1}(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \le \frac{|V_p(\xi) - V_p(\eta)|^2}{|\xi - \eta|^2} \le c(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi \neq \eta$.

Now we state a well-known iteration lemma (see [33, Lemma 6.1] for the proof).

Lemma 2.2. Assume $\Phi: [\frac{R}{2}, R] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded non-negative function, where R > 0. Assume that for all $\frac{R}{2} \le r < s \le R$ it holds

$$\Phi(r) \le \theta \Phi(s) + A + \frac{B}{(s-r)^2} + \frac{C}{(s-r)^{\gamma}}$$

where $\theta \in (0,1)$, A, B, $C \ge 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ are constants. Then there exists a constant $c = c(\theta, \gamma)$ such that

$$\Phi\!\left(\frac{R}{2}\right) \leq c\!\left(A + \frac{B}{R^2} + \frac{C}{R^\gamma}\right).$$

The following regularity result, whose proof can be found in [18] in a more general setting, allows us to obtain the local boundedness of solutions to the obstacle problem (1.1).

Theorem 2.3. Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a solution to (1.1) under assumptions (F2) and (F3), for exponents $2 \le p < q$ verifying (1.4). If $\psi \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$, then $u \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ and the estimate

$$\sup_{B_{R/2}} |u| \le C(\sup_{B_R} |\psi| + ||u||_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi}$$
(2.1)

holds for every ball $B_R \in \Omega$, for $\pi := \pi(n, p, q)$ and with C := C(n, p, q, R).

2.1 Difference quotient

We recall some properties of the finite difference quotient operator that will be needed in the sequel. Let us recall that, for a function $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and a vector $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the r-th finite difference operator is defined by

$$\begin{split} \tau_h^1 F(x) &:= \tau_h F(x) = F(x+h) - F(x), \\ \tau_h^r F(x) &:= \tau_h (\tau_h^{r-1} F(x)), \quad r \in \mathbb{N}, \ r \geq 1. \end{split}$$

We start with the description of some elementary properties that can be found, for example, in [33].

Proposition 2.4. Let $F \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, with $p \ge 1$, and let us consider the set

$$\Omega_{|h|} = \{ x \in \Omega : \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) > |h| \}.$$

Then the following assertions hold:

(i) $\tau_h F \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_{|h|})$ and

$$D_i(\tau_h F) = \tau_h(D_i F).$$

(ii) If at least one of the functions F or G has support contained in $\Omega_{|h|}$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} F \tau_h G dx = \int_{\Omega} G \tau_{-h} F dx.$$

(iii) We have

$$\tau_h(FG)(x) = F(x+h)\tau_h G(x) + G(x)\tau_h F(x).$$

The next result about the finite difference operator is a kind of integral version of the Lagrange theorem.

Lemma 2.5. If $0 < \rho < R$, $|h| < \frac{R-\rho}{2}$, $1 and <math>F, DF \in L^p(B_R)$, then

$$\int\limits_{B_n} |\tau_h F(x)|^p dx \leq c(n,p) |h|^p \int\limits_{B_R} |DF(x)|^p dx.$$

Moreover,

$$\int\limits_{B_n}|F(x+h)|^pdx\leq\int\limits_{B_n}|F(x)|^pdx.$$

2.2 Besov spaces

We give the definition of Besov spaces as done in [37, Section 2.5.12].

Definition 2.6. Let $v : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $\alpha > 0$ be a constant. Denote by r the smallest integer larger than α .

(i) Let $1 \le p$, $s < \infty$. We say that v belongs to the Besov space $B_{n,s}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $v \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$[v]_{B^{\alpha}_{p,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}=\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|\tau^r_hv(x)|^p}{|h|^{\alpha p}}dx\bigg)^{\frac{s}{p}}\frac{dh}{|h|^n}\bigg)^{\frac{1}{s}}<\infty.$$

Equivalently, we could simply say that

$$v \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 and $\frac{\tau_h^r v}{|h|^{\alpha}} \in L^s\left(\frac{dh}{|h|^n}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$.

(ii) Let $1 \le p < \infty$. We say that $v \in B_{p,\infty}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $v \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$[v]_{B^{\alpha}_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\tau_h^r v(x)|^p}{|h|^{\alpha p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Accordingly, for $1 \le p < \infty$ and $1 \le s \le \infty$, the Besov norm is defined by

$$\|v\|_{B_{n,s}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + [v]_{B_{n,s}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Observe that, if $1 \le s < \infty$, by integrating for $h \in B(0, \delta)$ for a fixed $\delta > 0$, an equivalent norm is obtained, because

$$\bigg(\int\limits_{\{|h|>S\}}\bigg(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|\tau_h^rv(x)|^p}{|h|^{\alpha p}}dx\bigg)^{\frac{s}{p}}\frac{dh}{|h|^n}\bigg)^{\frac{1}{s}}\leq c(n,\alpha,p,s,\delta)\|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In the case $s=\infty$, one can simply take the supremum over $|h| \leq \delta$ and obtain an equivalent norm. By construction, one has $B_{n,s}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. One also has the following version of Sobolev embeddings (a proof can be found at [37, Proposition 7.12]).

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that* $0 < \alpha < 1$.

- (i) If $1 and <math>1 \le s \le p_{\alpha}^* = \frac{np}{n-\alpha p}$, then there is a continuous embedding $B_{p,s}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{p_{\alpha}^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (ii) If $p = \frac{n}{a}$ and $1 \le s \le \infty$, then there is a continuous embedding $B_{p,s}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where BMO denotes the space of functions with bounded mean oscillations [33, Chapter 2].

For further needs, we recall the following inclusions [37, Proposition 7.10 and (7.35)].

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that* $0 < \beta < \alpha$ *.*

- (i) If $1 and <math>1 \le s \le t \le \infty$, then $B_{p,s}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset B_{p,t}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. (ii) If $1 and <math>1 \le s$, $t \le \infty$, then $B_{p,s}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset B_{p,t}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Given a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we say that ν belongs to the local Besov space $B_{p,s,loc}^{\alpha}$ if $\varphi, \nu \in B_{p,s}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whenever $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. It is worth noticing that one can prove suitable versions of Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8, by using local Besov spaces.

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. A function $v \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ belongs to the local Besov space $B^\alpha_{n,s,loc}$, with $1 \le p, s \le \infty$ and $0 < \alpha < 2$, if and only if

$$\left\|\frac{\tau_h^r v}{|h|^\alpha}\right\|_{L^s(\frac{dh}{|h|^n};L^p(B))}<\infty$$

for any ball $B \subset 2B \subset \Omega$ with radius r_B . Here the measure $\frac{dh}{|h|^n}$ is restricted to the ball $B(0, r_B)$ on the h-space.

Proof. If α < 1, the result has been proved in [2].

Now, let $\alpha \in [1, 2)$. Using twice Proposition 2.4 (iii), for any smooth and compactly supported test function φ , the pointwise identity

$$\frac{\tau_h^2(\varphi v)(x)}{|h|^{\alpha}} = \frac{\tau_h(\varphi(x+h)\tau_h v(x) + v(x)\tau_h \varphi(x))}{|h|^{\alpha}} \\
= \varphi(x+2h)\frac{\tau_h^2 v(x)}{|h|^{\alpha}} + 2\frac{\tau_h v(x)\tau_h \varphi(x+h)}{|h|^{\alpha}} + v(x)\frac{\tau_h^2 \varphi(x)}{|h|^{\alpha}} \tag{2.2}$$

holds.

It is clear that

$$\left|\frac{\tau_h v(x) \tau_h \varphi(x+h)}{|h|^\alpha}\right| \leq \frac{|\tau_h v(x)|}{|h|^{\alpha-1}} \|D\varphi\|_\infty.$$

So, since $\alpha - 1 < 1$ and $\nu \in B_{p,s,loc}^{\alpha - 1}(\Omega)$, from Lemma 2.8 (b), one has

$$\frac{\tau_h v(x) \tau_h \varphi(x+h)}{|h|^\alpha} \in L^s\Big(\frac{dh}{|h|^n}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\Big).$$

Moreover, it holds

$$\left|\frac{v(x)\tau_h^2\varphi(x)}{|h|^\alpha}\right|\leq |v(x)|\|\tau_h D\varphi\|_\infty |h|^{1-\alpha}\leq |v(x)|\|D^2\varphi\|_\infty |h|^{2-\alpha},$$

and therefore we have

$$\frac{v(x)\tau_h^2\varphi(x)}{|h|^\alpha}\in L^s\Big(\frac{dh}{|h|^n};L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\Big).$$

As a consequence, we have the equivalence

$$\varphi v \in B_{p,s}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 if and only if $\varphi(x+2h)\frac{\tau_h^2 v(x)}{|h|^{\alpha}} \in L^s(\frac{dh}{|h|^n}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)).$

However, it is clear that

$$\varphi(x+2h)\frac{\tau_h^2 v(x)}{|h|^{\alpha}} \in L^s\left(\frac{dh}{|h|^n}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\right) \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega),$$

if and only if the same happens for every $\varphi = \chi_B$ and all balls $B \subset 2B \subset \Omega$. This concludes the proof.

It is known that Besov spaces of fractional order $\alpha \in (0,1)$ can be characterized in pointwise terms.

Definition 2.10. Suppose $v : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function. Then a *fractional a-Hajlasz gradient for* v is a sequence $\{g_k\}_k$ of measurable, non-negative functions $g_k : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, together with a null set $N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, such that the inequality

$$|v(x) - v(y)| \le (g_k(x) + g_k(y))|x - y|^{\alpha}$$

holds whenever $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus N$ are such that $2^{-k} \le |x - y| < 2^{-k+1}$. We say that $\{g_k\}_k \in l^s(\mathbb{Z}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ if

$$\|\{g_k\}_k\|_{l^s(L^p)} = \bigg(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|g_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^s\bigg)^{\frac{1}{s}} < \infty.$$

The following result was proved in [39].

Theorem 2.11. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1 \le p < \infty$ and $1 \le s \le \infty$. Let $v \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. One has $v \in B^\alpha_{p,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if there exists a fractional α -Hajlasz gradient $\{g_k\}_k \in l^s(\mathbb{Z}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for v. Moreover,

$$\|v\|_{B_n^a s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \simeq \inf \|\{g_k\}_k\|_{l^s(L^p)},$$

where the infimum runs over all possible fractional α -Hajlasz gradients for ν .

3 Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

In this section, we collect some results on Besov spaces that will be useful later.

Lemma 3.1. Let $v \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $Dv \in B^{\gamma}_{p,s,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $1 \le s \le \infty$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$, then $v \in B^{1+\gamma}_{p,s,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, the estimate

$$[v]_{B^{1+\gamma}_{p,s}(B_{\rho})} \leq c[Dv]_{B^{\gamma}_{p,s}(B_{R})}$$

holds for every ball $B_{\rho} \subset B_R$, with c := c(n, p).

Proof. We give the proof of Lemma 3.1 only for $s = \infty$, since the case s finite can be obtained in a similar way. Fix $0 < \rho < R$, $|h| < \frac{R-\rho}{2}$ and consider balls $B_{\rho} \subset B_{R}$. Since $1 < 1 + \gamma < 2$, we have that

$$[v]_{B_{p,\infty}^{1+\gamma}(B_{\rho})} = \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{B_{\rho}} \frac{|\tau_h^2 v(x)|^p}{|h|^{(1+\gamma)p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Now, using $v \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{B_{\rho}} \frac{|\tau_{h}(\tau_{h}v(x))|^{p}}{|h|^{(1+\gamma)p}} dx &\leq c|h|^{p} \int\limits_{B_{R}} \frac{|\tau_{h}Dv(x)|^{p}}{|h|^{(1+\gamma)p}} dx \\ &= c \int\limits_{B_{R}} \frac{|\tau_{h}Dv(x)|^{p}}{|h|^{\gamma p}} dx \\ &\leq c [Dv]_{B_{p,\infty}(B_{R})}^{p}, \end{split}$$

which is finite by the assumption on Dv. This completes the proof.

The following interpolation inequality can be found in [46].

Lemma 3.2. Let $1 \le p < \infty$, $1 \le s \le \infty$, y > 0 and $0 < \theta < 1$. Then the interpolation inequality

$$\|v\|_{B^{\theta\gamma}_{p/\theta,s/\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|v\|_{B^{\gamma}_{p,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\theta}\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\theta}$$

holds for every $v \in B_{n,s}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For the next result, see, e.g., [43].

Lemma 3.3. Let y > 0, $1 \le p < \infty$ and $1 \le s \le \infty$. If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and y > m, then there is a continuous embedding $B_{n,s}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$

Now, we are able to prove the following higher integrability result.

Proposition 3.4. Let $v \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $Dv \in B^{\gamma}_{p,\infty,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then $Dv \in L^{p(1+\beta)}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for every $0 < \beta < \gamma$. Moreover, the estimate

$$\int\limits_{B_{\rho}} |Dv|^{p(1+\beta)} dx \leq C \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})}^{p\beta} \Big([Dv]_{B_{p,\infty}^{\gamma}(B_{R})}^{p} + \frac{1}{(R-\rho)^{2p}} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})}^{p} \Big)$$

holds for every ball $B_{\rho} \subset B_R$, with $C := C(n, p, \gamma, \beta)$.

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$v \in B_{p,\infty}^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 locally.

Then Lemma 3.2 yields

$$v \in B_{p/\theta,\infty}^{\theta(1+\gamma)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 locally

for every $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

Choosing $\theta = \frac{1}{1+\beta}$, for $0 < \beta < \gamma$, we have

$$\theta(1+\gamma)=\frac{1+\gamma}{1+\beta}>1.$$

Let us consider $0 < \rho < R \le 1$ and fix balls $B_\rho \subset B_R$ and a cut-off function $\eta \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(B_{\frac{R+\rho}{2}})$ such that $0 \le \eta \le 1$, $\eta = 1$ on B_ρ , $|D\eta| \le \frac{C}{R-\rho}$ and $|D^2\eta| \le \frac{C}{(R-\rho)^2}$. By virtue of Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\int\limits_{B_{\rho}} |Dv|^{p(1+\beta)} dx \le \|\eta v\|_{W^{1,p(1+\beta)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p(1+\beta)} \le c \|\eta v\|_{B_{p(1+\beta),\infty}^{\frac{1+\gamma}{1+\beta}}}^{p(1+\beta)}. \tag{3.1}$$

From Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\|\eta v\|_{B_{p(1+\beta),\infty}^{\frac{1+\gamma}{1+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p(1+\beta)} \le c\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)}^{p\beta}\|\eta v\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p}.$$
(3.2)

Using identity (2.2) and the properties of η , we infer

$$\begin{split} \|\eta v\|_{B^{1+\gamma}_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p & \leq C \|v\|_{L^p(B_{\frac{R+\rho}{2}})}^p + C \sup_{|h| \leq \frac{R-\rho}{4}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\eta(x+2h)|^p \frac{|\tau_h^2 v|^p}{|h|^{p(1+\gamma)}} dx \\ & + C \sup_{|h| \leq \frac{R-\rho}{4}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tau_h \eta(x+h)|^p \frac{|\tau_h v|^p}{|h|^{p(1+\gamma)}} dx + C \sup_{|h| \leq \frac{R-\rho}{4}} \int\limits_{B_{\frac{3R+\rho}{4}}} |v|^p \frac{|\tau_h^2 \eta(x)|^p}{|h|^{p(1+\gamma)}} dx \\ & \leq C \|v\|_{L^p(B_{\frac{R+\rho}{2}})}^p + C \sup_{|h| \leq \frac{R-\rho}{4}} \int\limits_{B_{\frac{3R+\rho}{4}}} \frac{|\tau_h^2 v|^p}{|h|^{p(1+\gamma)}} dx + C \sup_{|h| \leq \frac{R-\rho}{4}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|D\eta\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{3R+\rho}{4}})}^p \frac{|\tau_h v|^p}{|h|^{p\gamma}} dx \\ & + C \sup_{|h| \leq \frac{R-\rho}{4}} |h|^{p(1-\gamma)} \int\limits_{B_{\frac{3R+\rho}{4}}} |v|^p \|D^2 \eta\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{3R+\rho}{4}})}^p dx \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \leq C \|v\|_{L^p(B_{\frac{R+\rho}{2}})}^p + C[v]_{B_{p,\infty}^{1+\gamma}(B_{\frac{3R+\rho}{4}})}^p + \frac{C}{(R-\rho)^p} \sup_{|h| \leq \frac{R-\rho}{4}} \int\limits_{B_{\frac{3R+\rho}{4}}} \frac{|\tau_h v|^p}{|h|^{p\gamma}} dx \\ + \frac{C}{(R-\rho)^{2p}} \sup_{|h| \leq \frac{R-\rho}{4}} |h|^{p(1-\gamma)} \int\limits_{B_{\frac{3R+\rho}{4}}} |v|^p dx. \end{split}$$

Now, exploiting Lemma 2.5 and using the fact that $R - \rho < 1$, we obtain

$$\|\eta v\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p} \leq C\|v\|_{L^{p}(B_{\frac{R+\rho}{2}})}^{p} + C[v]_{B_{p,\infty}^{1+\gamma}(B_{\frac{3R+\rho}{4}})}^{p} + \frac{C}{(R-\rho)^{p}} \sup_{|h| \leq \frac{R-\rho}{4}} |h|^{p(1-\gamma)} \int_{B_{R}} |Dv|^{p} dx + \frac{C}{(R-\rho)^{2p}} \int_{B_{\frac{3R+\rho}{4}}} |v|^{p} dx$$

$$\leq C[v]_{B_{p,\infty}^{1+\gamma}(B_{\frac{3R+\rho}{4}})}^{p} + \frac{C}{(R-\rho)^{2p}} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})}^{p}. \tag{3.3}$$

Combining inequalities (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) and Lemma 3.1, we derive

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{B_{\rho}} |Dv|^{p(1+\beta)} dx &\leq C \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})}^{p\beta} [v]_{B_{p,\infty}^{1+\gamma}(B_{\frac{3R+\rho}{4}})}^{p} + \frac{C}{(R-\rho)^{2p}} \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})}^{p\beta} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})}^{p} \\ &\leq C \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})}^{p\beta} [Dv]_{B_{p,\infty}^{\gamma}(B_{R})}^{p} + \frac{C}{(R-\rho)^{2p}} \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})}^{p\beta} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})}^{p}, \end{split}$$

i.e. the desired estimate.

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of the previous result and will be a fundamental tool for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.5. Let $v \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p \geq 2$, and assume that $V_p(Dv) \in B^{\gamma}_{2,\infty,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then $Dv \in L^{p+2\beta}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $0 < \beta < \gamma$. Moreover, the inequality

$$\int\limits_{B_{o}} |Dv|^{p+2\beta} dx \leq C \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})}^{2\beta} \Big([V_{p}(Dv)]_{B_{2,\infty}^{\gamma}(B_{R})}^{2} + \frac{1}{(R-\rho)^{2p}} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})}^{p} \Big)$$

holds for every ball $B_0 \subset B_R$, with $C := C(n, p, \gamma, \beta)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$|\tau_h V_p(Dv)|^2 \ge c|\tau_h Dv|^2 (\mu^2 + |Dv(x+h)|^2 + |Dv(x)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \ge c|\tau_h Dv|^p, \tag{3.4}$$

where in the last inequality we used the fact that $p \ge 2$.

Estimate (3.4) implies

$$\int_{B_R} \frac{|\tau_h D v|^p}{|h|^{p\frac{2\gamma}{p}}} = \int_{B_R} \frac{|\tau_h D v|^p}{|h|^{2\gamma}} dx \le c \int_{B_R} \frac{|\tau_h V_p(D v)|^2}{|h|^{2\gamma}} dx \quad \text{for every } h.$$
 (3.5)

Now, taking the supremum over h in (3.5) and by virtue of the assumption on $V_p(Dv)$, we derive that

$$Dv \in B_{n,\infty}^{\frac{2\gamma}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 locally. (3.6)

Thanks to Lemma 3.1 and (3.6), we obtain

$$v \in B_{p,\infty}^{1+\frac{2\gamma}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 locally.

By virtue of Lemma 3.4 and (3.5), it follows

$$\int\limits_{B_{\rho}} |Dv|^{p+2\beta} dx \leq C \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})}^{2\beta} [V_{p}(Dv)]_{B_{2,\infty}^{\gamma}(B_{R})}^{2} + \frac{C}{(R-\rho)^{2p}} \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})}^{2\beta} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})}^{p}$$

for every $0 < \beta < \gamma$.

Moreover, we have the following embeddings between Sobolev and Besov spaces (see [48]).

Lemma 3.6. Let y > 0, $1 and <math>1 \le s \le \min\{p, 2\}$. Then there is a continuous embedding

$$B_{p,s}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{\gamma,p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Arguing similarly, but assuming that $V_p(Dv) \in B_{2,s,loc}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $1 \le s < \infty$, we are able to prove the following result.

Proposition 3.7. Let $v \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p \geq 2$, and assume that $V_p(Dv) \in B^{\gamma}_{2.s.loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $0 < \gamma < 1 \text{ and } 1 \le s \le \frac{4}{n+2\gamma}$. Then

$$Dv \in L_{loc}^{p+2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, the inequality

$$\int\limits_{B_{\rho}} |Dv|^{p+2\gamma} dx \leq C \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)}^{2\gamma} \Big([V_p(Dv)]_{B_{2,s}^{\gamma}(B_R)}^2 + \frac{1}{(R-\rho)^{2p}} \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)}^p \Big)$$

holds for every ball $B_0 \subset B_R$, with $C := C(n, p, \gamma)$.

4 Approximation lemma

The main tool to prove Theorem 1.1 is the following approximation lemma (see [35] for the proof).

Lemma 4.1. Let $F: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, +\infty)$, $F = F(x, \xi)$, be a Carathéodory function satisfying assumptions (F1)–(F3) and (F5). Then there exists a sequence (F_i) of Carathéodory functions $F_i: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, +\infty)$ monotonically convergent to F, such that the following assertions hold:

- (i) For a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $F_j(x, \xi) = \tilde{F}_j(x, |\xi|)$.
- (ii) For a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for every j,

$$F_i(x, \xi) \le F_{i+1}(x, \xi) \le F(x, \xi).$$

(iii) For a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\langle D_{\xi\xi}F_i(x,\xi)\lambda,\lambda\rangle \geq \bar{\nu}(\mu^2+|\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\lambda|^2,$$

with \bar{v} depending only on p and v.

(iv) For a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exist L_1 , independent of j, and \overline{L}_1 , depending on j, such that

$$\frac{1}{L_1}(|\xi|^p - \mu^p) \le F_j(x, \xi) \le L_1(\mu + |\xi|)^q,$$
$$F_j(x, \xi) \le \bar{L}_1(j)(\mu + |\xi|)^p.$$

(v) There exists a constant C(j) > 0 such that

$$|D_{\xi}F_{j}(x,\xi) - D_{\xi}F_{j}(y,\xi)| \le |x-y|^{\alpha}(g(x)+g(y))(\mu^{2}+|\xi|^{2})^{\frac{q-1}{2}},$$

$$|D_{\xi}F_{i}(x,\xi) - D_{\xi}F_{i}(y,\xi)| \le C(j)|x-y|^{\alpha}(g(x)+g(y))(\mu^{2}+|\xi|^{2})^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$$

for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Assuming (F6) instead of (F5), statement (v) would change as follows:

(v') There exists a constant C(j) > 0 such that

$$|D_{\xi}F_{j}(x,\xi) - D_{\xi}F_{j}(y,\xi)| \leq |x - y|^{\alpha}(g_{k}(x) + g_{k}(y))(\mu^{2} + |\xi|^{2})^{\frac{q-1}{2}},$$

$$|D_{\xi}F_{j}(x,\xi) - D_{\xi}F_{j}(y,\xi)| \leq C(j)|x - y|^{\alpha}(g_{k}(x) + g_{k}(y))(\mu^{2} + |\xi|^{2})^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$$

for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$ such that $2^{-k} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega) \leq |x - y| < 2^{-k+1} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In particular, in Section 5.1, we derive the a priori estimates for regular minimizers of obstacle problems (1.1), while in Section 5.2 we conclude through an approximation argument.

5.1 A priori estimate

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. Let $F(x, \xi)$ satisfy (F1)–(F5) for exponents $2 \le p < q$ such that (1.3) and (1.4) hold. Let $u \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega)$ be the solution to the obstacle problem (1.1). Suppose that

$$g \in L^{rac{p+2eta}{p+eta-q}}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega), \quad \psi \in L^{\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega), \quad D\psi \in B^{lpha}_{rac{p+2eta}{p+1+eta-q},\infty,\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$$

for $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$. If we a priori assume that

$$V_p(Du) \in B_{2,\infty,\log}^{\alpha}(\Omega),$$

then the estimates

$$\int\limits_{B_{R/4}} |Du|^{p+2\beta} dx \leq C (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_R} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1\beta-q},\infty}(B_R)} \bigg)^{\pi}$$

and

$$\int\limits_{B_{R/4}} |\tau_h V_p(Du)|^2 dx \leq C |h|^{2\alpha} (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^\pi \bigg(\int\limits_{B_R} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}}(B_R)\bigg)^\pi \bigg)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} dx \leq C |h|^{2\alpha} (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^\pi \bigg(\int\limits_{B_R} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}}(B_R)\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} dx \leq C |h|^{2\alpha} (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^\pi \bigg(\int\limits_{B_R} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}}(B_R)\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} dx \leq C |h|^{2\alpha} (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^\pi \bigg(\int\limits_{B_R} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q},\infty}}(B_R)\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} dx \leq C |h|^{2\alpha} (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_R} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q},\infty}}(B_R)\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} dx \leq C |h|^{2\alpha} (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_R} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q},\infty}}(B_R)\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} dx \leq C |h|^{2\alpha} (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_R} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q},\infty}}(B_R)\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} dx \leq C |h|^{2\alpha} (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_R} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q},\infty}}(B_R)\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} dx \leq C |h|^{2\alpha} (\|h\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} + \|h\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} + \|h\|_{L$$

hold for all balls $B_{R/4} \subset B_R \in \Omega$, for positive constants C := C(n, p, q, v, L, R) and $\pi := \pi(n, p, q, \beta)$.

Proof. By virtue of assumption (1.4) and Theorem 2.3, $u \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Hence, using Proposition 3.5, we deduce that

$$Du \in L^{p+2\beta}_{loc}(\Omega). \tag{5.1}$$

Notice that $Du \in L^{p+2\beta}_{loc}(\Omega)$ implies that u satisfies the variational inequality (1.2) for every $\varphi \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi \geq \psi$. Indeed, let $\varphi \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$, $\varphi \geq \psi$. Then the function $u + \varepsilon(\varphi - u)$ belongs to the admissible class, for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, since

$$u + \varepsilon(\varphi - u) = \varepsilon\varphi + (1 - \varepsilon)u \ge \psi$$
.

Hence, by minimality of u, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, Du) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} F(x, Du + \varepsilon D(\varphi - u)) dx,$$

which leads to

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} [F(x,Du+\varepsilon D(\varphi-u))-F(x,Du)]dx\geq 0.$$

From Lagrange's theorem, for $\theta \in (0, 1)$ it holds

$$\int\limits_{\Omega}\langle \mathcal{A}(x,Du+\varepsilon\theta D(\varphi-u)),\varepsilon D(\varphi-u)\rangle dx\geq 0.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du + \varepsilon \theta D(\varphi - u)), D(\varphi - u) \rangle dx \ge 0.$$
 (5.2)

Now, from assumption (A1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du + \varepsilon \theta D(\varphi - u)), D(\varphi - u) \rangle| &\leq |\mathcal{A}(x, Du + \varepsilon \theta D(\varphi - u))||D(\varphi - u)| \\ &\leq C(1 + |Du + \varepsilon \theta D(\varphi - u)|^{q-1})|D(\varphi - u)| \\ &\leq C(1 + |Du|^q + |D\varphi|^q), \end{aligned}$$

where we also used that ε , $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

On the other hand, by virtue of assumption (1.3) and (5.1), we have

$$1 + |Du|^q + |D\varphi|^q \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega).$$

Therefore, by applying the dominated convergence theorem, we can pass to the limit for $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ in (5.2), getting inequality (1.2), for every $\varphi \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi \ge \psi$.

Fix $0 < \frac{R}{4} < \rho < s < t < t' < \frac{R}{2}$ such that $B_R \in \Omega$ and a cut-off function $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_0^1(B_t)$ such that $0 \le \eta \le 1$, $\eta = 1$ on B_s , and $|D\eta| \le \frac{C}{t-s}$.

Now, for $|h| \le t' - t$, we consider the functions

$$v_1(x) = \eta^2(x)[(u - \psi)(x + h) - (u - \psi)(x)]$$

and

$$v_2(x) = \eta^2(x-h)[(u-\psi)(x-h) - (u-\psi)(x)].$$

Then

$$\varphi_1(x) = u(x) + tv_1(x), \tag{5.3}$$

$$\varphi_2(x) = u(x) + tv_2(x) \tag{5.4}$$

are admissible test functions for all $t \in [0, 1)$.

Inserting (5.3) and (5.4) in (1.2), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du), D(\eta^2 \tau_h(u - \psi)) \rangle dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du), D(\eta^2(x - h)\tau_{-h}(u - \psi)) \rangle dx \ge 0.$$
 (5.5)

By means of a simple change of variable, we can write the second integral on the left-hand side of the previous inequality as follows:

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x+h,Du(x+h)),D(-\eta^2 \tau_h(u-\psi))\rangle dx,$$

and so inequality (5.5) becomes

$$\int\limits_{\Omega}\langle \mathcal{A}(x+h,Du(x+h))-\mathcal{A}(x,Du(x)),D(\eta^2\tau_h(u-\psi))\rangle dx\leq 0.$$

We can write the previous inequality as follows:

$$0 \geq \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x+h, Du(x+h)) - A(x+h, Du(x)), \eta^{2}D\tau_{h}u \rangle dx$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x+h, Du(x+h)) - A(x+h, Du(x)), \eta^{2}D\tau_{h}\psi \rangle dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x+h, Du(x+h)) - A(x+h, Du(x)), 2\eta D\eta \tau_{h}(u-\psi) \rangle dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x+h, Du(x)) - A(x, Du(x)), \eta^{2}D\tau_{h}u \rangle dx$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x+h, Du(x)) - A(x, Du(x)), \eta^{2}D\tau_{h}\psi \rangle dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x+h, Du(x)) - A(x, Du(x)), 2\eta D\eta \tau_{h}(u-\psi) \rangle dx$$

$$=: I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} + I_{4} + I_{5} + I_{6}, \qquad (5.6)$$

which yields

$$I_1 \le |I_2| + |I_3| + |I_4| + |I_5| + |I_6|.$$
 (5.7)

The ellipticity assumption (A2) and Lemma 2.1 imply

$$I_{1} \geq \nu \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} Du|^{2} (\mu^{2} + |Du(x+h)|^{2} + |Du(x)|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx$$

$$\geq C(\nu) \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2} dx. \tag{5.8}$$

From the growth condition (A3), Young's and Hölder's inequalities, Lemma 2.1 and the assumption on $D\psi$, we get

$$|I_{2}| \leq L \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} D u| (\mu^{2} + |D u(x+h)|^{2} + |D u(x)|^{2})^{\frac{q-2}{2}} |\tau_{h} D \psi| dx$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} D u|^{2} (\mu^{2} + |D u(x+h)|^{2} + |D u(x)|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx$$

$$+ C_{\varepsilon}(L) \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} D \psi|^{2} (\mu^{2} + |D u(x+h)|^{2} + |D u(x)|^{2})^{\frac{2q-p-2}{2}} dx$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(D u)|^{2} dx + C_{\varepsilon}(L) \left(\int_{B_{t}} |\tau_{h} D \psi|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{2(p+1+\beta-q)}{p+2\beta}} \left(\int_{B_{t'}} (1+|D u|)^{p+2\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{2q-p-2}{p+2\beta}}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(D u)|^{2} dx + C_{\varepsilon}(L) |h|^{2\alpha} [D \psi]_{B_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}(B_{R})}^{2} \left(\int_{B_{t'}} (1+|D u|)^{p+2\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{2q-p-2}{p+2\beta}}. \tag{5.9}$$

Arguing analogously, we get

$$\begin{split} |I_{3}| & \leq 2L \int_{\Omega} |D\eta| \eta |\tau_{h} Du| (\mu^{2} + |Du(x+h)|^{2} + |Du(x)|^{2})^{\frac{q-2}{2}} |\tau_{h}(u-\psi)| dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} Du|^{2} (\mu^{2} + |Du(x+h)|^{2} + |Du(x)|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx \\ & \quad + \frac{C_{\varepsilon}(L)}{(t-s)^{2}} \int_{B_{t}} |\tau_{h}(u-\psi)|^{2} (\mu^{2} + |Du(x+h)|^{2} + |Du(x)|^{2})^{\frac{2q-p-2}{2}} dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2} dx + \frac{C_{\varepsilon}(L)}{(t-s)^{2}} \bigg(\int_{B_{t,t}} |\tau_{h}(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2(p+1+\beta-q)}{p+2\beta}} \bigg(\int_{B_{t,t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p-2}{p+2\beta}}. \end{split}$$

Using Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$|I_{3}| \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2} dx + \frac{C_{\varepsilon}(L)}{(t-s)^{2}} |h|^{2} \left(\int_{R_{\varepsilon}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{2(p+1+\beta-q)}{p+2\beta}} \left(\int_{R_{\varepsilon}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{2q-p-2}{p+2\beta}}. \tag{5.10}$$

In order to estimate the integral I_4 , we use assumption (A4), Young's and Hölder's inequalities and Lemma 2.1 as follows:

$$\begin{split} |I_{4}| &\leq \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} D u| |h|^{\alpha} (g(x+h) + g(x)) (1 + |D u(x)|^{2})^{\frac{q-1}{2}} dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} D u|^{2} (\mu^{2} + |D u(x+h)|^{2} + |D u(x)|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx + C_{\varepsilon} |h|^{2\alpha} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} (g(x+h) + g(x))^{2} (1 + |D u|)^{2q-p} dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(D u)|^{2} dx + C_{\varepsilon} |h|^{2\alpha} \left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{2(p+\beta-q)}{p+2\beta}} \left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} (1 + |D u|)^{p+2\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{2q-p}{p+2\beta}}. \end{split}$$

$$(5.11)$$

We now take care of I_5 . Similarly to above, exploiting assumption (A4) and Hölder's inequality, we infer

$$\begin{split} |I_{5}| & \leq \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} D\psi| |h|^{\alpha} (g(x+h)+g(x)) (1+|Du|^{2})^{\frac{q-1}{2}} dx \\ & \leq |h|^{\alpha} \bigg(\int_{B_{t'}} g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+\beta-q}{p+2\beta}} \bigg(\int_{B_{t}} |\tau_{h} D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{q+\beta}} (1+|Du|)^{\frac{(q-1)(p+2\beta)}{q+\beta}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{q+\beta}{p+2\beta}} \\ & \leq |h|^{\alpha} \bigg(\int_{B_{t'}} g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+\beta-q}{p+2\beta}} \bigg(\int_{B_{t}} |\tau_{h} D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+1+\beta-q}{p+2\beta}} \bigg(\int_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{\frac{(q-1)(p+2\beta)}{2q-p-1}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p-1}{p+2\beta}}. \end{split}$$

Now, we observe that

$$\frac{(q-1)(p+2\beta)}{2q-p-1} < p+2\beta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad p < q. \tag{5.12}$$

Hence,

$$|I_{5}| \leq C|h|^{2\alpha} \left(\int_{B_{p}} g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p+\beta-q}{p+2\beta}} [D\psi]_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}(B_{R})} \left(\int_{B_{r}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{q-1}{p+2\beta}}. \tag{5.13}$$

From assumption (A4), the hypothesis $|D\eta| < \frac{C}{t-S}$ and Hölder's inequality, we infer the following estimate for I_6 :

$$\begin{split} |I_6| & \leq \frac{C}{t-s} |h|^{\alpha} \int\limits_{B_t} |\tau_h(u-\psi)| (g(x+h)+g(x)) (1+|Du|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} dx \\ & \leq \frac{C}{t-s} |h|^{\alpha} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+\beta-q}{p+2\beta}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_t} |\tau_h(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+1+\beta-q}{p+2\beta}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_t} (1+|Du|)^{\frac{(q-1)(p+2\beta)}{2q-p-1}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p-1}{p+2\beta}}. \end{split}$$

Using once again Hölder's inequality, inequality (5.12) and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$|I_{6}| \leq \frac{C}{t-s} |h|^{\alpha+1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p+\beta-q}{p+2\beta}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p+1+\beta-q}{p+2\beta}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{q-1}{p+2\beta}}. \tag{5.14}$$

Inserting estimates (5.8)–(5.11), (5.13) and (5.14) in (5.7), we infer

$$\begin{split} C(\nu) \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2} dx &\leq 3\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2} dx + C_{\varepsilon}(L) |h|^{2\alpha} [D\psi]_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} \infty}^{2\alpha} (B_{R}) \bigg(\int_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p-2}{p+2\beta}} \\ &+ \frac{C_{\varepsilon}(L)}{(t-s)^{2}} |h|^{2} \bigg(\int_{B_{t}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2(p+1+\beta-q)}{p+2\beta}} \bigg(\int_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p-2}{p+2\beta}} \\ &+ C_{\varepsilon} |h|^{2\alpha} \bigg(\int_{B_{R}} g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2(p+\beta-q)}{p+2\beta}} \bigg(\int_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p}{p+2\beta}} \\ &+ C|h|^{2\alpha} \bigg(\int_{B_{R}} g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+\beta-q}{p+2\beta}} [D\psi]_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}} (B_{R}) \bigg(\int_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \bigg)^{\frac{q-1}{p+2\beta}} \\ &+ \frac{C}{t-s} |h|^{\alpha+1} \bigg(\int_{B_{R}} g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+\beta-q}{p+2\beta}} \bigg(\int_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+1+\beta-q}{p+2\beta}} \\ &\cdot \bigg(\int_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \bigg)^{\frac{q-1}{p+2\beta}}. \end{split}$$

Using Lemma 2.1, $\eta \le 1$ and $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} \eta^2 |\tau_h V_p(Du)|^2 dx \leq \int\limits_{\Omega} |\tau_h Du|^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx < \infty.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{C(v)}{6}$, we can reabsorb the first integral in the right-hand side of the previous estimate by the lefthand side, thus getting

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2} dx &\leq C(L) |h|^{2\alpha} M_{R}^{2} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p-2}{p+2\beta}} \\ &+ \frac{C(L)}{(t-s)^{2}} |h|^{2} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2(p+1+\beta-q)}{p+2\beta}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p-2}{p+2\beta}} \\ &+ C|h|^{2\alpha} M_{R}^{2} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p}{p+2\beta}} + C|h|^{2\alpha} M_{R} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \bigg)^{\frac{q-1}{p+2\beta}} \\ &+ \frac{C}{t-s} |h|^{\alpha+1} M_{R} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+1+\beta-q}{p+2\beta}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \bigg)^{\frac{q-1}{p+2\beta}}, \end{split}$$

where we set

$$M_R:=\|g\|_{L^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}}(B_R)}+\|D\psi\|_{B^\alpha_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}(B_R)}.$$

From Young's inequality, we infer

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2} dx \leq C_{\theta}(L, M_{R}) |h|^{2\alpha} + \theta |h|^{2\alpha} \int_{B_{t'}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx
+ \frac{C_{\theta}(L)}{(t-s)^{\tilde{p}}} |h|^{2} \int_{B_{t}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx + \theta |h|^{2} \int_{B_{t'}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx
+ C_{\theta}(M_{R}) |h|^{2\alpha} + \theta |h|^{2\alpha} \int_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx + C_{\theta}(M_{R}) |h|^{2\alpha} + \theta |h|^{2\alpha} \int_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx
+ \frac{C_{\theta}(M_{R})}{(t-s)^{p^{*}}} |h|^{\alpha+1} \left(\int_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\tilde{p}(p+1+\beta-q)}{p+2\beta}}
+ \theta |h|^{\alpha+1} \int_{R} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx,$$
(5.15)

where

$$\tilde{p} := \frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}, \quad \bar{p} := \frac{p+2\beta}{p+2\beta-q+1}.$$

Using Young's inequality, we estimate the third and the second last integral appearing in the right-hand side of estimate (5.15) as follows:

$$\frac{C_{\theta}(L)}{(t-s)^{\bar{p}}}|h|^{2} \int_{B_{t}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \leq \frac{C_{\theta}(L)}{(t-s)^{\bar{p}}}|h|^{2} \int_{B_{t}} |Du|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx + \frac{C_{\theta}(L)}{(t-s)^{\bar{p}}}|h|^{2} \int_{B_{t}} |D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \\
\leq \theta|h|^{2} \int_{B_{t}} |Du|^{p+2\beta} dx + \frac{C_{\theta}(L)}{(t-s)^{p'}}|h|^{2}|B_{R}| + \frac{C_{\theta}(L, M_{R})}{(t-s)^{\bar{p}}}|h|^{2}, \tag{5.16}$$

and analogously

$$\frac{C_{\theta}(M_{R})}{(t-s)^{\overline{p}}}|h|^{\alpha+1} \left(\int_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx\right)^{\frac{\overline{p}(p+1+\beta-q)}{p+2\beta}} \\
\leq C_{\theta}(M_{R})|h|^{\alpha+1} + \frac{C_{\theta}}{(t-s)^{p''}}|h|^{\alpha+1} \int_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}} dx \\
\leq C_{\theta}(M_{R})|h|^{\alpha+1} + \theta|h|^{2} \int_{B_{t'}} |Du|^{p+2\beta} dx + \frac{C_{\theta}(L)}{(t-s)^{\overline{p}}}|h|^{2}|B_{R}| + \frac{C_{\theta}(L,M_{R})}{(t-s)^{p''}}|h|^{2}, \tag{5.17}$$

where

$$p' := \frac{p+1+\beta-q}{p+\beta-q}, \quad p'' := \frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q}$$

Inserting (5.16) and (5.17) in (5.15), we ge

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2} dx &\leq C_{\theta}(L, M_{R}) |h|^{2\alpha} + \theta |h|^{2\alpha} \int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \\ &+ \theta |h|^{2} \int\limits_{B_{t}} |Du|^{p+2\beta} dx + \frac{C_{\theta}(L)}{(t-s)^{p'}} |h|^{2} |B_{R}| + \frac{C_{\theta}(L, M_{R})}{(t-s)^{\bar{p}}} |h|^{2} \\ &+ \theta |h|^{2} \int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx + C_{\theta}(M_{R}) |h|^{2\alpha} + \theta |h|^{2\alpha} \int\limits_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \\ &+ C_{\theta}(M_{R}) |h|^{2\alpha} + \theta |h|^{2\alpha} \int\limits_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx \\ &+ C_{\theta}(M_{R}) |h|^{\alpha+1} + \theta |h|^{2} \int\limits_{B_{t}} |Du|^{p+2\beta} dx + \frac{C_{\theta}(L)}{(t-s)^{\bar{p}}} |h|^{2} |B_{R}| \\ &+ \frac{C_{\theta}(L, M_{R})}{(t-s)^{p''}} |h|^{2} + \theta |h|^{\alpha+1} \int\limits_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\beta} dx. \end{split}$$

We can rewrite the previous estimate as

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2} dx &\leq 5\theta |h|^{2\alpha} \int\limits_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|^{p+2\beta}) dx + 2\theta |h|^{2\alpha} \int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1 + |Du|^{p+2\beta}) dx \\ &+ C_{\theta} |h|^{2\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{p'}} + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\bar{p}}} + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{p''}}\right) \end{split}$$

for a constant $C_{\theta} := C_{\theta}(v, L, M_R, R)$.

Dividing both sides of the previous estimate by $|h|^{2\alpha}$, recalling that $\eta = 1$ in B_s and passing to the limit as $t' \rightarrow t^+$, we get

$$\int_{R_{-}} \frac{|\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2}}{|h|^{2\alpha}} dx \le 7\theta \int_{R_{-}} (1 + |Du|^{p+2\beta}) dx + C_{\theta} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{p'}} + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\bar{p}}} + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{p''}}\right)$$
(5.18)

for every $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Since $u \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$ and $V_p(Du) \in B^{\alpha}_{2,\infty,loc}(\Omega)$, by virtue of Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 2.1, we infer the following lowing inequality:

$$\int_{B_{\rho}} |Du|^{p+2\beta} dx \leq C \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{s})}^{2\beta} \sup_{h} \int_{B_{s}} \frac{|\tau_{h}V_{p}(Du)|^{2}}{|h|^{2\alpha}} dx + \frac{C}{(s-\rho)^{2p}} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{s})}^{2\beta} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{s})}^{p} \\
\leq C (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})})^{\pi} \sup_{h} \int_{B_{s}} \frac{|\tau_{h}V_{p}(Du)|^{2}}{|h|^{2\alpha}} dx \\
+ \frac{C}{(s-\rho)^{2p}} C (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})})^{\pi} \tag{5.19}$$

for a constant $\pi := \pi(n, p, q)$.

Taking the supremum over h in the left-hand side of (5.18) and using estimate (5.19), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{B_{\rho}} |Du|^{p+2\beta} dx &\leq C (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})})^{\pi} \theta \int\limits_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|^{p+2\beta}) dx \\ &+ C_{\theta} (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})})^{\pi} \Big[1 + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{p'}} + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\bar{p}}} + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{p''}} \Big] \\ &+ \frac{C}{(s-\rho)^{2p}} (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})})^{\pi} \end{split}$$

for every $0 < \frac{R}{4} < \rho < s < t < \frac{R}{2}$

Now, choosing *s* such that $s - \rho = t - s$, i.e. $s = \frac{t + \rho}{2}$, it follows

$$\int_{B_{\rho}} |Du|^{p+2\beta} dx \leq C(\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})})^{\pi} \theta \int_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|^{p+2\beta}) dx
+ C_{\theta}(\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})})^{\pi} \left[1 + \frac{1}{(t-\rho)^{p'}} + \frac{1}{(t-\rho)^{\tilde{p}'}} + \frac{1}{(t-\rho)^{p''}} \right]
+ \frac{C}{(t-\rho)^{2p}} (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})})^{\pi}.$$
(5.20)

Setting

$$\Phi(r) = \int_{R_n} |Du|^{p+2\beta} dx,$$

we can write inequality (5.20) as

$$\begin{split} \Phi(\rho) &\leq C(\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi}\theta\Phi(t) \\ &\quad + C_{\theta}(\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi} \Big[1 + \frac{1}{(t-\rho)^{p'}} + \frac{1}{(t-\rho)^{\bar{p}}} + \frac{1}{(t-\rho)^{p''}}\Big] \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{(t-\rho)^{2p}} (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi}. \end{split}$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.2, choosing θ such that

$$C(\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi}\theta = \frac{1}{2}$$

we obtain

$$\Phi\left(\frac{R}{4}\right) \leq C(\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi} \left[1 + \frac{1}{R^{p'}} + \frac{1}{R^{p'}} + \frac{1}{R^{p''}}\right] + \frac{C}{R^{2p}} (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi},$$

with $C := C(n, p, q, v, L, M_R)$.

Now, recalling the definition of Φ , we obtain

$$\int_{B_{Ru}} |Du|^{p+2\beta} dx \le C (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi} \left(\int_{B_R} (k^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\frac{q}{p+2\beta}}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}(B_R)}\right)^{\pi}. \tag{5.21}$$

Thus, inserting (5.21) in (5.18), we deduce the a priori estimate

$$\int\limits_{B_{R/4}} |\tau_h V_p(Du)|^2 dx \leq C |h|^{2\alpha} (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_R} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}(B_R)} \bigg)^{\pi}$$

for constants C := C(n, p, q, v, L, R) and $\pi := \pi(n, p, q, \beta)$.

Now, we are able to establish the following higher differentiability result for obstacle problems with *p*-growth.

Theorem 5.2. Assume that $A(x, \xi)$ satisfies (A1)–(A3) for an exponent $2 \le p = q$ and let $u \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega)$ be the solution to the obstacle problem (1.2). If there exist a non-negative function $g \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$ and an exponent $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that

$$|\mathcal{A}(x,\xi) - \mathcal{A}(y,\xi)| \leq |x-y|^{\alpha} (g(x) + g(y)) (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$$

for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then the implication that

$$\psi \in L^{\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega), D\psi \in B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{1-p}, \infty, \mathrm{loc}}(\Omega) \quad implies \quad (\mu^2 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{4}} Du \in B^{\alpha}_{2, \infty, \mathrm{loc}}(\Omega),$$

holds, provided $0 < \beta < \alpha$.

Proof. Using Proposition 3.4, we infer $D\psi \in L^{p+2\beta}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Hence, [4, Theorem 2.6] yields $Du \in L^{p+2\beta}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we derive estimate (5.18) in the case p=q. This completes the proof.

5.2 Passage to the limit

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $u \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi}(\Omega)$ be a solution to (1.1), and let F_j be defined as in Lemma 4.1. For fixed $B_R \in \Omega$, let u_j be the solution of the problem

$$\min \left\{ \int_{B_R} F_j(x, Dw) dx : w \ge \psi \text{ a.e. in } B_R, \ w \in u + W_0^{1,p}(B_R) \right\}.$$
 (5.22)

Setting

$$\mathcal{A}_{j}(x,\xi)=D_{\xi}F_{j}(x,\xi),$$

one can easily check that A_i satisfies (A1)–(A4) and the following assumptions:

$$|\mathcal{A}_{j}(x,\xi)| \le l_{1}(j)(\mu^{2} + |\xi|^{2})^{\frac{p-1}{2}},$$
 (5.23)

$$|\mathcal{A}_{j}(x,\xi) - \mathcal{A}_{j}(x,\eta)| \le L_{1}(j)|\xi - \eta|(\mu^{2} + |\xi|^{2} + |\eta|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}},$$

$$|\mathcal{A}_{j}(x,\xi) - \mathcal{A}_{j}(y,\xi)| \le \Theta(j)|x - y|^{\alpha}(g(x) + g(y))(\mu^{2} + |\xi|^{2})^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$$
(5.24)

for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$, for every $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well known that $u_j \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi}(B_R)$ is a minimizer of problem (5.22) if and only if the following variational inequality holds:

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}_j(x, Du_j), D(\varphi - u_j) \rangle dx \ge 0 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi}(B_R).$$
 (5.25)

Let $\Omega' \in \Omega$ be an open set. Fix a non-negative smooth kernel $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(B_1(0))$ such that $\int_{B_1(0)} \phi = 1$ and consider the corresponding family of mollifiers $\{\phi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$. Setting

$$g_m = g * \phi_m$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{jm}(x,\xi) = \int_{B_1(0)} \phi(y) \mathcal{A}_j(x+my,\xi) dy, \tag{5.26}$$

an easy computation shows that A_{jm} satisfies assumptions (A1)–(A3), (5.23)–(5.24) and the conditions

$$|\mathcal{A}_{jm}(x,\xi) - \mathcal{A}_{jm}(y,\xi)| \le |x-y|^{\alpha} (g_m(x) + g_m(y)) (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$$
(A4')

and

$$|\mathcal{A}_{jm}(x,\xi) - \mathcal{A}_{jm}(y,\xi)| \le \Theta(j)|x - y|^{\alpha} (g_m(x) + g_m(y))(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$$

for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$, for every $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every $j, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step 1. For fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{A}_{jm} be defined as in (5.26) and let $u_{jm} \in u_j + W_0^{1,p}(B_R)$ be the solution to the variational inequality

$$\int\limits_{B_R} \langle \mathcal{A}_{jm}(x, Du_{jm}), D(\varphi - u_{jm}) \rangle dx \ge 0 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi}(B_R). \tag{5.27}$$

By the ellipticity assumption (A2), we have

$$\nu \int_{B_{R}} (\mu^{2} + |Du_{j}|^{2} + |Du_{jm}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |Du_{jm} - Du_{j}|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq \int_{B_{R}} \langle A_{jm}(x, Du_{jm}) - A_{jm}(x, Du_{j}), Du_{jm} - Du_{j} \rangle dx$$

$$= \int_{B_{R}} \langle A_{jm}(x, Du_{jm}), Du_{jm} - Du_{j} \rangle dx - \int_{B_{R}} \langle A_{jm}(x, Du_{j}), Du_{jm} - Du_{j} \rangle dx$$

$$= \int_{B_{R}} \langle A_{jm}(x, Du_{jm}), Du_{jm} - Du_{j} \rangle dx - \int_{B_{R}} \langle A_{j}(x, Du_{j}), Du_{jm} - Du_{j} \rangle dx$$

$$+ \int_{B_{R}} \langle A_{j}(x, Du_{j}) - A_{jm}(x, Du_{j}), Du_{jm} - Du_{j} \rangle dx. \tag{5.28}$$

Since u_i and u_{im} are solutions to (5.25) and (5.27), respectively, we notice that

$$\int_{B_R} \langle A_{jm}(x, Du_{jm}), Du_{jm} - Du_j \rangle dx - \int_{B_R} \langle A_j(x, Du_j), Du_{jm} - Du_j \rangle dx \le 0.$$
 (5.29)

Combining (5.28) and (5.29), we get

$$\nu \int_{B_{R}} (\mu^{2} + |Du_{j}|^{2} + |Du_{jm}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |Du_{jm} - Du_{j}|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq \int_{B_{R}} \langle A_{j}(x, Du_{j}) - A_{jm}(x, Du_{j}), Du_{jm} - Du_{j} \rangle dx$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{B_{R}} |A_{j}(x, Du_{j}) - A_{jm}(x, Du_{j})|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_{R}} |Du_{jm} - Du_{j}|^{p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{5.30}$$

where in the last inequality we used Hölder's inequality.

Since $p \ge 2$, from (5.30) we obtain

$$\int_{B_{R}} |Du_{jm} - Du_{j}|^{p} dx \le C \int_{B_{R}} |\mathcal{A}_{j}(x, Du_{j}) - \mathcal{A}_{jm}(x, Du_{j})|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx.$$
 (5.31)

Since $A_{im}(x, Du_i)$ satisfies

$$|\mathcal{A}_{jm}(x, Du_j)| \le l_1(j)(\mu^2 + |Du_j|^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}},$$

and $A_{jm}(x, Du_j) \to_{m\to\infty} A_j(x, Du_j)$ a.e. in Ω , applying the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$A_{im}(x, Du_i) \to_{m \to \infty} A_i(x, Du_i)$$
 strongly in $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)$.

Therefore, passing to the limit for $m \to \infty$ in (5.31), we deduce that

$$u_{jm} \rightarrow u_j \quad \text{in } W^{1,p}(B_R).$$
 (5.32)

Moreover, since

$$g\in L^{rac{p+2eta}{p+eta-q}}_{
m loc}(\Omega),$$

we have

$$g_m \to g \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta}-q}(\Omega).$$
 (5.33)

By virtue of Theorem 5.2, $V_p(Du_{jm}) \in B^\alpha_{2,\infty,\mathrm{loc}}(B_R)$. Hence, from Theorem 5.1, u_{jm} satisfies the a priori estimate

$$\int\limits_{B_{R/4}} |Du_{jm}|^{p+2\beta} dx \leq C (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u_{jm}\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_R} (g_m^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}(B_R)}\bigg)^{\pi}$$

for constants C := C(n, p, q, v, L, R) and $\pi := \pi(n, p, q, \beta)$, both independent of j and m.

Finally, by weak lower semicontinuity, (5.32) and (5.33), we get

$$\int_{B_{R/4}} |Du_{j}|^{p+2\beta} dx \leq \liminf_{m \to \infty} \int_{B_{R/4}} |Du_{jm}|^{p+2\beta} dx$$

$$\leq C(\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{R})} + \|u_{j}\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{R})})^{\pi} \left(\int_{B_{R}} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}(B_{R})}\right)^{\pi}.$$
(5.34)

Step 2. From Lemma 4.1 (iv), there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$|\xi|^p \le c_1(1 + F_j(x, \xi))$$
 for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

The previous estimate and the minimality of u_i imply

$$\int\limits_{B_{P}} |Du_{j}|^{p} dx \leq c_{1} \int\limits_{B_{P}} (1 + F_{j}(x, Du_{j})) dx \leq c_{1} \int\limits_{B_{P}} (1 + F_{j}(x, Du)) dx \leq c_{1} \int\limits_{B_{P}} (1 + F(x, Du)) dx,$$

where in the last inequality we used Lemma 4.1 (ii). Thus, up to subsequences,

$$u_i \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} \quad \text{in } u + W_0^{1,p}(B_R). \tag{5.35}$$

Now, fix $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by Lemma 4.1 (ii) and the fact that u_i is a minimum for F_i , for every $i > j_0$, we might write

$$\int\limits_{B_R} F_{j_0}(x,Du_j)dx \leq \int\limits_{B_R} F_j(x,Du_j)dx \leq \int\limits_{B_R} F_j(x,Du)dx \leq \int\limits_{B_R} F(x,Du)dx.$$

From weak lower semicontinuity of F_{i_0} and (5.35), it holds,

$$\int_{B_R} F_{j_0}(x, D\tilde{u}) dx \leq \liminf_{j \to +\infty} \int_{B_R} F_{j_0}(x, Du_j) dx \leq \int_{B_R} F(x, Du) dx.$$

Combining these last inequalities, we get

$$\int_{B_R} F(x, D\tilde{u}) dx = \lim_{j_0 \to +\infty} \int_{B_R} F_{j_0}(x, D\tilde{u}) dx \le \int_{B_R} F(x, Du) dx,$$

where we also applied the monotone convergence theorem, according to Lemma 4.1 (ii).

Moreover, by the weak convergence (5.35), the limit function \tilde{u} still belongs to $\mathcal{K}_{\psi}(B_R)$, since this set is convex and closed. Thus, by the strict convexity of F, we have that $\tilde{u} = u$ a.e. in B_R .

Now, from estimates (5.34) and (5.35), it follows

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{B_{R/4}} |Du_j|^{p+2\beta} dx &\leq C (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u_j\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_R} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}(B_R)} \bigg)^{\pi} \\ &\leq C \bigg(\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \int\limits_{B_P} (1 + |u|^p + F(x,Du)) dx \bigg)^{\pi} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_P} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}(B_R)} \bigg)^{\pi} \end{split}$$

for constants C := C(n, p, q, v, L, R) and $\pi := \pi(n, p, q, \beta)$, both independent of j. Hence, from (5.35) and weak lower semicontinuity, it follows

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{B_{R/4}} |Du|^{p+2\beta} dx & \leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} \int\limits_{B_{R/4}} |Du_j|^{p+2\beta} dx \\ & \leq C \bigg(\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \int\limits_{B_R} (1 + |u|^p + F(x, Du)) dx \bigg)^{\pi} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_R} (g^{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+\beta-q}} + 1) dx + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\frac{q}{p+2\beta}}_{\frac{p+2\beta}{p+1+\beta-q},\infty}(B_R)} \bigg)^{\pi}. \end{split}$$

Eventually, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we derive that $V_p(Du) \in B_{2,\infty,loc}^a(\Omega)$.

6 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We derive only the a priori estimates, since the approximation procedure is achieved using the same arguments presented in Section 5.2.

We a priori assume that $V_p(Du) \in B^{\alpha}_{2,\sigma,\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$. By virtue of assumption (1.4) and Theorem 2.3, $u \in L^{\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$. Hence, using Proposition 3.7, we deduce that

$$Du \in L^{p+2\alpha}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega).$$

Arguing analogously as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we define the integrals I_1 , I_2 , I_3 , I_4 , I_5 and I_6 according to (5.6) and we are able to derive estimates (5.7) and (5.8) for the integral I_1 . We need to treat differently the integrals I_2 , I_3 , I_4 , I_5 and I_6 in which the new assumptions on the coefficients of the map $\mathcal{A}(x,\xi)$ and on the gradient of the obstacle come into play.

$$D\psi \in B^{\alpha}_{rac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q},\sigma,\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega),$$

we get

$$|I_{2}| \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2} dx + C_{\varepsilon}(L) \left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |\tau_{h} D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{2(p+1+\alpha-q)}{p+2\alpha}} \left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{2q-p-2}{p+2\alpha}}.$$
 (6.1)

Performing the same computations which led us to (5.10) with $\beta = \alpha$, we get the following estimate for I_3 :

$$|I_{3}| \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2} dx + \frac{C_{\varepsilon}(L)}{(t-s)^{2}} |h|^{2} \left(\int_{B_{t}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2a}{p+1+a-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{2(p+1+a-q)}{p+2a}} \left(\int_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2a} dx \right)^{\frac{2q-p-2}{p+2a}}.$$
 (6.2)

Now, we take care of the integral I_4 . For $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $2^{-k}(t'-t) \le |h| \le 2^{-k+1}(t'-t)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, applying Lemma 2.1, assumption (A5), Young's and Hölder's inequalities gives that

$$\begin{split} |I_{4}| &\leq \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} D u| |h|^{\alpha} (g_{k}(x+h) + g_{k}(x)) (1 + |D u(x)|^{2})^{\frac{q-1}{2}} dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} D u|^{2} (\mu^{2} + |D u(x+h)|^{2} + |D u(x)|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx + C_{\varepsilon} |h|^{2\alpha} \int_{B_{t}} (g_{k}(x+h) + g_{k}(x))^{2} (1 + |D u(x)|)^{2q-p} dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(D u)|^{2} dx + C_{\varepsilon} |h|^{2\alpha} \left(\int_{B_{t}} (g_{k}(x+h) + g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+4-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{2(p+\alpha-q)}{p+2\alpha}} \left(\int_{B_{t}} (1 + |D u(x)|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{2q-p}{p+2\alpha}}. \end{split}$$
 (6.3)

Exploiting assumption (A5) and Hölder's inequality, we infer

$$\begin{split} |I_{5}| &\leq \int\limits_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} D\psi| |h|^{\alpha} (g_{k}(x+h) + g_{k}(x)) (1 + |Du|^{2})^{\frac{q-1}{2}} dx \\ &\leq |h|^{\alpha} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (g_{k}(x+h) + g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+\alpha-q}{p+2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} |\tau_{h} D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{q+\alpha}} (1 + |Du|)^{\frac{(q-1)(p+2\alpha)}{q+\alpha}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{q+\alpha}{p+2\alpha}} \\ &\leq |h|^{\alpha} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (g_{k}(x+h) + g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+\alpha-q}{p+2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} |\tau_{h} D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+1+\alpha-q}{p+2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{\frac{(q-1)(p+2\alpha)}{2q-p-1}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p-1}{p+2\alpha}}. \end{split}$$

Now, we observe that

$$\frac{(q-1)(p+2\alpha)}{2q-p-1} < p+2\alpha \quad \text{if and only if} \quad p < q. \tag{6.4}$$

Hence,

$$|I_{5}| \leq C|h|^{\alpha} \left(\int_{B_{t}} (g_{k}(x+h) + g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p+\alpha-q}{p+2\alpha}} \left(\int_{B_{t}} |\tau_{h} D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p+1+\alpha-q}{p+2\alpha}} \left(\int_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{q-1}{p+2\alpha}}, \quad (6.5)$$

where $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is such that $2^{-k}(t'-t) \le |h| \le 2^{-k+1}(t'-t), k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similarly to above, from assumption (A5), hypothesis $|D\eta| < \frac{C}{t-s}$ and Hölder's inequality, we are able to estimate the integral I_6 as follows:

$$\begin{split} |I_{6}| &\leq \frac{C}{t-s} |h|^{\alpha} \int\limits_{B_{t}} |\tau_{h}(u-\psi)| (g_{k}(x+h)+g_{k}(x)) (1+|Du|^{2})^{\frac{q-1}{2}} dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t-s} |h|^{\alpha} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (g_{k}(x+h)+g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+\alpha-q}{p+2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} |\tau_{h}(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+1+\alpha-q}{p+2\alpha}} \\ &\cdot \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{\frac{(q-1)(p+2\alpha)}{2q-p-1}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p-1}{p+2\alpha}}. \end{split}$$

Using once again Hölder's inequality, inequality (6.4) and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$|I_{6}| \leq \frac{C}{t-s} |h|^{\alpha+1} \left(\int_{B_{t}} (g_{k}(x+h) + g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+2\alpha}} \left(\int_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p+1+\alpha-q}{p+2\alpha}} \cdot \left(\int_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{q-1}{p+2\alpha}}.$$

$$(6.6)$$

Inserting estimates (5.8), (6.1)–(6.3), (6.5) and (6.6) in (5.7) and reabsorbing the integral

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |\tau_h V_p(Du)|^2 dx$$

in the right-hand side by the left-hand side, we infer

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{\Omega} \eta^{2} |\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2} dx &\leq C \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R}} |D\psi|^{\frac{p+2a}{p+1+a-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2(p+1+a-q)}{p+2a}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2a} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p-2}{p+2a}} \\ &+ \frac{C}{(t-s)^{2}} |h|^{2} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2a}{p+1+a-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2(p+1+a-q)}{p+2a}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2a} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p-2}{p+2a}} \\ &+ C|h|^{2a} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_{k}(x+h)+g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2a}{p+a-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2(p+a-q)}{p+2a}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2a} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p}{p+2a}} \\ &+ |h|^{a} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_{k}(x+h)+g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2a}{p+a-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+2a}{p+2a}} \\ &\cdot \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R}} |\tau_{h}D\psi|^{\frac{p+2a}{p+1+a-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+2a}{p+2a}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2a} dx \bigg)^{\frac{q-1}{p+2a}} \\ &+ \frac{C}{t-s} |h|^{a+1} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_{k}(x+h)+g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2a}{p+a-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+2a}{p+2a}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2a}{p+1+a-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+1+a-q}{p+2a}} \\ &\cdot \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2a} dx \bigg)^{\frac{q-1}{p+2a}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2a}{p+1+a-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+1+a-q}{p+2a}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2a}{p+1+a-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+2a}{p+2a}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2a}{p+2a}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+2a}{p+2$$

for a positive constant C := C(n, p, q, v, L), where $2^{-k}(t'-t) \le |h| \le 2^{-k+1}(t'-t)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Recalling that $\eta = 1$ on B_s and dividing both sides by $|h|^{2\alpha}$, we get

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{B_{s}} \frac{|\tau_{h}V_{p}(Du)|^{2}}{|h|^{2\alpha}} dx &\leq C \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R}} \frac{|D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1\alpha-q}}}{|h|^{\frac{\alpha(p+2\alpha)}{p+1\alpha-q}}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2(p+1+\alpha-q)}{p+2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2(p-2)}{p+2\alpha}} \\ &+ \frac{C}{(t-s)^{2}} |h|^{2(1-\alpha)} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2(p+1+\alpha-q)}{p+2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p-2}{p+2\alpha}} \\ &+ C \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_{k}(x+h)+g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2(p+\alpha-q)}{p+2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \bigg)^{\frac{2q-p}{p+2\alpha}} \\ &+ \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_{k}(x+h)+g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+\alpha-q}{p+2\alpha}} \\ &\cdot \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R}} \frac{|\tau_{h}D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}}}{|h|^{\frac{\alpha(p+2\alpha)}{p+1+\alpha-q}}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{p+1\alpha-q}{p+2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \bigg)^{\frac{q-1}{p+2\alpha}} \end{split}$$

$$+ \frac{C}{t-s} |h|^{1-a} \left(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_k(x+h) + g_k(x))^{\frac{p+2a}{p+a-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p+a-q}{p+2a}} \left(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2a}{p+1+a-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{p+1+a-q}{p+2a}} \cdot \left(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2a} dx \right)^{\frac{q-1}{p+2a}}.$$

We now need to take the L^{σ} -norm with the measure $\frac{dh}{|h|^n}$ restricted to the ball B(0, t'-t) on the h-space of the L^2 -norm of the difference quotient of order α of the function $V_p(Du)$. Since the functions g_k are defined for $2^{-k}(t'-t) \le |h| \le 2^{-k+1}(t'-t)$, we interpret the ball B(0, t'-t) as

$$B(0,t'-t)=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}B(0,2^{-k+1}(t'-t))\setminus B(0,2^{-k}(t'-t))=:\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}E_k.$$

We obtain the following estimate:

$$\begin{split} & \int\limits_{B_{t'-t}(0)} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{z}} \frac{|\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2}}{|h|^{2\alpha}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{q}{2}} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n}} \\ & \leq C \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \bigg)^{\frac{\sigma(2q-p-2)}{2(p+2\alpha)}} \int\limits_{B_{t'-t}(0)} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R}} \frac{|D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}}}{|h|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{\sigma(p+1+\alpha-q)}{p+2\alpha}} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n}} \\ & + \frac{C}{(t-s)^{2}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{\sigma(p+1+\alpha-q)}{p+2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \bigg)^{\frac{(2q-p)\sigma}{2(p+2\alpha)}} \int\limits_{B_{t'-t}(0)} |h|^{\sigma(1-\alpha)} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n}} \\ & + C \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \bigg)^{\frac{(2q-p)\sigma}{2(p+2\alpha)}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{E_{k}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_{k}(x+h)+g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+2\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{\sigma(p+\alpha-q)\sigma}{p+2\alpha-q}} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n}} \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{E_{k}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_{k}(x+h)+g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+2\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{(p+\alpha-q)\sigma}{2(p+2\alpha)}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{k}} \frac{|\tau_{h}D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}}}{|h|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{(p+1+\alpha-q)\sigma}{2(p+2\alpha)}} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n}} \\ & \cdot \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \bigg)^{\frac{(q-1)\sigma}{2(p+2\alpha)}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_{k}(x+h)+g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+2\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{(p+\alpha-q)\sigma}{2(p+2\alpha)}} \\ & \cdot \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{(p+1+\alpha-q)\sigma}{2(p+2\alpha)}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t/2}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \bigg)^{\frac{(p+\alpha-q)\sigma}{2(p+2\alpha)}}. \end{split}$$

Note that, since $\alpha \leq \gamma$, the integral

$$J_1 := \int\limits_{B_{t'-t}(0)} \left(\int\limits_{B_R} \frac{|\tau_h D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}}}{|h|^{\frac{\alpha(p+2\alpha)}{p+1+\alpha-q}}} dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha(p+1+\alpha-q)}{p+2\alpha}} \frac{dh}{|h|^n}$$

is controlled by the norm in the Besov space

$$B^{\alpha}_{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q},\sigma}$$

on B_R of the gradient of the obstacle which is finite by the assumptions. The integral

$$J_2 := \int_{B_{t'-t}(0)} |h|^{\sigma(1-\alpha)} \frac{dh}{|h|^n}$$

can be calculated in polar coordinates as follows:

$$J_2=C(n)\int\limits_0^{t'-t}\varrho^{\sigma(1-\alpha)-1}d\varrho\leq C(n)\int\limits_0^{R/4}\varrho^{\sigma(1-\alpha)-1}d\varrho=C(n,\alpha,\sigma,R),$$

since $t' - t \le \frac{R}{4}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Now, we take care of the integral

$$J_3 := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{E_k} \left(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_k(x+h) + g_k(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha(p+\alpha-q)}{p+2\alpha}} \frac{dh}{|h|^n}.$$

We write the right-hand side of the previous estimate in polar coordinates, so $h \in E_k$ if and only if $h = r\xi$ for some $2^{-k+1}(t'-t) \le m < 2^{-k}(t'-t)$ and some ξ in the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} on \mathbb{R}^n . We denote by $dS(\xi)$ the surface measure on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . We infer

$$\begin{split} J_{3} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{m_{k-1}}^{m_{k}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_{k}(x+h) + g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma(p+\alpha-q)}{p+2\alpha}} dS(\xi) \frac{dm}{m} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{m_{k-1}}^{m_{k}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \| (\tau_{m\xi} g_{k} + g_{k}) \|_{L^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}}(B_{R/2})}^{\sigma} dS(\xi) \frac{dm}{m}, \end{split}$$

where we set $m_k = 2^{-k}(t'-t)$. We note that for each $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $m_{k-1} \le m \le m_k$,

$$\|(\tau_{m\xi}g_k+g_k)\|_{L^{\frac{p+2a}{p+a-q}}(B_{R/2})}\leq \|g_k\|_{L^{\frac{p+2a}{p+a-q}}(B_{R/2}-m_k\xi)}+\|g_k\|_{L^{\frac{p+2a}{p+a-q}}(B_{R/2})}\leq 2\|g_k\|_{L^{\frac{p+2a}{p+a-q}}(B_{R/2+R/4})},$$

where in the last inequality we used that $t' - t \leq \frac{R}{4}$. Hence,

$$J_3 \leq C(n) \|\{g_k\}_k\|_{l^{\sigma}\left(L^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}}(B_R)\right)}^{\sigma},$$

which is finite by assumption (F6).

Using Young's inequality with exponent 2, we deduce the following estimate:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{E_k} \left(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_k(x+h) + g_k(x))^{\frac{p+2a}{p+a-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma(p+a-q)}{2(p+2a)}} \left(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} \frac{|\tau_h D\psi|^{\frac{p+2a}{p+1+a-q}}}{|h|^{\frac{a(p+2a)}{p+1+a-q}}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma(p+1+a-q)}{2(p+2a)}} \frac{dh}{|h|^n} \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{E_k} \left(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_k(x+h) + g_k(x))^{\frac{p+2a}{p+a-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma(p+a-q)}{p+2a}} \frac{dh}{|h|^n} + C \int\limits_{B_{R/2}} \left(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} \frac{|\tau_h D\psi|^{\frac{p+2a}{p+1+a-q}}}{|h|^{\frac{a(p+2a)}{p+1+a-q}}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma(p+1+a-q)}{p+2a}} \frac{dh}{|h|^n}, \end{split}$$

where the two integrals in the right-hand side can be estimated as the integrals J_1 and J_3 . Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{k}} |h|^{(1-\alpha)\frac{\sigma}{2}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_{k}(x+h) + g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{\sigma(p+\alpha-q)}{2(p+2\alpha)}} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n}} \\ \leq \int\limits_{B_{R/2}} |h|^{(1-\alpha)\sigma} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{E_{k}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R/2}} (g_{k}(x+h) + g_{k}(x))^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{\sigma(p+\alpha-q)}{p+2\alpha}} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n}}. \end{split}$$

The first term and the latter one can be estimated as the integral I_2 and I_3 , respectively. Estimate (6.7) can be written in the following way:

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{B_{t'-t}(0)} \left(\int\limits_{B_s} \frac{|\tau_h V_p(Du)|^2}{|h|^{2\alpha}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \frac{dh}{|h|^n} \\ & \leq C \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \bigg)^{\frac{\sigma(2q-p-2)}{2(p+2\alpha)}} \\ & + \frac{C}{(t-s)^2} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{\sigma(p+1+\alpha-q)}{p+2\alpha}} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \bigg)^{\frac{(2q-p-2)\sigma}{2(p+2\alpha)}} \end{split}$$

$$+ C \left(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{(2q-p)\sigma}{2(p+2\alpha)}} + C \left(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{(q-1)\sigma}{2(p+2\alpha)}}$$

$$+ \frac{C}{t-s} \left(\int\limits_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{(p+1+\alpha-q)\sigma}{2(p+2\alpha)}} \left(\int\limits_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{(q-1)\sigma}{2(p+2\alpha)}}$$

for a constant

$$C := C(L, \nu, p, q, r, n, \sigma, \alpha, R, \|D\psi\|_{B^{\gamma}_{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}, \sigma}(B_R)}, \|\{g_k\}_k\|_{\ell^{\sigma}(L^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}}(B_R))}).$$

From Young's inequality, we infer

$$\int_{B_{t'-t}(0)} \left(\int_{B_{s}} \frac{|\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2}}{|h|^{2\alpha}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n}} \\
\leq \theta \left(\int_{B_{t'}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + C_{\theta} + \frac{C_{\theta}}{(t-s)^{p''}} \left(\int_{B_{t}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + \theta \left(\int_{B_{t'}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \\
+ \theta \left(\int_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + \theta \left(\int_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \\
+ \frac{C_{\theta}}{(t-s)^{\overline{p}}} \left(\int_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{(p+1+\alpha-q)\sigma}{2(p+1+2\alpha-q)}} + \theta \left(\int_{B_{t}} (1 + |Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \tag{6.8}$$

for $0 < \theta < 1$, where we set

$$p'' := \frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}$$
 and $\bar{p} = \frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+2\alpha-q}$.

We estimate the second and the penultimate integral appearing in the right-hand side of the estimate as follows:

$$\frac{C_{\theta}}{(t-s)^{p''}} \left(\int_{B_{t}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq \frac{C_{\theta}}{(t-s)^{p''}} \left(\int_{B_{t}} |Du|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + \frac{C_{\theta}}{(t-s)^{p''}} \left(\int_{B_{t}} |D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \\
\leq \theta \left(\int_{B_{t}} |Du|^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + \frac{C_{\theta}(L)}{(t-s)^{\frac{p\sigma}{2}}} |B_{R}|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + \frac{C_{\theta}}{(t-s)^{p''}} \left(\int_{B_{t}} |D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}. \tag{6.9}$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{C_{\theta}}{(t-s)^{\overline{p}}} \left(\int_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2a}{\overline{p}+1+a-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma(p+1+a-q)}{2(\overline{p}+1+2a-q)}} \leq \frac{C_{\theta}}{(t-s)^{p'''}} + C_{\theta} \left(\int_{B_{t'}} |D(u-\psi)|^{\frac{p+2a}{\overline{p}+1+a-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \\
\leq \frac{C_{\theta}}{(t-s)^{p'''}} + \theta \left(\int_{B_{t'}} |Du|^{p+2a} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + |B_{R}|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + C_{\theta} \left(\int_{B_{R}} |D\psi|^{\frac{p+2a}{\overline{p}+1+a-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}, (6.10)$$

where we set

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{p + 2\alpha}{p + \alpha - q}$$
 and $p''' := \frac{p + 2\alpha}{\alpha}$.

Inserting estimates (6.9) and (6.10) in (6.8), we obtain

$$\int_{B_{t'-t}(0)} \left(\int_{B_{s}} \frac{|\tau_{h} V_{p}(Du)|^{2}}{|h|^{2\alpha}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n}} \leq 4\theta \left(\int_{B_{t}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + 3\theta \left(\int_{B_{t'}} (1+|Du|)^{p+2\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \\
+ C_{\theta} + \frac{C_{\theta}(L)}{(t-s)^{\frac{p\sigma}{2}}} |B_{R}|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + \frac{C_{\theta}}{(t-s)^{p''}} \left(\int_{B_{R}} |D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \\
+ \frac{C_{\theta}}{(t-s)^{p'''}} + |B_{R}|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} + C_{\theta} \left(\int_{B_{R}} |D\psi|^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}.$$
(6.11)

Now, by virtue of Proposition 3.7, we infer the following inequality:

$$\left(\int\limits_{B_{\rho}}|Du|^{p+2\alpha}dx\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\leq C\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{s})}^{\frac{\sigma\gamma}{2}}\int\limits_{B_{t'-t}(0)}\left(\int\limits_{B_{s}}\frac{|\tau_{h}V_{p}(Du)|^{2}}{|h|^{2\alpha}}dx\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\frac{dh}{|h|^{n}}+\frac{C}{(s-\rho)^{\sigma p}}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{s})}^{\frac{\sigma\gamma}{2}}\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{s})}^{\frac{\sigma p}{2}}.\tag{6.12}$$

Combining inequalities (6.11) and (6.12) and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we obtain

$$\left(\int\limits_{B_{R/4}} |Du|^{p+2\alpha} dx\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq C(\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi} \big(\|\{g_k\}_k\|_{l^{\sigma}(L^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}}(B_R))}^{\sigma} + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\gamma}_{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q}},\infty}(B_R)} + 1\big)^{\pi},$$

which yields

$$\int\limits_{B_{t'-t}(0)} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R/4}} \frac{|\tau_h V_p(Du)|^2}{|h|^{2\alpha}} dx\bigg)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \frac{dh}{|h|^n} \leq C (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi} \big(\|\{g_k\}_k\|_{l^{\sigma}(L^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}}(B_R))}^{\sigma} + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q},\infty}(B_R)} + 1\big)^{\pi} dt$$

for every $t' - t \le \frac{R}{4}$. Hence, we eventually get

$$\int\limits_{B_{R/4}(0)} \bigg(\int\limits_{B_{R/4}} \frac{|\tau_h V_p(Du)|^2}{|h|^{2\alpha}} dx\bigg)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \frac{dh}{|h|^n} \leq C (\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} + \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_R)})^{\pi} \Big(\|\{g_k\}_k\|_{l^{\sigma}(L^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+\alpha-q}}(B_R))}^{\sigma} + \|D\psi\|_{B^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{p+1+\alpha-q},\infty}(B_R)} + 1 \Big)^{\pi}$$

for constants C := C(n, p, q, v, L, R) and $\pi := \pi(n, p, q, \alpha, \sigma)$.

Acknowledgment: The author wishes to thank the anonymous referee for his/her comments which improved the presentation.

References

- P. Ambrosio, Besov regularity for a class of singular or degenerate elliptic equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 505 (2022), no. 2, Paper
- A. L. Baisón, A. Clop, R. Giova, J. Orobitq and A. Passarelli di Napoli, Fractional differentiability for solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, Potential Anal. 46 (2017), no. 3, 403-430.
- H. Brézis and D. Kinderlehrer, The smoothness of solutions to nonlinear variational inequalities, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 23 (1973/74),
- [4] S.-S. Byun, Y. Cho and J. Ok, Global gradient estimates for nonlinear obstacle problems with nonstandard growth, Forum Math. 28 (2016), no. 4, 729-747.
- L. A. Caffarelli and D. Kinderlehrer, Potential methods in variational inequalities, J. Anal. Math. 37 (1980), 285-295.
- [6] M. Carozza, J. Kristensen and A. Passarelli di Napoli, Higher differentiability of minimizers of convex variational integrals, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 28 (2011), no. 3, 395-411.
- [7] M. Carozza, J. Kristensen and A. Passarelli di Napoli, Regularity of minimizers of autonomous convex variational integrals, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 13 (2014), no. 4, 1065–1089.
- M. Carozza, J. Kristensen and A. Passarelli di Napoli, On the validity of the Euler-Lagrange system, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 14 (2015), no. 1, 51-62.
- M. Caselli, A. Gentile and R. Giova, Regularity results for solutions to obstacle problems with Sobolev coefficients, J. Differential Equations 269 (2020), no. 10, 8308-8330.
- [10] I. Chlebicka and C. De Filippis, Removable sets in non-uniformly elliptic problems, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 199 (2020), no. 2, 619-649.
- [11] H. J. Choe, A regularity theory for a general class of quasilinear elliptic partial differential equations and obstacle problems, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 114 (1991), no. 4, 383-394.
- [12] H. J. Choe and J. L. Lewis, On the obstacle problem for quasilinear elliptic equations of p Laplacian type, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 22 (1991), no. 3, 623-638.
- [13] A. Clop, R. Giova and A. Passarelli di Napoli, Besov regularity for solutions of p-harmonic equations, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 8 (2019), no. 1, 762-778.
- [14] M. Colombo and G. Mingione, Bounded minimisers of double phase variational integrals, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 218 (2015), no. 1,
- [15] G. Cupini, P. Marcellini, E. Mascolo and A. Passarelli di Napoli, Lipschitz regularity for degenerate elliptic integrals with p, q-growth, Adv. Calc. Var. (2021), DOI 10.1515/acv-2020-0120.

- [16] C. De Filippis, Regularity results for a class of non-autonomous obstacle problems with (p, q)-growth, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 501 (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 123450.
- [17] C. De Filippis and G. Mingione, On the regularity of minima of non-autonomous functionals, J. Geom. Anal. 30 (2020), no. 2, 1584-1626.
- [18] M. De Rosa and A. G. Grimaldi, A local boundedness result for a class of obstacle problems with non-standard growth conditions, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 195 (2022), no. 1, 282-296.
- [19] M. Eleuteri, P. Hariulehto and T. Lukkari, Global regularity and stability of solutions to obstacle problems with nonstandard growth. Rev. Mat. Complut. 26 (2013), no. 1, 147-181.
- [20] M. Eleuteri and A. Passarelli di Napoli, Higher differentiability for solutions to a class of obstacle problems, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 57 (2018), no. 5, Paper No. 115.
- [21] M. Eleuteri and A. Passarelli di Napoli, Regularity results for a class of non-differentiable obstacle problems, Nonlinear Anal. 194 (2020), Article ID 111434.
- [22] M. Eleuteri and A. Passarelli di Napoli, On the validity of variational inequalities for obstacle problems with non-standard growth, Ann. Fenn. Math. 47 (2022), no. 1, 395-416.
- [23] G. Fichera, Problemi elastostatici con vincoli unilaterali: Il problema di Signorini con ambigue condizioni al contorno, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Mem. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Sez. Ia (8) 7 (1963/64), 91–140.
- [24] C. Gavioli, A priori estimates for solutions to a class of obstacle problems under p, q-growth conditions, J. Elliptic Parabol. Equ. 5 (2019), no. 2, 325-347.
- [25] C. Gavioli, Higher differentiability of solutions to a class of obstacle problems under non-standard growth conditions, Forum Math. 31 (2019), no. 6, 1501-1516.
- [26] A. Gentile, Higher differentiability results for solutions to a class of non-autonomous obstacle problems with sub-quadratic growth conditions, Forum Math. 33 (2021), no. 3, 669-695.
- [27] A. Gentile and R. Giova, Regularity results for solutions to a class of non-autonomous obstacle problems with sub-quadratic growth conditions, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 68 (2022), Paper No. 103681.
- [28] A. Gentile, R. Giova and A. Torricelli, Regularity results for bounded solutions to obstacle problems with non-standard growth conditions, Mediterr, I. Math. 19 (2022), no. 6, 270.
- [29] M. Giaquinta, Growth conditions and regularity, a counterexample, Manuscripta Math. 59 (1987), no. 2, 245–248.
- [30] R. Giova, Higher differentiability for n-harmonic systems with Sobolev coefficients, J. Differential Equations 259 (2015), no. 11, 5667-5687.
- [31] R. Giova, Besov regularity for solutions of elliptic equations with variable exponents, Math. Nachr. 293 (2020), no. 8, 1459–1480.
- [32] R. Giova and A. Passarelli di Napoli, Regularity results for a priori bounded minimizers of non-autonomous functionals with discontinuous coefficients. Adv. Calc. Var. 12 (2019), no. 1, 85-110.
- [33] E. Giusti, Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations, World Scientific, River Edge, 2003.
- [34] A. G. Grimaldi, Regularity results for solutions to a class of obstacle problems, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 62 (2021), Paper No. 103377.
- [35] A. G. Grimaldi and E. Ipocoana, Higher fractional differentiability for solutions to a class of obstacle problems with non-standard growth conditions, Adv. Calc. Var. (2021), DOI 10.1515/acv-2021-0074.
- [36] A. G. Grimaldi and E. Ipocoana, Higher differentiability results in the scale of Besov spaces to a class of double-phase obstacle problems, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 28 (2022), Paper No. 51.
- [37] D. D. Haroske, Envelopes and Sharp Embeddings of Function Spaces, Chapman & Hall/CRC Res. Notes in Math. 437, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2007.
- [38] D. Kinderlehrer and G. Stampacchia, An Introduction to Variational Inequalities and Their Applications, Pure Appl. Math. 88, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [39] P. Koskela, D. Yang and Y. Zhou, Pointwise characterizations of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and quasiconformal mappings, Adv. Math. 226 (2011), no. 4, 3579-3621.
- [40] P. Marcellini, On the definition and the lower semicontinuity of certain quasiconvex integrals, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 3 (1986), no. 5, 391-409.
- [41] P. Marcellini, Regularity and existence of solutions of elliptic equations with p, q-growth conditions, J. Differential Equations 90 (1991),
- [42] J. H. Michael and W. P. Ziemer, Interior regularity for solutions to obstacle problems, Nonlinear Anal. 10 (1986), no. 12, 1427–1448.
- [43] P. Mironescu, E. Russ and Y. Sire, Lifting in Besov spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 193 (2020), Article ID 111489.
- [44] A. Passarelli di Napoli, Higher differentiability of minimizers of variational integrals with Sobolev coefficients, Adv. Calc. Var. 7 (2014), no. 1, 59-89.
- [45] A. Passarelli di Napoli, Higher differentiability of solutions of elliptic systems with Sobolev coefficients: the case p = n = 2, Potential Anal. 41 (2014), no. 3, 715-735.
- [46] T. Runst, Mapping properties of nonlinear operators in spaces of Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov type, Anal. Math. 12 (1986), no. 4,
- [47] G. Stampacchia, Formes bilinéaires coercitives sur les ensembles convexes, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 258 (1964), 4413–4416.
- [48] W. Trebels, Inequalities for moduli of smoothness versus embeddings of function spaces, Arch. Math. (Basel) 94 (2010), no. 2, 155-164.

- [49] K. Uhlenbeck, Regularity for a class of non-linear elliptic systems, *Acta Math.* **138** (1977), no. 3–4, 219–240.
- [50] X. Zhang and S. Zheng, Besov regularity for the gradients of solutions to non-uniformly elliptic obstacle problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **504** (2021), no. 2, Paper No. 125402.