

Reviews of ECONJOURNAL-D-23-00050R2

High-quality Development of Sports Performance Industry in Chengdu-Chongqing Region based on Performance Evaluation Theory

Round 1

Reviewer 1

This work is conducive to the linkage development between cities and has theoretical and practical significance for building city brand and sports culture. I am interested in the construction of performance evaluation index system of sports competition performance industry in this paper, and the index has strong evaluability. However, some revisions will help to improve the quality of the papers.

1. Confirm the accuracy and authority of the evaluation indicators.
2. The elaboration of the principles of performance management needs to be further refined, and it would be better if we could assign points for indicator evaluation.
3. Establish effective performance evaluation standards and methods. For the sports competition performance industry, it is suggested to formulate a complete set of performance evaluation standards and methods, including industrial development objectives, enterprise performance indicators, staff performance indicators.
4. Strengthen enterprise performance evaluation. It is suggested that the enterprise performance evaluation should be included in the research of the sports competition performance industry in this region, and the problems existing in the enterprise should be found and suggestions for improvement should be provided by establishing the enterprise performance evaluation system.
5. Study how to enhance the internationalization level of industrial development. Does the sports competition performance industry in Chengdu-Chongqing region need more international cooperation and how to strengthen international cooperation? This will help to enhance the international competitiveness of the sports competition performance industry in the region.
6. Establish scientific statistical analysis methods. How to establish a set of complete statistical analysis methods, to analyze and explore the data of the sports competition performance industry in this region, to find the law and trend of industrial development. Establish the enterprise performance evaluation system, find the problems existing in the enterprise, and provide suggestions for improvement.
7. Strengthen the role of government. What is the government's role in the sports performance industry? Should the government provide more support? Should the government make more policies to promote the development of

the sports competition performance industry in the region?

8. Study how to ensure industrial safety and sustainability. Are there any safety issues in the sports show industry in the region? Are there sustainability issues? Are there environmental concerns to consider? This will help identify problems in the high-quality development of the sports competition performance industry in the region.

9. Strengthen research and comparative studies on related industries. In addition to the sports performance industry, are there other related industries developing in the region? Do these industries have similar problems?

10. Should SWOT analysis be conducted to evaluate the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats of sports competition performance enterprises, and formulate coping strategies?

11. The quality of English writing can be better.

12. The authors use fairly recent literature. However, I advise you to add sources to the literature review that will help to reveal the research from several other sides; for example, <https://doi.org/10.22105/riej.2019.169895.1074>
<https://doi.org/10.22105/jarie.2018.130777.1037>
<https://doi.org/10.56578/josa010204>
<https://doi.org/10.22105/riej.2017.48022>
<https://doi.org/10.56578/josa010104>

Reviewer 2

In this paper, the authors put forward the high quality development of sports competition performance industry in Chengdu-Chongqing area based on performance evaluation theory. This paper analyzes in detail the opportunities and challenges of the development of sports competition performance industry in Chengdu-Chongqing area, constructs its performance evaluation index system, and analyzes its strategic thinking and strategic path of high-quality development. The contribution is obvious. Maybe, in the near future, this work can provide a good reference for the development of sports events, regional economic improvement, and sports culture development. On the whole, this article has new ideas and is well written. However, some concerns could be fixed, as below.

(1) This study processed and analyzed the data according to the method of data analysis, and drew some conclusions, such as the performance indicators of the sports competition performance industry in Chengdu-Chongqing area, which have certain value of data analysis, but how effective is it?

(2) Through analysis, the study believes that the sports competition performance industry in Chengdu-Chongqing area can develop with high quality, and puts forward some suggestions that need to be more targeted.

(3) There are some limitations in this study, such as sample selection and data collection, which need to be further improved and perfected.

(4) The research direction needs to be expanded: This research mainly studies

the sports competition performance industry in Chengdu-Chongqing area, and this research direction needs to be further expanded, such as the development of sports competition performance industry in other regions.

(5) Whether the research involves ethical issues, such as data privacy protection, literature citation norms, etc.

(6) English should be improved, so that the expression is authentic.

(7) There is only "opportunity" in the writing of "Opportunities and challenges", and "challenges" need to be added.

(8) The "strategic path" is not well-targeted for each stage, and it is better to supplement the strategic path targeted for each stage.

(9) In the conclusion, the direction of future related research needs to be added.

(10) The use of upper and lower case of some words and symbols needs to be better unified.

(11) Pay attention to recent references to reflect the progress of the research.

(12) Also see my comments written in the attached file.

Round 2

Reviewer 1

Dear Authors

I have reviewed the revised version of your manuscript of this manuscript and would like to provide feedback for the second round of review. Firstly, I appreciate your efforts in addressing the previous comments and improving the manuscript. However, my primary concern remains the literature review, where I had suggested including the following sources to provide a broader perspective: <https://doi.org/10.22105/riej.2019.169895.1074>, <https://doi.org/10.22105/jarie.2018.130777.1037>, <https://doi.org/10.56578/josa010204>, <https://doi.org/10.22105/riej.2017.48022>, and <https://doi.org/10.56578/josa010104>. These references will significantly enhance the depth of your literature review. Furthermore, while the organization of the manuscript has improved, there are still areas that lack clarity, especially in the methodology and results sections. Please ensure a logical flow of ideas. Additionally, there are lingering minor language and grammar issues throughout the manuscript; thorough proofreading is needed.

Reviewer 2

Please consider the attached original form of the article in which I mentioned 50 comments and none of those comments have been considered. You need to reply and revise the manuscript based on those comments. For your reference I will add some major ones here:

Commented [A1]: The authors mentioned the location's name (Chengdu-Chongqing) in which they conducted the research more than 200 times. I barely understand using the name a few times, but more than 200 times is not acceptable in a scientific research paper. Please remove most of them by keeping or replacing the city's name with "Region". That would be enough for the readership to understand what the authors meant by "region".

Commented [A6]: What is the effect of the specific research objective on the sample size? What the authors mean by the "resource feasibility"?

Commented [A8]: This is a good place actually to explain your survey questioner. How many questions it has? How are the answers (numerical, categorical, true/false)...

Commented [A13]: There are lots of enumerations, numbering, sub-numbering, etc. It is very hard to keep track of those numbers to fully grasp the whole subject and make the connections between item based on those numbers. My suggestion is to modify the text and if it is necessary define list, include the items and then explain each individual issue that you want to explain and discuss further.

Commented [A16]: Same idea explained in other words in the same paragraph. It will be more coherent to rewrite this paragraph and remove the replicated ideas and sentences.

Commented [A24]: When something is claimed in the manuscript the readership would potentially like to see the results, data, code, proof or etc. that shows the claim. These characteristic is missing for this claim in here.

Commented [A30]: This whole 3 pages of explanation can be removed from the main text and added as an appendix. The Table 1 summarized the indicators. Just mention that the explanation of each indicator can be found in the appendix. I don't see any benefit to keep this whole section in here. Even in the appendix these explanations should be shorten, summarize, to the point, and without any further wordings.

Round 3

Reviewer 1

This version is according to the suggestion.

Reviewer 2

Thank you for considering and addressing most of the comments, concerns, explanation and revision requirements.