

Research Article

Syed Ghooos Ali Shah*, Sa'ud Al-Sa'di, Saqib Hussain, Asifa Tasleem, Akhter Rasheed, Imran Zulfiqar Cheema, and Maslina Darus

Fekete-Szegő functional for a class of non-Bazilevic functions related to quasi-subordination

<https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2022-0232>

received January 3, 2021; accepted April 17, 2023

Abstract: In this article, we study the Fekete-Szegő functional associated with a new class of analytic functions related to the class of bounded turning by using the principle of quasi-subordination. We derived the coefficient estimates including the classical Fekete-Szegő inequality for functions belonging to this class. We also improved some existing results.

Keywords: analytic function, bounded turning, principle of quasi-subordination, Fekete-Szegő functional

MSC 2020: 30C45, 30C50

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of functions f of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, normalized by $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. We also denote the class P of functions φ analytic in U , such that

$$\varphi(0) = 1 \text{ and } \Re(\varphi(z)) > 0, \quad (z \in U).$$

For two functions f and g , we say that f is subordinate to g written as $f(z) \prec g(z)$, ($z \in U$), if there exists a Schwarz function w , analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. Further, if the function g is univalent in U , then we have the following equivalence relation:

* **Corresponding author: Syed Ghooos Ali Shah**, Department of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad Campus 22060, Pakistan, e-mail: alishahsyedghoos@gmail.com

Sa'ud Al-Sa'di: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, The Hashemite University, P.O Box 330127, Zarqa 13133, Jordan, e-mail: saud@hu.edu.jo

Saqib Hussain: Department of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad Campus 22060, Pakistan, e-mail: saqib_math@yahoo.com

Asifa Tasleem: Department of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad Campus 22060, Pakistan, e-mail: as.sabir940@gmail.com

Akhter Rasheed: Department of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad Campus 22060, Pakistan, e-mail: akhter_rasheed77@yahoo.com

Imran Zulfiqar Cheema: Department of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Pakistan, e-mail: imran.cheema@hotmail.com

Maslina Darus: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600, Selangor, Malaysia, e-mail: maslina@ukm.edu.my

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \text{ if and only if } f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } g(U) \supset f(U). \quad (2)$$

For two analytic functions f and g , the function f is quasi-subordinate to the function g (written as: $f(z) \prec_q g(z)$), if there exists an analytic function $\psi(z)$ with $|\psi(z)| \leq 1$, such that

$$f(z) = \psi(z)(g(w(z))), \quad (z \in U).$$

The concept of quasi-subordination was given by Robertson [1]. It can be observed that if $\psi(z) \equiv 1 (z \in U)$, then quasi-subordination coincides with usual subordination. For the Schwarz function $w(z)$ given by $w(z) = z$, the quasi-subordination becomes the majorization. In this case:

$$f(z) \prec_q g(z) \Rightarrow f(z) = \psi(z)g(z) \Rightarrow f(z) \ll g(z), \quad (z \in U). \quad (3)$$

MacGregor [2] introduced the concept of majorization. For $t \neq 1$ and $|t| \leq 1$ and for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), Owa et al. [3] introduced a Sakaguchi-type class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, t)$ of functions f of form (1), which satisfies the condition:

$$\Re \left(\frac{(1-t)zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(tz)} \right) > \alpha, \quad (z \in U). \quad (4)$$

The class of non-Bazilevic functions was introduced by Obradovic [4] as:

$$\Re \left(f'(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\rho} \right) > 0, \quad (z \in U; 0 < \rho < 1). \quad (5)$$

For $z \in U$, $1 \neq t \in \mathbb{C}$, $|t| \leq 1$, and $\rho \geq 0$, by using the aforementioned concept of quasi-subordination, Sharma and Raina [5] defined as:

$$\zeta_q^\rho(\varphi, t) \text{ if such that } \left[f'(z) \left(\frac{(1-t)z}{f(z) - f(tz)} \right)^\rho - 1 \prec_q [\varphi(z) - 1] \right]. \quad (6)$$

Definition 1. [6] For $1 \neq t \in \mathbb{C}$, $|t| \leq 1$, $\rho \geq 0$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $f \in \mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, t, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$(1-\alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \alpha f'(z) \left(\frac{(1-t)z}{f(z) - f(tz)} \right)^\rho - 1 \prec_q [\varphi(z) - 1]. \quad (7)$$

For different choices of parameters involved in definition (1), we have the following classes of analytic functions:

- (i) For $\psi(z) \equiv 1$, the class $\mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, t, \alpha)$ becomes class $\mathcal{I}^\rho(\varphi, t, \alpha)$.
- (ii) For $\alpha = 1$, the class $\mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, t, \alpha)$ is equivalent to class $\zeta_q^\rho(\varphi, t)$.
- (iii) For $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} (z \in U)$, the class $\mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, t, \alpha)$ reduces to class studied by Nunokwa et al. [7] (see [8–13]).

Motivated by the aforementioned work, we define the following class.

Definition 2. For $z \in U$, $1 \neq t \in \mathbb{C}$; $|t| \leq 1$; $\rho \geq 0$; $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and $f \in \mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, s, t, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$(1-\alpha)f'(z) + \alpha f'(z) \left(\frac{(s-t)z}{f(sz) - f(tz)} \right)^\rho - 1 \prec_q [\varphi(z) - 1]. \quad (8)$$

Special cases

- (i) For $s = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$ in $\mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, s, t, \alpha)$, we have the class $\zeta_q^\rho(\varphi, t)$ studied by Sharma and Raina [5].
- (ii) For $\alpha = 0$ and $\rho = 0$ in $\mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, s, t, \alpha)$, we have the class $\mathcal{R}_q(\varphi)$, $f'(z) - 1 \prec_q [\varphi(z) - 1]$.

The study of functional made up of combinations of the coefficients of the original function is a typical problem in geometric function theory. Initially in 1933, Fekete and Sezgö [14] (see also [15–22]) obtained a

sharp bound of the functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ for univalent functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of form (1) with real μ ($0 \leq \mu \leq 1$). Since then, the problem of finding the sharp bounds for this functional of any compact family of function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has with any complex μ is generally known as the classical Fekete-Szegő problem. Many authors have studied the Fekete-Szegő problem for several subclasses of analytic functions in detail [23–40].

The primary goal of this article is to determine the coefficient estimates including Fekete-Szegő inequality the functions belonging the class $\mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, s, t, \alpha)$. Improving our results, we use the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. [37] Let the Schwarz function $w(z)$ be given by:

$$w(z) = w_1 z + w_2 z^2 + w_3 z^3 + \dots \quad (z \in U), \quad (9)$$

then

$$|w_1| \leq 1 \text{ and } |w_2 - \kappa w_1^2| \leq 1 + (|\kappa| - 1)|w_1|^2 \leq \max\{1, |\kappa|\} \quad (\kappa \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (10)$$

Remark 1.

(i) Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be of form (1), then it can be observed that

$$\frac{f(sz) - f(tz)}{s - t} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \delta_n a_n z^n \quad (z \in U), \quad (11)$$

where

$$\delta_n = \frac{s^n - t^n}{s - t} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (12)$$

Therefore, for $\rho \geq 0$, we find that

$$\left(\frac{(s - t)z}{f(sz) - f(tz)} \right)^\rho - 1 = 1 - \rho \delta_2 a_2 z + \left(\rho \frac{(1 + \rho)}{2} \delta_2^2 a_2^2 - \rho \delta_3 a_3 \right) z^2 + \dots \quad (13)$$

(ii) We also suppose that the function $\varphi(z) \in P$ is of the form:

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (c_1 > 0, z \in U), \quad (14)$$

and the $\psi(z)$, analytic in U , is of the form:

$$\psi(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots (z \in U). \quad (15)$$

(iii) Throughout this article, we assume that ρ and t are such that

$$\rho \delta_n \neq n \quad (z \in U) \text{ and } \rho \delta_n < n, \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}, n = 2, 3, \dots). \quad (16)$$

2 Main results

Theorem 1. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be in the class $\mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, s, t, \alpha)$. Then,

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|2 - \alpha \rho \delta_2|}, \quad (17)$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha \rho \delta_2|} \max \left\{ 1, \left| c_1 L - \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right| \right\}, \quad (18)$$

where

$$L = \frac{\mu(3 - \alpha \rho \delta_3)}{(2 - \alpha \rho \delta_2)^2} - \frac{\alpha \rho(4 - (1 + \rho)\delta_2)\delta_2}{2(2 - \alpha \rho \delta_2)^2}, \quad (19)$$

and $\delta_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$ is given by (12).

Proof. Suppose that the function $f \in \mathcal{J}_q^\rho(\varphi, s, t, \alpha)$ is given by (1). Then, by using the concept of quasi-subordination with Schwarz functions $w(z)$ given by (9) and for the analytic function $\psi(z)$ defined in (15), it follows that

$$(1 - \alpha)f'(z) + \alpha f'(z) \left(\frac{(s-t)z}{f(sz) - f(tz)} \right)^\rho - 1 = \psi(z)[\varphi(w(z)) - 1]. \quad (20)$$

Moreover, in view of (14), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z)[\varphi(z) - 1] &= (b_0 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \cdots)(c_1w_1z + (c_1w_2 + c_2w_1^2)z^2 + \cdots) \\ &= b_0c_1w_1z + [b_0(c_1w_2 + c_2w_1^2) + b_1c_1w_1]z^2 + \cdots. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{A}$ by using (1) together with (13) and after some computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha)f'(z) + \alpha f'(z) \left(\frac{(s-t)z}{f(sz) - f(tz)} \right)^\rho - 1 \\ = (2a_2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2a_2)z + \left(3a_3 + \alpha\rho \left(\left(\frac{1+\rho}{2} \right) \delta_2 - 2 \right) \delta_2a_2^2 - \alpha\rho\delta_3a_3 \right) z^2 + \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Now, by putting the values from (21), (22) in (20) and equating the coefficients of z and z^2 , we have

$$a_2(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2) = b_0c_1w_1 \quad (23)$$

and

$$a_3(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3) - \alpha\rho \left[2 - \frac{(1+\rho)}{2} \delta_2 \right] \delta_2a_2^2 = b_0(c_1w_2 + c_2w_1^2) + b_1c_1w_1. \quad (24)$$

Since $|b_0| \leq 1$ and $|c_1| \leq 1$, we find from (23) that

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2|}. \quad (25)$$

Now, (24) and (25) yield

$$a_3(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3) = \alpha\rho \left[2 - \frac{(1+\rho)}{2} \delta_2 \right] \delta_2 \frac{b_0^2c_1^2w_1^2}{(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2} + b_0(c_1w_2 + c_2w_1^2) + b_1c_1w_1,$$

which implies that

$$a_3 = \frac{c_1}{(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)} \left[b_1w_1 + b_0 \left\{ w_2 + \left(\frac{c_2}{c_1} + \frac{\alpha\rho(4 - (1+\rho)\delta_2)b_0c_1\delta_2}{2(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2} \right) w_1^2 \right\} \right]. \quad (26)$$

Also, for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we find from (25) and (26) that

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{c_1}{(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)} \left[b_1w_1 + \left(w_2 + \frac{c_2}{c_1} w_1^2 \right) b_0 - c_1Lw_1^2b_0^2 \right], \quad (27)$$

where L is given by (19). Since the function ψ defined in (19) is analytic and bounded in U , by using a result recorded in Nehari [38], we have

$$|b_0| \leq 1 \text{ and } b_1 = (1 - b_0^2)x^2, \quad (28)$$

for some $x(|x| \leq 1)$. Thus, upon substituting the values of b_1 from (28) into (27), we obtain

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{c_1}{(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)} \left[xw_1 + \left(w_2 + \frac{c_2}{c_1} w_1^2 \right) b_0 - (c_1Lw_1^2 + xw_1)b_0^2 \right]. \quad (29)$$

For $b_0 = 0$ in (29), it follows that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|}.$$

For the case $b_0 \neq 0$, we consider

$$g(b_0) = xw_1 + \left(w_2 + \frac{c_2}{c_1}w_1^2\right)b_0 - (c_1Lw_1^2 + xw_1)b_0^2,$$

which is a polynomial in b_0 and is, therefore, analytic with $|b_0| \leq 1$, and the maximum of $g(b_0)$ is attained at $b_0 = e^{i\theta}$ ($0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$). We find that

$$\max_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} |g(b_0)| = |g(1)| \quad (b_0 = e^{i\theta})$$

and

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|} \left| w_2 - \left(c_1L - \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right) w_1^2 \right|. \quad (30)$$

Finally, by using Lemma 1, we have assertion (18). $\square$

It is worth mentioning that for specializing the parameters, we obtain the number of important corollaries as:

Corollary 1. [5] For $\alpha = 1$, $s = 1$, we have

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|2 - \rho\delta_2|},$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \rho\delta_3|} \max \left\{ 1, \left| c_1L - \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right| \right\},$$

where

$$L = \frac{\mu(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)}{(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2} - \frac{\alpha\rho(4 - (1 + \rho)\delta_2)\delta_2}{2(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2},$$

and δ_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is given by (12).

Corollary 2. For $\alpha = 1$, $s = 1$, and $t = 0$, the class $\mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, s, t, \alpha)$ reduces to $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\varphi)$, and we have

$$|a_2| \leq c_1,$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{2} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{c_2}{c_1} + (1 - 2\mu)c_1 \right| \right\}.$$

Corollary 3. For $\alpha = 0$, the class $\mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, s, t, \alpha)$ reduces to class $\mathcal{R}_q(\varphi)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{c_1}{2},$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{3} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{c_2}{c_1} - \frac{3\mu}{4}c_1 \right| \right\}.$$

Corollary 4. For $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $s = 1$, we have

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2c_1}{|4 - \rho\delta_2|},$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2c_1}{|6 - \rho\delta_3|} \max \left\{ 1, \left| c_1 L - \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right| \right\},$$

where

$$L = \frac{\mu(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)}{(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2} - \frac{\alpha\rho(4 - (1 + \rho)\delta_2)\delta_2}{2(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2}.$$

Corollary 5. For $\psi(z) \equiv 1$,

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2|},$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|} \max \left\{ 1, \left| c_1 L - \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right| \right\},$$

where

$$L = \frac{\mu(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)}{(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2} - \frac{\alpha\rho(4 - (1 + \rho)\delta_2)\delta_2}{2(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2}.$$

Remark 2. For $t = 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$, and $\rho = 1$, we obtain the following result:

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|2 - \alpha|},$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha|} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{c_2}{c_1} + \left(\frac{\alpha - (3 - \alpha)\mu}{(2 - \alpha)^2} \right) c_1 \right| \right\}.$$

Remark 3. For $t = 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$, and $\rho = 0$, we obtain the following result:

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{c_1}{2},$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{3} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{c_2}{c_1} - \frac{3\mu c_1}{4} \right| \right\}.$$

Theorem 2. Let $1 \neq b \in \mathbb{C}$, $|b| \leq 1$, and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{I}_q^p(\varphi, s, t, \alpha)$ is of the form given by (1) and satisfies the following majorization condition:

$$(1 - \alpha)f'(z) + \alpha f'(z) \left(\frac{(s - t)z}{f(sz) - f(tz)} \right)^p - 1 \ll [\varphi(z) - 1], \quad (31)$$

then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2|}.$$

Also for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_2|} \max \left\{ 1, \left| c_1 L - \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right| \right\},$$

where L is defined in (19) and δ_n is defined in (12). The result is sharp.

Proof. By using the concept of majorization and Theorem 1, we have $w(z) \equiv z$ in (9). This implies that

$$w_1 = 1 \text{ and } w_n = 0 \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Thus, if we make use of (25) and (27), we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2|}$$

and

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{c_1}{(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)} \left[b_1 w_1 + (w_2 + \frac{c_2}{c_1} w_1^2) b_0 - c_1 L w_1^2 b_0^2 \right]. \quad (32)$$

Substituting the values of b_1 from (28) into (22), we have

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{c_1}{(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)} \left[x + \frac{c_2}{c_1} b_0 - (c_1 L + x) b_0^2 \right]. \quad (33)$$

If $b_0 = 0$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| = \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|}. \quad (34)$$

Also for the case $b_0 \neq 0$, we consider

$$H(b_0) = x + \frac{c_2}{c_1} b_0 - (c_1 L + x) b_0^2,$$

which is a polynomial in b_0 and is, therefore, analytic in $|b_0| \leq 1$, and the maximum of $|H(b_0)|$ is attained at $b_0 = e^{i\theta}$ ($0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$). We thus find that

$$\max_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} |H(b_0)| = |H(1)|,$$

and therefore, we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|} \left| c_1 L - \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right|. \quad (35)$$

Now, for inequality (35) together with (34), we have the result asserted by Theorem 2.

We now find the bounds of the Fekete-Szegő functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ when μ and t are the real numbers. We first obtain the following result for the class $\mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, s, t, \alpha)$. $\square$

Corollary 6. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, s, t, \alpha)$ be of form (1). Then, for real values μ and b ,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|} \left(\frac{c_2}{c_1} + \frac{c_1(\rho(4\alpha - \alpha(1 + \rho)\delta_2)\delta_2 - 2\mu(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3))}{2(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2} \right) (\mu \leq \nu) \\ \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|} (\nu \leq \mu \leq \nu + 2\gamma) \\ \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|} \left(\frac{c_1(2\mu(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)) - (\rho(4\alpha - \alpha(1 + \rho)\delta_2)\delta_2)}{2(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2} - \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right) (\gamma + \nu < \mu < \nu + 2\gamma), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{\alpha\rho((4 - (1 + \rho)\delta_2)\delta_2)}{2(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)} - \frac{(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2}{(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)} \left(\frac{1}{c_1} - \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right) \quad (36)$$

and

$$\gamma = \frac{(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2}{c_1(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)}. \quad (37)$$

Proof. For real values of μ and b and by working in a similar way in Theorem 1, we obtain the result asserted by Corollary 6 by taking the following three cases.

$$c_1 L - \frac{c_2}{c_1} \leq -1, \quad c_1 L - \frac{c_2}{c_1} \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad c_1 L - \frac{c_2}{c_1} \geq -1. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, s, t, \alpha)$, then for real values of μ and b ,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\mu - \nu)|a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|} \quad (\nu < \mu \leq \nu + \gamma) \quad (38)$$

and

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\nu + 2\gamma - \mu)|a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|} \quad (\gamma + \nu < \mu < \nu + 2\gamma), \quad (39)$$

where ν and γ are given by (36) and (37), respectively, and δ_n is given by (12).

Proof. Suppose that the function $f \in \mathcal{I}_q^\rho(\varphi, s, t, \alpha)$ be in the form is given by (1). If $\nu < \mu \leq \nu + \gamma$, then by using (25) and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\mu - \nu)|a_2^2| &\leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|} \left[|w_2| - \frac{c_1(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)}{(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2}(\mu - \nu - \gamma)|w_1^2| + \frac{c_1(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)}{(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2}(\mu - \nu)|w_1^2| \right], \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|} \left(|w_2| + \frac{c_1(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)}{(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2} \gamma |w_1^2| \right), \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|} (|w_2| - (-1)|w_1^2|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by applying Lemma 1, we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\mu - \nu)|a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|}.$$

If $\gamma + \nu < \mu < \nu + 2\gamma$, then we again make use of (25) and (30), in conjunction with Lemma 1, thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\nu + 2\gamma - \mu)|a_2^2| &\leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|} \left[|w_2| - \frac{c_1(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)}{(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2}(\mu - \nu - \gamma)|w_1^2| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{c_1(3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3)}{(2 - \alpha\rho\delta_2)^2}(\nu + 2\gamma - \mu)|w_1^2| \right], \end{aligned}$$

so that, by using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\nu + 2\gamma - \mu)|a_2^2| \leq \frac{c_1}{|3 - \alpha\rho\delta_3|}.$$

Hence, we have established the result asserted by Theorem 3. $\square$

3 Conclusion

Using the concept of quasi-subordination, we have systematically studied coefficient estimates and Fekete-Szegő functional for a new class of analytic functions related to non-Bazilevic functions and bounded turning. We also pointed out many new and already existing corollaries by assigning by specializing the parameters.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their comments and suggestions, which helped greatly improve the manuscript.

Funding information: None declared.

Author contributions: These authors contributed equally to this work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors state no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval: The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.

Data availability statement: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during this study.

References

- [1] M. S. Robertson, *Quasi subordination and coefficient conjectures*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 1–9.
- [2] T. H. MacGregor, *Majorization by univalent functions*, Duke Math. J. **34** (1967), 95–102.
- [3] S. Owa, T. Sekine, and R. Yamakawa, *On Sakaguchi-type functions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **187** (2007), 356–361.
- [4] M. Obradovic, *A class of univalent functions*, Hokkaido Math. J. **2** (1988), 329–335.
- [5] P. Sharma and R. K. Raina, *On a Sakaguchi-type class of univalent functions associated with quasi-subordination*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul **64** (2015), 59–70.
- [6] H. M. Srivastava, S. Hussain, A. Raziq, and M. Raza, *The Fekete-Szegő functional for a subclass of analytic functions associated with quasi-subordination*, Carpathian J. Math. **34** (2018), 103–113.
- [7] M. Nunokawa, M. Obradovic, and S. Owa, *One criterion for univalence*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989), 1035–1037.
- [8] K. S. Charak and S. Sharma, *Value distribution and normality of meromorphic functions involving partial sharing of small functions*, Turk. J. Math. **41** (2017), 404–411.
- [9] S. G. A. Shah, S. Hussain, A. Rasheed, Z. Shareef, and M. Darus, *Application of quasi subordination to certain classes of meromorphic functions*, J. Funct. Spaces **2020** (2020), Article ID 4581926.
- [10] S. Mahmood, H. M. Srivastava, and S. N. Malik, *Some subclasses of uniformly univalent functions with respect to symmetric points*, Symmetry **11** (2019), 287.
- [11] V. Ravichandran, A. Naz, and S. Nagpal, *Star-likeness associated with the exponential function*, Turk. J. Math. **43** (2019), 1353–1371.
- [12] S. G. A. Shah, S. Noor, M. Darus, W. UlHaq, and S. Hussain, *On meromorphic functions defined by a new class of liou-srivastava integral operator*, Int. J. Anal. Appl. **18** (2020), no. 6, 1056–1065.
- [13] S. Porwal and S. Bulut, *Radii problem of certain subclasses of analytic functions with fixed second coefficients*, Honam Math. J. **37** (2015), 317–323.
- [14] M. Fekete and G. Szegő, *Eine Bemerkung, Über ungrade schlichte funktionen*, J. Math. Soc. **8** (1933), 85–89.
- [15] B. Deng, D. Liu, and D. Yang, *Meromorphic function and its difference operator share two sets with weight k*, Turk. J. Math. **41** (2017), 1155–1163.
- [16] Y. Polatoglu and H. E. Ozkan, *Growth and distortion theorems for multivalent Janowski close-to-convex harmonic functions with shear construction method*, Turk. J. Math. **37** (2013), 437–444.
- [17] A. Naik, T. Panigrahi, and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Coefficient estimate for class of meromorphic Bi-Bazilevič type functions associated with linear operator defined by convolution*, Jordan J. Math. Stat. **14** (2021), no. 2, 287–305.
- [18] S. G. A. Shah, S. Noor, S. Hussain, A. Tasleem, A. Rasheed, and M. Darus, *Analytic functions related with starlikeness*, Mathematical Problems in Engineering **2021** (2021), Article ID 9924434.
- [19] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and H. Dutta, *Fekete-Szegő inequality and application of Poisson distribution series for some subclasses of analytic functions related with Bessel functions*, Appl. Math. Info. Sci. **16** (2022), no. 2, 305–313.
- [20] A. Rasheed, S. Hussain, S. G. A. Shah, M. Darus, and S. Lodhi, *Majorization problem for two subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a convolution operator*, AIMS Mathematics **5** (2020), no. 5, 5157–5170.
- [21] M. Çağlar, G. Palpandy, and H. Orhan, *The coefficient estimates for a class defined by Hohlov operator using conic domains*, TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math. **11** (2020), no. 2, 157–172.
- [22] M. Çağlar and H. Orhan, *Fekete-Szegő problem for certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by the combination of differential operators*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. **27** (2021), no. 41, 1–12.
- [23] S. P. Goyal and P. Goswami, *Certain coefficient in equalities for Sakaguchi-type functions and applications to fractional derivatives operator*, Acta Univ. Apulensis **19** (2009), 159–166.

- [24] M. H. Mohd. and M. Darus, *Fekete-Szegő problems for quasi-subordination classes*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2012** (2012), 1–14, Article ID 192956.
- [25] A. Motamednezhad and S. Salehian, *New subclass of bi-univalent functions by (p, q) -derivative operator*, Honam Math. J. **41** (2019), no. 2, 381–390.
- [26] V. Ravichandran, A. Gangadharen, and M. Darus, *Fekete-Szegő inequality for certain class of Bazilevic functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci. **15** (2004), 171–180.
- [27] V. Ravichandran, M. Darus, M. H. Khan, and K. G. Subramanian, *Fekete-Szegő inequality for certain class of analytic functions*, Austral. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **4** (2004), no. 1, 1–7.
- [28] V. Ravichandran, M. Bokai, Y. Polotoglu, and A. Sen, *Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order*, Hacettepe J. Math. Stat. **34** (2005), 9–15.
- [29] K. Sakaguchi, *On a certain univalent mapping*, Math. J. Soc. Japan **11** (1959), 72–75.
- [30] T. N. Shanmugham and S. Sivasubramanian, *On the Fekete-Szegő problem for some subclasses of analytic functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **6** (2005), no. 3, Art 71, 6 pages.
- [31] T. N. Shanmugham, C. Ramachandran, and V. Ravichandran, *Fekete-Szegő problem for subclasses of starlike function with respect to symmetric points*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **43** (2006), no. 3, 589–598.
- [32] T. N. Shanmugham, S. Sivasubramanian, and M. Darus, *Fekete-Szegő inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Mathematica **34** (2007), 29–34.
- [33] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, and M. K. Das, *The Fekete-Szegő problem for a subclass of class-to-convex functions*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **44** (2001), 145–163.
- [34] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, *An application of the fractional derivative*, Math. Japan **29** (1984), 383–389.
- [35] N. Tuneski and M. Darus, *Fekete-Szegő functional for non-Bazilevic functions*, Acta. Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyhazi. (N. S) **18** (2002), no. 2, 63–65.
- [36] K. T. Wieceław, P. Zaprawa, M. Gregorczyk, and A. Rysak, *On the Fekete-Szegő type functionals for close-to-convex functions*, Symmetry **11** (2019), no. 12, 1497.
- [37] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkers, *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 8–12.
- [38] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1952.
- [39] H. Arian, M. Çağlar, and H. Orhan, *Fekete-Szegő problem for subclasses of analytic functions associated with quasi-subordination*, Bull. Transilv. Univ. Braşov Ser. III Math. Inform. Phys. **12** (2019), no. 2, 221–232.
- [40] H. Orhan, E. Deniz, and M. Çağlar, *Fekete Szegő problem for certain subclasses of analytic functions*, Demonstr. Math. **45** (2012), no. 4, 835–846.