

Ovidiu T. Pop, Mircea D. Fărcaș

ABOUT THE BIVARIATE OPERATORS OF DURRMEYER-TYPE

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to study the convergence and approximation properties of the bivariate operators and GBS operators of Durrmeyer-type.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of positive integers and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the operator $M_m : L_1([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$ defined for any function $f \in L_1([0, 1])$ by

$$(1.1) \quad (M_m f)(x) = (m+1) \sum_{k=0}^m p_{m,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{m,k}(t) f(t) dt,$$

for any $x \in [0, 1]$, where $p_{m,k}(x)$ are the fundamental polynomials of Bernstein, defined as follows

$$(1.2) \quad p_{m,k}(x) = \binom{m}{k} x^k (1-x)^{m-k},$$

for any $x \in [0, 1]$ and any $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$. These operators were introduced in 1967 by J.L. Durrmeyer in [10] and were studied in 1981 by M.M. Derriennic in [9].

The purpose of this paper is to give a representation for the bivariate operators and GBS operators of Durrmeyer-type, to establish a convergence theorem for these operators. We also give an approximation theorem for these operators in terms of the first modulus of smoothness and of the mixed modulus of smoothness.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 41A10, 41A25, 41A36, 41A63.

Key words and phrases: Linear positive operators, modulus of continuity of the bivariate functions, mixed modulus of smoothness, bivariate operators of Durrmeyer-type.

2. The construct of the bivariate operators of Durrmeyer-type

Let the sets $\Delta_2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} | x, y \geq 0, x + y \leq 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_2) = \{f | f : \Delta_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let the operator $\mathcal{M}_m : L_1(\Delta_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Delta_2)$ be defined for any function $f \in L_1(\Delta_2)$ by

$$(2.1) \quad (\mathcal{M}_m f)(x, y) = (m+1)(m+2) \sum_{\substack{k,j=0 \\ k+j \leq m}} p_{m,k,j}(x, y) \iint_{(\Delta_2)} p_{m,k,j}(s, t) f(s, t) ds dt$$

for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_2$, where

$$(2.2) \quad p_{m,k,j}(x, y) = \frac{m!}{k!j!(m-k-j)!} x^k y^j (1-x-y)^{m-k-j},$$

for any $k, j \geq 0, k+j \leq m$ and any $(x, y) \in \Delta_2$.

The operators defined above are called the bivariate operators of Durrmeyer-type. Clearly, the bivariate operators of Durrmeyer-type are linear and positive. The method was inspired by the construction of Bernstein bivariate operators (see [11] or [14]). For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator $B_m : \mathcal{F}(\Delta_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Delta_2)$ defined for any function $f \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_2)$ by

$$(2.3) \quad (B_m f)(x, y) = \sum_{\substack{k,j=0 \\ k+j \leq m}} p_{m,k,j}(x, y) f\left(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{j}{m}\right)$$

for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_2$ is named the Bernstein bivariate operator.

Let the functions $e_{ij} : \Delta_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $e_{ij}(x, y) = x^i y^j$ for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_2$, where $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For the following, see [12].

LEMMA 2.1. *The operators $(B_m)_{m \geq 1}$ verify for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_2$ the following:*

$$(2.4) \quad (B_m e_{00})(x, y) = 1,$$

$$(2.5) \quad (B_m e_{10})(x, y) = x, \quad (B_m e_{01})(x, y) = y,$$

$$(2.6) \quad (B_m(\cdot - x)^2)(x, y) = \frac{x(1-x)}{m},$$

$$(2.7) \quad (B_m(* - y)^2)(x, y) = \frac{y(1-y)}{m},$$

$$(2.8) \quad (B_m(\cdot - x)^2(* - y)^2)(x, y) = \frac{3(m-2)}{m^3} x^2 y^2 - \frac{m-2}{m^3} (x^2 y + x y^2) + \frac{m-1}{m^3} xy,$$

$$(2.9) \quad (B_m(\cdot - x)^4(* - y)^2)(x, y) = -\frac{5(3m^2 - 26m + 24)}{m^5} x^4 y^2 + \frac{6(3m^2 - 26m + 24)}{m^5} x^3 y^2 - \frac{6(m^2 - 7m + 6)}{m^5} x^3 y -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{3m^2 - 41m + 42}{m^5} x^2 y^2 + \frac{3m^2 - 26m + 24}{m^5} x^4 y + \\
 & + \frac{3m^2 - 17m + 14}{m^5} x^2 y - \frac{m-2}{m^5} xy^2 + \frac{m-1}{m^5} xy
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad & (B_m(\cdot - x)^2(* - y)^4)(x, y) = -\frac{5(m^2 - 26m + 24)}{m^5} x^2 y^4 + \\
 & + \frac{6(3m^2 - 26m + 24)}{m^5} x^2 y^3 - \frac{6(m^2 - 7m + 6)}{m^5} xy^3 - \\
 & - \frac{3m^2 - 41m + 42}{m^5} x^2 y^2 + \frac{3m^2 - 26m + 24}{m^5} xy^4 + \\
 & + \frac{3m^2 - 17m + 14}{m^5} xy^2 - \frac{m-2}{m^5} x^2 y + \frac{m-1}{m^5} xy
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

LEMMA 2.2. *The operators $(B_m)_{m \geq 1}$ verify for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_2$ the following inequalities:*

$$(2.11) \quad (B_m(\cdot - x)^2)(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{4m},$$

$$(2.12) \quad (B_m(* - y)^2)(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{4m},$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(2.13) \quad (B_m(\cdot - x)^2(* - y)^2)(x, y) \leq \frac{9}{4m^2},$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$,

$$(2.14) \quad (B_m(\cdot - x)^4(* - y)^2)(x, y) \leq \frac{9}{m^3},$$

$$(2.15) \quad (B_m(\cdot - x)^2(* - y)^4)(x, y) \leq \frac{9}{m^3},$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 8$.

3. Some relations verify by the $(\mathcal{M}_m)_{m \geq 1}$ operators

LEMMA 3.1. *For $k, j, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have*

$$(3.1) \quad I_{k,j} = \int_0^1 s^k (1-s)^j ds = \frac{k!j!}{(k+j+1)!}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad J_{k,j,l} = \iiint_{(\Delta_2)} s^k t^j (1-s-t)^l ds dt = \frac{k!j!l!}{(k+j+l+2)!}.$$

Proof. In the following, we use the integration by parts formula. We have $I_{k,j} = \frac{s^{k+1}}{k+1}(1-s)^j \Big|_0^1 + \frac{j}{k+1} \int_0^1 s^{k+1}(1-s)^{j-1} ds = \frac{j}{k+1} I_{k+1,j-1}$ and then $I_{k,j} = \frac{j \cdot (j-1) \cdots 2 \cdot 1}{(k+1) \cdot (k+2) \cdots (k+j)} I_{k+j,0}$. But $I_{k+j,0} = \frac{1}{k+j+1}$ and then we obtain (3.1). For $k, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, we note $I_{k,j}(s) = \int_0^{1-s} t^k (1-s-t)^j dt$. By the idea above, we have $I_{k,j}(s) = \frac{t^{k+1}}{k+1} (1-s-t)^j \Big|_0^{1-s} + \frac{j}{k+1} \int_0^{1-s} t^{k+1} (1-s-t)^{j-1} dt = \frac{j}{k+1} I_{k+1,j-1}(s)$, from where we obtain $I_{k,j}(s) = \frac{k!j!}{(k+j+1)!} (1-s)^{k+j+1}$. Further on, we have $I_{k,j,l} = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{1-s} t^j (1-s-t)^l dt \right) ds = \int_0^1 s^k I_{j,l}(s) ds = \frac{j!l!}{(j+l+1)!} \int_0^1 s^k (1-s)^{j+l+1} ds = \frac{j!l!}{(j+l+1)!} I_{k,j+l+1}$, from where (3.2) results. ■

LEMMA 3.2. *The operators $(\mathcal{M}_m)_{m \geq 1}$ verify for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_2$ the following*

$$(3.3) \quad (\mathcal{M}_m e_{00})(x, y) = 1,$$

$$(3.4) \quad (\mathcal{M}_m e_{10})(x, y) = \frac{mx+1}{m+3}, \quad (\mathcal{M}_m e_{01})(x, y) = \frac{my+1}{m+3},$$

$$(3.5) \quad (\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - x)^2)(x, y) = \frac{2mx(1-x) + 12x^2 - 8x + 2}{(m+3)(m+4)},$$

$$(3.6) \quad (\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - y)^2)(x, y) = \frac{2my(1-y) + 12y^2 - 8y + 2}{(m+3)(m+4)},$$

$$(3.7) \quad (m+3)(m+4)(m+5)(m+6)(\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - x)^2(\cdot - y)^2)(x, y) = \\ (12m^2 - 372m + 360)x^2y^2 + (-4m^2 + 160m - 240)(x^2y + xy^2) + \\ + (4m^2 - 68m + 120)xy + (-4m + 60)(x^2 + y^2) + \\ + (4m - 24)(x + y) + 4,$$

$$(3.8) \quad (m+3) \cdot (m+4) \cdots (m+8)(\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - x)^4(\cdot - y)^2)(x, y) = \\ = (-120m^3 + 1040m^2 - 60720m + 20160)x^4y^2 + (24m^3 - 2760m^2 + \\ + 22224m - 13440)x^4y + (144m^3 - 12720m^2 + 75744m - \\ - 26880)x^3y^2 + (-48m^3 + 4128m^2 - 25584m + 13440)x^3y + \\ + (-24m^3 + 3888m^2 - 36120m + 20610)x^2y^2 + (24m^3 - 1512m^2 + \\ + 11280m - 8064)x^2y + (-144m^2 + 6480m - 8064)xy^2 + (144m^2 - \\ - 1968m + 2688)xy + (24m^2 - 1368m + 3360)x^4 + (-48m^2 + \\ + 2160m - 2688)x^3 + 24(m^2 - 39m + 56)x^2 + 48(-m + 28)y^2 + \\ + 48(3m - 8)x + 48(m - 8)y + 48,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad & (m+3) \cdot (m+4) \cdot \dots \cdot (m+8) (\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - x)^2(\cdot - y)^4)(x, y) = \\
& = (-120m^3 + 1040m^2 - 60720m + 20160)x^2y^4 + (24m^3 - 2760m^2 + \\
& + 22224m - 13440)xy^4 + (144m^3 - 12720m^2 + 75744m - \\
& - 26880)x^2y^3 + (-48m^3 + 4128m^2 - 25584m + 13440)xy^3 + \\
& + (-24m^3 + 3888m^2 - 36120m + 20610)x^2y^2 + (24m^3 - 1512m^2 + \\
& + 11280m - 8064)xy^2 + (-144m^2 + 6480m - 8064)x^2y + (144m^2 - \\
& - 1968m + 2688)xy + (24m^2 - 1368m + 3360)y^4 + (-48m^2 + \\
& + 2160m - 2688)y^3 + 24(m^2 - 39m + 56)y^2 + 48(-m + 28)x^2 + \\
& + 48(3m - 8)y + 48(m - 8)x + 48.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the following, we take the relations from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 2.1 into account. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{M}_m e_{00})(x, y) &= (m+1)(m+2) \sum_{\substack{k,j=0 \\ k+j \leq m}} p_{m,k,j}(x, y) \iint_{(\Delta_2)} p_{m,k,j}(s, t) ds dt = \\
(m+1)(m+2) \sum_{\substack{k,j=0 \\ k+j \leq m}} p_{m,k,j}(x, y) \frac{m!}{k!j!(m-k-j)!} I_{k,j,m-k-j} &= \sum_{\substack{k,j=0 \\ k+j \leq m}} p_{m,k,j}(x, y) = \\
(B_m e_{00})(x, y) &= 1, \\
(\mathcal{M}_m e_{10})(x, y) &= (m+1)(m+2) \sum_{\substack{k,j=0 \\ k+j \leq m}} p_{m,k,j}(x, y) \iint_{(\Delta_2)} s p_{m,k,j}(s, t) ds dt = \\
&= (m+1)(m+2) \sum_{\substack{k,j=0 \\ k+j \leq m}} p_{m,k,j}(x, y) \frac{m!}{k!j!(m-k-j)!} I_{k+1,j,m-k-j} = \\
&= \frac{1}{m+3} \sum_{\substack{k,j=0 \\ k+j \leq m}} (k+1) p_{m,k,j}(x, y) = \frac{m}{m+3} (B_m e_{10})(x, y) + \frac{1}{m+3} (B_m e_{00})(x, y) = \\
&= \frac{mx+1}{m+3}, \\
(\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - x)^2)(x, y) &= (\mathcal{M}_m e_{20})(x, y) - 2x(\mathcal{M}_m e_{10})(x, y) + x^2(\mathcal{M}_m e_{00})(x, y) = \\
&= \frac{2mx(1-x) + 12x^2 - 8x + 2}{(m+3)(m+4)}, \text{ because} \\
(\mathcal{M}_m e_{20})(x, y) &= (m+1)(m+2) \sum_{\substack{k,j=0 \\ k+j \leq m}} p_{m,k,j}(x, y) \iint_{(\Delta_2)} s^2 p_{m,k,j}(s, t) ds dt = \\
&= (m+1)(m+2) \sum_{\substack{k,j=0 \\ k+j \leq m}} p_{m,k,j}(x, y) \frac{m!}{k!j!(m-k-j)!} I_{k+2,j,m-k-j} = \\
&= \frac{1}{m+3} \sum_{\substack{k,j=0 \\ k+j \leq m}} (k+1)(k+2) p_{m,k,j}(x, y) = \frac{m^2}{(m+3)(m+4)} (B_m e_{20})(x, y) + \\
&+ \frac{3m}{(m+3)(m+4)} (B_m e_{10})(x, y) + \frac{2}{(m+3)(m+4)} (B_m e_{00})(x, y) = \frac{m(m-1)x^2 + 4mx + 2}{(m+3)(m+4)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The other relations from the lemma can be obtain analogously. ■

LEMMA 3.3. *The operators $(\mathcal{M}_m)_{m \geq 1}$ verify for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_2$ the following estimations*

$$(3.10) \quad (\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - x)^2)(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{m+3},$$

$$(3.11) \quad (\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - y)^2)(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{m+3},$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 4$,

$$(3.12) \quad (\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - x)^2(\cdot - y)^2)(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{(m+3)^2},$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$,

$$(3.13) \quad (\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - x)^4(\cdot - y)^2)(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{(m+3)^3},$$

$$(3.14) \quad (\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - x)^2(\cdot - y)^4)(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{(m+3)^3},$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 100$.

Proof. We use the relations $x, y \geq 0$, $x + y \leq 1$, $x(1-x) \leq 1/4$, $y(1-y) \leq 1/4$, $xy \leq 1/4$ and the results from Lemma 3.2 and we obtain that

$(m+3)(m+4)(\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - x)^2)(x, y) = 2mx(1-x) + 12x^2 - 8x + 2 \leq \frac{m}{2} + 6 \leq m+4$, for $m \geq 4$; further on, we can write

$(m+3)(m+4)(m+5)(m+6)(\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - x)^2(\cdot - y)^2)(x, y) = 4xy(3xy + 1 - x - y)m^2 + 4(x + y - x^2 - y^2 - 17xy + 40xy(x + y) - 93x^2y^2)m + 4(1 - 6(x + y) + 15(x^2 + y^2) + 30xy - 60xy(x + y) + 90x^2y^2)$. But $4xy(3xy + 1 - x - y) \leq (x + y)^2 \left(\frac{3}{4}(x + y)^2 + 1 - x - y \right) \leq \frac{3}{4}$, $x + y - x^2 - y^2 - 17xy + 40xy(x + y) - 93x^2y^2 = x(1-x) + y(1-y) - 17xy + 40xy(x + y) - 93x^2y^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} - 17xy + 40xy - 93x^2y^2 = \frac{1}{2} + 23xy - 93x^2y^2 \leq 1$; $1 - 6(x + y) + 15(x^2 + y^2) + 30xy - 60xy(x + y) + 90x^2y^2 = 1 - 6(x + y) + 15(x + y)^2 - 60xy(x + y) + 90x^2y^2 = 1 + 3(5(x + y)^2 - 2(x + y)) + 30xy(3xy - 2(x + y)) \leq 10$, because $5(x + y)^2 - 2(x + y) \leq 3$ and $3xy - 2(x + y) \leq 0$. So, we obtain that $(m+3)(m+4)(m+5)(m+6)(\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - x)^2(\cdot - y)^2)(x, y) \leq \frac{3m^2}{4} + 4m + 40 \leq (m+5)(m+6)$, for $m \geq 2$.

Finally, we have analogously

$$(m+3) \cdot (m+4) \cdot \dots \cdot (m+8)(\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot - x)^2(\cdot - y)^4)(x, y) \leq \frac{9(m+4) \cdot (m+5) \cdot \dots \cdot (m+8)}{(m+3)^2},$$

where we can take any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 100$, from where the relation (3.13) results. ■

4. Approximation and convergence theorems for the bivariate operators of Durrmeyer-type

Let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{R}$ be given intervals, $D \subset X \times Y$ and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. The function $\omega_{total}(f; \cdot, *) : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined for any $(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ by

$$(4.1) \quad \omega_{total}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2) = \sup \left\{ |f(x, y) - f(x', y')| : (x, y), (x', y') \in D, \right. \\ \left. |x - x'| \leq \delta_1, |y - y'| \leq \delta_2 \right\}$$

is called the first order modulus of smoothness of function f or total modulus of continuity of function f .

REMARK 4.1. The function from (4.1) is the constraint of the “classical” modulus of smoothness to the domain of definition D of the function f and has the same properties as the “classical” modulus of smoothness.

REMARK 4.2. The following theorem can be demonstrated in a similar way as the Shisha-Mond type theorem with the “classical” modulus of smoothness (see for example [5] or [16]).

THEOREM 4.1. Let $L : C(D) \rightarrow B(D)$ be a linear positive operator. For any $f \in C(D)$, any $(x, y) \in D$ and any $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$, the following inequality

$$(4.2) \quad |(Lf)(x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq |(Le_{00})(x, y) - 1| |f(x, y)| + \left[(Le_{00})(x, y) + \right. \\ \left. + \delta_1^{-1} \sqrt{(Le_{00})(x, y)(L(\cdot - x)^2)(x, y)} + \delta_2^{-1} \sqrt{(Le_{00})(x, y)(L(\cdot - y)^2)(x, y)} + \right. \\ \left. + \delta_1^{-1} \delta_2^{-1} \sqrt{(Le_{00})^2(x, y)(L(\cdot - x)^2)(x, y)(L(\cdot - y)^2)(x, y)} \right] \omega_{total}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2)$$

holds, where “.” and “*” stand for the first and the second variable.

THEOREM 4.2. Let the function $f \in C(\Delta_2)$. Then, for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_2$, any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 4$, we have

$$(4.3) \quad |(\mathcal{M}_m f)(x, y) - f(x, y)| \\ \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_1 \sqrt{m+3}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_2 \sqrt{m+3}} \right) \omega_{total}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2)$$

for any $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ and

$$(4.4) \quad |(\mathcal{M}_m f)(x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq 4\omega_{total} \left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+3}} \right).$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 4.1, where $D = \Delta_2$, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3. For (4.4), we choose $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+3}}$ in (4.3). ■

COROLLARY 4.1. *If $f \in C(\Delta_2)$, then*

$$(4.5) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{M}_m f)(x, y) = f(x, y)$$

uniformly on Δ_2 .

Proof. It results from (4.4). ■

5. Approximation and convergence theorems for gbs operators of Durrmeyer-type

Using the idea from section 4, we take the following construction, so the definitions and theorems are constrained from the domain of definition of function. Theorem 5.1 (see [3]) and Theorem 5.2 (see [13]) can be demonstrated in a similar way as in the general case. In the following, let X and Y be real intervals. A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called B -continuous (Bögel-continuous) function in $(x_0, y_0) \in D$ if

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (x_0,y_0)} \Delta f((x, y), (x_0, y_0)) = 0.$$

Here $\Delta f((x, y), (x_0, y_0)) = f(x, y) - f(x, y_0) - f(x_0, y) + f(x_0, y_0)$ denotes a so-called mixed difference of f .

A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called B -differentiable (Bögel-differentiable) function in $(x_0, y_0) \in D$ if it exists and if the limit is finite:

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (x_0,y_0)} \frac{\Delta f((x, y), (x_0, y_0))}{(x - x_0)(y - y_0)}.$$

The limit is named the B -differential of f in the point (x_0, y_0) and is noted by $D_B f(x_0, y_0)$.

The definition of B -continuity and B -differentiability were introduced by K. Bögel in the papers [6] and [7].

The function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is B -bounded on D if there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$|\Delta f((x, y), (s, t))| \leq K$$

for any $(x, y), (s, t) \in D$.

We shall use the function sets $B(D) = \{f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | f \text{ bounded on } D\}$ with the usual sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, $B_b(D) = \{f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | f \text{ } B\text{-bounded on } D\}$ and we set $\|f\|_B = \sup_{(x,y),(s,t) \in D} |\Delta f((x, y), (s, t))|$ where $f \in B_b(D)$, $C_b(D) = \{f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | f \text{ } B\text{-continuous on } D\}$ and $D_b(D) = \{f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | f \text{ } B\text{-differentiable on } D\}$.

Let $f \in B_b(D)$. The function $\omega_{\text{mixed}}(f; \cdot, *) : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$(5.1) \quad \omega_{\text{mixed}}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2) = \sup\{|\Delta f((x, y), (s, t))| : (x, y), (s, t) \in D, \\ |x - s| \leq \delta_1, |y - t| \leq \delta_2\}$$

for any $(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ is called the mixed modulus of smoothness.

For related topics, see [1], [2], [3] and [4].

Let $L : C_b(D) \rightarrow B(D)$ be a linear positive operator. The operator $UL : C_b(D) \rightarrow B(D)$ defined for any function $f \in C_b(D)$, any $(x, y) \in D$ by

$$(5.2) \quad (ULf)(x, y) = (L(f(\cdot, y) + f(x, *) - f(\cdot, *))) (x, y)$$

is called GBS operator ("Generalized Boolean Sum" operator) associated to the operator L , where "." and "*" stand for the first and second variable.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $L : C_b(D) \rightarrow B(D)$ be a linear positive operator and $UL : C_b(D) \rightarrow B(D)$ the associated GBS operator. Then for any $f \in C_b(D)$, any $(x, y) \in D$ and any $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$, we have*

$$(5.3) \quad |f(x, y) - (ULf)(x, y)| \leq |f(x, y)| |1 - (Le_{00})(x, y)| + \\ + \left[(Le_{00})(x, y) + \delta_1^{-1} \sqrt{(L(\cdot - x)^2)(x, y)} + \delta_2^{-1} \sqrt{(L(* - y)^2)(x, y)} + \right. \\ \left. + \delta_1^{-1} \delta_2^{-1} \sqrt{(L(\cdot - x)^2(* - y)^2)(x, y)} \right] \omega_{\text{mixed}}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2).$$

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $L : C_b(D) \rightarrow B(D)$ be a linear positive operator and $UL : C_b(D) \rightarrow B(D)$ the associated GBS operator. Then for any $f \in D_b(D)$ with $D_B f \in B(D)$, any $(x, y) \in D$ and any $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$, we have*

$$(5.4) \quad |f(x, y) - (ULf)(x, y)| \leq \\ \leq |f(x, y)| |1 - (Le_{00})(x, y)| + 3 \|D_B f\|_{\infty} \sqrt{(L(\cdot - x)^2(* - y)^2)(x, y)} + \\ + \left[\sqrt{(L(\cdot - x)^2(* - y)^2)(x, y)} + \delta_1^{-1} \sqrt{(L(\cdot - x)^4(* - y)^2)(x, y)} + \right. \\ + \delta_2^{-1} \sqrt{(L(\cdot - x)^2(* - y)^4)(x, y)} + \\ \left. + \delta_1^{-1} \delta_2^{-1} (L(\cdot - x)^2(* - y)^2)(x, y) \right] \omega_{\text{mixed}}(D_B f; \delta_1, \delta_2).$$

If $f \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in C_b(\Delta_2)$, then the GBS operator associated to the $\mathcal{M}_m$ operator is defined by

$$(5.5) \quad (U\mathcal{M}_m f)(x, y) = (m+1)(m+2) \sum_{\substack{k, j=0 \\ k+j \leq m}} p_{m, k, j}(x, y) \cdot \\ \cdot \iint_{(\Delta_2)} p_{m, k, j}(s, t) [f(s, y) + f(x, t) - f(s, t)] ds dt,$$

for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_2$.

THEOREM 5.3. *Let the function $f \in C_b(\Delta_2)$. Then, for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_2$, any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 4$, we have*

$$(5.6) \quad |(U\mathcal{M}_m f)(x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_1 \sqrt{m+3}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_2 \sqrt{m+3}}\right) \omega_{mixed}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2)$$

for any $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ and

$$(5.7) \quad |(U\mathcal{M}_m f)(x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq 4\omega_{mixed}\left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+3}}\right).$$

Proof. For the first inequality, we apply Theorem 5.1, where $D = \Delta_2$ and Lemma 3.3. The inequality (5.7) is obtained from (5.6) by choosing $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+3}}$. ■

COROLLARY 5.1. *If $f \in C_b(\Delta_2)$, then*

$$(5.8) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (U\mathcal{M}_m f)(x, y) = f(x, y)$$

uniformly on Δ_2 .

Proof. It results from (5.7). ■

THEOREM 5.4. *Let the function $f \in D_b(\Delta_2)$ with $D_B f \in B(\Delta_2)$. Then, for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_2$, any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 100$, we have*

$$(5.9) \quad |(U\mathcal{M}_m f)(x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \frac{3}{m+3} \|D_B f\|_\infty + \frac{1}{m+3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_1 \sqrt{m+3}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_2 \sqrt{m+3}}\right) \omega_{mixed}(D_B f; \delta_1, \delta_2)$$

for any $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ and

$$(5.10) \quad |(U\mathcal{M}_m f)(x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \frac{3}{m+3} \|D_B f\|_\infty + \frac{4}{m+3} \omega_{mixed}\left(D_B f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+3}}\right).$$

Proof. It results from Theorem 5.2, where $D = \Delta_2$ and Lemma 3.3. ■

References

- [1] I. Badea, *Modul de continuitate în sens Bôgel și unele aplicații în aproximarea printr-un operator Bernstein*, Studia Univ. Babeș-Bolyai Math. 18 (2) (1973), 69–78 (Romanian).
- [2] C. Badea, I. Badea, H. H. Gonska, *A test function theorem and approximation by pseudopolynomials*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 34 (1986), 55–64.

- [3] C. Badea, C. Cottin, *Korovkin-type theorems for generalized boolean sum operators*, Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, 58, Approximation Theory, Kecskemét (Hungary) (1990), 51–67 (1988), 95–108.
- [4] C. Badea, I. Badea, C. Cottin, H. H. Gonska, *Notes on the degree of approximation of B -continuous and B -differentiable functions*, J. Approx. Theory Appl. 4 (1988), 95–108.
- [5] D. Bărbosu, *Polynomial Approximation by Means of Schurer-Stancu type Operators*, Ed. Universității de Nord, Baia Mare, 2006.
- [6] K. Bögel, *Mehrdimensionale Differentiation von Funktionen mehrerer Veränderlicher*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 170 (1934), 197–217.
- [7] K. Bögel, *Über die mehrdimensionale Differentiation, Integration und beschränkte Variation*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 173 (1935), 5–29.
- [8] K. Bögel, *Über die mehrdimensionale Differentiation*, Jber. DMV 65 (1962), 45–71.
- [9] M. M. Derriennic, *Sur l'approximation des fonctions intégrables sur $[0,1]$ par des polynômes de Bernstein modifiés*, J. Approx. Theory 31 (1981), 325–343.
- [10] J. L. Durrmeyer, *Une formule d'inversion de la transformée de Laplace: Applications à la théorie des moments*, Thèse de 3e cycle, Faculté des Sciences de l'Université de Paris, 1967.
- [11] G. G. Lorentz, *Bernstein Polynomials*, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953.
- [12] O. T. Pop, M. D. Fărcaș, *Approximation of B -continuous and B -differentiable functions by GBS operators of Bernstein bivariate polynomials*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 7 (2006), 9 pp. (electronic).
- [13] O. T. Pop, *Approximation of B -differentiable functions by GBS operators*, Anal. Univ. Oradea, Fasc. Matem. Tom XIV (2007), 15–31.
- [14] D. D. Stancu, *Asupra aproximării funcțiilor de două variabile prin polinoame de tip Bernstein. Câteva evaluări asimptotice*, Studii și Cercetări Matematice 11 (1960), 171–176 (Romanian).
- [15] D. D. Stancu, Gh. Coman, Gh. Agratini, Gh. Trîmbițaș, *Analiză numerică și teoria aproximării*, I, Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca, 2001 (Romanian).
- [16] A. F. Timan, *Theory of Approximation of Functions of Real Variable*, New York: Macmillan Co. 1963. MR22#8257.

NATIONAL COLLEGE "MIHAI EMINESCU"

5 MIHAI EMINESCU STREET

SATU MARE 440014, ROMANIA

E-mail: ovidiutiberiu@yahoo.com

mirceafarcas2005@yahoo.com

Received October 15, 2007; revised version June 30, 2008.

