

Ali Farés, Bruno de Malafosse

SPECTRA OF THE OPERATOR OF THE FIRST  
DIFFERENCE IN  $s_\alpha$ ,  $s_\alpha^0$ ,  $s_\alpha^{(c)}$  AND  $l_p(\alpha)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ )  
AND APPLICATION TO MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS

**Abstract.** In this paper we deal with the spectrum of the operator of the first difference  $\Delta$  considered as an operator from  $E$  to itself where  $E$  is one of the sets  $s_\alpha$ ,  $s_\alpha^0$ , or  $s_\alpha^{(c)}$ , or  $l_p(\alpha)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). We apply these results to characterize matrix transformations mapping in  $E((\Delta - \lambda I)^\chi)$  where  $E$  is either of the sets  $s_\alpha^0$ , or  $l_p(r)$ , for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\chi \in \mathbb{C}$ , or  $\mathbb{N}$ . This paper generalizes some results given in [8] and [3].

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we are interested in the study of the spectra of the operator of the first difference  $\Delta$ . In [8] it was shown that the spectrum of  $\Delta$  considered as an operator mapping from  $s_r$  to itself is equal to  $\overline{D(1, 1/R)}$ . Altay and Başar studied [2] the fine spectra of the difference operator  $\Delta$  on  $c_0$  and  $c$ . Then in [3] Akhmedov and Başar dealt with the fine spectra  $\Delta$  over the sequence space  $l_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). In [18, 19], Rhoades dealt with the spectra of the weighted mean operator  $\overline{N}_q$  in  $\mathcal{B}(l_p)$  and in  $bw_0$ . In de Malafosse [12] it was shown that under some conditions the spectrum of  $\overline{N}_q$  considered as operator from  $s_\alpha$  to itself and from  $s_\alpha^0$  to itself is equal to  $\{0\} \cup \{q_n/Q_n : n \geq 1\}$ , where  $Q_n = \sum_{m=1}^n q_m$ . Many results were gathered by Malkowsky and Rakočević in [16], among other things they have provided characterizations of operators mapping from  $\chi(\Delta^m)$  to  $\chi'$ , where  $m$  is an integer,  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$  are either of the sets  $c_0$ ,  $c$ , or  $l_\infty$ . Characterizations of the set  $(l_\infty((\Delta^t)^m), l_\infty)$  for any given integer  $m \geq 1$  were given by Kizmaz [6], Çolak and Et [4]. We also have in [8], necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix map to belong to  $(s_r((\Delta - \lambda I)^\mu), s_r)$ , for any given complex numbers  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$ .

---

*Key words and phrases:* Operator of the first difference, Banach algebra with identity, matrix transformations, spectrum of an operator.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 47A10, 40H05, 46A15.

Here we deal with the spectrum of  $\Delta$  considered as an operator from  $E$  to itself where  $E$  is one of the sets  $s_\alpha$ ,  $s_\alpha^0$ ,  $s_\alpha^{(c)}$ , or  $l_p(\alpha)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). Then we apply these results to matrix transformations mapping in  $s_\alpha^0((\Delta - \lambda I)^h)$  and mapping in  $l_p(r)((\Delta - \lambda I)^h)$  where  $h$  is a complex number.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions and results on *sequence spaces and matrix transformations*. Then in Section 3 we study the spectrum of  $\Delta$  considered as an operator from  $E$  to itself for  $E = s_\alpha$ ,  $s_\alpha^0$ ,  $s_\alpha^{(c)}$ , or  $l_p(\alpha)$ . Finally in Section 4 we characterize matrix transformations between  $s_\alpha^0((\Delta - \lambda I)^h)$  and  $F$  and between  $l_p(r)((\Delta - \lambda I)^h)$  and  $F$ , where  $F$  is either of the sets  $s_\gamma$ ,  $s_\gamma^0$ ,  $s_\gamma^{(c)}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $h$  is a complex number.

## 2. Notations and preliminary results

For a given infinite matrix  $A = (a_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$  we define the *operators*  $A_n$  for any integer  $n \geq 1$ , by

$$(1) \quad A_n(X) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{nm} x_m$$

where  $X = (x_m)_{m \geq 1}$ , and the series are assumed to be convergent for all  $n$ . So we are led to the study of the operator  $A$  defined by  $AX = (A_n(X))_{n \geq 1}$  mapping a sequence space into another sequence space. Throughout this paper we will consider  $X$  and  $AX$  as column vectors.

A *BK space*  $E$  is a Banach sequence space with continuous coordinates  $P_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  where  $P_n(X) = x_n$  for all  $X \in E$  and  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . A *BK space*  $E$  is said to have *AK* if every sequence  $X = (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in E$  has a unique representation  $X = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} x_m e^{(m)}$  where  $e^{(m)}$  denotes the sequence with  $e_m^{(m)} = 1$  and  $e_j^{(m)} = 0$  for  $j \neq m$ .

We will denote by  $s$ ,  $c_0$ ,  $c$ ,  $l_\infty$  the sets of all sequences, the set of sequences that converge to zero, convergent and bounded respectively. Then for given sequence  $a \in s$  we define the infinite diagonal matrix  $D_a$  by  $[D_a]_{nn} = a_n$  for all  $n$ . We will use the set  $U^+$  of all sequences  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1} \in s$  such that  $u_n > 0$  for all  $n$ . Using Wilansky's notations [20], we define for any sequence  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} \in U^+$  and for any set of sequences  $E$ , the set

$$(1/\alpha)^{-1} * E = \left\{ (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in s : (x_n/\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} \in E \right\}.$$

Throughout this paper we will write  $D_\alpha E = (1/\alpha)^{-1} * E$  and put  $s_\alpha = D_\alpha l_\infty$ ,  $s_\alpha^0 = D_\alpha c_0$  and  $s_\alpha^{(c)} = D_\alpha c$ , for  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} \in U^+$ , see [9]. Each of the

spaces  $D_\alpha E$ , where  $E \in \{l_\infty, c_0, c\}$ , is a  $BK$  space normed by  $\|X\|_{s_\alpha} = \sup_{n \geq 1} (|x_n|/\alpha_n)$  and  $s_\alpha^0$  has AK, see [13].

Now let  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $\mu = (\mu_n)_{n \geq 1} \in U^+$ . By  $S_{\alpha, \mu}$  we denote the set of infinite matrices  $A = (a_{nm})_{n, m \geq 1}$  such that

$$\|A\|_{S_{\alpha, \mu}} = \sup_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{\mu_n} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{nm}| \alpha_m \right) < \infty.$$

The set  $S_{\alpha, \mu}$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|A\|_{S_{\alpha, \mu}}$ . Let  $E$  and  $F$  be any subsets of  $s$ . When  $A$  maps  $E$  into  $F$  we shall write  $A \in (E, F)$ , see [7]. So for every  $X \in E$ ,  $AX \in F$ ,  $(AX \in F)$  will mean that for each  $n \geq 1$  the series defined by  $A_n(X) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{nm} x_m$  is convergent and  $(A_n(X))_{n \geq 1} \in F$ . For any subset  $E$  of  $s$ , we put

$$AE = \{Y \in s : Y = AX \text{ for some } X \in E\}.$$

If  $F$  is a subset of  $s$ , we shall denote

$$F(A) = FA = \{X \in s : Y = AX \in F\}.$$

In [14] it was shown that  $A \in (s_\alpha, s_\mu)$  if and only if  $A \in S_{\alpha, \mu}$ . So we can write that  $(s_\alpha, s_\mu) = S_{\alpha, \mu}$ . This result comes from the next elementary lemma we will use throughout this paper.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\alpha, \mu \in U^+$  and let  $E, F \subset s$ . Then we have  $A \in (D_\alpha E, D_\mu F)$  if and only if  $D_{1/\mu} A D_\alpha \in (E, F)$ .*

When  $s_\alpha = s_\mu$  we obtain the Banach algebra with identity  $S_{\alpha, \mu} = S_\alpha$ , (cf. [14]) normed by  $\|A\|_{S_\alpha} = \|A\|_{S_{\alpha, \alpha}}$ . We also have  $A \in (s_\alpha, s_\alpha)$  if and only if  $A \in S_\alpha$ .

For any  $BK$  space  $E$  we denote by  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators that map  $E$  to itself. In this paper we will use the set  $l_p(\alpha) = (1/\alpha)^{-1} * l_p = D_\alpha l_p$  for  $p \geq 1$ . It can easily be seen that

$$l_p(\alpha) = \left\{ X : \|X\|_{l_p(\alpha)}^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|x_n|}{\alpha_n} \right)^p < \infty \right\}.$$

The set  $l_p(\alpha) = l_p(D_{1/\alpha})$  is a  $BK$  space normed by  $\|X\|_{l_p(\alpha)}$  and has AK. We deduce that the set  $\mathcal{B}(l_p(\alpha))$  of all bounded operators mapping  $l_p(\alpha)$  to itself is a Banach algebra (cf. [13]). Since  $l_p(\alpha)$  has AK, by [13, Lemma 4, pp. 44] we have

$$\mathcal{B}(l_p(\alpha)) = (l_p(\alpha), l_p(\alpha)).$$

If  $\alpha = (r^n)_{n \geq 1}$ , the matrix  $D_\alpha$  and the sets  $S_\alpha$ ,  $s_\alpha$ ,  $s_\alpha^0$ ,  $s_\alpha^{(c)}$  and  $l_p(\alpha)$  are denoted by  $D_r$ ,  $S_r$ ,  $s_r$ ,  $s_r^0$ ,  $s_r^{(c)}$  and  $l_p(r)$  respectively (see [8, 9]). When  $r = 1$ , we obtain  $s_1 = l_\infty$ ,  $s_1^0 = c_0$  and  $s_1^{(c)} = c$ , and putting  $e = (1, 1, \dots)$  we

have  $S_1 = S_e$ . It is well known, see [7] that  $(s_1, s_1) = (c_0, s_1) = (c, s_1) = S_1$ . In the following we will use the next result.

LEMMA 2. *i)  $A \in (c_0, c_0)$  if and only if  $A \in S_1$  and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nm} = 0 \text{ for all } m \geq 1.$$

*ii)  $A \in (c_0, c)$  if and only if  $A \in S_1$  and*

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nm} = l_m \text{ for some } l_m \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and for all } m \geq 1.$$

*iii)  $A \in (c, c)$  if and only if  $A \in S_1$ , (2) holds and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{nm} = l \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{C}.$$

### 3. The spectra of the operator $\Delta$ in the sets $s_{\alpha}$ , $s_{\alpha}^0$ , $s_{\alpha}^{(c)}$ , or $l_p(\alpha)$ ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ )

In this section we study the spectrum of the operator of the first difference mapping in  $s_{\alpha}$  and we explicitly calculate the spectra  $\sigma(\Delta, s_R)$ ,  $\sigma(\Delta, s_R^0)$ ,  $\sigma(\Delta, s_R^{(c)})$  and  $\sigma(\Delta, s_{(n)}_n)$ . Then we deal with the sets  $\sigma(\Delta, l_1(\alpha))$ ,  $\sigma(\Delta^+, l_1(\alpha))$ ,  $\sigma(\Delta, l_p(r))$  and  $\sigma(\Delta^+, l_p(r))$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

#### 3.1. On the spectrum of $\Delta$ considered as operator in $s_{\alpha}$ , $s_{\alpha}^0$ , or $s_{\alpha}^{(c)}$

Recall that B. Altay and F. Başar [2] dealt with the fine spectra of the operator  $\Delta$  considered as operator in  $c_0$ ,  $c$  and  $l_{\infty}$  respectively. Let  $E$  be a set of sequences and  $A$  be an operator mapping  $E$  to itself. We denote by  $\sigma(A, E)$  the set of all complex numbers  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda I - A$  considered as an operator from  $E$  to itself is not invertible. We have the next result where we use the notation  $D(\lambda_0, r) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq r\}$  for  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $r > 0$ .

THEOREM 3. [2, Theorems 2.1-2.12], [3, Theorem 2.8]. Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$

$$\sigma(\Delta, c_0) = \sigma(\Delta, c) = \sigma(\Delta, l_p) = \overline{D(1, 1)}.$$

To state the next results we need to recall some properties of the sequence  $C(\alpha)$ .

##### 3.1.1. Properties of the sequence $C(\alpha)$

Here we shall deal with the operators represented by  $C(\xi)$  and  $\Delta(\xi)$ , see for instance [8]. The infinite matrix  $T = (t_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$  is said to be a triangle if  $t_{nm} = 0$  for  $m > n$  and  $t_{nn} \neq 0$  for all  $n$ . Now let  $U$  be the set of all sequences  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1} \in s$  with  $u_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$ . The infinite matrix  $C(\xi) = (c_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$  for  $\xi = (\xi_n)_{n \geq 1} \in U$ , is defined by

$$c_{nm} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\xi_n} & \text{if } m \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can be proved that the matrix  $\Delta(\xi) = (c'_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$  with

$$c'_{nm} = \begin{cases} \xi_n & \text{if } m = n, \\ -\xi_{n-1} & \text{if } m = n-1 \text{ and } n \geq 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is the inverse of  $C(\xi)$ , that is  $C(\xi)(\Delta(\xi)X) = \Delta(\xi)(C(\xi)X)$  for all  $X \in s$ . If  $\xi = e$  we get the well-known operator of the first difference represented by  $\Delta(e) = \Delta$  and usually denoted by  $\Sigma = C(e)$ . Note that  $\Delta = \Sigma^{-1}$  and  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  belong to any given space  $S_R$  with  $R > 1$ .

Consider the following sets

$$\widehat{C}_1 = \left\{ \alpha \in U^+ : C(\alpha)\alpha = \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \left( \sum_{m=1}^n \alpha_m \right) \right)_{n \geq 1} \in s_1 = l_\infty \right\},$$

and

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \alpha \in U^+ : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} \right) < 1 \right\}.$$

From [9, Proposition 2.1, pp. 1786] we get

LEMMA 4. *Let  $\alpha \in U^+$ . Then*

- i)  $\Gamma \subset \widehat{C}_1$ ,
- ii) *If  $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$  there are  $K > 0$  and  $\gamma > 1$  such that*

$$\alpha_n \geq K\gamma^n \text{ for all } n.$$

### 3.1.2. On the spectrum of $\Delta$ considered as an operator from $E$ to itself where $E = s_\alpha$ , $s_\alpha^0$ , or $s_\alpha^{(c)}$

Now we can state the following result where  $\rho(A, E) = \sigma(A, E)^c$  is the resolvent set of  $A \in (E, E)$ .

THEOREM 5. *Let  $\alpha \in U^+$  and assume  $\sup_n (\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n) < \infty$ . Then*

- i)  $\sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha) = \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha^0)$  and  $\lambda \in \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha)$  if and only if

$$\lambda = 1 \text{ or } (|\lambda - 1|^n \alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} \notin \widehat{C}_1.$$

- ii) a) *We have*

$$(3) \quad \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha) = \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha^0) \subset \overline{D \left( 1, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} \right)},$$

*and the inclusion is strict.*

b) If  $(\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n)_{n \geq 2} \in c$  then

$$\sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha^{(c)}) \subset \overline{D\left(1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n}\right)}.$$

iii) For any  $R > 0$  we have

$$\sigma(\Delta, s_R) = \sigma(\Delta, s_R^0) = \sigma(\Delta, s_R^{(c)}) = \overline{D(1, 1/R)}.$$

Proof. i) First show  $\lambda \in \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha)$  if and only if  $\lambda = 1$  or  $(|\lambda - 1|^n \alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} \notin \widehat{C}_1$ . Let  $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta, s_\alpha)$ . Then  $\Delta - \lambda I : s_\alpha \rightarrow s_\alpha$  is invertible and  $(\Delta - \lambda I)^{-1} \in (s_\alpha, s_\alpha)$ . First by Lemma 1 we have  $\Delta - \lambda I \in (s_\alpha, s_\alpha)$  if and only if

$$\Delta'_\alpha = D_{1/\alpha}(\lambda I - \Delta) D_\alpha \in S_1,$$

that is for any sequence  $\alpha$  satisfying  $\sup_n (\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n) < \infty$ . For  $\lambda \neq 1$  we get  $(\lambda I - \Delta)^{-1} = (\xi_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$ , where

$$(4) \quad \xi_{nm} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{n-m}}{(\lambda - 1)^{n-m+1}} & \text{if } m \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $S_\alpha = (s_\alpha, s_\alpha)$  the condition  $(\lambda I - \Delta)^{-1} \in S_\alpha$  is then equivalent to  $\lambda \neq 1$  and

$$(5) \quad \chi = \sup_n \left( \frac{\sum_{m=1}^n |\lambda - 1|^m \alpha_m}{|\lambda - 1|^n \alpha_n} \right) < \infty,$$

that is  $(|\lambda - 1|^n \alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \widehat{C}_1$ . We conclude that  $\lambda \in \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha)$  if and only if  $\lambda = 1$  or  $(|\lambda - 1|^n \alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} \notin \widehat{C}_1$ .

Now show  $\sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha) = \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha^0)$ . First show  $\sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha) \subset \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha^0)$ . For this take  $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta, s_\alpha^0)$ . Then  $\lambda I - \Delta$  considered as operator from  $s_\alpha^0$  to itself is invertible and  $(\lambda I - \Delta)^{-1} \in (s_\alpha^0, s_\alpha^0)$ . Since

$$(s_\alpha^0, s_\alpha^0) \subset (s_\alpha^0, s_\alpha) = (s_\alpha, s_\alpha)$$

we deduce  $\lambda I - \Delta$  maps  $s_\alpha$  to itself,  $(\lambda I - \Delta)^{-1} \in (s_\alpha, s_\alpha)$  and  $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta, s_\alpha)$ . We conclude  $\rho(\Delta, s_\alpha^0) \subset \rho(\Delta, s_\alpha)$  and  $\sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha) \subset \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha^0)$ . Now show  $\sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha^0) \subset \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha)$ . For this take  $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta, s_\alpha)$ . Then  $\lambda I - \Delta$  considered as operator from  $s_\alpha$  to itself is invertible and  $(\lambda I - \Delta)^{-1} \in (s_\alpha, s_\alpha)$ . From the characterization of  $(s_\alpha^0, s_\alpha^0)$  we only need to show that

$$|[\Delta'_\alpha]_{nm}| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \text{ for all } m.$$

As we have seen above  $(\lambda I - \Delta)^{-1} \in S_\alpha$  implies  $(|\lambda - 1|^n \alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \widehat{C}_1$  and

by Lemma 4 ii)  $|\lambda - 1|^n \alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and so

$$\begin{aligned} |[\Delta'_\alpha]_{nm}| &= \left| \frac{\alpha_m}{(\lambda - 1)^{n-m+1} \alpha_n} \right| \\ &= |(\lambda - 1)^m| \alpha_m \frac{1}{|(\lambda - 1)^n| \alpha_n} = 0(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . We deduce  $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta, s_\alpha^0)$ . So we have shown  $\sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha^0) \subset \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha)$  and since  $\sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha) \subset \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha^0)$  we conclude  $\sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha) = \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha^0)$ .

ii) a) Let  $\lambda \in \sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha)$ . By Lemma 4 i) we easily deduce that  $(|\lambda - 1|^n \alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} \notin \widehat{C}_1$  implies  $(|\lambda - 1|^n \alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} \notin \Gamma$  and

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda - 1|} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} \right) \geq 1$$

that is  $|\lambda - 1| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n)$ . This shows that (3) holds. Furthermore since  $\widehat{C}_1 \neq \Gamma$ , by [10, Remark 6, p. 255] the inclusion is strict and we have shown ii) a).

ii) b) Let  $\lambda$  be such that  $|\lambda - 1| > \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n)$ . Then there is an integer  $q_0$  such that

$$\sup_{n \geq q_0+1} \left( \frac{1}{|\lambda - 1|} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} \right) < 1.$$

Now define by  $\Sigma^{q_0} = (\sigma_{nm})_{nm \geq 1}$  the triangle whose entries of the  $q_0$  first rows and of the  $q_0$  first columns are equal to those of  $\Delta_\lambda = (1/(\lambda - 1))(\lambda I - \Delta)$ ,  $\sigma_{nn} = 1$  for all  $n$  and  $\sigma_{nm} = 0$  for all  $n, m$  with  $n \geq q_0 + 1$  and  $m < n$ . We easily see that  $\lambda I - \Delta \in (s_\alpha^{(c)}, s_\alpha^{(c)})$  and

$$\|I - \Delta_\lambda \Sigma^{q_0}\|_{S_\alpha} = \sup_{n \geq q_0+1} \left( \frac{1}{|\lambda - 1|} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} \right) < 1,$$

from [13, Corollary 9, p. 47] we deduce  $\Lambda = (\Delta_\lambda \Sigma^{q_0})^{-1} \in (s_\alpha^{(c)}, s_\alpha^{(c)})$  and

$$(\lambda I - \Delta)^{-1} = (\lambda - 1)^{-1} \Sigma^{q_0} \Lambda \in (s_\alpha^{(c)}, s_\alpha^{(c)}).$$

So we have shown that  $\lambda \notin \overline{D(1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n))}$  implies  $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta, s_\alpha^{(c)})$  and we conclude that ii) b) holds.

iii) The identity  $\sigma(\Delta, s_R) = \overline{D(1, 1/R)}$  was shown in [8], so by i) we also have  $\sigma(\Delta, s_R^0) = \overline{D(1, 1/R)}$ .

Now we show  $\sigma(\Delta, s_R^{(c)}) = \overline{D(1, 1/R)}$ . From the characterization of  $(c, c)$  we deduce the characterization of  $(s_R^{(c)}, s_R^{(c)})$ . For the convenience of

the reader recall that  $A \in (s_R^{(c)}, s_R^{(c)})$  if and only if  $D_{1/R}AD_R \in (c, c)$ , that is  $D_{1/R}AD_R \in S_1$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [D_{1/R}AD_R]_{nm} = l_m$  for all  $m$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [D_{1/R}AD_R]_{nm} = l$ . Now take  $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta, s_R^{(c)})$ . Putting  $\Delta'_R = D_{1/R}(\lambda I - \Delta)^{-1}D_R$ , we easily see that

$$\|\Delta'_R\|_{S_1} = \frac{1}{R} + |\lambda - 1| < \infty.$$

So we have  $\Delta'_R \in S_1$  and since  $\Delta'_R$  is a band matrix we have  $(\lambda I - \Delta) \in (s_R^{(c)}, s_R^{(c)})$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore we have  $(\lambda I - \Delta)^{-1} \in (s_R^{(c)}, s_R^{(c)})$ . Then  $(\lambda I - \Delta)^{-1} \in S_R$  and by (4) we obtain

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi &= R \sup_n \left( \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{(|\lambda - 1| R)^{n-m+1}} \right) \\ &= R \sup_n \left\{ \frac{\left( \frac{1}{|\lambda - 1| R} \right)^{n+1} - \frac{1}{|\lambda - 1| R}}{\frac{1}{|\lambda - 1| R} - 1} \right\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $1/(|\lambda - 1| R) < 1$  and we conclude  $\lambda \notin \overline{D(1, 1/R)}$  and  $\overline{D(1, 1/R)} \subset \sigma(\Delta, s_R^{(c)})$ .

The inclusion  $\sigma(\Delta, s_R^{(c)}) \subset \overline{D(1, 1/R)}$  is a direct consequence of ii) b) and we conclude  $\sigma(\Delta, s_R^{(c)}) = \overline{D(1, 1/R)}$ . ■

As a direct consequence of Theorem 5 ii) we can state the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 6.** i)  $\sigma(\Delta, s_{(n)_n}) = \sigma(\Delta, s_{(n)_n}^0) = \overline{D(1, 1)}$ .

ii) If  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n) = 0$  then  $\sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha) = \{1\}$ .

iii)  $\sigma(\Delta, s_\alpha) = \{1\}$  where  $\alpha = (n!)_n$ , or  $(n^n)_n$ .

**Proof.** i) By Theorem 5 i)  $(|\lambda - 1|^n n)_{n \geq 1} \in \widehat{C}_1$  if and only if

$$c_n = \frac{|\lambda - 1| + 2|\lambda - 1|^2 + \cdots + n|\lambda - 1|^n}{n|\lambda - 1|^n} = O(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

It is well known that for  $x \neq 1$  real we have

$$x + 2x^2 + \cdots + nx^n = x(1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n)' = x \frac{x^n(nx - n - 1) + 1}{(1 - x)^2}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$c_n = |\lambda - 1| \frac{n|\lambda - 1| - n - 1}{n(1 - |\lambda - 1|^2)} + \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{(1 - |\lambda - 1|^2) |\lambda - 1|^{n-1}}$$

and  $c_n = O(1)$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) if and only if  $|\lambda - 1| > 1$ . We conclude that  $(|\lambda - 1|^n n)_{n \geq 1} \notin \widehat{C}_1$  if and only if  $|\lambda - 1| \leq 1$  and by i) we conclude that  $\sigma(\Delta, s_{(n)_n}) = \overline{D(1, 1)}$ .

ii) is a direct consequence of Theorem 5 ii) a).

iii) Trivially we have  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n) = 0$  for  $\alpha = (n^n)_n$ , or  $(n!)_n$ .  $\blacksquare$

### 3.2. Spectrum of $\Delta$ considered as an operator in $l_p(\alpha)$

In this subsection we will characterize the sets  $\sigma(\Delta, l_1(\alpha))$  and  $\sigma(\Delta^+, l_1(\alpha))$  and explicitly calculate  $\sigma(\Delta, l_p(\alpha))$  and  $\sigma(\Delta^+, l_p(\alpha))$ .

In the following we will use two lemmas where we consider the set  $E^* = \mathcal{B}(E, \mathbb{C})$  of all continuous linear functionals on  $E$  where  $E$  is a Banach space and the  $\beta$ -dual of  $E$  is denoted by  $E^\beta$ . For  $L \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  the operator  $L^*$  is defined by  $L^*(\varphi)(u) = \varphi(L(u))$  for all  $\varphi \in E^*$  and for all  $u \in E$ . We have the following well known result.

LEMMA 7 [5, pp. 71]. *Let  $E$  be a normed space. Then  $L \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  implies  $\sigma(L, E) = \sigma(L^*, E^*)$ .*

When  $E$  is a BK space with AK there is a relation between  $E^*$  and  $E^\beta$ . Recall that  $E^\beta$  is the set of all sequences  $a = (a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n$  is convergent for all  $X \in E$ . From [20, Theorem 7.2.9, pp. 107] we deduce that the map  $\widehat{\cdot}: E^\beta \rightarrow E^*$  defined by  $\widehat{a}(X) = \widehat{a}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  ( $a \in E^\beta$ ) where  $\widehat{a}(X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n$  for all  $X \in E$  is an isomorphism onto  $E^*$ . This means that  $E^*$  is isomorphic to  $E^\beta$  which is denoted by  $E^* \equiv E^\beta$ . From [20, Theorem 4.4.2, pp. 66] we deduce  $(D_\alpha E)^* \equiv (D_\alpha E)^\beta$ . As a direct consequence of the preceding we get the next lemma.

LEMMA 8. *Let  $\alpha \in U^+$  and assume that  $E$  is a BK space with AK. Then  $(D_\alpha E)^* \equiv D_{1/\alpha} E^\beta$ .*

We will also use the sets  $\Gamma^+$  and  $\widehat{C}_1^+$  defined by

$$\Gamma^+ = \left\{ \alpha \in U^+ : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} \right) < 1 \right\}.$$

and

$$\widehat{C}_1^+ = \left\{ \alpha \in U^+ \cap cs : \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \alpha_k \right) = O(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \right\},$$

where  $cs$  is the set of all convergent series. From [11, Proposition 6.1, pp. 3199] we have the following result.

LEMMA 9. *We have  $\Gamma^+ \subset \widehat{C}_1^+$ .*

Concerning the spectrum of the operator  $\Delta$  from  $l_p(\alpha)$  to itself, we have the next result where we put  $\Delta^+ = \Delta^t$ .

THEOREM 10. *Let  $\alpha \in U^+$ . Then*

*i) a)  $\lambda \in \sigma(\Delta, l_1(\alpha))$  if and only if*

$$(7) \quad \lambda = 1 \text{ or } \left( \frac{1}{|\lambda - 1|^n \alpha_n} \right)_{n \geq 1} \notin \widehat{C}_1^+.$$

*b)  $\lambda \in \sigma(\Delta^+, l_1(\alpha))$  if and only if*

$$(8) \quad \lambda = 1 \text{ or } \left( \frac{|\lambda - 1|^n}{\alpha_n} \right)_{n \geq 1} \notin \widehat{C}_1.$$

*ii) We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\Delta, l_1(\alpha)) &\subset \overline{D \left( 1, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_n / \alpha_{n+1}) \right)} \text{ and} \\ \sigma(\Delta^+, l_1(\alpha)) &\subset \overline{D \left( 1, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_{n+1} / \alpha_n) \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

*iii) Let  $r > 0$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then*

$$\sigma(\Delta, l_p(r)) = \overline{D(1, 1/r)} \text{ and } \sigma(\Delta^+, l_p(r)) = \overline{D(1, r)}.$$

Proof. i) a) By Lemma 7 we have  $\sigma(\Delta, l_1(\alpha)) = \sigma(\Delta^+, (l_1(\alpha))^*)$  and since by Lemma 8 we have

$$(l_1(\alpha))^* = (D_\alpha l_1)^* \equiv D_{1/\alpha} l_1^\beta = D_{1/\alpha} l_\infty = s_{1/\alpha}$$

we deduce  $\sigma(\Delta, l_1(\alpha)) = \sigma(\Delta^+, s_{1/\alpha})$ . Then  $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta^+, s_{1/\alpha})$  means that  $(\lambda I - \Delta^+)^{-1} \in S_{1/\alpha}$ , that is

$$\sup_n \left\{ \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda - 1|^{m-n+1} \alpha_m} \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_m} \right\} < \infty.$$

Reasoning as in Theorem 5 we conclude  $\lambda \in \sigma(\Delta, l_1(\alpha))$  if and only if  $\lambda = 1$  or

$$(9) \quad \left( \frac{1}{|\lambda - 1|^n \alpha_n} \right)_{n \geq 1} \notin \widehat{C}_1^+.$$

i) b) We have  $\sigma(\Delta^+, l_1(\alpha)) = \sigma(\Delta, (l_1(\alpha))^*)$  and as we have just seen  $(l_1(\alpha))^* \equiv s_{1/\alpha}$ . So  $\sigma(\Delta^+, l_1(\alpha)) = \sigma(\Delta, s_{1/\alpha})$  and we conclude by Theorem 5 i).

ii) As we have just seen  $\lambda \in \sigma(\Delta, l_1(\alpha))$  implies that either  $\lambda = 1$  or (7) holds. By Lemma 9 condition (7) implies  $(|\lambda - 1|^{-n} / \alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} \notin \Gamma^+$  and

$$|\lambda - 1| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}}.$$

So we have shown the first inclusion. The second inclusion comes from the identity  $\sigma(\Delta^+, l_1(\alpha)) = \sigma(\Delta, s_{1/\alpha})$  and Theorem 5 ii).

iii) To show  $\sigma(\Delta, l_p(r)) \subset \overline{D(1, 1/r)}$  we will show  $\overline{D(1, 1/r)}^c \subset \rho(\Delta, l_p(r))$ . Take  $\lambda \notin \overline{D(1, 1/r)}$ . We have

$$\|(I - \Delta_\lambda) X\|_{l_p(r)}^p = \frac{1}{|\lambda - 1|^p} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|x_{n-1}|^p}{r^{np}} \right) = \frac{1}{(|\lambda - 1|r)^p} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|x_{n-1}|^p}{r^{(n-1)p}} \right)$$

and

$$\|(I - \Delta_\lambda) X\|_{l_p(r)} = \frac{1}{|\lambda - 1|r} \|X\|_{l_p(r)}.$$

Hence  $\|I - \Delta_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{B}(l_p(r))} < 1$  whenever  $|\lambda - 1|r > 1$ , and since  $\mathcal{B}(l_p(r)) = (l_p(r), l_p(r))$  is a Banach algebra, (cf.[13]) we have  $(\Delta_\lambda)^{-1} \in (l_p(r), l_p(r))$  and  $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta, l_p(r))$ . So we have shown that  $\sigma(\Delta, l_p(r)) \subset \overline{D(1, 1/r)}$ .

Conversely we show  $\overline{D(1, 1/r)} \subset \sigma(\Delta, l_p(r))$ . For this take  $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta, l_p(r))$ . Then  $(\lambda I - \Delta)^{-1} \in (l_p(r), l_p(r))$  and since  $e^{(1)} \in l_p(r)$  we deduce

$$(\lambda I - \Delta)^{-1} \left( e^{(1)} \right)^t = \left( \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(\lambda - 1)^n} \right)_{n \geq 1} \in l_p(r).$$

So

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{(r|\lambda - 1|)^n} \right]^p < \infty$$

and  $1/(r|\lambda - 1|) < 1$ . We have shown  $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta, l_p(r))$  implies  $|\lambda - 1| > 1/r$  that is  $\overline{D(1, 1/r)} \subset \sigma(\Delta, l_p(r))$ . We conclude  $\sigma(\Delta, l_p(r)) = \overline{D(1, 1/r)}$ .

We show  $\sigma(\Delta^+, l_p(r)) = \overline{D(1, r)}$ . First consider the case  $p > 1$ . Let  $q > 1$  such that  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Then  $l_q^* \equiv l_p$  and by Lemma 8 we have  $(D_{1/r} l_q)^* \equiv D_r l_q^\beta$  and  $(l_q(1/r))^* = (D_{1/r} l_q)^* \equiv D_r l_q^\beta = D_r l_p = l_p(r)$ . Then we show  $\Delta \in (l_q(1/r), l_q(1/r))$ . Put  $\Delta_r = D_r \Delta D_{1/r}$ , from Minkowski's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |[\Delta_r X]_n|^q \right)^{1/q} &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| x_n - \frac{1}{r} x_{n-1} \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^q \right)^{1/q} + \frac{1}{r} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_{n-1}|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r} \right) \|X\|_{l_q}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\Delta_r \in (l_q, l_q)$  and  $\Delta \in (l_q(1/r), l_q(1/r))$ . By Lemma 7 we conclude  $\sigma(\Delta^+, l_p(r)) = \sigma(\Delta, l_q(1/r)) = \overline{D(1, r)}$ .

Case when  $p = 1$ . As we have seen in i) a) we have  $(l_1(r))^* \equiv s_{1/r}$ . We conclude

$$\sigma(\Delta^+, l_1(r)) = \sigma(\Delta, (l_1(r))^*) = \sigma(\Delta, s_{1/r}) = \overline{D(1, r)}. \quad \blacksquare$$

#### 4. Application to matrix transformations mapping in $s_\alpha^0((\Delta - \lambda I)^h)$ and in $l_p(r)((\Delta - \lambda I)^N)$ , $r > 0$ , $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $N$ integer

##### 4.1. On the set $(E(T), F)$

Here we will reformulate a theorem due to Malkowsky and Rakočević [17]. For this we consider the triangle  $T$  and put  $T^{-1} = (s_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$  and  $R = (T^{-1})^t$ . We can state the next result, where  $\widehat{A}$  is the matrix with rows  $\widehat{A}_n = (RA_n^t)^t$  for all  $n$  and  $A_n = (a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nm}, \dots)$ .

LEMMA 11. [17] Let  $E$  be a BK space with AK and  $F$  be an arbitrary subset of  $s$ . Then we have  $A \in (E(T), F)$  if and only if

$$\widehat{A} \in (E, F)$$

and

$$V^{(i)} \in (E, c) \text{ for all } i,$$

where  $V^{(i)} = (w_{nm}^i)_{n,m \geq 1}$  is defined by

$$w_{nm}^i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=m}^n s_{jm} a_{ij} & 1 \leq m \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{for } m > n. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 12. Let  $E$  be a BK space with AK and  $F$  be any set of sequences. Then  $A \in (E(T), F)$  if and only if

$$AT^{-1} \in (E, F)$$

and

$$\Sigma D_{(a_{in})_n} T^{-1} \in (E, c) \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. We show  $\widehat{A} = AT^{-1}$  and  $V^{(i)} = \Sigma D_{(a_{in})_n} T^{-1}$ . We have

$$(\widehat{A}_n)^t = RA_n^t = (T^{-1})^t A_n^t = (A_n T^{-1})^t.$$

So

$$\widehat{A}_n = A_n T^{-1} = \left( \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} a_{nj} s_{jm} \right)_{m \geq 1}$$

and  $\widehat{A} = AT^{-1}$ . Now for each integer  $i$  we have

$$\Sigma D_{(a_{in})_n} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i1} & & & & \\ a_{i1} & a_{i2} & & & \mathbf{0} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ a_{i1} & a_{i2} & \cdot & a_{in} & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

and trivially  $V^{(i)} = \Sigma D_{(a_{in})_n} T^{-1}$ . This concludes the proof. ■

#### 4.2. Application to matrix transformations from $s_\alpha^0((\Delta - \lambda I)^h)$ to $s_\mu$

In the following we will use the operator  $\Delta^h$ , where  $h \in \mathbb{C}$ . For this we need the next notation

$$\binom{-h+k-1}{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{-h(-h+1)\dots(-h+k-1)}{k!} & \text{if } k > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \end{cases}$$

(cf. [1]). To simplify we will write  $[-h, k] = \binom{-h+k-1}{k}$ . Then if  $\Delta^h = (\tau_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$ , we have

$$(10) \quad \tau_{nm} = \begin{cases} [-h, n-m] & \text{if } m \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > n. \end{cases}$$

We can state the following result.

**THEOREM 13.** *Let  $\alpha, \mu \in U^+$ . Then*

i) *Let  $h \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\lambda \neq 1$ . We have*

a)  *$A \in (s_\alpha^0((\Delta - \lambda I)^h), s_\mu)$  if and only if*

$$(11) \quad \sup_n \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} [h, m-k] \frac{a_{nm}}{(1-\lambda)^{h+m-k}} \right| \alpha_k \right\} < \infty,$$

$$(12) \quad \sup_n \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \sum_{m=k}^n [h, m-k] \frac{a_{im}}{(1-\lambda)^{h+m-k}} \right| \alpha_k \right\} < \infty \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{m=k}^n [h, m-k] \frac{a_{im}}{(1-\lambda)^{h+m-k}} \right\} = l_k \text{ for some } l_k, k = 1, 2, \dots;$$

b)  $A \in (s_\alpha^0((\Delta - \lambda I)^h), s_\mu^0)$  if and only if (11), (12), (13) hold and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu_n} \sum_{m=k}^n [h, m-k] \frac{a_{im}}{(1-\lambda)^{h+m-k}} \right\} = 0 \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots;$$

c)  $A \in (s_\alpha^0((\Delta - \lambda I)^h), s_\mu^{(c)})$  if and only if (11), (12), (13) hold and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu_n} \sum_{m=k}^n [h, m-k] \frac{a_{im}}{(1-\lambda)^{h+m-k}} \right\} = l_k \text{ for some } l_k, k, i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

ii) Let  $h$  be an integer and assume  $|\lambda - 1| > \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n)$ . Then

a)  $A \in (s_\alpha^0((\Delta - \lambda I)^h), s_\mu)$  if and only if

$$(14) \quad \sup_n \left( \frac{1}{\mu_n} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{nm}| \alpha_m \right) < \infty;$$

b)  $A \in (s_\alpha^0((\Delta - \lambda I)^h), s_\mu^0)$  if and only if (14) holds and  $a_{nm}/\mu_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ;

c)  $A \in (s_\alpha^0((\Delta - \lambda I)^h), s_\mu^{(c)})$  if and only if (14) holds and  $a_{nm}/\mu_n \rightarrow l'_m$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) for some  $l'_m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Proof. i) Since  $s_\alpha^0$  is a BK space with AK, it is enough to apply Lemma 12 with  $T = (\Delta - \lambda I)^h$ . We easily see that  $T^{-1} = (\Delta - \lambda I)^{-h}$  is the triangle defined by

$$[(\Delta - \lambda I)^{-h}]_{nm} = \frac{[h, n-m]}{(1-\lambda)^{h+n-m}} \text{ for } m \leq n.$$

Then

$$[A(\Delta - \lambda I)^{-h}]_{nk} = \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} [h, m-k] \frac{a_{nm}}{(1-\lambda)^{h+m-k}} \text{ for all } n, k \geq 1.$$

Since  $(s_\alpha^0, s_\mu) = S_{\alpha, \mu}$  the condition  $A(\Delta - \lambda I)^{-h} \in (s_\alpha^0, s_\mu)$  is equivalent to (11). For each integer  $i$  we obtain

$$[\sum D_{(a_{in})_n} (\Delta - \lambda I)^{-h}]_{nk} = \sum_{m=k}^n a_{im} \frac{[h, m-k]}{(1-\lambda)^{h+m-k}} \text{ for } k \leq n.$$

We conclude from the characterization of  $(s_\alpha^0, c)$  which can be deduced from Lemma 2.

ii) a) If  $|\lambda - 1| > \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n)$ , then  $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta, s_\alpha^0)$  and  $\Delta - \lambda I$  is bijective from  $s_\alpha^0$  to itself and  $s_\alpha^0((\Delta - \lambda I)^h) = s_\alpha^0$ . We then have

$$(s_\alpha^0((\Delta - \lambda I)^h), s_\mu) = S_{\alpha, \mu},$$

this gives the conclusion of ii) a). The cases ii) b) and ii) c) can be shown in a similar way using Lemma 2. ■

Reasoning as above and using Theorem 10 iii) and the characterization of  $(l_p, l_\infty)$ , (cf. [16]) we get the next result whose the proof is elementary and left to the reader.

**THEOREM 14.** *Let  $N$  be an integer, let  $r$  be a real  $> 0$  and  $\mu \in U^+$ . Assume  $1 < p < \infty$  and put  $q = p/(p-1)$ . Then*

i)  $A \in (l_p(r)((\Delta - \lambda I)^N), s_\mu)$  if and only if

$$(15) \quad \sup_n \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} [N, m-k] \frac{a_{nm}}{(1-\lambda)^{N+m-k}} \right|^q r^{kq} \right\} < \infty,$$

$$(16) \quad \sup_n \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \sum_{m=k}^n [N, m-k] \frac{a_{im}}{(1-\lambda)^{N+m-k}} \right|^q r^{kq} \right\} < \infty \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^k \sum_{m=k}^n [N, m-k] \frac{a_{im}}{(1-\lambda)^{N+m-k}} = l_k \text{ for some } l_k \in \mathbb{C} \text{ } k, i = 1, 2, \dots$$

ii) If  $|\lambda - 1| > 1/r$  then  $A \in (l_p(r)((\Delta - \lambda I)^N), s_\mu)$  if and only if

$$\sup_n \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (|a_{nm}| r^m)^{p/(p-1)} \right\} < \infty.$$

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are grateful to Professor Malkowsky for his help to explicitly state results on the duality and improve the presentation of the paper.

## References

- [1] A. F. Andersen, *Summation of nonintegral order*, Mat. Tidsskr. B. (1946), 33–52.
- [2] B. Altay, F. Başar, *On the fine spectrum of the difference operator on  $c_0$  and  $c$* , Inform. Sci. 168 (2004), 217–224.
- [3] A. M. Akhmedov, F. Başar, *The fine spectra of the difference operator  $\Delta$  over the sequence space  $l_p$ , ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ )*, Inform. Sci. (2004).
- [4] R. Colak, M. Et, *On some generalized difference spaces and related matrix transformations*, Hokkaido Math. J. 2 (1997), 483–492.
- [5] S. Goldberg, *Unbounded Linear Operators*, Dover Publications Inc. New York 1985.

- [6] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (2) (1981), 19–176.
- [7] I. J. Maddox, *Infinite Matrices of Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1980.
- [8] B. de Malafosse, *Properties of some sets of sequences and application to the spaces of bounded difference sequences of order  $\mu$* , Hokkaido Math. J. 31 (2002), 283–299.
- [9] B. de Malafosse, *On some BK space*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sc. 28 (2003), 1783–1801.
- [10] B. de Malafosse, *Sum and product of certain BK spaces and matrix transformations between these spaces*, Acta Math. Hungarica 104 (3), (2004) 241–263.
- [11] B. de Malafosse, *On the Banach algebra  $B(l_p(\alpha))$* , Internat. J. Math. Sci. and Math. Sc. 60 (2004) 3187–3203.
- [12] B. de Malafosse, *Linear operators mapping in new sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math. 31 N°2 (2005), 403–427.
- [13] B. de Malafosse, *The Banach algebra  $B(X)$ , where  $X$  is a BK space and applications*, Vesnik Math. J. 57 (2005), 41–60.
- [14] B. de Malafosse, E. Malkowsky, *Sequence spaces and inverse of an infinite matrix*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Serie II, 51 (2002), 277–294.
- [15] E. Malkowsky, *Recent results in the theory of matrix transformations in sequence spaces*, Vesnik Math. J. 49 (1997), 187–196.
- [16] E. Malkowsky, V. Rakočević, *An introduction into the theory of sequence spaces and measure of noncompactness*, Zb. Rad. Mat. Inst. SANU 9 (17) (2000), 143–243.
- [17] E. Malkowsky, V. Rakočević, *On matrix domains of triangles*, Under review. To appear in Applied Math. and Computation (2007).
- [18] B. E. Rhoades, *The fine spectra of some weighted mean operators in  $B(l_p)$* , Integral Equat. Operator Theory 12 (1989), 82–98.
- [19] B. E. Rhoades, *The spectra of weighted mean operators on  $bv_0$* , J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 52 (1992), 242–250.
- [20] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 85, 1984.

LMAH UNIVERSITÉ DU HAVRE  
 BP 4006 I.U.T LE HAVRE  
 76610 LE HAVRE, FRANCE  
 e-mail: faris\_ali@hotmail.com (Ali Farés),  
 e-mail: "bdemalaf@wanadoo.fr" (B. de Malafosse)

Received September 17, 2007.