

A. V. Figallo\*, A. Figallo Jr., M. Figallo<sup>†</sup>, A. Ziliani

## LUKASIEWICZ RESIDUATION ALGEBRAS WITH INFIMUM

**Abstract.** Łukasiewicz residuation algebras with an underlying ordered structure of meet semilattice (or iLR-algebras) are studied. These algebras are the algebraic counterpart of the  $\{\rightarrow, \wedge\}$ -fragment of Łukasiewicz's many-valued logic. An equational basis for this class of algebras is shown. In addition, the subvariety of  $(n+1)$ -valued iLR-algebras for  $0 < n < \omega$  is considered. In particular, the structure of the free finitely generated  $(n+1)$ -valued iLR-algebra is described. Moreover, a formula to compute its cardinal number in terms of  $n$  and the number of free generators is obtained.

### 1. Preliminares

B. Bosbach ([5, 6]) undertook the investigation of a class of residuated structures that were related to but considerably more general than Brouwerian semilattices and the algebras associated with  $\{\rightarrow, \wedge\}$ -fragment of Łukasiewicz's many valued logic.

In a manuscript by J. Büchi and T. Owens ([8]) devoted to a study of Bosbach's algebras, written in the mid-seventies, the commutative members of this equational class were given the name *hoops*. More precisely, they are algebras  $\langle A, \rightarrow, \cdot, 1 \rangle$  of type  $(2, 2, 0)$  that satisfy:

- (H1)  $\langle A, \cdot, 1 \rangle$  is a commutative monoid,
- (H2)  $x \rightarrow x = 1$ ,
- (H3)  $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = (x \cdot y) \rightarrow z$ ,
- (H4)  $x \cdot (x \rightarrow y) = y \cdot (y \rightarrow x)$ .

An important subclass of the variety of hoops is the variety of *Wajsberg hoops*, so named and studied by W. Blok and I. Ferreirim in [3]. These

---

*Key words and phrases:* Free algebras, Łukasiewicz residuation algebras, hoop residuation algebras, BCK-algebras with supremum, Łukasiewicz's many-valued logic.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 06F35, Secondary 03B47, 03G20, 03G25.

\*This work was partially supported by the Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina.

<sup>†</sup>The support of CONICET is gratefully acknowledged.

algebras were defined as hoops that satisfy the additional identity:

$$(T) \quad (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x,$$

and they constitute the  $\{\cdot, \rightarrow, 1\}$ -subreducts of Wajsberg algebras.

On the other hand, J. Berman and W. Blok ([2]) investigated the  $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -subreducts of hoops which they called *hoop residuation algebras*. It seems worth mentioning that the algebras which verify (H1), (H2), (H3) and the following two axioms:

$$(H5) \quad x \rightarrow 1 = 1,$$

$$(H6) \quad x \rightarrow y = 1 \text{ and } y \rightarrow x = 1 \text{ imply } x = y,$$

are known as *pocrims* and the  $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -subreducts of them are precisely the BCK-algebras; hoop residuation algebras are therefore BCK-algebras.

It was conjectured by A. Wroński and proved by Ferreirim ([11]) that hoop residuation algebras form a variety that can be defined by any axiomatization of BCK-algebras together with the axiom

$$(Hra) \quad (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z).$$

An important subvariety of this variety is that of  $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -subreducts of Wajsberg hoops which in [2], were called Łukasiewicz residuation algebras (or LR-algebras, for short). It is well-known that in these algebras the relation  $\leq$  defined by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x \rightarrow y = 1$  is a partial order on  $A$  and  $x \leq 1$  for every  $x \in A$ . In addition,  $(A, \leq)$  is a join semilattice where  $x \vee y = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$  is the supremum of the elements  $x$  and  $y$ .

On the other hand, a bounded LR-algebra (or  $LR^0$ -algebra) is an algebra  $\langle A, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$  where the reduct  $\langle A, \rightarrow, 1 \rangle$  is an LR-algebra and 0 is the least element for  $\leq$ .

We shall denote by  $LRA$  and  $LRA^0$  the varieties of LR-algebras and  $LR^0$ -algebras respectively. In [17] (see also [19]), it was proved that the variety  $LRA^0$  coincides with that of Wajsberg algebras which are MV-algebras, up to term equivalence (see [9]).

Let  $A \in LRA$  or  $A \in LRA^0$ . Then, if  $S$  is a subalgebra of  $A$ , we shall write  $S \triangleleft A$ . Besides, if  $X \subseteq A$ , we shall represent by  $[X]$  the subalgebra generated by  $X$ . For the concepts on universal algebra we direct the reader to the bibliography quoted in [7].

## 2. LR-algebras with infimum

In [10], W. Cornish defined the commutative BCK-algebras with supremum which were studied by T. Traczyk in [20]. In this section, we introduce a new class of Łukasiewicz residuation algebras and we show that this notion coincides with the  $\{\rightarrow, \wedge, 1\}$ -subreducts of MV-algebras. Further-

more, we establish the relationship between the dual notion of commutative BCK-algebras with supremum and the new ones.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** An  $iLR$ -algebra is an algebra  $\langle A, \rightarrow, \wedge, 1 \rangle$  of type  $(2, 2, 0)$  where the reduct  $\langle A, \rightarrow, 1 \rangle \in \mathbf{LRA}$  and the following identity is verified:

$$(L1) \quad (x \wedge y) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z).$$

In what follows we shall denote by  $i\mathbf{LRA}$  the variety of  $iLR$ -algebras.

Notice that if  $A$  is a Wajsberg algebra or  $A \in \mathbf{LRA}^0$  and we define  $x \wedge y = \sim (\sim x \vee \sim y)$  or  $x \wedge y = ((x \rightarrow 0) \vee (y \rightarrow 0)) \rightarrow 0$  respectively, then  $\langle A, \wedge, \rightarrow, 1 \rangle \in i\mathbf{LRA}$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.**  *$iLR$ -algebras are exactly the  $\{\rightarrow, \wedge, 1\}$ -subreducts of MV-algebras.*

**Proof.** The  $\{\rightarrow, \wedge, 1\}$ -subreducts of MV-algebras are clearly  $iLR$ -algebras, since MV-algebras satisfy  $x \wedge y = x \cdot (x \rightarrow y)$  and therefore,  $(x \wedge y) \rightarrow z = (x \cdot (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$ .

For the converse, observe that every  $iLR$ -algebra is downward directed. Indeed, it follows easily from (L1) that  $x \wedge y$  is a lower bound of each pair  $x, y$  of elements in the algebra with respect to the definable order ( $x \leq y$  iff  $x \rightarrow y = 1$ ). Since  $iLR$ -algebras are special BCK-algebras with an additional binary operation  $\wedge$ , taking into account [20] we conclude that every  $iLR$ -algebra is a meet-semilattice where the greatest lower bound operation will be denoted by  $\sqcap$ . On the other hand, from the results established in [11], any downward directed BCK-algebra can be naturally embedded into a bounded one that satisfies all the same identities, namely an ultraproduct of its principal order-filters which are subalgebras. The ultraproduct is lattice-ordered and it is easy to see that the embedding preserves the undistinguished operation  $\sqcap$ . Besides, the ultraproduct satisfies the identity  $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x$  because it holds in all  $LR$ -algebra. So the ultraproduct is an MV-algebra, up to term equivalence. Thus, the  $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -reduct of an  $iLR$ -algebra embeds in an MV-algebra with preservation of the implicit operation  $\sqcap$ . To see that every  $iLR$ -algebra embeds in an MV-algebra, it is therefore enough to show that in any  $iLR$ -algebra,  $\wedge$  and  $\sqcap$  coincide. Indeed, since  $\sqcap$  is the greatest lower bound and  $\wedge$  is a lower bound, we have  $x \wedge y \leq x \sqcap y$ . For the reverse inequality, recall that  $(x \sqcap y) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$  holds in every MV-algebra, so in an  $iLR$ -algebra, both  $\wedge$  and  $\sqcap$  satisfy (L1). Since these two laws have the same right hand side, every  $iLR$ -algebra satisfies (1)  $(x \sqcap y) \rightarrow z = (x \wedge y) \rightarrow z$ . Substituting  $x \wedge y$  for  $z$  in (1), we obtain  $(x \sqcap y) \rightarrow (x \wedge y) = 1$  and so,  $x \sqcap y \leq x \wedge y$ . Hence,  $x \wedge y = x \sqcap y$ . Thus,  $iLR$ -algebras are exactly the  $\{\rightarrow, \wedge, 1\}$ -subreducts of MV-algebras. ■

In particular, from the above theorem we conclude

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $\langle A, \rightarrow, \wedge, 1 \rangle$  be an algebra of type  $(2, 2, 0)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\langle A, \rightarrow, 1 \rangle$  is an  $\mathbb{LR}$ -algebra such that every pair of elements has a common lower bound where  $x \wedge y$  is the infimum of the elements  $x, y$ ,
- (ii)  $\langle A, \rightarrow, \wedge, 1 \rangle$  is an  $i\mathbb{LR}$ -algebra.

Proposition 2.1 justifies the name of  $\mathbb{LR}$ -algebras with infimum given to  $i\mathbb{LR}$ -algebras.

It is well known that MV-algebras constitute the algebraic counterpart of the infinite-valued logic  $\omega$ -LPC of Łukasiewicz, and that the only connective really used in the algebraization process is  $\rightarrow$ . It follows on general grounds (see [4, Cor. 2.12]) that the various subreducts of MV-algebras that retain  $\rightarrow$  algebraize the corresponding fragments of  $\omega$ -LPC. Then, by Theorem 2.1 we can conclude that  $i\mathbb{LR}$ -algebras constitute the algebraic counterpart of the  $\{\rightarrow, \wedge\}$ -fragment of  $\omega$ -LPC.

An axiomatization for this calculus can be obtained from the one given by Wozniakowska in [21] for  $\omega$ -LPC. Taking into account this paper, the  $\{\rightarrow, \wedge\}$ -fragment is captured by adopting the detachment rule, the substitution rule and the following set of axioms:

- (A0)  $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x)$ ,
- (A1)  $((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))$ ,
- (A2)  $((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)$ ,
- (A3)  $(x \wedge y) \rightarrow x$ ,
- (A4)  $(x \wedge y) \rightarrow y$ ,
- (A5)  $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (y \wedge z)))$ .

In what follows our attention is focused on the subvariety of  $i\mathbb{LRA}$  consisting of  $(n+1)$ -valued  $i\mathbb{LR}$ -algebras (or  $i\mathbb{LR}_{n+1}$ -algebras) for  $0 \leq n < \omega$ , which we shall denote by  $i\mathbb{LRA}_{n+1}$ .

**DEFINITION 2.2.** An  $i\mathbb{LR}_{n+1}$ -algebra for  $0 \leq n < \omega$  is an  $i\mathbb{LR}$ -algebra which satisfies the identity

$$(\mathbb{L}2) \quad (x \rightarrow^n y) \vee x = 1,$$

where  $x \rightarrow^0 y = y$  and  $x \rightarrow^{i+1} y = x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow^i y)$  for  $i < \omega$ .

Now we shall indicate some properties of  $i\mathbb{LRA}_{n+1}$  in order to determine the free finitely generated algebras.

- (P1) Let  $\mathbb{L}_{n+1} = \{e^0, e^1, \dots, e^n\}$  with  $1 = e^0 > e^1 > \dots > e^n = 0$ . Then,  $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_{n+1} = \langle \mathbb{L}_{n+1}, \rightarrow, \wedge, 1 \rangle \in i\mathbb{LRA}_{n+1}$ , where

$$e^i \rightarrow e^j = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \geq j, \\ e^{j-i}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad e^i \wedge e^j = e^{\max\{i,j\}}.$$

Besides, if  $S \triangleleft \vec{L}_{n+1}$  and  $|S| > 1$ , then  $S \simeq \vec{L}_{t+1}$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$ .

From Theorem 2.1 and well known result we obtain

(P2) The variety **iLRA**<sub>n+1</sub> is generated by  $\vec{L}_{n+1}$  and its subalgebras.

On the other hand, it holds

(P3)  $\vec{L}_{n+1}$  is a quasiprimal algebra for  $0 \leq n < \omega$ .

Indeed, taking into account [16, Theorem 2] it follows immediately that **iLRA**<sub>n+1</sub> is an arithmetical variety. Besides, every non trivial subalgebra of  $\vec{L}_{n+1}$  is simple. Then by a result due to Pixley (see [7, Section 10]) we have that  $\vec{L}_{n+1}$  is quasiprimal.

### 3. Free iLR<sub>n+1</sub>-algebras

In what follows, we shall denote by  $\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(c)$  the  $(n+1)$ -valued iLR-algebra with a set  $G$  of free generators, such that  $|G| = c$  where  $c$  is a cardinal number. The notion of free iLR<sub>n+1</sub>-algebra is defined in the usual way and since iLR<sub>n+1</sub>-algebras are equationally definable, for any cardinal number  $c > 0$  the free algebra  $\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(c)$  exists and it is unique up to isomorphism.

In 1982, J. Berman ([1]) and later on A. V. Figallo and J. Tolosa ([14]) obtained the free iLR<sub>n+1</sub>-algebra in the case that  $n = 2$ , independently.

The aim of this paper is to determine the structure of  $\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(m)$  and the formula which provides  $|\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(m)|$  for every pair  $n, m$  such that  $0 < n, m < \omega$ .

Now, as  $\vec{L}_{n+1}$  is a quasiprimal algebra with the property that every subalgebra of it has no automorphisms other than the identity map, then by well known results of universal algebra we have that

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{L}_{n+1}(m) = \prod_{i=1}^n \vec{L}_{i+1}^{\alpha_{m,i}},$$

where

$$(2) \quad \alpha_{m,i} = |\{f \in \vec{L}_{i+1}^G : [f(G)] = \vec{L}_{i+1}\}|$$

and  $\vec{L}_{i+1}^G$  denotes the set of all the mappings from  $G$  into  $\vec{L}_{i+1}$ .

On the other hand, observe that

$$(3) \quad [f(G)] = \vec{L}_{i+1} \quad \text{implies} \quad e^i \in f(G).$$

Indeed, if  $e^i \notin f(G)$  then there is  $e^t = \min f(G)$  with  $0 \leq t < i$  and since  $[e^t, e^0] = \{x \in \vec{L}_{i+1} : e^t \leq x \leq e^i\} \triangleleft \vec{L}_{i+1}$ , then  $[f(G)] \subseteq [e^t, e^0]$  and therefore,  $[f(G)] \subset \vec{L}_{i+1}$ .

Besides, from the theory of Wajsberg algebras (see [19]) it follows that

- (4) any subalgebra of  $\vec{\mathbb{L}}_{i+1}$  that contains  $e^i$  must be of the form  $\{e^0, e^j, e^{2j}, \dots, e^{kj}\}$  for any  $j$  with  $i = jk$  for some integer  $k$ .

Now, we are going to compute  $\alpha_{m,i}$ .

For every  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  let us consider the set

$$\mathcal{F}_{m,i} = \{f \in \vec{\mathbb{L}}_{i+1}^G : e^i \in f(G)\}.$$

Then we have that

$$(5) \quad |\mathcal{F}_{m,i}| = (i+1)^m - i^m.$$

On the other hand, taking into account (3) and (4), it is simple to check that

$$(6) \quad |\mathcal{F}_{m,i}| = \left| \bigcup_{j|i} \{f \in \vec{\mathbb{L}}_{j+1}^G : [f(G)] = \vec{\mathbb{L}}_{j+1}\} \right|.$$

Therefore, from (6) and (2) we obtain

$$(7) \quad |\mathcal{F}_{m,i}| = \sum_{j|i} \alpha_{m,j} = \alpha_{m,i} + \sum_{j|i, j \neq i} \alpha_{m,j}.$$

Finally, from (5) and (7) we conclude that

$$(8) \quad \alpha_{m,i} = (i+1)^m - i^m - \sum_{j|i, j \neq i} \alpha_{m,j}.$$

Hence we have shown the main result of this paper which is the following

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(m)$  be a free  $i\mathcal{L}R_{n+1}$ -algebra with  $m$  free generators. Then its cardinality is given by the following formula:*

$$|\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(m)| = \prod_{i=1}^n (i+1)^{\alpha_{m,i}}$$

where

$$\alpha_{m,i} = (i+1)^m - i^m - \sum_{j|i, j \neq i} \alpha_{m,j}.$$

**REMARK 3.1.** From Theorem 3.1 we obtain that

$$\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(m) = \mathcal{L}_n(m) \times (\vec{\mathbb{L}}_{n+1})^{\alpha_{m,n}}$$

which makes clear the recursive structure of the free algebras in  $i\mathcal{L}R_{n+1}$ .

Note that for  $n = 1$  we have

$$\mathcal{L}_2(m) = (\vec{\mathbb{L}}_2)^{\alpha_{m,1}} = (\vec{\mathbb{L}}_2)^{2m-1}.$$

On the other hand, for  $m = 1$  we get

$$\alpha_{1,1} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{1,i} = 0, \quad \text{for } i > 1.$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(1) = \mathcal{L}_1(1) = (\vec{\mathcal{L}}_2)^1 \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Finally, for  $n = 2$  we have

$$\mathcal{L}_3(m) = (\vec{\mathcal{L}}_2)^{2^m-1} \times (\vec{\mathcal{L}}_3)^{3^m-2^{m+1}+1},$$

and then it follows

$$|\mathcal{L}_3(m)| = 2^{2^m-1} \cdot 3^{3^m-2^{m+1}+1}.$$

These formulas were obtained both in [1] and [14].

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are truly thankful to the referee for several helpful suggestions for improvements in this paper.

### References

- [1] J. Berman, *Free spectra of 3-element algebras*. *Universal Algebra and Lattice Theory* (Puebla, 1982), 10–53, Lecture Notes in Math., 1004, Springer, 1983.
- [2] J. Berman, W. Blok, *Free Łukasiewicz and hoop residuation algebras*, *Studia Logica* 77 (2004), 153–180.
- [3] W. Blok, M. Ferreirim, *On the structure of hoop*, *Algebra Universalis* 43 (2000), 233–257.
- [4] W. Blok, D. Pigozzi, *Algebraizable Logics*, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 396, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1989.
- [5] B. Bosbach, *Komplementäre Halbgruppen*. *Axiomatik und Arithmetik*, *Fund. Math.* 64 (1969), 257–287.
- [6] B. Bosbach, *Komplementäre Halbgruppen*. *Kongruenzen und Quotienten*, *Fund. Math.* 69 (1970), 1–14.
- [7] S. Burris, H. Sankappanavar, *A Course in Universal Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [8] J. Büchi, T. Owens, *Complemented monoids and hoops*, unpublished manuscript.
- [9] R. Cignoli, I. D’Ottaviano, D. Mundici, *Algebras des Lógicas de Łukasiewicz*, UNICAMP, Centro de Lógica, Epistemologia e História da Ciência, 12, 1995.
- [10] W. Cornish, *BCK-algebras with a supremum*, *Math. Japonica* 27, 1 (1982), 63–73.
- [11] I. Ferreirim, *On a conjecture by Andrzej Wroński for BCK-algebras and subreducts of hoops*, *Sci. Math. Japon.* 53, 1 (2001), 119–132.
- [12] A. Figallo, M. Figallo, A. Ziliani, *Free  $(n+1)$ -valued Łukasiewicz BCK algebras*, *Demonstratio Math.* XXXVII, 2 (2004), 245–254.
- [13] A. V. Figallo,  *$I_{n+1}$ -álgebras con operaciones adicionales*, Doctoral Thesis, Univ. Nac. del Sur, 1989, Bahía Blanca, Argentina.
- [14] A. V. Figallo, J. Tolosa,  *$C_3$  algebras with an additional operation*, *Actas del Primer Congreso Dr. Antonio Monteiro*, Univ. Nac. del Sur (1991), Bahía Blanca, Argentina, 25–34.
- [15] J. Font, A. Rodríguez, A. Torrens, *Wajsberg algebras*, *Stochastica* 8, 1 (1984), 5–31.
- [16] P. Idziak, *Lattice operation in BCK-algebras*, *Math. Japon.* 29 (1984), 839–846.
- [17] D. Mundici, *MV-algebras are categorically equivalent to bounded commutative BCK-algebras*, *Math. Japon.* 31 (1986), 889–894.

- [18] A. Pixley, *Functionally complete algebras generating distributive and permutable classes*, Math. Z., 114 (1970), 361–370.
- [19] A. J. Rodriguez Salas, *Un estudio algebraico de los cálculos proposicionales de Łukasiewicz*, Doctoral Thesis, Univ. de Barcelona (1980).
- [20] T. Traczyk, *On the variety of bounded commutative BCK-algebras*, Math. Japon. 24, 3 (1979), 283–292.
- [21] B. Woźniakowska, *Algebraic proof of the separation theorem for the infinite-valued logic of Łukasiewicz*, Rep. Math. Logic, 10 (1978), 129–137.

Aldo V. Figallo

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICA  
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DEL SUR  
8000 - BAHIA BLANCA, ARGENTINA

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS BASICAS  
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE SAN JUAN  
5400 - SAN JUAN ARGENTINA

E-mail: avfigallo@gmail.com

Aldo Figallo Jr.

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS BASICAS  
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE SAN JUAN  
5400 - SAN JUAN, ARGENTINA

E-mail: alfiga@uns.edu.ar

Martin Figallo

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICA  
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DEL SUR  
8000 - BAHIA BLANCA, ARGENTINA

E-mail: martinf@criba.edu.ar

Alicia N. Ziliani

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICA  
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DEL SUR  
8000 - BAHIA BLANCA, ARGENTINA

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS BASICAS  
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE SAN JUAN  
5400 - SAN JUAN, ARGENTINA

E-mail: aziliani@criba.edu.ar

*Received September 19, 2006; revised version March 12, 2007.*