

Yaşar Bolat

# OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR A HIGHER ORDER FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENCE EQUATION WITH OSCILLATING COEFFICIENT

**Abstract.** In this paper we are concerned with the oscillatory behaviour of solutions of a certain higher order nonlinear neutral type functional difference equation with oscillating coefficient. We obtain two sufficient criteria for oscillatory behaviour.

## 1. Introduction

We consider the higher order nonlinear difference equation of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta^n[y_k + h_k g(y_k, y_{k-\tau})] + q_k f(y_k, y_{k-\sigma_1}, y_{k-\sigma_2}, \dots, y_{k-\sigma_n}) = 0$$

where  $n, k \in N$  (natural numbers),  $N(a) = \{a, a+1, \dots\}$ ,  $N(a, b) = \{a, a+1, \dots, b\}$ ,  $y(k) = y_k$  and the following conditions are always assumed to hold:

- i)  $n \geq 2$
- ii)  $\tau$  is a positive integer and  $\sigma_j$  are nonnegative integers for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,
- iii)  $h_k$  is an oscillating function and  $q_k$  is a nonnegative function,
- iv)  $g$  and  $f$  are continuous and monotone functions such that respectively  $g(v_0, v_1) : R^2 \rightarrow R$ ,  $f(u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) : R^{n+1} \rightarrow R$ . Further  $v_i g(v_0, v_1) > 0$  for every  $v_i \neq 0$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  and  $u_j f(u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) > 0$  for every  $u_j \neq 0$  and  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

By a solution of Eq.(1.1), we mean any function  $y_k$  which is defined for all  $k \geq \min_{\gamma \geq 0} \{\gamma - \tau, \gamma - \sigma_j\}$  and satisfies Eq.(1.1) for sufficiently large  $k$ . We consider only such solutions which are nontrivial for all large  $k$ . As it is customary, a solution  $\{y_k\}$  is said to be oscillatory if the terms  $y_k$  of the sequence are not eventually positive or not eventually negative. Otherwise,

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 39A10.

*Key words and phrases*: Difference equation; Neutral difference equation; Difference equation with nonlinear neutral term; Oscillating coefficient; Oscillatory.

the solution is called nonoscillatory. A difference equation is called oscillatory if all of its solutions oscillate. Otherwise, it is nonoscillatory. In this paper, we restrict our attention to real valued solutions  $y_k$ .

The neutral delay difference equations arise in a number of important applications including problems in population dynamics when maturation and gestation are included, in "cobweb" models in economics where demand depends on current price but supply depends on the price at an earlier time, and in electrical transmission and in loss transmission lines between circuits in high speed computers.

Recently, much research has been done on the oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of higher order delay and neutral type difference equations. In all of the known results, the positive value or negative value case of coefficient  $h_k$  is considered; see, for example, [1-9], chapter 7[2] and Section 22[3] and related equations. Firstly only we consider the case of oscillating function of coefficient  $h_k$  in our manuscript [7] and in this manuscript.

The purpose of this paper is to study oscillatory behaviour of solutions of Eq. (1.1). For the general theory of difference equations, one can refer to [1-6]. Many references to applications of the difference equations can be found in [4-6].

For the sake of convenience, the function  $z_k$  is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad z_k = y_k + h_k g(y_k, y_{k-\tau}).$$

## 2. Auxiliary lemma

LEMMA 1. [1] *Let  $y_k$  be defined for  $k \geq k_0 \in N$ , and  $y_k > 0$  with  $\Delta^n y_k$  of constant sign for  $k \geq k_0$ ,  $n \in N(1)$  and not identically zero. Then there exists an integer  $m$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq n$  with  $(n+m)$  even for  $\Delta^n y_k \geq 0$  or  $(n+m)$  odd for  $\Delta^n y_k \leq 0$  such that*

- i)  $m \leq n-1$  implies  $(-1)^{m+l} \Delta^l y_k > 0$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ ,  $m \leq l \leq n-1$ ;
- ii)  $m \geq 1$  implies  $\Delta^l y_k > 0$  for all large  $k \geq k_0$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq m-1$ .

## 3. Main results

THEOREM 1. *Assume that  $n$  is odd and*

- (C<sub>1</sub>)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k = 0$ ;
- (C<sub>2</sub>)  $|g(v_0, v_1)| \leq p$ , where  $p$  is a positive constant;
- (C<sub>3</sub>)  $\sum_{s=k_0}^{+\infty} s^{n-1} q_s = +\infty$ .

*Then every bounded solution of Eq. (1.1) is either oscillatory or tends to zero as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ .*

Proof. Assume that Eq. (1.1) has a bounded nonoscillatory solution  $y_k$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $y_k$  is eventually positive (the proof

is similar when  $y_k$  is eventually negative). That is,  $y_k > 0$ ,  $y_{k-\tau} > 0$ ,  $y_{k-\sigma_1} > 0$ ,  $y_{k-\sigma_2}, \dots, y_{k-\sigma_n} > 0$  for  $k \geq k_1 \geq k_0$ . Further, we assume that  $y_k$  does not tend to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . By (1.1), (1.2) we have for  $k \geq k_1$

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta^n z_k = -q_k f(y_k, y_{k-\sigma_1}, y_{k-\sigma_2}, \dots, y_{k-\sigma_n}) \leq 0.$$

That is,  $\Delta^n z_k \leq 0$ . It follows that  $\Delta^a z_k$  ( $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) is strictly monoton and eventually of constant sign. Since  $y_k$  does not tend to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $h_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by  $(C_1)$  and  $0 < g(v_0, v_1) \leq p$  by  $(iv)$  and  $(C_2)$ , there exists a  $k_2 \geq k_1$  such that for  $k \geq k_2$  we have  $z_k > 0$ . Since  $y_k$  is bounded function and  $h_k g(y_k, y_{k-\tau}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , there is a  $k_3 \geq k_2$  such that  $z_k$  is bounded for  $k \geq k_3$ . Because  $n$  is odd and  $z_k$  is bounded, by Lemma 1, since  $m = 0$  (otherwise,  $z_k$  is not bounded), there exists  $k_4 \geq k_3$  such that for  $k \geq k_4$  we have  $(-1)^l \Delta^l z_k > 0$  ( $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ). In particular, since  $\Delta z_k < 0$  for  $k \geq k_4$ ,  $z_k$  is decreasing. Since  $z_k$  is bounded, we may write  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k = L$  ( $-\infty < L < +\infty$ ). Assume that  $0 \leq L < +\infty$ . Let  $L > 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  and a  $k_5$  with  $k_5 \geq k_4$  such that  $z_k > c > 0$  for  $k \geq k_5$ . Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k g(y_k, y_{k-\tau}) = 0$  by  $(C_1)$  and  $(C_2)$ , there exist a constant  $c_1 > 0$  and a  $k_6$  with  $k_6 \geq k_5$  such that  $y_k = z_k - h_k g(y_k, y_{k-\tau}) > c_1 > 0$  for  $k \geq k_6$ . So, we may find  $k_7$  with  $k_7 \geq k_6$  such that  $y_{k-\sigma_1} > c_1 > 0$ ,  $y_{k-\sigma_2} > c_1 > 0, \dots, y_{k-\sigma_n} > c_1 > 0$  for  $k \geq k_7$ . From (3.1) we have

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta^n z_k \leq -q_k f(c_1, c_1, \dots, c_1) \quad (k \geq k_7).$$

If we multiply (3.2) by  $k^{n-1}$  and summing it from  $k_7$  to  $k-1$ , we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad F_k - F_{k_7} \leq -f(c_1, c_1, \dots, c_1) \sum_{s=k_7}^{k-1} s^{n-1} q_s,$$

where

$$F_k = \sum_{\gamma=2}^{n-1} (-1)^\gamma \Delta^\gamma k^{(n-1)} \Delta^{n-\gamma-1} z_{k+\gamma}.$$

Since  $(-1)^l \Delta^l z_k > 0$  for  $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $k \geq k_4$ , we have  $F_k > 0$  for  $k \geq k_7$ . From (3.3) we have

$$-F_{k_7} \leq -f(c_1, c_1, \dots, c_1) \sum_{s=k_7}^{k-1} s^{n-1} q_s.$$

By  $(C_3)$ , we obtain

$$-F_{k_7} \leq -f(c_1, c_1, \dots, c_1) \sum_{s=k_7}^{\infty} s^{n-1} q_s = -\infty$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . This is a contradiction. So,  $L > 0$  is impossible. Therefore,  $L = 0$  is the only possible case. That is,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k = 0$ . Hence, by virtue of  $(C_1)$  and  $(C_2)$ , we obtain from (1.2)

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k g(y_k, y_{k-\tau}) = 0.$$

This contradicts our assumption that  $y_k$  does not tend to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Now let us consider the case of  $y_k < 0$  for  $k \geq k_1$ . By (1.1) and (1.2),

$$\Delta^n z_k = -q_k f(y_k, y_{k-\sigma_1}, y_{k-\sigma_2}, \dots, y_{k-\sigma_n}) \geq 0 \quad (k \geq k_1).$$

That is,  $\Delta^n z_k \geq 0$ . It follows that  $\Delta^a z_k$  ( $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) is strictly monotone and eventually of constant sign. Since  $y_k$  does not tend to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $h_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by  $(C_1)$  and  $-p \leq g(v_0, v_1) < 0$  by  $(iv)$  and  $(C_2)$ , there exists a  $k_2 \geq k_1$  such that for  $k \geq k_2$  we have  $z_k < 0$ . Since  $y_k$  is a bounded function and  $h_k g(y_k, y_{k-\tau}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , there is a  $k_3 \geq k_2$  such that  $z_k$  is a bounded for  $k \geq k_3$ . Let us set  $x_k = -z_k$ . Then  $\Delta^n x_k = -\Delta^n z_k$ . Therefore,  $x_k > 0$  and  $\Delta^n x_k \leq 0$  for  $k \geq k_3$ . Since  $z_k$  is bounded, we observe that  $x_k$  is also bounded. Because  $n$  is odd and  $x_k$  is bounded, by Lemma 1, since  $m = 0$  (otherwise,  $x_k$  is not bounded), there exists a  $k_4 \geq k_3$  such that  $(-1)^l \Delta^l x_k > 0$  for  $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $k \geq k_4$ . That is,  $(-1)^l \Delta^l z_k < 0$  for  $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $k \geq k_4$ . In particular, for  $k \geq k_4$  we have  $\Delta z_k > 0$ . Therefore,  $z_k$  is increasing. So, we can assume that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k = L$  ( $-\infty < L \leq 0$ ). As in the proof of  $y_k > 0$ , we may prove that  $L = 0$ . As for the rest, it is similar to the case of  $y_k > 0$ . That is,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = 0$ . This contradicts our assumption. Hence, the proof is completed.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.** Assume that  $n$  is even and also  $(C_1)$  and  $(C_2)$  hold. Further,

$$(C_4) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sum_{s=k_0}^{k-1} q_s = +\infty$$

is satisfied.

Then every bounded solution of Eq. (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Assume that Eq. (1.1) has a bounded nonoscillatory solution  $y_k$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $y_k$  is eventually positive (the proof is similar when  $y_k$  is eventually negative). That is,  $y_k > 0$ ,  $y_{k-\tau} > 0$ ,  $y_{k-\sigma_1} > 0$ ,  $y_{k-\sigma_2}, \dots, y_{k-\sigma_n} > 0$  for  $k \geq k_1 \geq k_0$ . By (1.1), (1.2) we have for  $k \geq k_1$

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta^n z_k = -q_k f(y_k, y_{k-\sigma_1}, y_{k-\sigma_2}, \dots, y_{k-\sigma_n}) \leq 0.$$

That is,  $\Delta^n z(k) \leq 0$ . It follows that  $\Delta^a z_k$  ( $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) is strictly monotone and eventually of constant sign. Since  $y_k \neq 0$  is positive and bounded and  $0 < g(v_0, v_1) \leq p$  by  $(iv)$  and  $(C_1)$ , there exists a  $k_2 \geq k_1$

such that for  $k \geq k_2$  we have  $z_k > 0$ . Since  $y_k$  is a bounded function and  $h_k g(y_k, y_{k-\tau}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , there is a  $k_3 \geq k_2$  such that  $z_k$  is a bounded function for  $k \geq k_3$ . Because  $n$  is even, by Lemma 1, since  $m = 1$  (otherwise,  $z_k$  is not bounded), there exists  $k_4 \geq k_3$  such that for  $k \geq k_4$

$$(3.5) \quad (-1)^{l+1} \Delta^l z_k > 0 \quad (l = 1, 2, \dots, n-1).$$

In particular, since  $\Delta z_k > 0$  for  $k \geq k_4$ ,  $z_k$  is increasing. Since  $y_k$  is bounded and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k g(y_k, y_{k-\tau}) = 0$  by  $(C_1)$  and  $(C_2)$ , there exists a  $k_5 \geq k_4$  by (1.2)

$$y_k = z_k - h_k g(y_k, y_{k-\tau}) \geq \frac{1}{2} z_k > 0$$

for  $k \geq k_5$ . We may find a  $k_6 \geq k_5$  such that for  $k \geq k_6$  we have

$$(3.6) \quad y_{k-\sigma_1} \geq \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_1} > 0, y_{k-\sigma_2} \geq \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_2} > 0, \dots, y_{k-\sigma_n} \geq \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_n} > 0.$$

From (3.4), (3.6) and the properties of  $f$  we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta^n z_k &\leq -q_k f\left(\frac{1}{2} z_k, \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_1}, \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_n}\right) \\ &= -q_k \frac{f(\frac{1}{2} z_k, \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_1}, \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_n})}{z_{k-\sigma}} z_{k-\sigma} \quad (k \geq k_6), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{\sigma_j\}$ . Since  $z_k$  is bounded and increasing,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k = L$  ( $0 < L < +\infty$ ). By the continuity of  $f$ , we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\frac{1}{2} z_k, \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_1}, \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_n})}{z_{k-\sigma}} = \frac{f(\frac{1}{2} L, \frac{1}{2} L, \frac{1}{2} L, \dots, \frac{1}{2} L)}{L} > 0.$$

Then there is a  $k_7 \geq k_6$  such that for  $k \geq k_7$  we have

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\frac{1}{2} z_k, \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_1}, \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} z_{k-\sigma_n})}{z_{k-\sigma}} \geq \frac{f(\frac{1}{2} L, \frac{1}{2} L, \frac{1}{2} L, \dots, \frac{1}{2} L)}{2L} = \alpha > 0.$$

By (3.7) and (3.8),

$$(3.9) \quad \Delta^n z_k \leq -\alpha q_k z_{k-\sigma} \quad \text{for } k \geq k_7.$$

Let us set

$$(3.10) \quad G_k = \frac{\Delta^{n-1} z_k}{z_{k-\sigma}}.$$

Since  $\Delta^{n-1} z_k > 0$  and  $z_{k-\sigma} > 0$  by (3.5), we can find a  $k_8$  with  $k_8 \geq k_7$  such that for  $k \geq k_8$  we have  $G_k > 0$ . If we apply the forward difference

operator  $\Delta$  to (3.10), since  $\Delta^{n-1}z_k$  and  $\Delta z_k$  are decreasing and  $z_k$  is increasing by (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad \Delta G_k &= \frac{z_{k-\sigma} \Delta^n z_k - \Delta z_{k-\sigma} \Delta^{n-1} z_k}{z_{k-\sigma} E z_{k-\sigma}} \\
 &\leq \frac{z_{k-\sigma} \Delta^n z_k}{z_{k-\sigma}^2} - \frac{\Delta z_{k-\sigma} \Delta^{n-1} z_k}{z_{k-\sigma}^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{\Delta^n z_k}{z_{k-\sigma}} - G_k \frac{\Delta z_k}{z_{k-\sigma}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G_k \frac{\Delta z_k}{z_{k-\sigma}} > 0$ , from (3.11) and (3.9) we can write

$$(3.12) \quad \Delta G_k \leq -\alpha q_k$$

Summing up (3.12) from  $k_8$  to  $k-1$  we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad G_k \leq G_{k_8} - \alpha \sum_{s=k_8}^{k-1} q_k.$$

By  $(C_4)$  we have  $G_k \rightarrow -\infty$  which is contradiction to the fact that  $G_k > 0$ .

Now let us consider the case of  $y_k < 0$ . We do the proof similar to Theorem 1 as in the case of  $y_k < 0$ . Therefore, there is a  $k \geq k_1$  such that  $\Delta^n z_k \geq 0$ ,  $z_k < 0$  and  $z_k$  is bounded and at the same time there exist an integer  $m = 1$  and a  $k_4 \geq k_3$  such that  $(-1)^{l+1} \Delta^l z_k < 0$  for  $k \geq k_4$  and  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . In particular,  $\Delta z_k < 0$  for  $k \geq k_4$ . Let us set  $x_k = -z_k$ . The rest of proof is similar to the case of  $y_k > 0$ . Hence, the proof is completed.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 1. We consider difference equation of the form

$$(3.14) \quad \Delta^3 \left[ y_k + e^{-k} \sin\left(k \frac{\pi}{2}\right) y_k y_{k-1}^2 \right] + k y_k^2 y_{k-3} y_{k-2}^3 = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 3,$$

where  $n = 3$ ,  $q_k = k$ ,  $\sigma_1 = 3$ ,  $\sigma_2 = 2$ ,  $\sigma_3 = 0$ ,  $\tau = 1$ ,  $h_k = e^{-k} \sin(k \frac{\pi}{2})$ . Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e^{-k} \sin\left(k \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{s=k_0}^{+\infty} s^{n-1} q_s = \sum_{s=k_0}^{+\infty} s^3 = +\infty.$$

Then conditions  $(C_1)$  and  $(C_3)$  of the Theorem 1 are satisfied. Since  $y_k$  is bounded,  $g$  is also bounded and condition  $(C_2)$  holds. Hence, all conditions

of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Then every bounded solution of equation (3.16) is oscillatory. One of such solutions is  $\{y_k\} = \{(-1)^k\}$ .

EXAMPLE 2. Consider difference equation of the form

$$(3.15) \quad \Delta^2 \left[ y_k + \frac{(-1)^k}{k} y_k y_{k-2} \right] + 2 \left( 2 + \frac{k^2(4k+5) + 9k+1}{k^3(k+4) + k(5k+2)} \right) y_k y_{k-2} y_{k-1} = 0,$$

where  $\tau = 2$ ,  $h_k = \frac{(-1)^k}{k}$ ,  $q_k = 2(2 + \frac{k^2(4k+5) + 9k+1}{k^3(k+4) + k(5k+2)})$ ,  $\sigma_1 = 2$ ,  $\sigma_2 = 1$ . Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sum_{s=k_0}^{k-1} q_s = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sum_{s=k_0}^{k-1} \left( 2 + \frac{s^2(4s+5) + 9s+1}{s^3(s+4) + s(5s+2)} \right) = +\infty.$$

Then conditions  $(C_1)$  and  $(C_4)$  of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Since  $y_k$  is bounded,  $g(v_0, v_1)$  is bounded and condition  $(C_2)$  of Theorem 2 holds. Hence, since all conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied, every bounded solution of equation (3.17) is oscillatory. In fact, equation (3.17) has an oscillatory solution given by  $\{y_k\} = \{(-1)^k\}$ .

## References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace and D. O'Regan, *Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2000.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, *Difference Equation and Inequalities*, Marcel Dekker. New York, 2000.
- [3] R. P. Agarwal, *Advanced Topics in Difference Equation*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 1997.
- [4] I. Györi and G. Ladas, *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [5] W. G. Kelley and A. C. Peterson, *Difference Equations an Introduction with Applications*, Academic Press, Boston, (1991).
- [6] V. Lakshmikantham and D. Trigiante, *Theory of Difference Equations, Numerical Methods and Applications*, Academic Press, New York, (1988).
- [7] Y. Bolat and Ö. Akin, *Oscillatory behaviour of higher order neutral type nonlinear forced differential equation with oscillating coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 290 (2004), 302–309.
- [8] B. Szmanda, *Properties of solutions of higher order difference equations*, Math. Comput. Modelling 28 (1998), no. 10, 95–101.

- [9] Xinping Guan, Jun Yang, Shu Tang Liu and Sui Sun Cheng, *Oscillatory behavior of higher order nonlinear neutral difference equation*, Hokkaido Math. J. 28 (1999), 393–403.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS AFYON KOCATEPE UNIVERSITY

A.N.S. CAMPUS, 03200-AFYON, TURKEY

e-mail: yasarbolat@aku.edu.tr

URL: <http://www2.aku.edu.tr/~yasarbolat>

*Received July 27, 2004; revised version July 26, 2006.*