

Dorota Blachowska

## A MODULUS AND AN EXTREMAL FORM OF A FOLIATION

**Abstract.** We prove that the  $p$ -modulus of the foliation is conformal invariant. We study the problem of existing of the extremal form for a foliation on Riemannian manifold. We also compute the value of  $p$ -modulus and the extremal form for  $k$ -dimensional foliation given by a submersion.

### 1. Introduction

The idea of *modulus* is directly connected with the concept of *extremal length* of curves in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  introduced by Beurling and Ahlfors [AhBe] in the beginning of 50-ties. In 1957 Fuglede generalized this notion to the modulus of  $k$ -dimensional surface families in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It was very useful tool in the theory of conformal and quasiconformal maps, extremely popular in 60-ties and 70-ties.

Using a geometric characterization Suominen [Su] extended the modulus to the case of an arbitrary differential Riemannian manifold, and in 1979 Krivov [Kr] defined *generalized  $p$ -modulus* for a family of  $k$ -forms.

The *modulus of a foliation*, introduced by the author in [Bl], connects Fuglede's and Krivov's ideas. We used the fact that the foliation of Riemannian manifold may be defined as a family of surfaces or by a family of forms. The modulus of the foliation is just a modulus in the Krivov's sense of the family of forms characteristic for the foliation. For these forms, by Hodge star, arises the family of dual forms. Both these classes seem to characterize pairs of foliations orthogonal to each other, but this is an open problem yet.

In this paper we prove, that the  $p$ -modulus of the foliation is conformal invariant. We study the problem of existing of the extremal form for a foliation on Riemannian manifold. We also compute the value of  $p$ -modulus and find the extremal form for  $k$ -dimensional foliation given by a submersion.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53C12.

*Key words and phrases*: modulus, foliation, differential forms.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Foliations

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a smooth oriented  $k$ -dimensional foliation on smooth oriented Riemannian  $n$ -manifold  $M$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** A *foliated chart* on  $M$  is a pair  $(U, \phi)$ , where  $U \subset M$  is open,  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) : U \rightarrow B_\tau \times B_\pitchfork$  is a diffeomorphism and  $B_\tau, B_\pitchfork$  are open rectangular neighborhoods in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\mathbb{R}^l$  respectively.

Sets  $P_y = \phi^{-1}(B_\tau \times \{y\})$ ,  $y \in B_\pitchfork$  are called *plaques*, and  $S_x = \phi^{-1}(\{x\} \times B_\pitchfork)$ ,  $x \in B_\tau$  — *transversals* of the foliated chart.

If  $M$  admits an atlas  $\mathcal{U} = \{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  of foliated charts and for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  and each  $x \in M$   $L_x \cap U_\alpha$  is a union of plaques, then  $\mathcal{U}$  is said to be a *foliated atlas associated to  $\mathcal{F}$* .

**DEFINITION 2.2.** A foliated atlas  $\mathcal{U} = \{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  is said to be *regular* if

1. for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\overline{U_\alpha}$  is a compact subset of a foliated chart  $(W_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\phi_\alpha = \psi_\alpha|_{U_\alpha}$ ,
2. the cover  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  is locally finite,
3. if  $(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)$  and  $(U_\beta, \phi_\beta)$  belong to  $\mathcal{U}$ , each plaque from  $U_\alpha$  meets at most one plaque in  $U_\beta$ .

In [CaCo] one can find that for every foliated manifold  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  there exists a regular foliated atlas associated with  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{U} = \{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  is a regular atlas on  $M$ , then the map

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \phi_\alpha \circ \phi_\beta^{-1} : \phi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \rightarrow \phi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$$

has the form

$$g_{\alpha\beta}((\phi_\beta)_1, (\phi_\beta)_2) = (\rho_{\alpha\beta}((\phi_\beta)_1, (\phi_\beta)_2), \gamma_{\alpha\beta}((\phi_\beta)_2)),$$

where  $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$  is a diffeomorphism from  $(\phi_\beta)_2(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$  to  $(\phi_\alpha)_2(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ . The family  $\gamma = \{\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}}$  satisfies the cocycle condition, that is for every  $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathcal{A}$  and for each  $x \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\delta$

$$\gamma_{\alpha, \delta}(x) = \gamma_{\alpha, \beta} \circ \gamma_{\beta, \delta}(x).$$

We will call this family the *holonomy cocycle* of the regular foliated atlas  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**REMARK 2.3** ([CaCo]). The submersion  $(\phi_\alpha)_2 : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$  let us to identify all transversals  $S_{\alpha, x}$  for each  $x \in U_\alpha$ , so we can use  $S_\alpha$  instead of  $S_{\alpha, x}$ . Therefore, if  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ , we view  $\gamma_{\alpha, \beta}$  as a diffeomorphism from an open subset of  $S_\beta$  onto an open subset of  $S_\alpha$ . It is clear that  $y$  belongs to the domain of  $\gamma_{\alpha, \beta}$  if and only if the plaque  $P_y \subset U_\beta$  intersects a unique plaque  $P_z \subset U_\alpha$ , where  $P_z \cap S_\alpha = \{z\}$  and  $\gamma_{\alpha, \beta}(y) = z$ .

## 2.2. Modulus

Let  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  be a smooth oriented foliated Riemannian  $n$ -manifold and  $\dim \mathcal{F} = k$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{L}_p^k(M)$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) the space of measurable and  $p$ -integrable  $k$ -forms  $\omega$  on  $M$  with norm

$$\|\omega\| = \left( \int_M |\omega(x)|^p \sigma_M \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where  $\sigma_M$  is the volume form of  $M$ .

**DEFINITION 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{F}$ . By  $adm(\mathcal{L})$  we denote the family of all  $k$ -forms on  $M$  such that

1.  $\omega \in \mathbb{L}_p^k(M)$ ,
2.  $\int_L \omega \geq 1$  for almost every leaf  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ ,
3.  $\omega$  is almost everywhere positively defined (i.e. for almost every  $x \in M$  and for every orthonormal positive oriented base  $e_1, \dots, e_k \in T_x \mathcal{F}$  holds  $\omega(e_1, \dots, e_k) \geq 0$ ).

Elements of  $adm(\mathcal{L})$  we call *admissible forms* for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**DEFINITION 2.5.** The  $p$ -modulus of  $\mathcal{L}$  we define by

$$mod_p(M, \mathcal{L}) = \inf_{\omega \in adm(\mathcal{L})} \|\omega\|.$$

If there exists an admissible form  $\omega$  such that  $\|\omega\| = mod_p(M, \mathcal{L})$  we call it an *extremal form* for  $\mathcal{L}$  and denote by  $\omega_0(\mathcal{L})$ . If  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{F}$  we have a *modulus of a foliation*  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The modulus has some usefull properties [Bl]:

1. it is monotone and countable subadditive, i.e.

$$mod_p(M, \mathcal{L}_1) \leq mod_p(M, \mathcal{L}_2), \quad \text{if } \mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2,$$

and

$$(mod_p(M, \mathcal{L}))^p \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (mod_p(M, \mathcal{L}_i))^p, \quad \text{if } \mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}_i,$$

2. if  $N$  is an open subset of  $M$  and  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , then

$$mod_p(M, \mathcal{L} \cap \tilde{N}) \leq mod_p(N, \mathcal{L}|_N),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}|_N = \{L \cap N, L \in \mathcal{L}\}$  and  $\tilde{N}$  is the saturation of  $N$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

3. if  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{F}$  and  $N_1, N_2$  are open subsets of  $M$ , such that for almost every leaf  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  we have  $L \cap N_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $L \cap N_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$(mod_p(M, \mathcal{L}))^{\frac{p}{1-p}} \geq (mod_p(N_1, \mathcal{L}|_{N_1}))^{\frac{p}{1-p}} + (mod_p(N_2, \mathcal{L}|_{N_2}))^{\frac{p}{1-p}},$$

4. A family  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{F}$  is  $p$ -exceptional if and only if there exists an admissible form  $\omega$  for  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $\int_L \omega = \infty$  for almost all leaves  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ ,

5. If the volume of  $M$  is finite, then the family of leaves  $\mathcal{L} = \{L \in \mathcal{F}; \text{vol } L = \infty\}$  is  $p$ -exceptional.

### 2.3. Integrability of forms

In this paper we will need the following version of the Fubini theorem:

**THEOREM 2.6** ([Pi]). *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be Riemannian manifolds of dimension  $m$  and  $n$  respectively ( $m > n$ ),  $f: M \rightarrow N$  a submersion, and  $J_f$ — Jacobian of the mapping  $df(x)|_{(\ker df(x))^\perp}$ . If  $h: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an integrable function on  $M$  then for almost every  $y \in N$  the integral*

$$F(x) = \int_{f^{-1}(y)} h \frac{1}{J_f} \sigma_{f^{-1}(y)}$$

*is finite, the function  $y \mapsto F(y)$  is measurable, integrable over  $N$  and*

$$\int_M h \sigma_M = \int_N F \sigma_N.$$

We will need also the following lemma:

**LEMMA 2.7.** *Let  $\omega$  be a  $k$ -form on  $M$ . For every leaf  $L$  of a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  an inequality*

$$\left| \int_L \omega \right| \leq \int_L |\omega| \sigma_L$$

*holds, provided  $\omega$  and  $|\omega|$  are integrable over  $L$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  be a locally finite cover of  $M$  and let  $x \in U_{i_0}$  for some  $i_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ . Let us denote by  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  an orthonormal basis of smooth vector fields in  $U_{i_0}$ , such as for every  $x \in U_{i_0}$   $e_1(x), \dots, e_k(x)$  is a positively oriented basis of  $T_x \mathcal{F}$ . Consider a  $k$ -form

$$\omega = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}^*, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$$

and assume that  $\text{supp}(\omega) \subset U_{i_0}$ . Then we have

$$\int_{L \cap U_{i_0}} \omega = \int_{L \cap U_{i_0}} \omega_{1 \dots k} e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_k^* \leq \int_{L \cap U_{i_0}} \sqrt{\sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k}^2} e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_k^* = \int_{L \cap U_{i_0}} |\omega| \sigma_L$$

and consequently

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \int_{L \cap U_{i_0}} \omega \right| \leq \int_{L \cap U_{i_0}} |\omega| \sigma_L.$$

Now assume, that there is no  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , such that  $\text{supp}(\omega) \subset U_i$ . Let us denote by  $\{\psi_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  a partition of unity subordinate to the cover  $\mathcal{U}$  i.e. a family of functions  $\psi_s: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\text{supp}(\psi_s) \subset U_s$ , satisfying for any  $x \in M$

the two following conditions

$$0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_s \psi_s(x) = 1.$$

Then  $\omega = \sum_s (\psi_s \omega)$  and, using (2.1), we obtain that for any  $s$

$$\left| \int_L \psi_s \omega \right| = \left| \int_{L \cap U_s} \psi_s \omega \right| \leq \int_{L \cap U_s} |\psi_s \omega| = \int_L |\psi_s \omega|.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_L \omega \right| &= \left| \int_L \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_s \omega \right| = \left| \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \int_L \psi_s \omega \right| \leq \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \int_L \psi_s \omega \right| \sigma_L \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \int_L |\psi_s \omega| \sigma_L = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \int_L |\psi_s| |\omega| \sigma_L = \int_L \left( \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} |\psi_s| \right) |\omega| \sigma_L = \int_L |\omega| \sigma_L, \end{aligned}$$

what completes the proof. ■

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Conformal invariantness

Consider two  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  and diffeomorphism  $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ . Let  $x \in M_1$ . Denote by  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  and  $\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_n$  orthonormal basis of  $T_x M_1$  and  $T_{f(x)} M_2$  respectively. Then there exist real numbers  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$  such that  $f^*(\tilde{e}_i^*) = \lambda_i e_i^*$  and the number

$$K_s(f) = \underset{x \in M}{\text{esssup}} \frac{(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n)^{\frac{s}{n}}}{\lambda_{n-s+1} \cdots \lambda_n}$$

is called *s-dilatation* of diffeomorphism  $f$ .

If  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n$  then  $K_1(f) = \dots = K_n(f) = 1$  and  $f$  is conformal.

In [Kr] Krivov proved that if  $p = n/k$  then for every family  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{L}_p^k(M_2)$  hold inequalities

$$K_k(f)^{-1} \inf_{\eta \in \mathcal{A}} \|\eta\| \leq \inf_{\omega \in f^* \mathcal{A}} \|\omega\| \leq K_{n-k}(f) \inf_{\eta \in \mathcal{A}} \|\eta\|.$$

We will use this fact to prove the following

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $(M_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ ,  $(M_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$  be  $n$ -dimensional foliated Riemannian manifolds with  $\dim \mathcal{F}_1 = \dim \mathcal{F}_2 = k$ . If  $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  is a diffeomorphism preserving orientation and foliation then for  $p = n/k$  we have*

$$K_k(f)^{-1} \text{mod}_p(M_2, \mathcal{F}_2) \leq \text{mod}_p(M_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \leq K_{n-k}(f) \text{mod}_p(M_2, \mathcal{F}_2).$$

**Proof.** Let  $(M_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ ,  $(M_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$  and  $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  satisfy the assumptions of the theorem. Let  $x \in M_1$  and  $(U, \phi)$  be a chart on  $M_1$  around  $x$ . Denote by  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  and  $\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_n$  positive oriented orthonormal bases of smooth vector fields in  $U$  and  $f(U)$ , respectively, such that  $e_1, \dots, e_k \in T\mathcal{F}_1|_U$  and  $\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_k \in T\mathcal{F}_2|_{f(U)}$ . Then  $f^*(\tilde{e}_i^*) = \lambda_i e_i^*$  for some real numbers  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . Notice that  $\lambda_i$  are positive, since  $f$  preserves orientation.

We will show that

$$(3.1) \quad f^*(adm(\mathcal{F}_2)) = adm(\mathcal{F}_1).$$

Let  $\omega$  be an admissible  $k$ -form for  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Then locally

$$\omega|_U = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} \tilde{e}_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{e}_{i_k}^*, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(\omega|_U) &= f^*\left(\sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} \tilde{e}_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{e}_{i_k}^*\right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} (\omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} \circ f) f^*(\tilde{e}^*)_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f^*(\tilde{e}^*)_{i_k} \\ &= \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} (\omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} \circ f) \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_k} \tilde{e}_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{e}_{i_k}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f^*(\omega)(e_1, \dots, e_k) = \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k \omega_{1 \dots k}(f(x)) \geq 0,$$

and  $f^*\omega$  is almost everywhere on  $M_1$  positively defined. Since  $\omega \in \mathbb{L}_p^k(M_2)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f^*\omega(x)|^{2p} &= \left(\sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} (\lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_k} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k}(f(x)))^2\right)^p \\ &\leq (\lambda_1)^{2kp} \left(\sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} (\omega_{i_1 \dots i_k}(f(x)))^2\right)^p \\ &\leq (\lambda_1)^{2kp} |\omega(f(x))|^{2p} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

whence  $f^*\omega \in \mathbb{L}_p^k(M_1)$ .

Moreover, because  $f$  preserves the foliation and  $\omega$  is admissible for  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , for almost every  $L \in \mathcal{F}_1$  the relation

$$\int_L f^*\omega = \int_{f(L)} \omega \geq 1$$

holds and consequently  $f^*\omega \in adm(\mathcal{F}_1)$ .

Hence  $f^*(adm(\mathcal{F}_2)) \subset adm(\mathcal{F}_1)$ . Analogously we can prove that  $adm(\mathcal{F}_1) \in f^*(adm(\mathcal{F}_2))$  and the equality (3.1) holds. Now, applying the Krivov's theorem, mentioned above, to families  $adm(\mathcal{F}_1)$  and  $adm(\mathcal{F}_2)$  we obtain

$$K_k(f)^{-1} mod_p(M_2, \mathcal{F}_2) \leq mod_p(M_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \leq K_{n-k}(f) mod_p(M_2, \mathcal{F}_2),$$

what completes the proof. ■

From Theorem 3.1 it follows immediately

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *For  $p = n/k$   $p$ -modulus of foliation is a conformal invariant.*

### 3.2. The modulus of a foliation given by a submersion

Now we are going to find an extremal form and calculate a value of modulus of foliation in a special case.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *If a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $M$  is given by a submersion  $f$  with connected levels then*

$$\text{mod}_p^p(M, \mathcal{F}) = \int_{f(M)} \left( \int_{L_x} J_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \sigma_{L_x} \right)^{1-p} \sigma_{f(M)},$$

and the  $k$ -form on  $M$

$$\omega_0(x) = \frac{J_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{\int_{L_x} J_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \sigma_{L_x}} \sigma_{L_x}$$

is an  $p$ -extremal form for  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation on  $M$  defined by a submersion with connected levels and let  $\omega \in \text{adm}(\mathcal{F})$ . For each  $y \in f(M)$  there exists  $x \in M$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) = L_x$ , where  $L_x$  is the leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  passing through the point  $x$ . According to the Fubini theorem we have

$$\int_M |\omega(x)|^p \sigma_M = \int_{f(M)} \left( \int_{L_x} |\omega(x)|^p \frac{1}{J_f} \sigma_{L_x} \right) \sigma_{f(M)}.$$

By admissibility of  $\omega$  and by Lemma 2.7 for almost every  $x \in M$  it holds

$$\left( \int_{L_x} |\omega(x)| \sigma_{L_x} \right)^p \geq 1.$$

Using the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\int_{L_x} |\omega(x)|^p \frac{1}{J_f(x)} \sigma_{L_x} \cdot \left( \int_{L_x} J_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) \right)^{p-1} \geq 1,$$

and consequently

$$(3.2) \quad \text{mod}_p^p(M, \mathcal{F}) \geq \int_{f(M)} \left( \int_{L_x} J_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) \sigma_{L_x} \right)^{1-p} \sigma_{f(M)}.$$

On the other hand the  $k$ -form

$$\omega_0(x) = \frac{J_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(x)}{\int_{L_x} J_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) \sigma_{L_x}} \sigma_{L_x}$$

on  $M$  is admissible for  $\mathcal{F}$ . Indeed,  $\omega_0$  is almost everywhere positively defined ( $f$  is the submersion, so its Jacobian  $J_f(x)$  is everywhere positive) and for

almost every  $x \in M$

$$\int_{L_x} \omega_0 = \int_{L_x} \frac{J_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(x)}{\int_{L_x} J_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) \sigma_{L_x}} \sigma_{L_x} = 1.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_0\|^p &= \int_M |\omega_0(x)|^p \sigma_M = \int_{f(M)} \left( \int_{L_x} |\omega(x)|^p \frac{1}{J_f(x)} \sigma_{L_x} \right) \sigma_{f(M)} \\ &= \int_{f(M)} \left( \int_{L_x} \frac{J_f^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x)}{\left( \int_{L_x} J_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) \sigma_{L_x} \right)^p} \sigma_{L_x} \right) \sigma_{f(M)} \\ &= \int_{f(M)} \left( \int_{L_x} J_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) \sigma_{L_x} \right)^{1-p} \sigma_{f(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

what means that  $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{L}_p^k(M)$  and

$$mod_p^p(M, \mathcal{F}) \leq \int_{f(M)} \left( \int_{L_x} J_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) \sigma_{L_x} \right)^{1-p} \sigma_{f(M)}.$$

The last inequality together with (3.1) completes the proof. ■

As a simple consequence of the above theorem let us note the following result.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a codimension one foliation given by a submersion  $f: M \rightarrow (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$  with connected levels then*

$$mod_p^p(M, \mathcal{F}) = \int_a^b \left( \int_{L_x} \|\text{grad } f\|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \sigma_{L_x} \right)^{1-p} dt,$$

where  $f(x) = t$  and the  $(n-1)$ -form on  $M$

$$\omega_0(x) = \frac{\|\text{grad } f\|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{\int_{L_x} \|\text{grad } f\|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \sigma_{L_x}} \sigma_{L_x}$$

is an  $p$ -extremal form for the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ .

### 3.3. Extremal forms

Let  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  be an  $n$ -dimensional oriented foliated Riemannian manifold and  $\dim \mathcal{F} = k$ .

**LEMMA 3.5.** *For each almost everywhere positively defined  $k$ -form  $\omega \in \mathbb{L}_p^k(M)$  the function  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by*

$$x \mapsto \int_{L_x} \omega$$

is measurable on  $M$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  be a regular atlas of  $(M, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $(U_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{U}$ . For each  $x \in U_0$  we will denote by:

$C(x, r)$  — a chain  $\{P_0, \dots, P_r\}$  with the beginning at  $x$  such that  $P_0 \in U_0$ ,

$C_m(x)$  — the set of all chains  $C(x, r)$  with  $r \leq m$ ,

$P_m(x)$  — the set of all plaques of chains from  $C_m(x)$ ,

and

$$B_m(x) = \bigcup_{P \in P_m(x)} P.$$

From the regularity of  $\mathcal{U}$  it follows that  $C_m(x)$  and  $P_m(x)$  are finite.

Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{L}_p^k(M)$  be a continuous  $k$ -form defined in  $U_0$  by

$$\omega(x) = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k}(x) dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n,$$

where  $(dx_1, \dots, dx_n)$  is a basis of  $T_x^*M$  dual to the basis  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_n}$  of  $T_x M$ , such that  $(dx_1, \dots, dx_k)$  span  $T_x \mathcal{F}$ .

We are going to prove that the real function  $f_m$  defined on  $M$  by

$$x \mapsto \int_{B_m(x)} \omega$$

is measurable for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For this purpose we will show that for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  the set  $A_t = \{x; f_m(x) > t\}$  is open in  $M$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Take  $x \in U_0$  such that  $f_m(x) > t$ . According to Remark 2.3 for any chain  $C(x, r) \in C_m(x)$  there exists a diffeomorphism

$$h^C = \gamma_{r,r-1}^C \circ \gamma_{r-1,r-2}^C \circ \dots \circ \gamma_{1,0}^C, \quad \gamma_{i,i-1}^C \in \gamma, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}$$

from an open (in  $S_0$ ) neighborhood  $Dom(h^C)$  of  $x$  to an open subset of the transversal  $S_r$ . Moreover, for every chain  $C(x, r) = \{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_r\}$  and each  $y \in Dom(h^C)$  there exists a unique chain  $C(y, r) = \{Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_r\}$  such that  $P_i \subset U_i$  and  $Q_i \subset U_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . From now on we will call the chain  $C(y, r)$  *suitable* for the chain  $C(x, r)$  and the plaque  $Q_i$  *suitable* for the plaque  $P_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, r$ .

Denote by  $D = \bigcap_{C \in C_m(x)} Dom(h^C)$ . Then for every  $y \in D$  the set  $B_m(y)$  crosses all domains of charts containing plaques from  $B_m(x)$  and consequently  $\overline{P_m(x)} \leq \overline{P_m(y)}$ .

Let  $y \in D$ . Consider suitable plaques  $P_i \in B(x, m)$  and  $Q_i \in B(y, m)$  contained in  $(U_i, \phi_i)$  for some  $0 \leq i \leq r$ . From the regularity of  $\mathcal{U}$  and monotone continuity of  $\omega$  on  $\overline{U_i}$  it follows that for every  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that if  $|\phi_{i2}(P_i) - \phi_{i2}(Q_i)| < \delta_1$  then for each  $z \in \phi_{i1}(U_i)$  the inequality

$$(3.3) \quad |\omega \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z, \phi_{i2}(P_i)) - \omega \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z, \phi_{i2}(Q_i))| < \epsilon_1$$

holds. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{P_i} \omega - \int_{Q_i} \omega \right| &= \left| \int_{P_i} \omega_{1 \dots k} dx_1 \dots dx_k - \int_{Q_i} \omega_{1 \dots k} dx_1 \dots dx_k \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\phi_{i1}(U_i) \times \phi_{i2}(P_i)} \omega_{1 \dots k} \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z, \phi_{i2}(P_i)) dx_1 \dots dx_k \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{\phi_{i1}(U_i) \times \phi_{i2}(Q_i)} \omega_{1 \dots k} \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z, \phi_{i2}(Q_i)) dx_1 \dots dx_k \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\phi_{i1}(U_i) \times \phi_{i2}(P_i)} |\omega_{1 \dots k} \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z, \phi_{i2}(P_i)) - \omega_{1 \dots k} \\
&\quad \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z, \phi_{i2}(Q_i))| dx_1 \dots dx_k \\
&< \int_{\phi_{i1}(U_i) \times \phi_{i2}(P_i)} \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_1 \cdot \mu_k(B_\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider two pairs  $(P_i, Q_i), (P_j, Q_j)$  for some  $0 \leq i, j \leq r$  of suitable plaques  $P_i, P_j \in B(x, m)$  and  $Q_i, Q_j \in B(y, m)$  contained in domains of  $(U_i, \phi_i), (U_j, \phi_j)$ , respectively, and such that  $P_i \cap P_j \neq \emptyset$  and  $Q_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is regular then for every  $\epsilon_2 > 0$  there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad \mu_k(\phi_{i1}(P_i \cap P_j) \setminus \phi_{i1}(Q_i \cap Q_j)) < \epsilon_2$$

whenever  $|\phi_{i2}(P_i \cap P_j) - \phi_{i2}(Q_i \cap Q_j)| < \delta_2$ .

Whence, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $|\phi_{i2}(P_i \cap P_j) - \phi_{i2}(Q_i \cap Q_j)| < \delta$  then

$$\left| \int_{P_i \cap P_j} \omega - \int_{Q_i \cap Q_j} \omega \right| < \epsilon.$$

Indeed, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  we can take  $\epsilon_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{2\mu_k(\phi_1(U_i))}$  and  $\epsilon_2 = \frac{\epsilon}{4\sup_{U_i \cap U_j} |\omega|}$ . Then there exist  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$  such that inequalities (3.3) and (3.4) hold. Hence if  $|\phi_{i2}(P_i \cap P_j) - \phi_{i2}(Q_i \cap Q_j)| < \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{P_i \cap P_j} \omega - \int_{Q_i \cap Q_j} \omega \right| &= \left| \int_{\phi_{i1}(P_i \cap P_j) \times \phi_{i2}(P_i \cap P_j)} \omega_{1 \dots k} \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z, \phi_{i2}(P_i \cap P_j)) dx_1 \dots dx_k \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{\phi_{i1}(Q_i \cap Q_j) \times \phi_{i2}(Q_i \cap Q_j)} \omega_{1 \dots k} \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z, \phi_{i2}(Q_i \cap Q_j)) dx_1 \dots dx_k \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{(\phi_{i1}(P_i \cap P_j) \cap \phi_{i1}(Q_i \cap Q_j)) \times \phi_{i2}(P_i \cap P_j)} (\omega_{1 \dots k} \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z, \phi_{i2}(P_i \cap P_j)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \omega_{1 \dots k} \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z, \phi_{i2}(Q_i \cap Q_j))) dx_1 \dots dx_k \right| \\
&+ \left| \int_{(\phi_{i1}(P_i \cap P_j) \setminus \phi_{i1}(Q_i \cap Q_j)) \times \phi_{i2}(P_i \cap P_j)} \omega_{1 \dots k} \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z, \phi_{i2}(P_i \cap P_j)) dx_1 \dots dx_k \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left| \int_{(\phi_{i1}(Q_i \cap Q_j) \setminus \phi_{i1}(P_i \cap P_j)) \times \phi_{i2}(P_i \cap P_j)} \omega_{1 \dots k} \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z, \phi_{i2}(Q_i \cap Q_j)) dx_1 \dots dx_k \right| \\ < \epsilon_1 \cdot \mu_k(\phi_{i1}(P_i \cap P_j) \cap \phi_{i1}(Q_i \cap Q_j)) + 2\epsilon_2 \cdot \sup_{U_i \cap U_j} |\omega| < \epsilon.$$

One can show that analogous inequality holds for every finite number  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  of pairs  $(P_i, Q_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$  of suitable plaques  $P_i \in B(x, m)$  and  $Q_i \in B(y, m)$  contained in domains of  $(U_i, \phi_i)$ , respectively, and such that  $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_r \neq \emptyset$  and  $Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_r \neq \emptyset$ .

Now let  $\lambda = f_m(x) - t$  and  $s = \overline{P_m(x)}$ . From above abbreviations it follows that choosing  $D$  smaller, if necessary, we can assume that for any  $y \in D$  hold inequalities

$$\left| \int_{P_i} \omega - \int_{Q_i} \omega \right| < \frac{\lambda}{2^s} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, s$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\bigcap_{j \leq r} P_{i_j}} \omega - \int_{\bigcap_{j \leq r} Q_{i_j}} \omega \right| < \frac{\lambda}{2^s} \quad \text{for } i_j = 1, \dots, s; \quad r \leq s,$$

where  $Q_i \in P_m(y)$  are suitable plaques to  $P_i \in P_m(x)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ .

Since  $\omega$  is almost everywhere positively defined we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_m(y)} \omega &\geq \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^s Q_i} \omega = \sum_{i=1}^s \int_{Q_i} \omega + \dots + (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{i_j < i_l \\ i_1, \dots, i_k=1}} \int_{\bigcap_{j=1}^k Q_{i_j}} \omega + \dots + (-1)^{s+1} \int_{\bigcap_{i=1}^s Q_i} \omega \\ &> \sum_{i=1}^s \left( \int_{P_i} \omega - \frac{\lambda}{2^s} \right) + \dots + (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{i_j < i_l \\ i_1, \dots, i_k=1}} \left( \int_{\bigcap_{j=1}^k P_{i_j}} \omega + (-1)^k \frac{\lambda}{2^s} \right) + \dots \\ &\quad + (-1)^{s+1} \left( \int_{\bigcap_{i=1}^s P_i} \omega + (-1)^s \frac{\lambda}{2^s} \right) = \int_{B_m(x)} \omega - \frac{\lambda}{2^s} \left( \binom{s}{1} + \dots + \binom{s}{s} \right) \\ &> \int_{B_m(x)} \omega - \lambda = t. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $x$  is contained in  $A_t$  together with the open neighborhood  $D \times P_0$  and, consequently,  $A_t$  is open in  $M$ .

Since for every  $x \in M$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_m(x)} \omega = \int_{L_x} \omega$$

then the function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $x \mapsto \int_{L_x} \omega$  is measurable on  $M$  as the limit of the sequence  $(f_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  of measurable functions.

Now let  $\omega \in \mathbb{L}_p^k(M)$ . The set of all continuous forms is dense in  $\mathbb{L}_p^k(M)$ , so there exists a sequence  $(\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of continuous forms convergent to  $\omega$ . Since all functions  $x \mapsto \int_{L_x} \omega_n$  are measurable on  $M$  we obtain that the function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$x \mapsto \int_{L_x} \omega$$

is also measurable on  $M$ . ■

**THEOREM 3.6.** *If  $M$  has finite volume and  $\mathcal{F}$  is given by a submersion with connected level sets then  $adm(\mathcal{F})$  is closed in  $\mathbb{L}_p^k(M)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow B \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a submersion. Consider a convergent sequence  $(\omega_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  of admissible  $k$ -forms for  $\mathcal{F}$ . Denote its limit by  $\omega$  and suppose that  $\omega \notin adm(\mathcal{F})$ . By completeness of  $\mathbb{L}_p^k(M)$ ,  $\omega$  belongs to  $\mathbb{L}_p^k(M)$ . Moreover,  $(\omega_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  includes a subsequence convergent almost everywhere to  $\omega(x)$ . It means, in particular, that  $\omega$  is almost everywhere on  $M$  positively defined. Therefore  $\omega$  is not admissible for  $\mathcal{F}$  if the set

$$A = \left\{ L \in \mathcal{F} : \int_L \omega < 1 \right\}$$

is of nonzero measure. By Lemma 3.5 the real function  $h : x \mapsto \int_{L_x} \omega$  is measurable on  $M$ , so  $A = h^{-1}(-\infty, 1)$  is also measurable on  $M$  and, consequently,  $\mu(A) > 0$ .

Since level sets of  $f$  are connected we have

$$f(A) = \left\{ z \in B : \int_{f^{-1}(z)} \omega < 1 \right\}.$$

This set, by the Fubini theorem, is measurable as preimage of  $(-\infty, 1)$  by measurable function  $B \ni z \mapsto \int_{f^{-1}(z)} \omega \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu_B(f(A)) > 0$ .

Hence

$$\int_A \omega \wedge f^* \sigma_B = \int_{f(A)} \left( \int_{f^{-1}(z)} \omega \right) \sigma_B < \int_{f(A)} 1 \sigma_B = \mu_B(f(A))$$

and for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\int_A \omega_m \wedge f^* \sigma_B = \int_{f(A)} \left( \int_{f^{-1}(z)} \omega_m \right) \sigma_B \geq \int_{f(A)} 1 \sigma_B = \mu_B(f(A)).$$

Denote by

$$\epsilon = \mu_B(f(A)) - \int_{f(A)} \left( \int_{f^{-1}(z)} \omega \right) \sigma_B.$$

Then for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \epsilon &= \mu_B(f(A)) - \int_{f(A)} \left( \int_{f^{-1}(z)} \omega \right) \sigma_B \\ &\leq \int_{f(A)} \left( \int_{f^{-1}(z)} \omega_m \right) \sigma_B - \int_{f(A)} \left( \int_{f^{-1}(z)} \omega \right) \sigma_B \\ &= \int_{f(A)} \left( \int_{f^{-1}(z)} \omega_m - \omega \right) \sigma_B = \left| \int_{f(A)} \left( \int_{f^{-1}(z)} \omega_m - \omega \right) \sigma_B \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini theorem and Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &< \left| \int_A (\omega_m - \omega) \wedge f^* \sigma_B \right| \leq \int_A |(\omega_m - \omega) \wedge f^* \sigma_B| \sigma_M \\ &\leq \int_M |(\omega_m - \omega) \wedge f^* \sigma_B| \sigma_M \\ &\leq \int_M |\omega_m - \omega| \cdot |f^* \sigma_B| \sigma_M \\ &\leq \left( \int_M |\omega_m - \omega|^p \sigma_M \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left( \int_M |f^* \sigma_B|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \sigma_M \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M$  has the finite volume then for  $c = \left( \int_M |f^* \sigma_B|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \sigma_M \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$  we obtain an inequality

$$\|\omega_m - \omega\| \geq \epsilon c^{-1},$$

what is a contrary to the assumptions. ■

Krivov in [Kr] proved that a family  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $k$ -forms has a unique  $p$ -extremal form if  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed and convex in  $\mathbb{L}_p^k(M)$ . Since the convexity of the family  $ad\mathcal{F}$  is obvious, by the above theorem it follows directly

**COROLLARY 3.7.** *If  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  satisfies assumptions of Theorem 3.6 then there exists a unique  $p$ -extremal form for the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

In last two theorems we describe some properties of an extremal form.

**THEOREM 3.8.** *If  $\omega_0$  is an extremal form of a family  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{F}$  then for almost all leaves  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  we have*

$$\int_L \omega_0 = 1.$$

**P r o o f.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a family of leaves of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  and let  $\omega_0$  be an  $p$ -extremal form for  $\mathcal{L}$ . Suppose that the set

$$A = \{x \in M; L_x \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \int_{L_x} \omega_0 > 1\}$$

has nonzero measure. By Lemma 3.5  $A$  is measurable, so  $\mu(A) > 0$ . Consider a cover  $\{C_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the set  $f(A)$  defined for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  in the following

way

$$C_m = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m}, 1 + \frac{1}{m}\right] \cup (m+1, m+2].$$

Since the measure of  $A$  is positive there exists  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the set  $f^{-1}(C_{m_0})$  has also positive measure. Moreover the measure of  $B = A \cap f^{-1}((C_{m_0}))$  is positive, too. Now consider the form

$$\omega(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2m_0}{2m_0+1} \omega_0 & x \in B, \\ \omega_0 & x \in M \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\omega_0$  is admissible for  $\mathcal{L}$  then the form  $\omega$  is almost everywhere on  $M$  positively defined and for almost all leaves  $L \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \tilde{B}$  we have

$$\int_L \omega = \int_L \omega_0 \geq 1.$$

By the definition of  $\omega$  it follows that for  $L \in \mathcal{L} \cap \tilde{B}$  we have

$$\int_L \omega = \frac{2m_0}{2m_0+1} \int_L \omega_0 > \frac{2m_0}{2m_0+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m_0}\right) = 1,$$

so  $\int_L \omega \geq 1$  for almost all leaves  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega\|^p &= \int_M |\omega(x)|^p \sigma_M = \int_B \left| \frac{2m_0}{2m_0+1} \omega_0(x) \right|^p \sigma_M + \int_{M \setminus B} |\omega_0(x)|^p \sigma_M = \\ &= \left( \frac{2m_0}{2m_0+1} \right)^p \int_B |\omega_0(x)|^p \sigma_M + \int_{M \setminus B} |\omega_0(x)|^p \sigma_M < \|\omega_0\|^p \end{aligned}$$

what means that  $\omega \in \mathbb{L}_p^k(M)$  and, simultaneously, contradicts with the assumption of extremality of  $\omega_0$ . ■

**THEOREM 3.9.** *If an extremal form  $\omega_0$  of a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is continuous then for all vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_{n-k} \in T\mathcal{F}$  we have*

$$*\omega_0(X_1, \dots, X_{n-k}) = 0.$$

*That means, in particular, that for each leaf  $L \in \mathcal{F}$  there exists a real function  $f_L$  on  $M$  such that*

$$\omega_0|_L = f_L \cdot \sigma_L,$$

*where  $\sigma_L$  is the volume form of  $L$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\omega_0$  be an extremal  $k$ -form for  $\mathcal{F}$ . Suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in M$  and vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_{n-k} \in T\mathcal{F}$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad *\omega_0(X_1, \dots, X_{n-k})(x_0) \neq 0.$$

Consider a chart  $(U, \phi)$  around  $x_0$  and denote by  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  an orthonormal positively oriented basis of smooth vector fields on  $U$  such that for any  $x \in U$

vectors  $e_1(x), \dots, e_k(x)$  span  $T_x \mathcal{F}$ . Then

$$\omega = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}^*, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$$

and

$$*\omega = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} \sigma(i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_{n-k}) e_{j_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_{n-k}}^*,$$

where  $j_1, \dots, j_{n-k} \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ ,  $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{n-k} \leq n$  and  $\sigma(i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_{n-k})$  is a permutation of  $(i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_{n-k})$ . By continuity of  $\omega$ , choosing  $U$  smaller, if necessary, we can assume that the condition (3.5) holds in whole  $U$ . Since  $X_1, \dots, X_{n-k} \in T\mathcal{F}$  then for every  $x \in U$  we have

$$(\omega_{1 \dots k} e_{k+1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*)(X_1, \dots, X_{n-k})(x) = 0.$$

This condition together with (3.5) gives the existence of a multiindex  $i_1 \dots i_k \neq 1 \dots k$  such that  $\omega_{i_1 \dots i_k}(x) \neq 0$ .

Now let  $W$  be an open subset of  $U$  such that  $\overline{W} \subset U$ . Consider a continuous function  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{dla } x \in M \setminus U, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{dla } x \in \overline{W}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\omega = f(\omega_0 - \omega_{1 \dots k} e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_k^*) + \omega_{1 \dots k} e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_k^*$$

is continuous, positively defined  $k$ -form on  $M$  identical with  $\omega_0$  on  $M \setminus U$ . Since

$$\omega = \omega_{1 \dots k} e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_k^* + \sum_{\substack{i_1 \dots i_k \neq 1 \dots k \\ i_1 \dots i_k}} f \cdot \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}^*$$

we have

$$\int_L \omega = \int_{L \setminus U} \omega + \int_{L \cap U} \omega = \int_{L \setminus U} \omega_0 + \int_{L \cap U} \omega_{1 \dots k} e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_k^* = \int_L \omega_0$$

for any leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, for all  $x \in U$  the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(x)|^2 &= \omega_{1 \dots k}^2(x) + \sum_{\substack{i_1 \dots i_k \neq 1 \dots k \\ i_1 \dots i_k}} (f \cdot \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k}(x))^2 \\ &< \omega_{1 \dots k}^2(x) + \sum_{\substack{i_1 \dots i_k \neq 1 \dots k \\ i_1 \dots i_k}} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k}^2(x) = |\omega_0(x)|^2 \quad \text{holds.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\omega$  is admissible for  $\mathcal{F}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega\|^p &= \int_M |\omega(x)|^p \sigma_M = \int_{M \setminus U} |\omega(x)|^p \sigma_M + \int_U |\omega(x)|^p \sigma_M \\ &< \int_{M \setminus U} |\omega_0(x)|^p \sigma_M + \int_U |\omega_0(x)|^p \sigma_M < \|\omega_0\|^p,\end{aligned}$$

what contradicts with extremality of  $\omega_0$ . ■

### References

- [AhBe] L. Ahlfors and A. Beurling, *Conformal invariants and function-theoretic null-sets*, Acta Math. 83 (1950), 101–129.
- [Bl] D. Blachowska, *Some properties of a modulus of foliation*, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lett. Łódź., to appear.
- [CaCo] A. Candel and L. Conlon, *Foliations I*, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 2000.
- [Fu] B. Fuglede, *Extremal length and functional completion*, Acta Math. 98 (1957), 171–219.
- [Kr] V. V. Krivov, *A notion of generalized modulus and its application in the theory of quasiconformal mappings*, Complex Analysis, Warsaw 1979, 185–198.
- [Pi] A. Pierzchalski, *The  $k$ -module of level sets of differential mappings*, Scientific Communications of the Czechoslovakian-GDR-Polish School on Differential Geometry at Boszkowo (1978), Math. Inst. Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1979, 180–185.
- [Su] K. Suominen, *Quasiconformal maps in manifolds*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae, Series A, I. Mathematica, 393, Helsinki, 1966.

UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ  
 FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS  
 Banacha 22,  
 90-238 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND  
 E-mail: dorotabl@math.uni.lodz.pl

*Received May 21, 2003; revised version January 9, 2004.*