

Liaqat Ali Khan

## TRANS-SEPARABILITY IN SPACES OF CONTINUOUS VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS

**Abstract.** Let  $X$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space and  $E$  a Hausdorff topological vector space (TVS). In this note, we study the notion of trans-separability for certain subspaces of  $C_b(X, E)$  endowed with the  $\sigma$ -compact-open and some related topologies.

Let  $X$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space and  $E$  a Hausdorff topological vector space (TVS) with a base  $\mathcal{W}$  of balanced neighbourhoods of 0, and let  $C_b(X, E)$  (resp.  $C_{rc}(X, E)$ ) denote the vector space of all continuous  $E$ -valued functions  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $f(X)$  is bounded (resp. relatively compact). Let  $C_0(X, E)$  be the subspace of  $C_b(X, E)$  consisting of those functions which vanish at infinity. If  $X$  is compact,  $C_b(X, E) = C_{rc}(X, E) = C_0(X, E)$ . When  $E$  is the real or complex field,  $C_b(X, E) = C_{rc}(X, E)$  and we denote this by  $C_b(X)$  and  $C_0(X, E)$  by  $C_0(X)$ . The  $\sigma$ -compact-open topology  $\sigma$  (resp. compact-open topology  $k$ , countable-open topology  $\sigma_0$ ) [4, 5, 11] on  $C_b(X, E)$  is defined as the linear topology which has a base of neighbourhoods of 0 consisting of all sets of the form

$$N(A, W) = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : f(A) \subseteq W\},$$

where  $A$  varies over all  $\sigma$ -compact (resp. compact, countable) subsets of  $X$  and  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . The uniform topology  $u$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  is the linear topology which has a base of neighbourhoods of 0 consisting of all sets of the form  $N(X, W)$ , where  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . Clearly,  $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq u$  and  $k \leq \sigma$ . Further, by ([11], Theorem 3.3),  $\sigma = u$  iff  $X = \bar{A}$  for some  $\sigma$ -compact subset  $A$ ;  $k = \sigma$  iff every  $\sigma$ -compact subset of  $X$  is relatively compact;  $\sigma_0 = u$  iff  $X$  is separable. For any  $A \subseteq X$ , let  $\|\cdot\|_A$  denote the seminorm on  $C_b(X)$  given by  $\|f\|_A = \sup_{x \in A} |f(x)|$ ,  $f \in C_b(X)$ . We shall denote by  $C_b(X) \otimes E$  the vector subspace of  $C_b(X, E)$  spanned by the set of all functions of the form

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46E10; Secondary 46E40, 46A10.

*Key words and phrases*: topological vector spaces, vector-valued continuous functions,  $\sigma$ -compact-open topology, uniform topology, trans-separable spaces.

$\varphi \otimes a$ , where  $\varphi \in C_b(X)$ ,  $a \in E$ , and  $(\varphi \otimes a)(x) = \varphi(x)a$ ,  $x \in X$ . Let  $\beta X$  denote the Stone-Čech compactification of  $X$ . Each  $g$  in  $C_b(X)$  or  $C_{rc}(X, E)$  has a continuous extension  $\hat{g}$  to  $\beta X$  and the spaces  $C_b(X) \otimes E$  and  $C_{rc}(X, E)$  are linearly isomorphic to  $C_b(\beta X) \otimes E$  and  $C_b(\beta X, E)$ , respectively. Recall that a TVS  $E$  is called *admissible* if the identity operator on  $E$  can be uniformly approximated on compact subsets by continuous operators with finite dimensional range [12]. Every  $F$ -space with a basis, in particular  $l_p$  ( $0 < p < \infty$ ), is admissible ([18], p. 101). We shall require the following known result. We sketch its proof for the sake of completeness (cf. [18], [11]).

**THEOREM 1.**  $C_b(X) \otimes E$  is *u-dense* (hence also  $\sigma$ -,  $\sigma_0$ -dense) in  $C_{rc}(X, E)$  in each of the following cases:

- (i)  $X$  is a normal Hausdorff space of finite covering dimension and  $E$  any TVS.
- (ii)  $X$  is any Hausdorff space and  $E$  an admissible TVS.
- (iii)  $X$  is any completely regular Hausdorff space and  $E$  a locally convex TVS.

**Proof.** (i) Since  $X$  is a normal Hausdorff space of finite covering dimension,  $\beta X$  is also of finite covering dimension [3]. Thus, by ([18], Theorem 1),  $C_b(\beta X) \otimes E$  is *u-dense* in  $C_b(\beta X, E)$ , and so the results follows from the above observation.

(ii) Let  $f \in C_{rc}(X, E)$  and  $W$  a neighbourhood of 0 in  $E$ . Since  $\overline{f(X)}$  is compact and  $E$  is admissible, there exists a continuous map  $\psi : \overline{f(X)} \rightarrow E$  with finite dimensional range such that  $\psi(f(x)) - f(x) \in W$  for all  $x \in X$ . By using the same argument as that of ([18], Proposition 1), we see that  $\psi \circ f \in C_b(X) \otimes E$ , and so  $f$  is in the *u*-closure of  $C_b(X) \otimes E$ .

(iii) If  $E$  is locally convex, then ([18], Theorem 1) remains valid without the assumption of finite covering dimension on  $X$ , and so the result follows as in (i). ■

A locally convex space is  $L$  is called *seminorm-separable* if, for each continuous seminorm  $p$  on  $L$ ,  $(L, p)$  is separable. The following two classical results are stated for reference purpose.

**THEOREM 2.** (i) [13, 20]  $(C_b(X), \|\cdot\|)$  is separable iff  $X$  is a compact metric space.

(ii) [16, 20] If  $X$  is locally compact, then  $(C_0(X), \|\cdot\|)$  is separable iff  $X$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact metric space.

**THEOREM 3.** [5] The following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $(C_b(X), \sigma)$  is seminorm-separable.

- (b)  $(C_b(X), \sigma_0)$  is seminorm-separable.
- (c) The closure in  $\beta X$  of each  $\sigma$ -compact subset of  $X$  is metrizable in  $\beta X$ .

A uniform space  $L$  is called *trans-separable* if every uniform cover of  $L$  admits a countable subcover ([7], [6]). This notion has occurred to be useful in the work of ([14], [1], [15]) while studying the metrizability of precompact sets in locally convex spaces (see also [9]). Drewnowski [2] had actually coined the word “trans-separable” and it has been further used by Robertson [15]. Recently, in [10], the author has introduced a generalized notion of separability in the TVS setting and characterized it for vector-valued function spaces endowed with the strict and compact-open topologies. This notion may be defined equivalently, as follows. Let  $(L, \tau)$  be a TVS whose topology is generated by a family  $Q(\tau)$  of continuous  $F$ -seminorms [21, p. 2]. Then  $(L, \tau)$  is called *F-seminorm-separable* if  $(L, q)$  is separable for each  $q \in Q(\tau)$ . Clearly, separability implies *F*-seminorm-separability; the converse holds in metric spaces.

The following result establishes the equivalence of the notions of trans-separability and *F*-seminorm-separability.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *A TVS  $(L, \tau)$  is trans-separable iff  $(L, q)$  is separable for each  $q \in Q(\tau)$ .*

**P r o o f.** Suppose  $L$  is trans-separable, and let  $q \in Q(\tau)$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $V_n = \{x \in L : q(x) < 1/n\}$ , a balanced neighbourhood of 0 in  $L$ . Then, for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $U_n = \{x + V_n : x \in L\}$  is a uniform cover of  $L$ , and so it has a countable subcover  $U_n^* = \{x_k^{(n)} + V_n : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Let  $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x_k^{(n)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . To show that  $D$  is dense in  $(L, q)$ , let  $y \in L$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N \geq 1$  such that  $1/N < \varepsilon$ . Since  $U_N^*$  is a cover of  $L$  then  $y \in x_K^{(N)} + V_N$  for some  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $q(y - x_K^{(N)}) < 1/N < \varepsilon$  and hence  $(L, q)$  is separable.

Conversely, suppose  $(L, q)$  is separable for each  $q \in Q(\tau)$ . Let  $\{x + U : x \in L\}$  be any uniform cover of  $L$ , where  $U$  is a neighbourhood of 0 in  $L$ . Choose a balanced neighbourhood  $V$  of 0 in  $L$  with  $V + V \subseteq U$ . Pick  $q \in Q(\tau)$  such that  $W = \{x \in L : q(x) < 1\} \subseteq V$ . Let  $\{z_n\}$  be a countable dense subset in  $(L, q)$ . Since  $L = \bigcup_{x \in L} (x + V)$ , then for each  $z_n \in L$ , there exists some  $x_n \in L$  such that  $z_n - x_n \in W$ . Let  $y \in L$ . Choose  $z_k$  such that  $q(y - z_k) < 1$ . Then

$$y - z_k = (y - z_k) + (z_k - x_k) \in W + W \subseteq U,$$

and so  $L = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} (x_n + U)$ . ■

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $E$  be any non-trivial TVS. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $(C_b(X) \otimes E, \sigma)$  is trans-separable.
- (b)  $(C_b(X) \otimes E, \sigma_0)$  is trans-separable.
- (c) The closure in  $\beta X$  of each  $\sigma$ -compact subset of  $X$  is metrizable in  $\beta X$  and  $E$  is trans-separable.

**Proof.** It is obvious that (a) implies (b).

That (b) implies (c) follows from Theorem 3 and the fact that both  $(C_b(X), \sigma_0)$  and  $E$  are isomorphic to subspaces of  $(C_b(X) \otimes E, \sigma_0)$  via the maps  $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi \otimes a$  ( $0 \neq a \in E$  fixed) and  $z \rightarrow 1_X \otimes z$ , respectively.

(c) implies (a): By Theorem 3,  $(C_b(X), \sigma)$  is trans-separable. Fix a  $\sigma$ -compact subset  $A$  of  $X$  and a balanced  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . We need to show that there is a countable set  $H \subset C_b(X) \otimes E$  such that  $C_b(X) \otimes E = H + N(A, W)$ .

For every pair  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  choose a balanced  $U_{m,n} \in \mathcal{W}$  so that, for  $V_{m,n} = U_{m,n} + mU_{m,n} + U_{m,n}$ , one has

$$V_{m,n} + \cdots + V_{m,n} \text{ (n-summands)} \subset W.$$

Also, choose a countable set  $D_{m,n}$  in  $E$  so that  $E = D_{m,n} + U_{m,n}$ . Let  $D$  be the union of all these sets  $D_{m,n}$  ( $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Next, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  denote  $B_k = \{f \in C_b(X) : \|f\|_A \leq 1/k\}$  and choose a countable set  $G_k$  in  $C_b(X)$  so that  $C_b(X) = G_k + B_k$ . Let  $G$  be the union of all these sets  $G_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

We are going to show that the countable set  $H = H_{A,W}$  of all functions in  $C_b(X) \otimes E$  of the form  $h = \sum_{i=1}^r g_i \otimes d_i$ , where  $g_i \in G$  and  $d_i \in D$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ), is as required.

Take any  $f \in C_b(X) \otimes E$ . Then  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes a_i$  for some  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_b(X)$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in E$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\|f_i\|_A \leq m$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and next choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $k^{-1}a_i \in U_{m,n}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . By the definitions of  $D_{m,n}$  and  $G_k$ , there are  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in D_{m,n}$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G_k$  such that

$$a_i - d_i \in U_{m,n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_i - g_i\|_A \leq 1/k \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Now, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $x \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad f_i(x)a_i - g_i(x)d_i \\ = (f_i(x) - g_i(x))a_i + f_i(x)(a_i - d_i) + (g_i(x) - f_i(x))(a_i - d_i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (using the fact that  $U_{m,n}$  is balanced)

$$f_i(x)a_i - g_i(x)d_i \in U_{m,n} + mU_{m,n} + U_{m,n} = V_{m,n}.$$

In consequence, setting  $h = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \otimes d_i$ , we have  $h \in H$  and for every  $x \in A$ ,

$$f(x) - h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i(x)a_i - g_i(x)d_i) \in W$$

so that  $f - h \in N(A, W)$ . ■

REMARK (I). A somewhat more transparent variant of the above proof that (c) implies (a) can be based on Proposition 4. Thus, one has to show that for any  $\sigma$ -compact subset  $A$  of  $X$  and any continuous F-seminorm  $p$  on  $E$ , the space  $(C_b(X) \otimes E, p_A)$  is separable, where  $p_A(f) = \sup_{x \in A} p(f(x))$ . Now, let  $G$  be a countable subset dense in  $(C_b(X), \|\cdot\|_A)$ , and  $D$  a countable set dense in  $(E, p)$ . Take any  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes a_i$  in  $C_b(X) \otimes E$ , and choose  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\|f_i\|_A \leq m$  for each  $i$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $g = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \otimes d_i$ , where  $g_i \in G$  and  $d_i \in D$ . Assume that  $\|f_i - g_i\|_A \leq \delta$  for all  $i$  and some as yet unspecified  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Then, making use of (\*), it can be easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} p_A(f - g) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (p(\|f_i - g_i\|_A a_i) \\ &\quad + p(\|f_i\|_A (a_i - d_i)) + p(\|f_i - g_i\|_A (a_i - d_i))) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (p(\delta a_i) + (m + 1)p((a_i - d_i)). \end{aligned}$$

But this can be made less than  $\varepsilon$  by taking  $\delta$  sufficiently small and choosing the  $d_i$ 's in  $D$  sufficiently close to the  $a_i$ 's. It follows that the countable set of all  $g$ 's of the above form is dense in  $(C_b(X) \otimes E, p_A)$ .

(II). If  $C_b(X) \otimes E$  is  $u$ -dense in  $C_{rc}(X, E)$  (cf. Theorem 1), then clearly Theorem 5 holds with  $C_b(X) \otimes E$  replaced by  $C_{rc}(X, E)$ . We do not know of any general assumption on  $X$  other than its compactness in Theorem 1 ensuring that  $C_b(X) \otimes E$  is  $\sigma$ - or  $\sigma_0$ -dense in  $C_b(X, E)$ . However, without this density assumption, the following holds.

**THEOREM 6.**  $(C_b(X, E), \sigma)$  is trans-separable  $\Leftrightarrow (C_b(X, E), \sigma_0)$  is so.

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$  This follows from the fact that  $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma$ .

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose  $(C_b(X, E), \sigma)$  is not separable. We show that  $(C_b(X, E), \sigma_0)$  is also not trans-separable, i.e., if  $H = \{f_n\}$  is any countable set in  $C_b(X, E)$ , then there exist a countable set  $B = \{x_n\} \subseteq X$  and a  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $C_b(X, E) \neq H + N(B, W)$ . Since  $(C_b(X, E), \sigma)$  is not separable, there exists a  $\sigma$ -compact set  $A \subseteq X$  and a  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $C_b(X, E) \neq H + N(B, W)$ . Then there exists an  $f \in C_b(X, E)$  such that  $f - f_n \notin N(A, W)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . So, for each  $n \geq 1$ , there exists an  $x_n \in A$  such that

$$f(x_n) - f_n(x_n) \notin W.$$

Thus, if  $B = \{x_n\}$ ,  $f - f_n \notin N(B, W)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $C_b(X, E) \neq H + N(B, W)$ , and so  $(C_b(X, E), \sigma_0)$  is not trans-separable, as desired.

Next, using Theorem 2 and the method of the proof of Theorem 5, we obtain:

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $E$  be a TVS. Then:*

- (a)  $(C_b(X) \otimes E, u)$  is trans-separable iff  $X$  is a compact metric space and  $E$  is trans-separable.
- (b) Suppose  $X$  is locally compact. Then  $(C_0(X) \otimes E, u)$  is trans-separable iff  $X$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact metric space and  $E$  is trans-separable.

Again we remark that, if  $C_b(X) \otimes E$  (resp.  $C_0(X) \otimes E$ ) is  $u$ -dense in  $C_b(X, E)$  ( $C_0(X, E)$ ), Theorem 6 remains valid with  $C_b(X) \otimes E$  ( $C_0(X) \otimes E$ ) replaced by  $C_b(X, E)$  ( $C_0(X, E)$ ).

Finally, we give some examples concerning the above results and remarks.

**EXAMPLES.** For  $0 < p < 1$ , let  $l_p = l_p(\mathbb{N})$  denote the usual space of scalar sequences, and let  $h_p = h_p(D)$  denote the Hardy space of certain harmonic functions on the unit disc  $D$  of complex plane (see [17]).  $l_p$  and  $h_p$  are not locally convex but are locally bounded (hence locally pseudo-convex or semi-convex [8]) and their duals separate points;  $l_p$  is separable while  $h_p$  is not since it contains a copy of  $l_\infty$  ([17], Theorem 3.5). Let  $\omega$  be the first uncountable ordinal and  $\omega_0$  the first countable infinite ordinal. Let  $\Omega = [0, \omega]$  and  $\Omega_0 = [0, \omega_0]$ , each endowed with the order topology, and let  $T = \Omega \times \Omega_0 \setminus \{(\omega, \omega_0)\}$ , the *deleted Tychonoff plank*, with the product topology ([19]). Then:

1.  $(C_b(X) \otimes l_p, u)$  is  $u$ -dense (hence also  $\sigma$ -,  $\sigma_0$ -dense) in  $C_{rc}(X, l_p)$  for any Hausdorff space  $X$  since  $l_p$  is admissible.
2.  $(C_b(\Omega) \otimes l_p, u)$  and  $(C_b(\Omega_0) \otimes h_p, u)$  are not trans-separable since  $\Omega$  is not metrizable and  $h_p$  is not (trans-) separable.
3.  $(C_b(\Omega_0, l_p), u)$  is trans-separable since  $\Omega_0$  is compact and metrizable.
4.  $(C_b(T) \otimes l_p, \sigma)$  is not trans-separable since, if  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega \times \{n\}$ ,  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and  $\bar{A}^{\beta T} = \beta T = \Omega \times \Omega_0$  which is not metrizable (see [5], p. 259).

**Acknowledgement.** Theorems 5 and 6 were originally proved for  $E$  a locally pseudo-convex space with nontrivial dual  $E'$ . The author is greatly obliged to the referee for several useful suggestions including the present general proofs of Theorems 5 and 6 which holds for any non-zero TVS  $E$ .

## References

- [1] B. Cascales and J. Orihuela, *On compactness in locally convex spaces*, Math. Z. 195 (1987), 365–381.
- [2] L. Drewnowski, *An other note on Kalton's Theorem*, Studia Math. 52 (1975), 233–237.
- [3] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions* (Van Nostrand, 1960).

- [4] D. Gulick, *The  $\sigma$ -compact-open topology and its relatives*, Math. Scand. 30 (1972), 159–176.
- [5] D. Gulick and J. Schmets, *Separability and semi-norm separability for spaces of bounded continuous functions*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège 41 (1972), 254–260.
- [6] A. W. Hager, *Some nearly fine uniform spaces*, Proc. London. Math. Soc. (3) 28 (1974), 517–546.
- [7] J. R. Isbell, *Uniform Spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1964.
- [8] S. O. Iyahen, *Semi-convex spaces*, Glasgow Math. J. 9 (1968), 111–118.
- [9] L. A. Khan, *On seminorm separability for vector-valued function spaces*, Studia. Sci. Math. Hungarica 24 (1989), 43–45.
- [10] L. A. Khan, *Generalized separability in vector-valued function spaces*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest 42 (1999), 3–8.
- [11] L. A. Khan and K. Rowlands, *The  $\sigma$ -compact-open topology and its relatives on a space of vector-valued functions*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (7) 5-B (1991), 723–739.
- [12] V. Klee, *Leray-Schauder theory without local convexity*, Math. Ann. 141 (1960), 286–296.
- [13] M. Krein and S. Krein, *On an inner characteristic of the set of all continuous functions on a bicomplete Hausdorff space*, C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N. S.) 27 (1940), 423–430.
- [14] H. Pfister, *Bemerkungen zum Satz über die separabilität der Fréchet-Montel-Räume*, Arch. Math. 27(1976), 86–92.
- [15] N. Robertson, *The metrizability of precompact sets*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 43 (1991), 131–135.
- [16] Z. Semadeni and P. Zbijewski, *Spaces of continuous functions I*, Studia Math. 16(1957), 130–141.
- [17] J. H. Shapiro, *Linear topological properties of the harmonic space  $h^p$  for  $0 < p < 1$* , Illinois J. Math. 29 (1985), 311–339.
- [18] A. H. Shuchat, *Approximation of vector-valued continuous functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1972), 97–103.
- [19] L. A. Steen and J. A. Seebach, Jr, *Counterexamples in Topology* (Springer-Verlag, 1978).
- [20] W. H. Summers, *Separability in the strict and substrict topologies*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 35 (1972), 507–514.
- [21] L. Waelbroeck, *Topological Vector Spaces and Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 230, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 FACULTY OF SCIENCE  
 KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY  
 P. O. BOX 80203  
 JEDDAH-21589, SAUDI ARABIA  
 E-mail: akliaqat@hotmail.com

Received May 24, 2002; revised last version January 31, 2004.

