

Andrzej Kasperski

## ON SOME APPROXIMATION PROBLEMS IN MUSIELAK–ORLICZ SPACES OF MULTIFUNCTIONS

**Abstract.** We introduce the Musielak–Orlicz spaces of multifunctions  $X_{m,\varphi}$  and  $X_{c,m,\varphi}$ . We prove that these spaces are complete. Also, we get some convergence and approximation theorems in these spaces.

### 1. Introduction

Modular approximation by a filtered family of linear operators in Musielak–Orlicz spaces was studied in [15]. The results of [15] were extended to the case of Hammerstein operators in [7]. The results of [7] and [15] were extended to the case of some spaces of multifunctions in [8]–[13] (the set images are subsets of  $2^{\overline{R}}$  or the set images are very specific subsets of real Hilbert space  $Y$ ).

In this paper we introduce the spaces of multifunctions  $X_{c,m,\varphi}$  and  $X_{m,\varphi}$ . We study the structure of these spaces and we extend the results of [11]–[13] to the case of the spaces  $X_{c,m,\varphi}$  and  $X_{m,\varphi}$ . Theorems 2 and 3 which we obtain are closely connected with superposition operators and Niemytskii operators which were studied for example in [1], [18] and [19]. We apply the results of [2]–[6] and [16]. All definitions and theorems connected with the idea of the Musielak–Orlicz space can be found in [16].

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space with a nonnegative, nontrivial  $\sigma$ -finite and complete measure  $\mu$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a  $\varphi$ -function i.e.,  $\varphi : \Omega \times R \rightarrow R_+$ ,  $\varphi(t, u)$  is an even, continuous function of  $u$ , equal to zero iff  $u = 0$  and nondecreasing for  $u \geq 0$  for every  $t \in \Omega$ , is a measurable function of  $t \in \Omega$  for every  $u \in R$  and  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t, u) = \infty$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ . Moreover, if  $\varphi(t, \cdot)$  is a convex function for every  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(t, u)}{u} = 0$  and  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(t, u)}{u} = \infty$  for every

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E99, 28B20.

*Key words and phrases*: approximation, Banach space, Hammerstein operator, superposition operator, Musielak–Orlicz space of multifunctions, Aumann integral of multifunction.

$t \in \Omega$ , then we shall say that the function  $\varphi$  is an N-function. Let  $L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be the Musielak–Orlicz function space generated by the modular

$$\rho(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(t, x(t)) d\mu.$$

Let  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}^O$  denote Orlicz norm and  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}^L$  denote Luxemburg norm in  $L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  if  $\varphi$  is an N-function. Let  $Y$  be a real separable Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_Y$ . Let  $\Theta$  denote the zero element of  $Y$ . If  $A, B \subset Y$  are nonempty then we denote

$$H(A, B) = \max(\sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} \|x - y\|_Y, \sup_{y \in B} \inf_{x \in A} \|x - y\|_Y).$$

Denote by  $C(Y)$  the set of all nonempty and compact subsets of  $Y$ , by  $B(Y)$  the set of all nonempty bounded and closed subset of  $Y$  and by  $E(Y)$  the set of all nonempty and closed subsets of  $Y$ .

Denote:

$$X = \{F : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y : F(t) \in E(Y) \text{ for every } t \in \Omega\},$$

$$X_c = \{F \in X : F(t) \in C(Y) \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } t \in \Omega\},$$

$$X_b = \{F \in X : F(t) \in B(Y) \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } t \in \Omega\}.$$

Two multifunctions  $F, G \in X$  such that  $F(t) = G(t)$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in \Omega$  will be treated as the same element of  $X$ .

Now we introduce the function  $d(F, G)$  by the formula:

$$d(F, G)(t) = H(F(t), G(t)) \text{ for all } F, G \in X \text{ and } t \in \Omega.$$

Let  $\mathbf{N}$  be the set of all positive integers. Let  $\mathbf{0} \in X_c$  be such that  $\mathbf{0}(t) = \{\Theta\}$  for every  $t \in \Omega$ . Denote  $|F| = d(F, \mathbf{0})$  for every  $F \in X$ . If  $F \in X_c$  then by  $r(F)$  we denote a function from  $\Omega$  to  $Y$  such that  $r(F)(t) \in F(t)$  and  $\|r(F)(t)\|_Y = H(F(t), \{\Theta\})$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ .

## 2. On the spaces $X_{m,\varphi}$ and $X_{c,m,\varphi}$

DEFINITION 1. We say that  $F \in X_b$  is a step multifunction if

$$F(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{A_k}(t) B_k \text{ for every } t \in \Omega$$

where  $\chi_A$  is a characteristic function of the set  $A$ ,  $B_k \in B(Y)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\Omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k$ ,  $A_k \in \Sigma$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ .

DEFINITION 2. We say that  $F \in X_b$  is measurable if there exists the sequence of step multifunctions  $F_n \in X_b$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(F, F_n)(t) = 0$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ .

DEFINITION 3. We say that  $F \in X_c$  is  $c$ -measurable if there exists the sequence of a step multifunctions  $F_n \in X_c$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(F, F_n)(t) = 0$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ .

Denote:

$$\begin{aligned} X_m &= \{F \in X_b : F \text{ is measurable}\}, \\ X_{c,m} &= \{F \in X_c : F \text{ is } c\text{-measurable}\}, \\ X_{s,m} &= \{F \in X_b : F \text{ is a step multifunction}\}, \\ X_{i,s,m} &= \{F \in X_{s,m} : |F| \in L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)\}, \\ X_{m,\varphi} &= \{F \in X_m : |F| \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)\}, \\ X_{c,m,\varphi} &= \{F \in X_{c,m} : |F| \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $d(F, G) \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  if  $F, G \in X_{m,\varphi}$ .

By [6], Chapter 2 Theorem 1.35 if  $F \in X_m$ , then  $F$  is measurable and “graph measurable” in the sense of [6], Chapter 2, Definition 1.1.

The spaces  $X_{c,m,\varphi}$  and  $X_{m,\varphi}$  will be called Musielak–Orlicz spaces of multifunctions.

REMARK 1. If  $F \in X_m$ , then there is the sequence  $\{G_n\}$  such that  $G_n \in X_{s,m}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d(F, G_n)(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $\mu$  a.e.  $t \in \Omega$  and  $d(G_n, 0)(t) \leq d(F, 0)(t)$  for  $\mu$  a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ .

Proof. Let  $F_n \in X_{s,m}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d(F, F_n)(t) = 0$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ . Let  $\{r_n\}$  be the sequence of simple functions such that  $r_n \nearrow |F|$ . We define

$$G_n(t) = F_n(t) \cap \overline{K}(\Theta, r_n(t)) \text{ for every } t \in \Omega. \quad \square$$

REMARK 2. If  $F_n \in X_m$ ,  $F \in X_b$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d(F, F_n)(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ , then  $F \in X_m$ .

REMARK 3. If  $F_n \in X_{c,m}$ ,  $F \in X_c$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d(F, F_n)(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ , then  $F \in X_{c,m}$ .

REMARK 4. Let  $B \in X_m$ ,  $f : \Omega \rightarrow R$  be  $\Sigma$ -measurable,  $F = fB$ , then  $F \in X_m$ .

REMARK 5. Let  $F, G \in X_{c,m}$ , then  $F + G \in X_{c,m}$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $F_n \in X_m$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If for all  $\epsilon, \delta > 0$  there is  $K > 0$  such that

$$\mu(\{t \in \Omega : d(F_n, F_m)(t) \geq \epsilon\}) < \delta \text{ for all } m, n > K,$$

then there exist a subsequence  $\{F_{n_k}\}$  of the sequence  $\{F_n\}$  and  $F \in X_m$  such that  $d(F_{n_k}, F) \rightarrow 0$   $\mu$ -a.e. and  $d(F_n, F)$  are  $\Sigma$ -measurable.

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Lemma 1 from [11] so we give only a sketch of it. By the assumptions there is a subsequence  $\{F_{n_k}\}$  of

the sequence  $\{F_n\}$  such that for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in \Omega$  and for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $K > 0$  such that  $d(F_{n_k}, F_{n_l})(t) < \epsilon$  for all  $k, l > K$ . Hence there is  $F \in X_b$  such that  $d(F_{n_k}, F) \rightarrow 0$   $\mu$ -a.e., because the space  $B(Y)$  with metric  $H$  is complete. So  $F \in X_m$  and  $d(F_n, F)$  is  $\Sigma$ -measurable because  $d(F_n, F)(t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(F_{n_k}, F_n)(t)$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $F_n \in X_{m,\varphi}$  ( $F_n \in X_{c,m,\varphi}$ ) for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and every  $a > 0$  there exists  $K > 0$  such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(t, ad(F_m, F_n)(t)) d\mu < \epsilon$$

*for all  $m, n > K$ , then there exists  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$  ( $F \in X_{c,m,\varphi}$ ) such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(t, ad(F_n, F)(t)) d\mu \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } a > 0.$$

**Proof.** The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 7.7 from [16] so we give only a sketch of it. By the assumptions and by Lemma 1 there is  $F \in X_m$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(t, ad(F_n, F)(t)) d\mu \leq \epsilon \text{ for } n > K.$$

We have  $|F|(t) \leq |F_n|(t) + d(F_n, F)(t)$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ , so  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$ . The space  $C(Y)$  with metric  $H$  is complete, so if  $F_n \in X_{c,m,\varphi}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $F \in X_{c,m,\varphi}$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let the function  $\varphi$  be an  $N$ -function. Then the function*

$$D_{\varphi}(F, G) = \|d(F, G)\|_{\varphi}^L$$

*for all  $F, G \in X_{m,\varphi}$  is a metric in  $X_{m,\varphi}$ , and  $\langle X_{m,\varphi}, D_{\varphi} \rangle$  is a complete metric space.*

Theorem 1 is a generalization of Proposition 5.2 Chapter 5 from [6] and Theorem 0 from [12].

**DEFINITION 4.** The  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  will be called locally integrable, if  $\int_A \varphi(t, u) d\mu < \infty$  for every  $u > 0$  and  $A \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(A) < \infty$ .

Applying the proof of Proposition 3.3, Proposition 2.17 and Remark 3.4 Chapter 2 from [6] we easily obtain the following:

**LEMMA 2.** *Let the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  be locally integrable. Then for every  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$  there exists the sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset L^{\varphi}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $F(t) = \{f_n\}(t)$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ .*

Let  $Q : \Omega \times Y \rightarrow Y$ . We define the operators  $\tilde{H}$  and  $H_1$  by the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H}(F)(t) &= \begin{cases} \{Q(t, x) : x \in F(t)\}, & \text{if } F(t) \text{ is compact} \\ \{\emptyset\}, & \text{if } F(t) \text{ is noncompact,} \end{cases} \\ H_1(F)(t) &= \begin{cases} \overline{\{Q(t, x) : x \in F(t)\}}, & \text{if } F(t) \text{ is bounded} \\ \{\emptyset\}, & \text{if } F(t) \text{ is unbounded} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

for every  $F \in X_m$  and every  $t \in \Omega$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  be locally integrable. If the function  $Q$  fulfils the following conditions:*

a) *there are  $L > 0$  and  $g \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $\|Q(t, u)\|_Y \leq L\|u\|_Y + g(t)$  for all  $u \in Y$  and  $t \in \Omega$ ,*

b)  *$Q(\cdot, x)$  is strongly measurable for every  $x \in Y$ ,*

c)  *$Q(t, \cdot)$  is continuous for every  $t \in \Omega$ ,*

*then  $\tilde{H} : X_{c,m,\varphi} \rightarrow X_{c,m,\varphi}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $F \in X_{c,m,\varphi}$ . First, let us observe that  $\tilde{H}(F)(t)$  is a compact and nonempty set for every  $t \in \Omega$ , because  $Q(t, u)$  is a continuous function as a function of  $u$  for every  $t \in \Omega$ .

Second, let us remark that from a) we obtain:

$$|\tilde{H}(F)|(t) \leq L\|r(F)(t)\|_Y + g(t)$$

for every  $F \in X_{c,m,\varphi}$  and every  $t \in \Omega$ . By Lemma 2 we easily obtain that  $|\tilde{H}(F)|$  is  $\Sigma$ -measurable, so  $|\tilde{H}(F)| \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  for every  $F \in X_{c,m,\varphi}$ .

Third, let  $B \in C(Y)$  and let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be a  $\delta$ -net for  $B$ . Let

$$G(t) = \{Q(t, x_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

$$G_B(t) = \{Q(t, x) : x \in B\}$$

for every  $t \in \Omega$ . We have  $G \in X_{c,m}$  and by c) for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $H(G(t), G_B(t)) \leq \epsilon$  for every  $t \in \Omega$ , so  $G_B \in X_{c,m}$ . Let now  $A_k \in \Sigma$ ,  $B_k \in C(Y)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\cup_{k=1}^n A_k = \Omega$ ,  $A_i \neq A_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . Let  $F_k = \chi_{A_k} B_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $F' = \sum_{k=1}^n F_k$ . It is easy to see that  $\tilde{H}(F') = \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{H}(F_k)$  and  $\tilde{H}(F_k)$  is  $c$ -measurable for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  so  $\tilde{H}(F')$  is  $c$ -measurable.

Fourth, let  $t \in \Omega$  and let  $F(t)$  and  $F_n(t)$  be compact. Then  $F(t) \cup F_n(t)$  is compact, so from every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x \in F(t)$ ,  $x_n \in F_n(t)$  and  $\|x - x_n\|_Y < \delta$ , then  $\|Q(t, x) - Q(t, x_n)\|_Y < \epsilon$ .

Let  $K > 0$  be such that  $H(F(t), F_n(t)) < \delta$  for every  $n > K$ . Let  $y \in \tilde{H}(F)(t)$ , so there is  $x \in F(t)$  such that  $y = Q(t, x)$ . Also there are  $x_n \in F_n(t)$  such that  $\|x - x_n\|_Y < \delta$  for  $n > K$ . Let  $y_n = Q(t, x_n)$ , hence  $\|y - y_n\|_Y < \epsilon$  for all  $n > K$ .

So it is easy to see that if  $H(F(t), F_n(t)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$H(\tilde{H}(F)(t), \tilde{H}(F_n)(t)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence we obtain the assertion by Remark 3.  $\square$

Analogously, by Proposition 7.9 Chapter 2 from [6] we obtain

**THEOREM 3.** *Let the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  be locally integrable. If the function  $Q$  fulfils the following conditions:*

a) *there is  $L > 0$  such that  $\|Q(t, u) - Q(t, v)\|_Y \leq L\|u - v\|_Y$  for all  $u, v \in Y$  and  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ ,*

b) *the multifunction  $\{Q(\cdot, x) : x \in B\}$  is measurable for every  $B \in B(Y)$ ,*

c)  *$\|Q(\cdot, \Theta)\|_Y \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ ,*

*then  $H_1 : X_{m, \varphi} \rightarrow X_{m, \varphi}$ .*

### 3. Density and approximation

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\mu$  be atomless, the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  be locally integrable and fulfils the  $\Delta_2$  condition. Then  $X_{i, s, m} \subset X_{m, \varphi}$  and for every  $F \in X_{m, \varphi}$  there exist a sequence  $\{F_n\}$  such that  $F_n \in X_{i, s, m}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\rho(\text{ad}(F_n, F)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $a > 0$ .*

**Proof.** First, it is easy to see that by the assumptions  $X_{i, s, m} \subset X_{m, \varphi}$ .

Second, let  $F \in X_{m, \varphi}$ . By Remark 1 there is the sequence  $\{G_n\}$  such that  $G_n \in X_{i, s, m}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d(F, G_n)(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$   $\mu$ -a.e. and

$$d(G_n, 0)(t) \leq d(F, 0)(t) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

So  $H(F(t), G_n(t))(t) \leq 2H(F(t), \{\Theta\})$   $\mu$ -a.e. for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also

$$\varphi(t, aH(F(t), G_n(t))) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$   $\mu$ -a.e. for every  $a > 0$ . So we obtain the assertion by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.  $\square$

Theorem 4 is a generalization of Theorem 7.6 from [16] and Proposition 2 from [4] and Theorem 2 from [11]. If we omit the  $\Delta_2$  condition in the assumptions of Theorem 4, then we only obtain that  $\rho(\text{ad}(F_n, F)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $a > 0$ .

Let now  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a Lebesgue measure space. Let  $K_n, K : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow R_+$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We introduce the family of operators  $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and the operator  $U$  by the formulas:

$$U_n(F)(s) = \left\{ \int_{\Omega} K_n(t, s) f(t) dt : f(t) \in F(t) \text{ for every } t \in \Omega \right. \\ \left. \text{and the integral exists} \right\},$$

$$U(F)(s) = \left\{ \int_{\Omega} K(t, s) f(t) dt : f(t) \in F(t) \text{ for every } t \in \Omega \right. \\ \left. \text{and the integral exists} \right\},$$

for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  every  $F \in X_{m, \varphi}$  and every  $s \in \Omega$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $Y = R^n$ . Let  $\varphi$  fulfil the assumptions of Theorem 4. Let moreover  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be the complementary  $N$ -functions in the sense of Young (see [16], Definition 13.4),  $K_n(\cdot, s) \in L^\psi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and every  $s \in \Omega$ . Let  $g_n(s) = \|K_n(\cdot, s)\|_\psi^O$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and every  $s \in \Omega$  and let  $g_n \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , then  $U_n(F) \in X_{m, \varphi}$  for all  $F \in X_{m, \varphi}$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Proof. Let  $s \in \Omega$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . By Theorem 13.13 from [16] we have

$$\int_{\Omega} K_n(t, s) |F|(t) dt < \infty \text{ for every } F \in X_{m, \varphi}.$$

So by Proposition 8.6.2, Theorems 8.6.3 and 8.7.2 from [3], Theorem 5.14 and Proposition 5.20 from [6] and Theorem D1.10 and Corollary D1.10.1 from [14] we obtain  $U_n(F + G)(s) = U_n(F)(s) + U_n(G)(s)$  and  $U_n(F)(s) \in B(Y)$  for all  $F, G \in X_{m, \varphi}$ .

Let  $B \in C(Y)$ ,  $C \in \Sigma$ ,  $\mu(C) < \infty$ . Let  $F(t) = \chi_C(t)B$  for every  $t \in \Omega$ . It is easy to see that  $F \in X_{m, \varphi}$  and, by Remark 4,  $U_n(F)$  is measurable.

Let now  $F \in X_{m, \varphi}$  be arbitrary. By Theorem 4 there is the sequence of step multifunctions  $\{F_m\}$  such that  $F_m \in X_{m, \varphi}$  and  $\|d(F_m, F)\|_\varphi^L \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It is easy to see that  $U_n(F_m)$  is measurable and we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(U_n(F)(s), U_n(F_m)(s)) &= H\left(\int_{\Omega} K_n(t, s) F(t) dt, \int_{\Omega} K_n(t, s) F_m(t) dt\right) \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} K_n(t, s) H(F_m(t), F(t)) dt \\ &\leq \|K_n\|_\psi^O \|d(F_m, F)\|_\varphi^L \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So  $U_n(F)$  is measurable.

To end the proof we must prove that  $|U_n(F)| \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . We have for  $a > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(s, aH\left(\int_{\Omega} K_n(t, s) F(t) dt, \{\Theta\}\right)\right) ds \\ \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(s, a\left(\int_{\Omega} K_n(t, s) H(F(t), \{\Theta\}) dt\right)\right) ds \\ \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi(s, a\|K_n(\cdot, s)\|_\psi^O \|d(F, \mathbf{0})\|_\varphi^L) ds < \infty \end{aligned}$$

so  $|U_n(F)| \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ .  $\square$

Analogously we obtain the following:

LEMMA 4. Let  $Y = R^n$ . Let  $\varphi$  fulfil the assumptions of Theorem 4. Let moreover  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be the complementary  $N$ -functions in the sense of Young,  $K(\cdot, s) \in L^\psi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  for every  $s \in \Omega$ . Let  $g(s) = \|K(\cdot, s)\|_\psi^O$  for every  $s \in \Omega$  and let  $g \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Then  $U(F) \in X_{m,\varphi}$  for all  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$ .

THEOREM 5. Let the assumptions of Lemmas 3 and 4 hold. Denote  $h_n(s) = \|K_n(\cdot, s) - K(\cdot, s)\|_\psi^O$  for every  $s \in \Omega$ . If  $\|h_n\|_\varphi^L \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$D_\varphi(U_n(F), U(F)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } F \in X_{m,\varphi}.$$

Proof. Let  $a > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \varphi\left(s, aH\left(\int_\Omega K_n(t, s)F(t)dt, \int_\Omega K(t, s)F(t)dt\right)\right)ds \\ \leq \int_\Omega \varphi\left(s, a\left(\int_\Omega H(K_n(t, s)F(t), K(t, s)F(t))dt\right)\right)ds \\ \leq \int_\Omega \varphi\left(s, a\left(\int_\Omega |K_n(t, s) - K(t, s)| |F|(t)dt\right)\right)ds \\ \leq \int_\Omega \varphi(s, a\|K_n(\cdot, s) - K(\cdot, s)\|_\psi^O \|d(F, 0)\|_\varphi^L)ds. \end{aligned}$$

So  $D_\varphi(U_n(F), U(F)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

COROLLARY 2. If the assumptions of Theorems 2 and 5 hold, then

$$D_\varphi(U_n(\tilde{H}(F)), U(\tilde{H}(F))) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } F \in X_{m,\varphi}.$$

#### 4. On the convolution operators

In this section we will apply by the notation used in [12] and [16]. Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be an abstract set of indices and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a filter of subsets of  $\mathbf{V}$ .

DEFINITION 5. A function  $g : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow R$  tends to zero with respect to  $\mathcal{V}$ , written  $g(v) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}} 0$ , if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $|g(v)| < \epsilon$  for all  $v \in V$ .

DEFINITION 6. Let  $F_v \in X_{m,\varphi}$  for every  $v \in \mathbf{V}$  and let  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$ . We write  $F_v \xrightarrow{d,\varphi,\mathcal{V}} F$ , if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and every  $a > 0$  there is a set  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\rho(ad(F_v, F)) < \epsilon$  for every  $v \in V$ .

REMARK 6. Let  $F_v \in X_{m,\varphi}$  for every  $v \in \mathbf{V}$  and let  $F, G \in X_{m,\varphi}$ . If

$$F_v \xrightarrow{d,\varphi,\mathcal{V}} F \text{ and } F_v \xrightarrow{d,\varphi,\mathcal{V}} G, \text{ then } F = G.$$

DEFINITION 7. The family  $T = (T_v)_{v \in \mathbf{V}}$  of operators  $T_v : X_{m,\varphi} \rightarrow X_{m,\varphi}$  for every  $v \in \mathbf{V}$  will be called  $(d, \mathcal{V})$ -bounded, if there exist positive con-



stands  $k_1, k_2$  and a function  $g : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow R_+$  such that  $g(v) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}} 0$ , and for all  $F, G \in X_{m,\varphi}$  there exists a set  $V_{F,G} \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\rho(\text{ad}(T_v(F), T_v(G))) \leq k_1 \rho(\text{ad}(F, G)) + g(v)$  for every  $a > 0$  and every  $v \in V_{F,G}$ .

Analogously as in [12] we obtain the following:

REMARK 7. Let the assumptions of Theorem 4 hold. Let the family  $T$  be  $(\mathbf{d}, \mathcal{V})$ -bounded. If  $T_v(F) \xrightarrow{d, \varphi, \mathcal{V}} F$  for every  $F \in X_{i,s,m}$ , then  $T_v(F) \xrightarrow{d, \varphi, \mathcal{V}} F$  for every  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$ .

Let now and next  $\Omega = [0, b)$ ,  $0 < b < \infty$ ,  $\mu =$  Lebesgue measure in the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $[0, b)$ . The translation operator  $\tau_v : X_{m,\varphi} \rightarrow X$  will be defined by the equality  $\tau_v(F)(t) = F(t+v)$ , where  $F$  is  $b$ -periodically extended to the whole  $R$ . Also, the function  $\varphi$  will be periodically extended with respect to the first variable.

DEFINITION 8. We shall say that the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  is  $\tau$ -bounded, if there are positive constants  $k_1, k_2$  such that

$$\varphi(t-v, u) \leq k_1 \varphi(t, k_2 u) + f(t, v) \text{ for all } u, v, t \in R,$$

where the function  $f : R \times R \rightarrow R_+$  is measurable and  $b$ -periodic with respect to the first variable and such that writing  $h(v) = \int_0^b f(t, v) dt$  for every  $v \in R$ , we have  $M = \sup_{v \in R} h(v) < \infty$  and  $h(v) \rightarrow 0$  as  $v \rightarrow 0$  or  $v \rightarrow b$ .

Let now  $\mathbf{V} = R$  and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a filter of all neighbourhoods of zero in  $R$ .

THEOREM 6. Let the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  fulfils the  $\Delta_2$  condition,  $\varphi$  is  $\tau$ -bounded and  $\int_0^b \varphi(t, c) dt < \infty$  for every  $c > 0$ . Then  $\tau_v(F) \xrightarrow{d, \varphi, \mathcal{V}} F$  for every  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$ .

Proof. Let  $F, G \in X_{m,\varphi}$ . Let  $F_n, G_n \in X_{s,m}$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and let

$$\rho(\text{ad}(F_n, F)) \rightarrow 0, \rho(\text{bd}(G_n, G)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for all } a, b > 0.$$

It is easy to see that  $\tau_v(F_n), \tau_v(G_n) \in X_{s,m}$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $v \in R$ . Also it is easy to see that  $\tau_v(F), \tau_v(G) \in X_m$  for every  $v \in R$ . First, we prove that  $\tau_v(F), \tau_v(G) \in X_{m,\varphi}$  for every  $v \in R$ . For every  $a > 0$  and every  $v \in R$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^b \varphi(t, a|\tau_v(F)|(t)) dt &= \int_0^b \varphi(t, \text{ad}(F, 0)(t+v)) dt \\ &= \int_0^b \varphi(s-v, \text{ad}(F, 0)(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq k_1 \int_0^b \varphi(t, k_2 \mathbf{ad}(F, \mathbf{0})(t)) dt + \mathbf{M} < \infty.$$

Analogously we obtain that  $\tau_v(G) \in X_{m,\varphi}$  for every  $v \in R$ . Second, for every  $a > 0$  and every  $v \in R$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{ad}(\tau_v(F), \tau_v(G))) &= \int_0^b \varphi(t, \mathbf{ad}(F, G)(t+v)) dt \\ &\leq k_1 \rho(ak_2 \mathbf{d}(F, G)) + h(v). \end{aligned}$$

So the family  $\tau = (\tau_v)_{v \in R}$  is  $(\mathbf{d}, \mathcal{V})$ -bounded. Third, it is easy to see that  $\tau_v(F) \xrightarrow{d, \varphi, \mathcal{V}} F$  for every  $F \in X_{s,m}$ . Hence we obtain the assertion from Remark 7.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.** *If the assumptions of Theorem 6 hold and moreover  $\varphi$  is an  $N$ -function, then  $D_\varphi(\tau_v(F), F) \rightarrow 0$  as  $v \rightarrow 0$ .*

Now we extend the function  $Q$   $b$ -periodically with respect to the first variable. Let  $\mathbf{W}$  be an abstract nonempty set of indices and let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the filter of subsets of  $\mathbf{W}$ . Let now  $K_w : [0, b) \rightarrow R_+$  for every  $w \in \mathbf{W}$  be integrable in  $[0, b)$  and singular, i.e.  $0 < \sigma(w) = \int_0^b K_w(t) dt \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} 1$ ,  $\sigma_\delta(w) = \int_\delta^{b-\delta} K_w(t) dt \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} 0$  for every  $0 < \delta < b/2$ ,  $\sigma = \sup_{w \in \mathbf{W}} \sigma(w) < \infty$ , and let us extend  $K_w$   $b$ -periodically to the whole  $R$ . We introduce the family of operators  $A = (A_w)_{w \in \mathbf{W}}$  by the formula:

$$A_w(F)(s) = \left\{ \int_0^b K_w(t-s) f(t) dt : f(t) \in F(t) \text{ for every } t \in [0, b) \right. \\ \left. \text{and the integral exists} \right\},$$

for every  $w \in \mathbf{W}$  every  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$  and every  $s \in [0, b)$ .

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $Y = R^n$ . Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be complementary  $N$ -functions in the sense of Young. If moreover  $\varphi$  fulfils the assumptions of Theorem 6,  $K_w \in L^\psi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  for every  $w \in \mathbf{W}$  and  $(K_w)_{w \in \mathbf{W}}$  is singular, then  $A_w(F) \in X_{m,\varphi}$  for all  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$  and  $w \in \mathbf{W}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $s \in [0, b)$ ,  $w \in \mathbf{W}$ . By Theorem 13.13 from [16] and by the proof of Theorem 6 we have

$$\int_0^b K_w(t) |F|(t+s) dt < \infty \text{ for every } F \in X_{m,\varphi}.$$

So by Proposition 8.6.2, Theorems 8.6.3 and 8.7.2 from [3], Theorem 5.14 and Proposition 5.20 from [6] and Theorem D1.10 and Corollary D1.10.1 from

[14] we obtain  $A_w(F+G)(s) = A_w(F)(s) + A_w(G)(s)$  and  $A_w(F)(s) \in B(Y)$  for all  $F, G \in X_{m,\varphi}$ .

Let  $B \in C(Y)$ ,  $C \in \Sigma$ . Let  $F(t) = \chi_C(t)B$  for every  $t \in [0, b)$ . It is easy to see that  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$  and by Remark 4  $A_w(F)$  is measurable.

Let now  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$  be arbitrary. By Theorem 4 there is the sequence of step multifunctions  $\{F_n\}$  such that  $F_n \in X_{m,\varphi}$  and  $\|\mathbf{d}(F_n, F)\|_\varphi^L \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By the proof of Theorem 6 we have  $\|\mathbf{d}(\tau_s(F_n), \tau_s(F))\|_\varphi^L \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It is easy to see that  $A_w(F_n)$  is measurable and we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(A_w(F)(s), A_w(F_n)(s)) &= H\left(\int_0^b K_w(t)F(t+s)dt, \int_0^b K_w(t)F_n(t+s)dt\right) \\ &\leq \int_0^b K_w(t)H(F_n(s+t), F(t+s))dt \\ &= \int_0^b K_w(t)H(\tau_s(F)(t), \tau_s(F_n)(t))dt \\ &\leq \|K_w\|_\psi^O \|\mathbf{d}(\tau_s(F_n), \tau_s(F))\|_\varphi^L \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So  $A_w(F)$  is measurable.

To end the proof we must prove that  $|A_w(F)| \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . We have (see also [16], the proof of Theorem 7.15) for  $a > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^b \varphi\left(s, aH\left(\int_0^b K_w(t-s)F(t)dt, \{\Theta\}\right)\right)ds \\ &\leq \int_0^b \varphi\left(s, a\left(\int_0^b K_w(t-s)H(F(t), \{\Theta\})dt\right)\right)ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma(w)} \int_0^b K_w(t) \left(\int_0^b \varphi(u-t, a\sigma H(F(u), \{\Theta\}))du\right)dt \\ &\leq k_1\rho(k_2a\sigma\mathbf{d}(F, \mathbf{0})) + \frac{1}{\sigma(w)} \int_0^b K_w(t)h(t)dt \\ &\leq k_1\rho(k_2a\sigma\mathbf{d}(F, \mathbf{0})) + M < \infty \end{aligned}$$

so  $|A_w(F)| \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 6. Let  $C \in \Sigma$ ,  $B \in C(Y)$ ,  $F(t) = \chi_C(t)B$  for every  $t \in [0, b)$ . If the assumptions of Lemma 5 hold, then  $A_w(F) \xrightarrow{d, \varphi, \mathcal{W}} \text{conv} F$ .

Proof. By Theorem 7.16 from [16] we have

$$\int_0^b \varphi\left(s, a\left(\int_0^b K_w(t-s)\chi_C(t)dt - \chi_C(s)\right)\right)ds \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} 0$$

for every  $a > 0$ . Let  $a > 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \rho(\text{ad}(A_w(F), \text{conv}F)) \\
 &= \int_0^b \varphi\left(s, aH\left(\int_0^b K_w(t-s)\chi_C(t)Bdt, \chi_C(s)\text{conv}B\right)\right)ds \\
 &= \int_0^b \varphi\left(s, aH\left(\int_0^b K_w(t-s)\chi_C(t)dt\text{conv}B, \chi_C(s)\text{conv}B\right)\right)ds \\
 &\leq \int_0^b \varphi\left(s, aH(\text{conv}B, \{\Theta\})\left|\int_0^b K_w(t-s)\chi_C(t)dt - \chi_C(s)\right|\right)ds \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} 0. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 7. *If the assumptions of Lemma 5 hold, then*

$$\rho(\text{ad}(A_w(F), A_w(G))) \leq k_1\rho(ak_2\sigma\mathbf{d}(F, G)) + g(w)$$

for all  $F, G \in X_{m,\varphi}$ ,  $w \in \mathbf{W}$  and every  $a > 0$ , where

$$g(w) = \frac{1}{\sigma(w)} \int_0^b K_w(t)h(t)dt \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} 0.$$

Proof. Let  $F, G \in X_{m,\varphi}$ ,  $a > 0$  and  $w \in \mathbf{W}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(\text{ad}(A_w(F), A_w(G))) &\leq \int_0^b \varphi\left(s, a \int_0^b H(K_w(t-s)F(t), K_w(t-s)G(t))dt\right)ds \\
 &\leq \int_0^b \varphi\left(s, a \int_0^b K_w(t-s)\mathbf{d}(F, G)(t)dt\right)ds \\
 &\leq k_1\rho(ak_2\sigma\mathbf{d}(F, G)) + g(w),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(w) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} 0$  (see also [15], the proof of Proposition 2).  $\square$

By Lemmas 5–7, Remark 7 and Proposition 1.17, Chapter 1 from [6] we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 7. *If the assumptions of Lemma 5 hold, then  $D_\varphi(A_w(F), \text{conv}F) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} 0$  for every  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$ .*

Analogously, by Theorem 8.6.4 and Proposition 8.6.2 from [3] we obtain the following theorem

THEOREM 8. *Let  $Y$  be a real reflexive separable Banach space. If the other assumptions of Lemma 5 hold, then  $D_\varphi(A_w(\overline{\text{conv}}F), \overline{\text{conv}}F) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} 0$  for every  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$ .*

Now, we define the families of Hammerstein operators  $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{T}_w)_{w \in \mathbf{W}}$  and  $\mathbf{T}^1 = (\mathbf{T}_w^1)_{w \in \mathbf{W}}$  by the formulas:

$$\mathbf{T}_w(F) = A_w(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(F)), \quad \mathbf{T}_w^1(F) = A_w(\overline{\text{conv}} \mathbf{H}_1(F))$$

for every  $w \in \mathbf{W}$  and every  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$ . We easily obtain the following:

**THEOREM 9.** *If the assumptions of Theorems 2 and 7 hold, then*

$$D_\varphi(\mathbf{T}_w(F), \text{conv} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}(F)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} 0 \text{ for every } F \in X_{m,\varphi}.$$

**THEOREM 10.** *If the assumptions of Theorems 3 and 8 hold, then*

$$D_\varphi(\mathbf{T}_w^1(F), \overline{\text{conv}} \mathbf{H}_1(F)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} 0 \text{ for every } F \in X_{m,\varphi}.$$

Theorems 2 and 6–10 are the generalization of Theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4 from [12] and Theorem 3 from [11] and [13].

## 5. Final remark

**DEFINITION 9.** Let  $F_v \in X_{m,\varphi}$  for every  $v \in \mathbf{V}$  and let  $F \in X_{m,\varphi}$ . We write  $F_v \xrightarrow{d,\rho,\mathcal{V}} F$ , if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a set  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\rho(\text{ad}(F_v, F)) < \epsilon$  for every  $v \in V$  for some  $a > 0$ .

If we omit the  $\Delta_2$  condition in the assumptions of Theorems 7 and 8 then we must replace the convergence  $\xrightarrow{d,\varphi,\mathcal{W}}$  by the convergence  $\xrightarrow{d,\rho,\mathcal{W}}$  and moreover in Lemma 5 we must also assume that  $\int_0^b \psi(t, d) dt < \infty$  for every  $d > 0$  and for every  $u_0 > 0$  there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\frac{\varphi(t, u)}{u} \geq c$  for  $u \geq u_0$  and all  $t \in [0, b)$  instead of the  $\Delta_2$  condition for  $\varphi$ . From the assumptions by Theorem 13.15 from [16] we obtain the assertion of Lemma 5.

## References

- [1] J. Appell, H. T. Nguyen, P. P. Zabrejko, *Multivalued superposition operators in ideal spaces of vector functions. I, II*, Indag. Math., N.S. 2(4) (1991) 385–395, 397–409.
- [2] Z. Artstein, J. A. Burns, *Integration of compact set-valued functions*, Pacific J. Math. 58 (1975), 297–307.
- [3] J.-P. Aubin, H. Frankowska, *Set-Valued Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston/Basel/Berlin 1990.
- [4] S. De Blasi, A. Lasota *Daniell's Method in the Theory of the Aumann-Hukuhara Integral of Set-Valued Functions*, Lincei-Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat. 45 (1968), 252–256.
- [5] S. De Blasi, A. Lasota, *Characterization of the integral of set-valued functions*, Lincei-Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat. 46 (1969), 154–157.
- [6] S. Hu, N. S. Papageorgiou, *Handbook of Multivalued Analysis Vol. 1: Theory*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London 1997.
- [7] A. Kasperski, *Modular approximation by a filtered family of the Hammerstein operators*, Demonstratio Math. 17 (1984), 409–427.

- [8] A. Kasperski, *Modular approximation in  $X_\varphi^1$  by a filtered family of "linear operators"*, Comment. Math. XXX (1991), 335–341.
- [9] A. Kasperski *Modular approximation in  $X_\varphi^1$  by a filtered family of the Hammarstein operators*, Comment. Math. XXXII (1992), 69–74.
- [10] A. Kasperski, *Modular approximation in  $\tilde{X}_\varphi$  by a filtered family of  $\tilde{X}_\varphi$ - linear operators*, Functiones et Approximatio XX (1992), 183–187.
- [11] A. Kasperski, *Musielak–Orlicz spaces of multifunctions, convergence and approximation*, Comment. Math. 34 (1994), 99–107.
- [12] A. Kasperski, *Notes on approximation in Musielak–Orlicz spaces of multifunctions*, Comment. Math. 34 (1994), 109–122.
- [13] A. Kasperski, *Notes on approximation in the Musielak–Orlicz spaces of vector multifunctions*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 35 (1994), 81–93.
- [14] B. Mordukchovich: *Approximation Methods in the Problems of Optimization and control*, Nauka, Moscow 1988 (in Russian).
- [15] J. Musielak, *Modular approximation by a filtered family of linear operators*, "Functional Analysis and Approximation, Proc. Conf. Oberwolfach, August 9–16, 1980", Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981, 99–110.
- [16] J. Musielak, *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*, "Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 1034" Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [17] A. Pliś, *Remark on measurable set-valued functions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. IX, 12, (1961), 857–859.
- [18] S. Rolewicz, Wen Song, *On lower semi-continuity and metric upper-semicontinuity of Nemytskii set-valued operators*, Z. Anal. Angew. 13 (4) (1994), 739–748.
- [19] S. Rolewicz, Wen Song, *On automatic boudedness of Nemytskii set-valued operators*, Studia Math. 113 (1) (1995), 67–72.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
 SILESIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY  
 Kaszubska 23,  
 44-100 GLIWICE, POLAND  
 E-mail: akaspers@zeus.polsl.gliwice.pl

*Received May 30, 2003; revised version August 5, 2003.*