

M. K. Aouf, B. A. Al-amri

ON CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH COMPLEX ORDER

Abstract. We introduce a subclass, namely $S_{\alpha}^b(A, B, n)$ of functions defined by using Hadamard product $(D^n f * s_{\alpha})(z)$ of the differential operator $D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k$ and $s_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}}$ ($n \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$). The aim of the present paper is to determine sharp coefficient estimates and maximization theorem concerning the coefficients. Further, we give a sufficient condition in terms of coefficients for functions belonging to the class $S_{\alpha}^b(A, B, n)$.

1. Introduction

Let A_1 denote the class of functions

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

which are analytic in the unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. And let S denote the subclass of A_1 consisting of analytic and univalent functions in the unit disc U . We use Ω to denote the class of bounded analytic functions w in U which satisfy the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in U$.

A function $f \in A_1$ is said to be starlike of order α if and only if

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U)$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$). We denote the class of all starlike functions of order α by $S^*(\alpha)$.

Now, the function

$$(1.3) \quad s_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}}$$

is well-known extremal function for the class $S^*(\alpha)$ (see [2], [24] and [25]).

Setting

$$(1.4) \quad c(\alpha, k) = \frac{\prod_{p=2}^k (p - 2\alpha)}{(k-1)!} \quad (k \geq 2),$$

s_α can be written in the form:

$$(1.5) \quad s_\alpha(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c(\alpha, k) z^k.$$

Then we note that $c(\alpha, k)$ is a decreasing function in α and satisfies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c(\alpha, k) = \begin{cases} \infty & (\alpha < \frac{1}{2}) \\ 1 & (\alpha = \frac{1}{2}) \\ 0 & (\alpha > \frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

Let $(f * g)(z)$ be the convolution or Hadamard product of two functions f and g , that is, if f given by (1.1) and g is given by

$$(1.6) \quad g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k.$$

Then

$$(1.7) \quad (f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

For a function $f \in S$, we define

$$(1.8) \quad D^0 f(z) = f(z),$$

$$(1.9) \quad D^1 f(z) = Df(z) = z f'(z),$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)) \quad (n \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}).$$

The differential operator D^n was introduced by Salagean [26].

We denote by $S_\alpha^b(A, B, n)$ the class of functions f in A_1 , that satisfy the condition

$$(1.11) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{b} [\Omega_z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z)) - 1] \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad z \in U,$$

where $\prec$ denotes subordination, $b \neq 0$ is any complex number, A and B are arbitrary fixed numbers, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$, and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, where, for convenience,

$$(1.12) \quad \Omega_z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z)) = \frac{z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))'}{(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))}.$$

By definition of subordination, the above condition is equivalent to

$$(1.13) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{b} [\Omega_z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z)) - 1] = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, \quad w \in \Omega.$$

It is easy see that the above condition is equivalent to

$$(1.14) \quad \left| \frac{\Omega_z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z)) - 1}{B[\Omega_z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z)) - 1] - (A - B)b} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in U).$$

We note that, by specializing the parameters b, A, B, n and α , we obtain the following subclasses studied by various authors:

- (1) $S_\alpha^b(A, B, 0) = S_\alpha^b(A, B)$ (Aouf, Darwish and Attiya [6]),
- (2) $S_1^1(A, B, 0) = S^*(A, B)$ (Janowski [12]), $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^1(A, B, 1) = C(A, B)$ (Mazur [17], Silverman and Silvia [27]), $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^1(A, B, 0) = S^b(A, B)$ (Sohi and Singh [29]), $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-\gamma}(1, -1, 0) = S^*(\gamma)$ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$) (Robertson [24]), $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-\gamma}(1, -1, 1) = C(\gamma)$ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$) (Robertson [24] and Pinchuk [23]), and $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-\gamma}(1, -1, n) = S^n(\gamma)$ (Salagean [26]).
- (3) $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(1-\gamma) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(1, -1, 0) = S^\lambda(\gamma)$ ($|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$) (Libera [16]), $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(1-\gamma) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(1, -1, 1) = C^\lambda(\gamma)$ ($|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$) (Chichra [8]), $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-\gamma}(1, 1 - 2\beta, 0) = S^*(\gamma, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$) (Juneja and Mogra [13]), $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-\gamma}(1, 1 - 2\beta, 1) = C(\gamma, \beta)$ (Aouf [5]), $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(1-\gamma) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(1, 1 - 2\beta, 0) = S^\lambda(\gamma, \beta)$ ($|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$) (Mogra and Ahuja [18]) and $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(1-\gamma) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(1, 1 - 2\beta, 1) = C^\lambda(\gamma, \beta)$ ($|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$) (Ahuja [1]).
- (4) $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^b(1, -1, 0) = S(1 - b)$ (Nasr and Aouf [19]), $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^b(1, -1, 1) = C(b)$ (Wiatrowski [31] and Nasr and Aouf [20]), $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^b(1, 1 - 2\beta, 0) = S(1 - b, \beta)$ and $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^b(1, 1 - 2\beta, 1) = C(1 - b, \beta)$ ($0 < \beta \leq 1$) (Aouf, Owa and Obradovic [7]).
- (5) $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^b(1, \frac{1}{M} - 1, 0) = F(b, M)$ and $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^b(1, \frac{1}{M} - 1, 1) = G(b, M)$ ($M > \frac{1}{2}$) (Nasr and Aouf [21], [22]), $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(1, \frac{1}{M} - 1, 0) = F_{\lambda, M}$ and $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(1, \frac{1}{M} - 1, 1) = G_{\lambda, M}$ ($|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $M > \frac{1}{2}$) (Kulshrestha [15]), $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(1-\gamma) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(1, \frac{1}{M} - 1, 0) = F_M(\lambda, \gamma)$ and $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(1-\gamma) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(1, \frac{1}{M} - 1, 1) = G_M(\lambda, \gamma)$ ($|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $M > \frac{1}{2}$) (Aouf [3,4]), $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^1(1, \frac{1}{M} - 1, 0) = F(1, M)$ ($M > \frac{1}{2}$) (Singh and Singh [28]) and $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(1-\gamma) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(\frac{M^2 - m^2 + m}{M}, \frac{1 - m}{M}, 0)$

$= S_{m,M}^*(\gamma, \lambda)$ ($1 - m < M \leq m$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$) Jakubowski [9, 10 and 11] and Stankiewicz and Waniurski [30].

We further, observe that, by the special choices of b, A, B, n and α our class $S_{\alpha}^b(A, B, n)$ gives rise to the following new subclasses of A_1 :

$$(1) \quad S_{\alpha}^{(1-\gamma)}(1, -1, n) = S_{\alpha}(\gamma, n) = \{f \in A_1 : \operatorname{Re} \Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) > \gamma, \\ 0 \leq \gamma < 1, z \in U\},$$

$$(2) \quad S_{\alpha}^{(1-\gamma) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(1, -1, n) = S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(\gamma, n) = \{f \in A_1 : \operatorname{Re} e^{i\lambda} \Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) \\ > \gamma \cos \lambda, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, z \in U\},$$

$$(3) \quad S_{\alpha}^1(\beta, -\beta, n) = S_{\alpha, \beta}^n = \left\{ f \in A_1 : \left| \frac{\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - 1}{\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) + 1} \right| < \beta, \right. \\ \left. 0 < \beta \leq 1, z \in U \right\},$$

$$(4) \quad S_{\alpha}^{1-\gamma}(\beta, -\beta, n) = S_{\alpha, \beta}^n(\gamma) \\ = \left\{ f \in A_1 : \left| \frac{\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - 1}{\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) + 1 - 2\gamma} \right| < \beta, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, \right. \\ \left. 0 < \beta \leq 1, z \in U \right\},$$

$$(5) \quad S_{\alpha}^{\cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(A, B, n) = S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(A, B, n) \\ = \left\{ f \in A_1 : \left| \frac{e^{i\lambda} [\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - 1]}{B e^{i\lambda} \Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - (A \cos \lambda + i B \sin \lambda)} \right| < 1, \right. \\ \left. |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, z \in U \right\},$$

$$(6) \quad S_{\alpha}^{(1-\gamma) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(\beta, -\beta, n) = S_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(\gamma, n) \\ = \left\{ f \in A_1 : \left| \frac{\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - 1}{\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - 1 + 2(1-\gamma) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}} \right| < \beta, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \right. \\ \left. 0 \leq \gamma < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, z \in U \right\},$$

$$(7) \quad S_{\alpha}^{(1-\gamma) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}(1, 1 - 2\beta, n) = S_{\alpha}^{\lambda, \beta}(\gamma, n) \\ = \left\{ f \in A_1 : \left| \frac{\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - 1}{2\beta [\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - 1 + (1-\gamma) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}] - [\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - 1]} \right| \right. \\ \left. < 1, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, z \in U \right\},$$

- (8) $S_{\alpha}^b(1, 1 - 2\beta, n) = S_{\alpha}(1 - b, \beta, n)$
 $= \left\{ f \in A_1 : \left| \frac{\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - 1}{2\beta[\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - 1 + b] - [\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - 1]} \right| < 1, \right.$
 $\left. 0 < \beta \leq 1, z \in U \right\},$
- (9) $S_{\alpha}^b\left(1, \frac{1}{M} - 1, n\right) = F_{\alpha}(b, M, n)$
 $= \left\{ f \in A_1 : \left| \frac{\Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) + b - 1}{b} - M \right| < M, M > \frac{1}{2}, z \in U \right\},$
- (10) $S_{\alpha}^{\cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}\left(1, \frac{1}{M} - 1, n\right) = F_{\lambda, M, \alpha}(n)$
 $= \left\{ f \in A_1 : \left| \frac{e^{i\lambda} \Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - i \sin \lambda}{\cos \lambda} - M \right| < M, \right.$
 $\left. |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, M > \frac{1}{2}, z \in U \right\},$
- (11) $S_{\alpha}^{(1-\gamma) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}\left(1, \frac{1}{M} - 1, n\right) = F_{M, \alpha}(\lambda, \gamma, n)$
 $= \left\{ f \in A_1 : \left| \frac{e^{i\lambda} \Omega_z(D^n f * s_{\alpha}(z)) - \gamma \cos \lambda - i \sin \lambda}{(1 - \gamma) \cos \lambda} - M \right| < M, \right.$
 $\left. |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, M > \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, z \in U \right\}.$

2. Coefficient estimates

THEOREM 1. *Let the function f defined by (1.1) be in the class $S_{\alpha}^b(A, B, n)$, $z \in U$.*

1. *If $(A - B)^2 |b|^2 > 2B(A - B)(k - 1) \operatorname{Re}\{b\} + (1 - B^2)(k - 1)^2$, $k \geq 1$, let*

$$N = \left[\frac{(A - B)^2 |b|^2}{2B(A - B)(k - 1) \operatorname{Re}\{b\} + (1 - B^2)(k - 1)^2} \right].$$

Then

$$(2.1) \quad |a_j| \leq \frac{1}{(j - 1)! j^n c(a, j)} \prod_{p=2}^j |(A - B)b - (p - 2)B|,$$

for $j = 2, 3, \dots, N+2$; and

$$(2.2) \quad |a_j| \leq \frac{1}{(N+1)!(j-1)j^n c(\alpha, j)} \prod_{p=2}^{N+3} |(A-B)b - (p-2)B|, \quad j > N+2.$$

2. If $(A-B)^2|b|^2 \leq 2B(A-B)(k-1)\operatorname{Re}\{b\} + (1-B^2)(k-1)^2$, then

$$(2.3) \quad |a_j| \leq \frac{(A-B)|b|}{(j-1)j^n c(\alpha, j)}, \quad \text{for } j \geq 2.$$

The bounds in (2.1) and (2.3) are sharp for all admissible $A, B, b \neq 0$ complex, $n \in N_0$, and for each j .

Proof. Since $f \in S_\alpha^b(A, B, n)$, (1.13) gives

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))'}{(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))} - 1 = \left\{ (A-B)b - B \left[\frac{z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))'}{(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))} - 1 \right] \right\} w(z), \quad w \in \Omega.$$

Now (2.4) may be written as

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)c(\alpha, k)k^n a_k z^k = \left\{ (A-B)bz + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(A-B)b - B(k-1)]c(\alpha, k)k^n a_k z^k \right\} w(z),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=2}^j (k-1)c(\alpha, k)k^n a_k z^k + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} d_k z^k = \left\{ (A-B)bz + \sum_{k=2}^{j-1} [(A-B)b - B(k-1)]c(\alpha, k)k^n a_k z^k \right\} w(z),$$

where $\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} d_k z^k$ converges in U . Then, since $|w(z)| < 1$,

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \sum_{k=2}^j (k-1)c(\alpha, k)k^n a_k z^k + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} d_k z^k \right| \leq \left| (A-B)bz + \sum_{k=2}^{j-1} [(A-B)b - B(k-1)]c(\alpha, k)k^n a_k z^k \right|.$$

Writing $z = re^{i\theta}$, $r < 1$, squaring both sides of (2.6), and then integrating, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^j (k-1)^2 (c(\alpha, k))^2 k^{2n} |a_k|^2 r^{2k} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} |d_k|^2 r^{2k} \\ & \leq (A-B)^2 |b|^2 r^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{j-1} (A-B)b - B(k-1)|^2 \cdot (c(\alpha, k))^2 k^{2n} |a_k|^2 r^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $r \rightarrow 1$, then on some simplification, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad & (j-1)^2 (c(\alpha, j))^2 j^{2n} |a_j|^2 \leq (A-B)^2 |b|^2 \\ & + \sum_{k=2}^{j-1} \{ |(A-B)b - B(k-1)|^2 - (k-1)^2 \} (c(\alpha, k))^2 k^{2n} |a_k|^2, \quad j \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Now there may be following two cases:

1. Let $(A-B)^2 |b|^2 > 2B(A-B)(k-1) \operatorname{Re}\{b\} + (1-B^2)(k-1)^2$.

Suppose that $j \leq N+2$; then for $j=2$, (2.7) gives

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{(A-B)|b|}{2^n c(\alpha, 2)}$$

which gives (2.1) for $j=2$. We establish (2.1) for $j \leq N+2$, from (2.7), by mathematical induction.

Suppose (2.1) is valid for $j=2, 3, \dots, k-1$. Then it follows from (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} & (j-1)^2 (c(\alpha, j))^2 j^{2n} |a_j|^2 \leq (A-B)^2 |b|^2 \\ & + \sum_{k=2}^{j-1} \left\{ |(A-B)b - B(k-1)|^2 - (k-1)^2 \right\} \\ & \cdot \left[\frac{1}{((k-1)!)^2} \cdot \prod_{p=2}^k |(A-B)b - (p-2)B|^2 \right] \Bigg\} \\ & = \frac{1}{((j-2)!)^2} \cdot \prod_{p=2}^j |(A-B)b - (p-2)B|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$|a_j| \leq \frac{1}{(j-1)! j^n c(\alpha, j)} \cdot \prod_{p=2}^j |(A-B)b - (p-2)B|,$$

which completes the proof of (2.1).

Next, we suppose $j > N + 2$. Then (2.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & (j-1)^2(c(\alpha, j))^2 j^{2n} |a_j|^2 \\ & \leq (A-B)^2 |b|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{N+2} \{ |(A-B)b - B(k-1)|^2 - (k-1)^2 \} k^{2n} (c(\alpha, k))^2 |a_k|^2 \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=N+3}^{j-1} \{ |(A-B)b - B(k-1)|^2 - (k-1)^2 \} k^{2n} (c(\alpha, k))^2 |a_k|^2 \\ & \leq (A-B)^2 |b|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{N+2} \{ |(A-B)b - B(k-1)|^2 - (k-1)^2 \} (c(\alpha, k))^2 k^{2n} |a_k|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On substituting upper estimates for $a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{N+2}$ obtained above, and simplifying we obtain (2.2).

2. Let $(A-B)^2 |b|^2 \leq 2B(A-B)(k-1) \operatorname{Re}\{b\} + (1-B^2)(k-1)^2$, then it follows from (2.7)

$$(j-1)^2(c(\alpha, j))^2 j^{2n} |a_j|^2 \leq (A-B)^2 |b|^2, \quad (j \geq 2)$$

which proves (2.3).

The bounds in (2.1) are sharp for the function given by

$$(2.8) \quad D^n f * s_\alpha(z) = \begin{cases} z(1+Bz)^{\frac{(A-B)b}{B}}, & B \neq 0 \\ z \exp(Abz), & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

The bounds in (2.3) are sharp for the functions given by

$$(2.9) \quad D^n f_k * s_\alpha(z) = \begin{cases} z(1+Bz^{k-1})^{\frac{(A-B)b}{B(k-1)}}, & B \neq 0 \\ z \exp\left(\frac{Abz^{k-1}}{(k-1)}\right), & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

3. Maximization of $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$

We shall need in our discussion the following lemma.

LEMMA 1 [14]. Let $w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k \in \Omega$ if μ is any complex number, then

$$(3.1) \quad |c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \leq \max\{1, |\mu|\},$$

for any complex μ . Equality in (3.1) may be attained with the functions $w(z) = z^2$ and $w(z) = z$ for $|\mu| < 1$ and $|\mu| \geq 1$, respectively.

THEOREM 2. If a function f defined by (1.1) is in the class $S_\alpha^b(A, B, n)$, and if μ is any complex number, then

$$(3.2) \quad |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(A-B)|b|}{2.3^n c(\alpha, 3)} \max\{1, |d|\},$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad d = -[(A - B)b - B] + \frac{2 \cdot 3^n c(\alpha, 3) \mu(A - B)b}{2^{2n} (c(\alpha, 2))^2}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Since $f \in S_\alpha^b(A, B, n)$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad w(z) = \frac{\frac{z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))'}{(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))} - 1}{(A - B)b - B \left[\frac{z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))'}{(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))} - 1 \right]} \\ = \frac{1}{(A - B)b} \left\{ 2^n c(\alpha, 2) a_2 z + 2 \cdot 3^n c(\alpha, 3) a_3 z^2 \right. \\ \left. - \frac{2^{2n} (c(\alpha, 2))^2 [(A - B)b - B]}{(A - B)b} a_2^2 z^2 + \dots \right\}.$$

Now compare the coefficients of z and z^2 on both sides of (3.4), we thus obtain

$$(3.5) \quad c_1 = \frac{2^n c(\alpha, 2) a_2}{(A - B)b}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad c_2 = \frac{1}{(A - B)b} \left[2 \cdot 3^n c(\alpha, 3) a_3 - \frac{2^{2n} (c(\alpha, 2))^2 [(A - B)b - B]}{(A - B)b} a_2^2 \right].$$

Consequently, we have

$$(3.7) \quad a_2 = \frac{(A - B)bc_1}{2^n c(\alpha, 2)}$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad a_3 = \frac{(A - B)bc_2 + (A - B)b[(A - B)b - B]c_1^2}{2 \cdot 3^n c(\alpha, 3)}.$$

Using (3.1), (3.7) and (3.8), we readily obtain (3.2).

Finally, the assertion of Theorem 2 is sharp in view of the fact that the assertion of Lemma 1 is sharp.

4. A sufficient condition for a function to be in $S_\alpha^b(A, B, n)$

THEOREM 3. Let the function f defined by (1.1) and let

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{(k-1) + |(A-B)b - B(k-1)|\} c(\alpha, k) k^n |a_k| \leq (A-B)|b|$$

holds, then f belongs to the class $S_\alpha^b(A, B, n)$.

Proof. Suppose that the inequality (4.1) holds. Then we have for $z \in U$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))' - D^n f * s_\alpha(z)| \\
 & - |B[z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))' - (D^n f * s_\alpha(z))] - (A - B)b(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))| \\
 & = \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)c(\alpha, k)k^n a_k z^k \right| \\
 & - \left| B \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)c(\alpha, k)k^n a_k z^k - (A - B)b \left[z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c(\alpha, k)k^n a_k z^k \right] \right| \\
 & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)c(\alpha, k)k^n |a_k| r^k \\
 & - \left\{ (A - B)|b|r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |(A - B)b - B(k-1)|c(\alpha, k)k^n |a_k| r^k \right\} \\
 & = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ (k-1) + |(A - B)b - B(k-1)| \} c(\alpha, k)k^n |a_k| r^k - (A - B)|b|r.
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))' - (D^n f * s_\alpha(z))| - \\
 & |B[z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))' - (D^n f * s_\alpha(z))] - (A - B)b(D^n f * s_\alpha(z))| \\
 & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ (k-1) + |(A - B)b - B(k-1)| \} c(\alpha, k)k^n |a_k| - (A - B)|b| \\
 & \leq 0, \text{ by (4.1).}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that

$$\left| \frac{\Omega_z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z)) - 1}{B[\Omega_z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z)) - 1] - (A - B)b} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in U).$$

Letting

$$w(z) = \frac{\Omega_z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z)) - 1}{B[\Omega_z(D^n f * s_\alpha(z)) - 1] - (A - B)b},$$

$w(0) = 0$, $w(z)$ is analytic in $|z| < 1$ and $|w(z)| < 1$. Hence we have f belongs to the class $S_\alpha^b(A, B, n)$.

The result is sharp for the following function

$$D^n f(z) = z - \frac{(A - B)b}{\{ (k-1) + |(A - B)b - B(k-1)| \} k^n c(\alpha, k)} z^k.$$

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee of the paper for his helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] O. P. Ahuja, *Certain generalizations of the Robertson functions*, Yokohama Math. J. 31 (1983), 5–11.
- [2] O. P. Ahuja and H. Silverman, *Convolutions of prestarlike functions*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 6 (1983), 59–68.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, *Bounded p -valent Robertson functions of order α* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 16 (1985), 775–790.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, *Bounded spiral-like functions, with fixed second coefficient*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 12 (1989), no. 1, 113–118.
- [5] M. K. Aouf, *On certain subclass of convex functions*, Demonstratio Math. 28 (1995), no. 3, 611–618.
- [6] M. K. Aouf, H. E. Darwish and A. A. Attiya, *On certain class of analytic functions with complex order*, Math. Sci. Res. Hot-Line 3 (1999), no. 5, 33–45.
- [7] M. K. Aouf, S. Owa and M. Obradović, *Certain classes of analytic functions of complex order and type β* , Rend. Mat. 11 (1991), 691–714.
- [8] P. N. Chichra, *Regular functions $f(z)$ for which $zf'(z)$ is α -spiral-like*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (1975), 151–160.
- [9] Z. J. Jakubowski, *On coefficients of starlike functions of some classes*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 19 (1971), no. 9, 811–815.
- [10] Z. J. Jakubowski, *On coefficients of starlike functions of some classes*, Ann. Polon. Math. 26 (1972), 305–313.
- [11] Z. J. Jakubowski, *On the properties of an integral operator*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 32 (1985), 55–68.
- [12] W. Janowski, *Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions*, Ann Polon. Math. 28 (1973), 297–326.
- [13] O. P. Juneja and M. L. Mogra, *On starlike functions of order α and type β* , Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. 23 (1978), 751–765.
- [14] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), 8–12.
- [15] P. K. Kulshrestha, *Bounded Robertson functions*, Rend. Mat. 7 (1976), no. 9, 137–150.
- [16] R. J. Libera, *Univalent α -spiral functions*, Canad. J. Math. 19 (1967), 449–456.
- [17] R. Mazur, *On a subclass of convex functions*, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Lodz. Mat. 353 (1981), 15–19.
- [18] M. L. Mogra and O. P. Ahuja, *On spiral-like functions of order α and type β* , Yokohama Math. J. 29 (1987), 154–156.
- [19] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, *On convex functions of complex order*, Mansoura Sci. Bull. 9 (1982), 565–582.
- [20] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, *Bounded convex functions of complex order*, Mansoura Univ. Bull. 10 (1983), 513–527.
- [21] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, *Bounded starlike functions of complex order*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 92 (1983), 97–102.
- [22] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, *Starlike function of complex order*, J. Natur. Sci. Math. 25 (1985), 1–12.
- [23] B. Pinchuk, *On starlike and convex functions of order α* , Duke Math. J. 35 (1968), 721–734.

- [24] M. S. Robertson, *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. Math. 37(1936), 374–408.
- [25] St. Ruscheweyh, *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*, 1982, p. 48.
- [26] G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. (Springer-Verlag) 1013 (1983), 362–372.
- [27] H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, *Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions*, Canad J. Math. 37 (1985), 48–61.
- [28] R. Singh and V. Singh, *On a class of bounded starlike functions*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math. 5 (1974), 733–745.
- [29] N. S. Sohi and L. P. Singh, *A class of bounded starlike functions of complex order*, Indian J. Math. 33 (1991), no. 1, 29–35.
- [30] J. Stankiewicz and J. Waniurski, *Some classes of functions subordinate to linear transformation and their applications*, Ann. UMCS 28 (1974), no. 9, 85–94.
- [31] P. Wiatrowski, *The coefficients of a certain family of holomorphic functions*, Zeszyty Nauk. Univ. Lodz. Nauk. Mat. Przyrod. Ser. II, Zeszyt (39) Math. (1971), 75–85.

KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA

P. O. BOX 19043

JEDDAH 21435

E-mail agree12@hotmail.com

Received December 11, 2001.