

Alina Wojtunik

ON SOME M-HYPERIDENTITIES OF VARIETIES

1. Introduction

Let $\tau : F \rightarrow N$ be a fixed type of algebras, where F is a set of fundamental operation symbols and N is the set of non-negative integers. For a term φ of type τ let $Var(\varphi)$ denote the set of all variables occurring in φ . We denote by $F(\varphi)$ the set of all fundamental operation symbols in φ . Writing $\varphi(x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_{m-1}})$ instead of φ we shall mean that $Var(\varphi) \subseteq \{x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_{m-1}}\}$. Let Φ_ω^τ denote the set of all terms of type τ on variables x_0, \dots, x_k, \dots ($k < \omega$).

DEFINITION 1 ([7], [4]). A mapping $\eta : \Phi_\omega^\tau \rightarrow \Phi_\omega^\tau$ is called a hypersubstitution of type τ (or briefly: a hypersubstitution) if η satisfies the following conditions:

[H1] to every term $f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1})$ where $f \in F$ we assign a term $\varphi_{f,\eta}(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1})$ of type τ i.e.

$$\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1})) = \varphi_{f,\eta}(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}),$$

[H2] $\eta(x_k) = x_k$ for every variable x_k where $0 \leq k < \omega$,

[H3] if $f \in F$ and $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{\tau(f)-1} \in \Phi_\omega^\tau$, then

$$\eta(f(\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{\tau(f)-1})) = \varphi_{f,\eta}(\eta(\varphi_0), \dots, \eta(\varphi_{\tau(f)-1})).$$

We denote the set of all hypersubstitutions of type τ by $Hyp(\tau)$.

Let V be a variety of type τ . We denote by $Id(V)$ the set of all identities of type τ satisfies in V .

DEFINITION 2 ([4], [10]). An identity $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ of type τ is a hyperidentity of a variety V if for every hypersubstitution η of type τ the identity $\eta(\varphi_1) = \eta(\varphi_2)$ belongs to $Id(V)$.

In [7] and [2] it was observed that $\mathbf{Hyp}(\tau) = (Hyp(\tau), \circ, \eta_{id})$ is a monoid where η_{id} is the identity map and \circ denotes the superposition. Let $M \mathbf{Hyp}(\tau)$

$= (M\text{Hyp}(\tau), \circ, \eta_{id})$ be a submonoid of the monoid $\text{Hyp}(\tau)$. Elements of $M\text{Hyp}(\tau)$ are called *M-hypersubstitutions*.

DEFINITION 3 ([7], [2]). An identity $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ of type τ is called an M-hyperidentity of V if for every $\eta \in M\text{Hyp}(\tau)$ the identity $\eta(\varphi_1) = \eta(\varphi_2)$ belongs to $\text{Id}(V)$.

We denote the set of all M-hyperidentities of a variety V by $H_M(V)$. Obviously, Definition 3 is a generalization of the definition of a hyperidentity. Namely if $M\text{Hyp}(\tau) = \text{Hyp}(\tau)$ then we get the definition of a hyperidentity. So the set $H_{H\text{yp}(\tau)}(V)$ is the set of all hyperidentities of a variety V and in the literature it is denoted by $H(V)$.

Let E be a set of identities of type τ . By $\text{Mod}(E)$ we denote the variety defined by the set E . Let $T(\tau)$ be an equational theory of identities of type τ and let $V_{T(\tau)} = \text{Mod}(T(\tau) \cap \text{Id}(V))$. Since $T(\tau) \cap \text{Id}(V) \subseteq \text{Id}(V)$, so the variety $V_{T(\tau)}$ is called an extension of V .

An identity $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ of type τ is called *normal* (see [3], [5]) if it is of the form $x = x$ or $F(\varphi_1) \neq \emptyset \neq F(\varphi_2)$.

It is known that the set $N(\tau)$ of all normal identities of type τ is an equational theory.

An identity $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ of type τ is called *regular* (see [6]) if $\text{Var}(\varphi_1) = \text{Var}(\varphi_2)$.

In [7] and [9] some M-hyperidentities of $V_{N(\tau)}$ and $V_{R(\tau)}$ were considered. In this paper we study the extension $V_{F_1}^{F_2}$ of a variety V (see [11]) and we characterize some M-hyperidentities of this extension.

2. $RN(F_1, F_2)$ -hypersubstitution

Let $\tau : F \rightarrow N$ be a fixed type of algebras. We consider the following condition:

$$(1) \quad F_1 \cup F_2 = F, \quad F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^{-1}(0) \subset F_2.$$

DEFINITION 4. Let F_1, F_2 satisfy the condition (1). A hypersubstitution η of type τ will be called an $RN(F_1, F_2)$ -hypersubstitution if the following two conditions are satisfied:

c1 for every $f \in F_1$ we have $F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \subseteq F_1$ and

$$\text{Var}(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) = \{x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}\}$$

or for every $f \in F_1$ we have

$$F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset,$$

c2 for every $f \in F_2$ we have $F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$.

We denote the set of all $RN(F_1, F_2)$ -hypersubstitutions of type τ by $RN(F_1, F_2)Hyp(\tau)$.

The concept of $RN(F_1, F_2)$ -hypersubstitution is a generalization of the concept of a pre-hypersubstitution (see [1]). In fact, $RN(\emptyset, F)Hyp(\tau) = PreHyp(\tau)$. If $0 \notin \tau(F)$ then a $RN(F, \emptyset)$ -hypersubstitution is a reg-hypersubstitution (see [7]) and $RN(F, \emptyset)Hyp(\tau) = RegHyp(\tau)$.

Let $F_0 \subseteq F$. In [11] we define an F_0 -regular identity and F_0 -symmetrical identity. Namely:

DEFINITION 5. An identity $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ of type τ is called F_0 -regular if $F(\varphi_1) \subseteq F_0$, and $F(\varphi_2) \subseteq F_0$ and $Var(\varphi_1) = Var(\varphi_2)$.

DEFINITION 6. An identity $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ of type τ is called F_0 -symmetrical if $F(\varphi_1) \cap F_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $F(\varphi_2) \cap F_0 \neq \emptyset$.

Let F_1, F_2 satisfy the condition (1). We denote by R_{F_1} the set of all F_1 -regular identities of type τ and by S_{F_2} the set of all F_2 -symmetrical identities of type τ . Then we have

(2) (see [11]) the set $R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2}$ is an equational theory.

LEMMA 1. Let $\eta \in RN(F_1, F_2)Hyp(\tau)$ and φ be a term of type τ . Then

L1 if for every $f \in F(\varphi)$ we have $F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \subseteq F_1$ then $F(\eta(\varphi)) \subseteq F_1$ and $Var(\varphi) = Var(\eta(\varphi))$,

L2 if there exists $g \in F(\varphi)$ such that $F(\eta(g(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(g)-1}))) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$ then $F(\eta(\varphi)) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (L1) is a consequence of (3i) from [7] and Lemma 4.1 from [8].

A proof of (L2) is by induction of the complexity of φ . If φ is the form $g(x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_{\tau(f)-1}})$ then

$$F(g(x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_{\tau(f)-1}})) = \{g\}$$

and

$$F(\eta(g(x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_{\tau(f)-1}}))) = F(\eta(g(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1})))$$

then $F(\eta(\varphi)) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\varphi = f(\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{\tau(f)-1})$ and assume that the statement holds for $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{\tau(f)-1}$.

If $F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$ then $F(\eta(\varphi)) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$ since $F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \subseteq F(\eta(\varphi))$ by (H3). If $F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \subseteq F_1$ then by the assumption of (L2) there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, \tau(f) - 1\}$ such that $g \in F(\varphi_i)$ and $F(\eta(g(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(g)-1}))) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$. By the inductive assumption $F(\eta(\varphi_i)) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$. Since $f \in F_1$ and $\eta \in RN(F_1, F_2)Hyp(\tau)$ so $F(\eta(\varphi_i)) \subseteq F(\eta(\varphi))$. Hence $F(\eta(\varphi)) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$.

By Lemma 1 we get

COROLLARY 1. *If $\eta \in RN(F_1, F_2)Hyp(\tau)$ and $[\varphi_1 = \varphi_2] \in (R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2})$ then $[\eta(\varphi_1) = \eta(\varphi_2)] \in (R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2})$.*

In [8] the notion of a proper hypersubstitution was defined.

DEFINITION 7. A hypersubstitution η of type τ is called a proper hypersubstitution of a variety V of type τ if for every identity $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ belonging to $Id(V)$ the identity $\eta(\varphi_1) = \eta(\varphi_2)$ belongs to $Id(V)$.

Let $P(V)$ denote the set of all proper hypersubstitutions of V . Obviously, $P(V) = (P(V), \circ, \eta_{id})$ is a submonoid of $Hyp(\tau)$ (see [7]).

THEOREM 1. *If F_1 and F_2 satisfy (1) then*

$$P(Mod(R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2})) = RN(F_1, F_2)Hyp(\tau).$$

Proof. By Definition 7 and Corollary 1 we get $RN(F_1, F_2)Hyp(\tau) \subseteq P(Mod(R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2}))$. To prove the converse inclusion assume that $\eta \in P(Mod(R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2}))$ and $\eta \notin RN(F_1, F_2)Hyp(\tau)$. Then we have three possibilities:

p1 there exist $f_1, f_2 \in F_1$ with $F(\eta(f_1(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f_1)-1}))) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$ and

$$F(\eta(f_2(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f_2)-1}))) \subseteq F_1;$$

p2 there exists $f \in F_1$ with $F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \subseteq F_1$ and

$$Var(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \neq \{x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}\};$$

p3 there exists $f \in F_2$ with $F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \subseteq F_1$.

If (p1) holds then $[f_1(x, \dots, x) = f_2(x, \dots, x)] \in R_{F_1}$. But

$$[\eta(f_1(x, \dots, x)) = \eta(f_2(x, \dots, x))] \notin R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2},$$

so $\eta \notin P(Mod(R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2}))$.

Let f satisfy (p2) and for some $i \in \{0, \dots, \tau(f) - 1\}$ let

$$x_i \notin Var(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))).$$

Hence $\tau(f) \geq 2$.

If $i = 0$ then $f(y, x, \dots, x) = f(x, y, \dots, y) \in R_{F_1}$ and

$$Var(\eta(f(y, x, \dots, x))) = \{x\}, \quad Var(\eta(f(x, y, \dots, y))) = \{y\}.$$

If $i = \tau(f) - 1$ then $f(x, \dots, x, y) = f(y, \dots, y, x) \in R_{F_1}$ and

$$Var(\eta(f(x, \dots, x, y))) = \{x\}, \quad Var(\eta(f(y, \dots, y, x))) = \{y\}.$$

If $0 < i < \tau(f) - 1$ then $f(x, \dots, x, y, x, \dots, x) = f(y, \dots, y, x, y, \dots, y) \in R_{F_1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\eta(f(x, \dots, x, y, x, \dots, x))) &= \{x\}, \\ \text{Var}(\eta(f(y, \dots, y, x, y, \dots, y))) &= \{y\}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\eta \notin P(\text{Mod}(R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2}))$.

If f satisfies (p3) then $[f(x, \dots, x) = f(y, \dots, y)] \in S_{F_2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\eta(f(x, \dots, x))) &= \{x\}, \\ \text{Var}(\eta(f(y, \dots, y))) &= \{y\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have also $F(\eta(f(x, \dots, x))) \subseteq F_1$, and $F(\eta(f(y, \dots, y))) \subseteq F_1$. So $[\eta(f(x, \dots, x)) = \eta(f(y, \dots, y))] \notin R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2}$. Hence $\eta \notin P(\text{Mod}(R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2}))$.

It was proved in [9] that

RESULT 1. *If V is a variety of type τ then*

- (1M) $H_M(V_{T(\tau)}) \subseteq T(\tau) \cap H_M(V)$ and
 (2M) *if $M\text{Hyp}(\tau) \subseteq P(\text{Mod}(T(\tau)))$ then $H_M(V_{T(\tau)}) = T(\tau) \cap H_M(V)$.*

By Theorem 1 and Result 1 we get

COROLLARY 2. *If V is a variety of type τ then $H_{RN(F_1, F_2)}(V_{F_1}^{F_2}) = (R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2}) \cap H_{RN(F_1, F_2)}(V)$.*

One says – that two hypersubstitutions η_1 and η_2 are V -equivalent (see [8]) if for every $f \in F$ we have $[\eta_1(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1})) = \eta_2(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))] \in \text{Id}(V)$.

It was proved in [9] that

RESULT 2. *Let V be a variety of type τ . If for every $\eta \in \text{Hyp}(\tau)$ there exists $\eta^* \in M\text{Hyp}(\tau)$ such that η and η^* are V -equivalent then $H_M(V) = H(V)$.*

We say that a term $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1})$ different from a variable is *idempotent* in V if $[\varphi(x, \dots, x) = x] \in \text{Id}(V)$.

THEOREM 2. *Let V be a variety of type τ . If there exists an idempotent term φ in V such that $F(\varphi) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$ then $H_{RN(F_1, F_2)}(V) = H(V)$.*

Proof. By Result 2 it is enough to show that for every $\eta \in \text{Hyp}(\tau)$ there exists $\eta^* \in RN(F_1, F_2)\text{Hyp}(\tau)$ such that the hypersubstitutions η and η^* are V -equivalent. If $\eta \in RN(F_1, F_2)\text{Hyp}(\tau)$ then it is enough to take $\eta = \eta^*$. Let $\eta \notin RN(F_1, F_2)\text{Hyp}(\tau)$. Then for some $i \in \{0, \dots, \tau(f) - 1\}$ the variable x_i belongs to $\text{Var}(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1})))$ and $F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$. Put

$$\eta^*(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{f,\eta}(\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_0), x_1, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}) & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \text{and } F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \cap F_2 = \emptyset \\ \varphi_{f,\eta}(x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, \varphi(x_i, \dots, x_i), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}) & \text{if } 0 < i < \tau(f) - 1 \text{ and } F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \cap F_2 = \emptyset \\ \varphi_{f,\eta}(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-2}, \varphi(x_{\tau(f)-1}, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1})) & \text{if } i = \tau(f) - 1 \text{ and } F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \cap F_2 = \emptyset \\ \eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1})) & \text{if } F(\eta(f(x_0, \dots, x_{\tau(f)-1}))) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Thus η and η^* are V-equivalent and $\eta^* \in RN(F_1, F_2)Hyp(\tau)$.

By Corollary 2 and Theorem 2 we get

COROLLARY 3. *If V is a variety of type τ and if there exists an idempotent term in V and $F(\varphi) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$ then $H_{RN(F_1, F_2)}(V_{F_1}^{F_2}) = (R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2}) \cap H(V)$.*

EXAMPLE 1. Let $F = \{+, \cdot, ', 0, 1\}$ where $\tau(+) = \tau(\cdot) = 2$, $\tau(') = 1$, $\tau(0) = \tau(1) = 0$ and $F_1 = \{+, \cdot\}$, $F_2 = \{', 0, 1\}$. Then for the variety B of Boolean algebras of type τ we have $H_{RN(F_1, F_2)}(B_{F_1}^{F_2}) = (R_{F_1} \cup S_{F_2}) \cap H(B)$.

References

- [1] K. Denecke, *Pre-solid varieties*, Demonstratio Math. 27 (1994), 741–750.
- [2] K. Denecke, M. Reichel, *Monoids of hypersubstitutions and M-solid varieties*, Contrib. General Algebra 9 (1995), 117–126.
- [3] E. Graczyńska, *On regular identities*, Algebra Universalis 17 (1983), 369–375.
- [4] E. Graczyńska, D. Schweigert, *Hyperidentities of a given type*, Algebra Universalis 27 (1990), 305–318.
- [5] I. I. Mel'nik, *Nilpotent shifts of varieties*, Math. Notes 14 (1973), 926–966.
- [6] J. Płonka, *On method of constructions of abstract algebras*, Fund. Math. 61 (1967), 183–189.
- [7] J. Płonka, *On hyperidentities of some varieties*, in: General Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin 1995, 199–213.
- [8] J. Płonka, *Proper and inner hypersubstitutions of varieties*, in: Proceedings of the International Conference: Summer School of General Algebra and Ordered Sets 1994, Palacky University Olomouc 1994, 106–115.
- [9] Z. Szylicka, *Weak hyperidentities of varieties*, in: General Algebra and Applications in Discrete Mathematics (K. Denecke and O. Lüders, eds.), Aachen 1997, 189–203.
- [10] W. Taylor, *Hyperidentities and hypervarieties*, Aequationes Math. 23 (1981), 30–49.
- [11] A. Wojtunik, *The generalized sum of an upper semilattice ordered system of algebras*, Demonstratio Math. 24 (1991), 129–147.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS
 OPOLE UNIVERSITY
 ul. Oleska 48
 45-052 OPOLE, POLAND

Received July 5, 2002.