

Christoph Schmoege

# ON LOGARITHMS OF LINEAR OPERATORS ON HILBERT SPACES

## 1. Introduction and terminology

Throughout this paper  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes a complex Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  the spectrum and the spectral radius of  $A$  are denoted by  $\sigma(A)$  and  $r(A)$ , respectively. For the resolvent set of  $A$  we write  $\rho(A)$ .

DEFINITIONS. An operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be

- (a) *normal* if  $AA^* = A^*A$ ,
- (b) *unitary* if  $AA^* = I = A^*A$ , where  $I$  denotes the identity operator  $\mathcal{H}$ ,
- (c) *symmetric* if  $A^* = A$ ,
- (d) *positive* if  $A$  is symmetric and  $(Ax|x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , where  $(\cdot|\cdot)$  denotes the inner product on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

For  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  we denote by  $e^A$  the operator

$$e^A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^n}{n!}.$$

In [5], C. R. Putnam has proved the following result:

THEOREM A. *If  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is positive,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,*

$$e^T = A \quad \text{and} \quad \|T\| < 2 \log 2$$

*then  $T$  is symmetric.*

S. Kurepa has shown in [3, Theorem 3] that it is sufficient to assume that  $\|T\| < 2\pi$ :

THEOREM B. If  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is positive,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,

$$e^T = A \quad \text{and} \quad \|T\| < 2\pi$$

then  $T$  is symmetric.

Since  $e^{2\pi i I} = I$ , the condition  $\|T\| < 2\pi$  cannot be replaced by  $\|T\| \leq 2\pi$  without changing the conclusion.

The following result is also due to S. Kurepa (see [3, Theorem 2]).

THEOREM C. Suppose that  $N \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is normal,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,

$$\sigma(N) \subseteq \{re^{i\phi} : -\alpha\pi \leq \phi \leq \alpha\pi, r \geq 0\},$$

$$e^T = N \quad \text{and} \quad \|T\| < \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{4}\right)\pi$$

then  $T$  is normal.

The aim of the present paper is to prove some generalizations and improvements of Theorem B and Theorem C. Furthermore we shall extend Corollary 1 in [3]. To this end we need some preparations which we will give in this section. In Section 2 we consider logarithms of normal operators. Section 3 deals with logarithms of symmetric operators. Logarithms of positive operators are considered in Section 4. In Section 5 we are concerned with logarithms of unitary operators.

DEFINITION. A set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is called  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Omega$  and  $\lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_2 \pmod{2\pi i}$  imply that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .

1.1. PROPOSITION. Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .

- (a) If  $\sigma(A)$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free and  $e^A = e^B$  then  $AB = BA$ .
- (b) If  $\sigma(A)$  and  $\sigma(B)$  are  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free and  $e^A e^B = e^B e^A$  then  $AB = BA$ .
- (c) If  $e^A e^B = e^{A+B} = e^B e^A$  and  $\sigma(A+B)$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free then  $AB = BA$ .
- (d)  $A$  is normal if and only if

$$e^A e^{A^*} = e^{A+A^*} = e^{A^*} e^A.$$

Proof. (a) is shown in [2].

Proofs of (b) can be found in [6] or [8].

(c) is proved in [7, Theorem 2].

(d) Since  $A + A^*$  is symmetric,  $\sigma(A + A^*) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $\sigma(A + A^*)$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free. Now use (c) to get the result. ■

1.2. PROPOSITION. Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .

- (a) If  $A$  is symmetric, then  $e^A$  is positive.
- (b) If  $A$  is normal, then  $r(A) = \|A\|$ .

(c) Let  $A$  be normal. Then:

$$A \text{ is symmetric} \iff \sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$A \text{ is positive} \iff \sigma(A) \subseteq [0, \infty).$$

Proof. (a) It is clear that  $e^A$  is symmetric. For each  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  we have

$$(e^A x | x) = (e^{\frac{A}{2}} e^{\frac{A}{2}} x | x) = (e^{\frac{A}{2}} x | e^{\frac{A}{2}} x) = \|e^{\frac{A}{2}} x\|^2 \geq 0,$$

hence  $e^A$  is positive.

(b) is shown in [4, Lemma 4.3.11].

(c) follows from Proposition 4.4.7 in [4]. ■

DEFINITIONS. Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .

(a) The *real part*  $\operatorname{Re}(A)$  of  $A$  is defined by

$$\operatorname{Re}(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*).$$

(b) If  $A$  is positive there is a unique positive operator, denoted by  $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , satisfying  $(A^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 = A$  (see [4, Proposition 3.2.11]).  $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is called the *square root* of  $A$ .

(c) The *absolute value*  $|A|$  of  $A$  is defined by

$$|A| = (A^* A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(observe that  $A^* A$  is positive).

(d) We denote the set of eigenvalues of  $A$  by  $\sigma_p(A)$ .

(e) The set  $\sigma_\pi(A) = \{\lambda \in \sigma(A) : |\lambda| = r(A)\}$  is called the *peripheral spectrum* of  $A$ .

1.3. PROPOSITION. Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $AB = BA$ . Then  $r(A + B) \leq r(A) + r(B)$  and  $r(AB) \leq r(A)r(B)$ .

Proof. [1, Satz 13.11]. ■

## 2. Logarithms of normal operators

Throughout this section  $N$  denotes a normal operator in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .

2.1. THEOREM. If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $e^T = N$  and  $\sigma(T)$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free, then  $T$  is normal.

Proof. From  $e^{T^*} = (e^T)^* = N^*$  we get

$$e^{T^*} e^T = N^* N = N N^* = e^T e^{T^*}.$$

Now use Proposition 1.1(b) to derive  $TT^* = T^*T$ . ■

Our next result generalizes Theorem C:

2.2. COROLLARY. Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,

$$e^T = N \quad \text{and} \quad r(T) < \pi.$$

Then  $T$  is normal.

Proof. Since  $r(T) < \pi$ ,  $\sigma(T)$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free. The normality of  $T$  follows from Theorem 2.1. ■

EXAMPLE. Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$  and the operator  $T$  be given by the matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} i\pi & 0 \\ z & -i\pi \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  is arbitrary. Then  $\sigma(T) = \{i\pi, -i\pi\}$ ,  $r(T) = \pi$  and  $e^T = -I$ . But  $T$  is *not* normal. This shows that the condition  $r(T) < \pi$  in Corollary 2.2 cannot be replaced by  $r(T) \leq \pi$ .

2.3. THEOREM. Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $e^T = N$ . Then

$$T \text{ is symmetric} \iff \sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$$

In this case  $N$  is positive.

Proof. ( $\implies$ ): If  $T$  is symmetric,  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . By Proposition 1.2(a),  $N = e^T$  is positive.

( $\impliedby$ ): Since  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma(T)$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free, thus, by Theorem 2.1,  $T$  is normal. Now use Proposition 1.2(c) to see that  $T$  is symmetric. ■

2.4. COROLLARY. If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $e^T = N$  then

$$T \text{ is positive} \iff \sigma(T) \subseteq [0, \infty).$$

Proof. Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 1.2(c). ■

2.5. THEOREM. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $e^T = N$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (a)  $T$  is normal;
- (b)  $e^{T+T^*} = N^*N$ ;
- (c)  $e^{\operatorname{Re}(T)} = |N|$ .

Proof. If  $T$  is normal,

$$e^{T+T^*} = e^{T^*} e^T = N^*N,$$

thus (a) implies (b).

Suppose that (b) holds. It follows from Proposition 1.2(a) that  $e^{T+T^*}$  and  $e^{\operatorname{Re}(T)}$  are positive. Hence  $e^{\operatorname{Re}(T)}$  is the square root of  $e^{T+T^*}$ . Therefore

$$e^{\operatorname{Re}(T)} = (N^*N)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |N|,$$

hence (c) is valid.

Now assume that (c) holds. Then

$$e^{T+T^*} = |N|^2 = N^*N = NN^*,$$

hence

$$e^{T+T^*} = e^{T^*} e^T = e^T e^{T^*}.$$

It follows from Proposition 1.1(d) that  $T$  is normal. ■

### 3. Logarithms of symmetric operators

Throughout this section  $A$  denotes a symmetric operator in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .

As an immediate consequence of our results in Section 2 we have:

3.1. THEOREM. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $e^T = A$ .

- (a) If  $\sigma(T)$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free, then  $T$  is normal.
- (b)  $T$  is normal  $\iff e^{T+T^*} = A^2$ .
- (c)  $T$  is symmetric  $\iff \sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .
- (d)  $T$  is positive  $\iff \sigma(T) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ .

DEFINITIONS. For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  put

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_j &= \{\alpha + j\pi i : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ \Omega_+ &= \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \Omega_{2j}, \quad \Omega_- = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_{2j+1}, \\ \Omega &= \Omega_+ \cup \Omega_-.\end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is easily verified.

3.2. LEMMA.

- (a)  $\Omega_0 = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  we have
  - (i)  $e^\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $e^\lambda > 0 \iff \lambda \in \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_+$ , and
  - (ii)  $e^\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $e^\lambda < 0 \iff \lambda \in \Omega_-$ .
- (c) If  $K = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 2\pi\}$  then

$$K \cap \Omega_+ = \{2\pi i, -2\pi i\}$$

and

$$K \cap \Omega_- = \{\alpha \pm i\pi : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, |\alpha| \leq \sqrt{3}\pi\}.$$

3.3. THEOREM. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $e^T = A$ . Then

$$T \text{ is symmetric } \iff \sigma(T) \cap \Omega = \emptyset.$$

In this case  $A$  is positive.

Proof. ( $\implies$ ): If  $T$  is symmetric,  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , thus  $\sigma(T) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Since  $e^T$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $0 \in \rho(A)$ , therefore  $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Take  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ , then, by the spectral mapping theorem ([1, Satz 99.2]),  $e^\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ . Part (b) of Lemma 3.2 yields, since  $\sigma(T) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ ,  $\lambda \in \Omega_0 = \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore we have that  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Use Theorem 3.1(c) to derive the symmetry of  $T$ . ■

3.4. COROLLARY. *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $e^T = A$  and  $r(T) \leq 2\pi$ . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $T$  is symmetric.
- (b)  $-2\pi i, 2\pi i \notin \sigma(T)$  and  $\sigma(T) \cap \{\alpha \pm i\pi : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, |\alpha| \leq \sqrt{3}\pi\} = \emptyset$ .

Proof. Lemma 3.2(c) and Theorem 3.3. ■

#### 4. Logarithms of positive operators

Throughout this section let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be positive and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .

4.1. THEOREM. *If  $e^T = A$  then*

$$T \text{ is normal} \iff e^{\operatorname{Re}(T)} = A.$$

Proof. Since  $A$  is positive, Theorem 2.5 gives

$$T \text{ is normal} \iff e^{\operatorname{Re}(T)} = |A| = (A^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = A. \blacksquare$$

4.2. THEOREM. *If  $e^T = A$  then*

$$T \text{ is symmetric} \iff \sigma(T) \cap \Omega_+ = \emptyset.$$

Proof. If  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  then  $e^\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ . Since  $0 \in \rho(A)$ , we get  $e^\lambda > 0$ , by Proposition 1.2(c). Lemma 3.2(b) gives then that  $\sigma(T) \cap \Omega_- = \emptyset$ . Now use Theorem 3.3 to complete the proof. ■

4.3. COROLLARY. *If  $e^T = A$  and  $r(T) \leq 2\pi$  then*

$$T \text{ is symmetric} \iff 2\pi i \notin \sigma(T) \text{ and } -2\pi i \notin \sigma(T).$$

Proof. Lemma 3.2(c) and Theorem 4.2. ■

REMARK. As an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.3 we get Theorem B (Section 1).

4.4. THEOREM. *Suppose that  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is symmetric and that  $e^T = e^B$ . then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $T = B$ .
- (b)  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .
- (c)  $\sigma(T) \cap \Omega_+ = \emptyset$ .

Proof. The implications (a) $\implies$ (b) $\implies$ (c) are clear. Now suppose that (c) holds. Since  $e^B$  is positive (Proposition 1.2(a)), Theorem 4.2 shows that  $T$

is symmetric. Hence  $T - B$  is symmetric. From  $e^T = e^B$  and Proposition 1.1(a) we derive  $TB = BT$  and so  $e^{T-B} = I$ .

Now take  $\lambda \in \sigma(T - B)$ . Then  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $e^\lambda = 1$ , thus  $\lambda = 0$ . This gives  $\sigma(T - B) = \{0\}$ , hence  $r(T - B) = 0$ . Since  $T - B$  is symmetric, Proposition 1.2(b) shows that  $\|T - B\| = 0$ , hence (a) is valid. ■

4.5. COROLLARY. Suppose that  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is symmetric,

$$e^T = e^B \text{ and } r(T) \leq 2\pi.$$

(a)  $T = B \iff 2\pi i \notin \sigma(T)$  and  $-2\pi i \notin \sigma(T)$ .

(b) If  $r(T) < 2\pi$  then  $T = B$ .

Proof. (a) Since  $r(T) \leq 2\pi$ , we get from Lemma 3.2(c) that

$$\sigma(T) \cap \Omega_+ \subseteq \{2\pi i, -2\pi i\}.$$

Consequently, by Theorem 4.4,  $T = B$  if and only if  $2\pi i, -2\pi i \notin \sigma(T)$ .

(b) follows from (a). ■

DEFINITION.  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *isoloid* if every isolated point of  $\sigma(T)$  belongs to  $\sigma_p(T)$ .

From [1, Satz 112.2] we get:

4.6. LEMMA. If  $T$  is normal, then  $T$  is isoloid.

4.7. THEOREM. Let  $e^T = A$  and  $r(T) \leq 2\pi$ .

(a) If  $T$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $2\pi i \notin \sigma(T)$  or  $-2\pi i \notin \sigma(T)$  then  $T$  is normal.

(b) Let  $2\pi i \notin \sigma_p(T)$  and  $-2\pi i \notin \sigma_p(T)$ . Then

$$T \text{ is isoloid} \iff T \text{ is normal} \iff T \text{ is symmetric.}$$

Proof. (a) We assume that  $-2\pi i \notin \sigma(T)$  (the proof for the case  $2\pi i \notin \sigma(T)$  is similar). Take  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ . Then  $e^\lambda > 0$ , thus  $\lambda = \alpha + 2k\pi i$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$|\lambda|^2 = \alpha^2 + 4k^2\pi^2 \leq r(T)^2 \leq 4\pi^2,$$

hence  $k \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ . Suppose  $k = -1$ . Thus  $\lambda = \alpha - 2\pi i$ , which gives  $|\lambda|^2 = \alpha^2 + 4\pi^2 \leq 4\pi^2$ , hence  $\alpha = 0$  and so  $\lambda = -2\pi i$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $k = 0$  or  $k = 1$ . If  $k = 1$  then  $\lambda = 2\pi i$ . This shows that

$$\sigma(T) \subseteq ([-2\pi, 2\pi] \cup \{2\pi i\}) \setminus \{0\}.$$

But the last set is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free, hence  $\sigma(T)$  has this property. Theorem 2.1 shows that  $T$  is normal, as desired.

(b) Because of Lemma 4.6, the implications

$$T \text{ symmetric} \implies T \text{ normal} \implies T \text{ isoloid}$$

are clear.

Now suppose that  $T$  is isoloid. Assume that  $2\pi i \in \sigma(T)$ . As in the proof of (a),  $2\pi i$  is an isolated point of  $\sigma(T)$ , hence  $2\pi i \in \sigma_p(T)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $2\pi i \notin \sigma(T)$ . The same argument gives  $-2\pi i \notin \sigma(T)$ . Use Corollary 4.3 to get the symmetry of  $T$ . ■

In what follows we investigate logarithms of the operator  $e^{i\theta}A$ , where  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ . The following result is due to S. Kurepa ([3, Corollary 1]). We will give a slightly different proof.

4.8. THEOREM. Let  $e^T = e^{i\theta}A$  and  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ .

- (a) If  $\theta \in (0, \pi]$  then  $r(T) \geq \theta$ .
- (b) If  $\theta \in [\pi, 2\pi)$  then  $r(T) \geq 2\pi - \theta$ .

Proof. (a) Assume to the contrary that  $r(T) < \theta$ . Then  $r(T - i\theta I) \leq r(T) + \theta < 2\theta \leq 2\pi$ . From  $e^{T-i\theta I} = A$  and Corollary 4.3 we see that  $T - i\theta I$  is symmetric, thus  $T$  is normal and  $T - T^* = 2i\theta I$ . Furthermore, by Proposition 1.2(b),

$$2\theta = r(T - T^*) = \|T - T^*\| \leq \|T\| + \|T^*\| = 2\|T\| = 2r(T) < 2\theta,$$

a contradiction.

- (b) Put  $\vartheta = 2\pi - \theta$ , then  $\vartheta \in (0, \pi]$  and

$$e^{T^*} = (e^T)^* = e^{-i\theta}A = e^{i(2\pi-\theta)}A = e^{i\vartheta}A.$$

Now use (a) to derive

$$r(T) = r(T^*) \geq \vartheta = 2\pi - \theta. \quad \blacksquare$$

Our final result in this section reads as follows:

4.9. THEOREM. Let  $e^T = e^{i\theta}A$  and  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ .

- (a) If  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$  and  $r(T) = \theta$  then  $T$  is normal and

$$\sigma_\pi(T) = \{i\theta\}.$$

- (b) If  $\theta = \pi$  and  $r(T) = \theta$  then

$$\sigma_\pi(T) = \{i\pi, -i\pi\}.$$

- (c) If  $\theta \in (\pi, 2\pi)$  and  $r(T) = 2\pi - \theta$  then  $T$  is normal and

$$\sigma_\pi(T) = \{i(\theta - 2\pi)\}.$$

EXAMPLE. Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$  and

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} i\pi & 0 \\ z & -i\pi \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  (see Example 2.6). Then

$$r(T) = \pi, \quad e^T = -I = e^{i\pi}I$$

and



$$\sigma(T) = \sigma_\pi(T) = \{i\pi, -i\pi\}.$$

But  $T$  is not normal. This example shows that in part (b) of Theorem 4.9 in general  $T$  is not normal and  $\sigma_\pi(T)$  is not a singleton.

**Proof of Theorem 4.9.** (a) Let  $\lambda \in \sigma_\pi(T)$ . Then  $e^\lambda = e^{i\theta}\alpha$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Thus there is  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\lambda = \log \alpha + i(\theta + 2k\pi)$ . From

$$|\lambda|^2 = (\log \alpha)^2 + (\theta + 2k\pi)^2 = r(T)^2 = \theta^2,$$

we derive  $|\theta + 2k\pi| \leq \theta$ . It follows that  $k\pi \geq -\theta$  and  $k \leq 0$ . Since  $\theta < \pi$ ,  $-1 < k \leq 0$ , thus  $k = 0$ . Hence  $\lambda = \log \alpha + i\theta$ . Again by  $|\lambda| = \theta$ , we get  $\lambda = i\theta$  and so  $\sigma_\pi(T) = \{i\theta\}$ . From  $r(T) = \theta < \pi$  we see that  $\sigma(T)$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free. Since  $A = e^{T-i\theta I}$ ,  $e^{T^*+i\theta I} = A^* = A = e^{T-i\theta}$ . Hence

$$e^T = e^{T^*+2i\theta I}.$$

Proposition 1.1(a) shows then that  $T(T^* + 2i\theta I) = (T^* + 2i\theta I)T$ , thus  $T$  is normal.

(c) Put  $\vartheta = 2\pi - \theta$ . As in the proof of Theorem 4.8(b) we have  $\vartheta \in (0, \pi)$  and  $e^{T^*} = e^{i\vartheta}A$ . Since  $r(T^*) = r(T) = 2\pi - \theta = \vartheta$ , (a) gives the normality of  $T$  and  $\sigma_\pi(T) = \{\bar{\lambda} : \lambda \in \sigma_\pi(T^*)\} = \{-i\vartheta\} = \{i(\theta - 2\pi)\}$ .

(b) Take  $\lambda \in \sigma_\pi(T)$ . Then  $\lambda = \log \alpha + i(\pi + 2k\pi)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Use

$$|\lambda|^2 = (\log \alpha)^2 + (2k + 1)^2\pi^2 = \pi^2$$

to derive  $k \in \{0, -1\}$ . Thus  $\lambda = i\pi$  or  $\lambda = -i\pi$ . ■

## 5. Logarithms of unitary operators

Throughout this section let  $U$  denote an unitary operator in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and let  $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .

5.1. LEMMA.  $\sigma(U) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$ .

**Proof.** [1, Satz 118.1]. ■

5.2. THEOREM. If  $e^S = U$ , then

$$S \text{ is normal} \iff S = -S^*.$$

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ): Clear.

( $\Rightarrow$ ): By hypothesis and Theorem 2.5,

$$e^{S+S^*} = U^*U = I.$$

Take  $\lambda \in \sigma(S + S^*)$ . Then  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $e^\lambda = 1$ , thus  $\lambda = 0$ . Therefore  $\sigma(S + S^*) = \{0\}$  and  $r(S + S^*) = 0$ . Proposition 1.2(b) gives  $S = -S^*$ . ■

5.3. COROLLARY. Let  $e^{iT} = U$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (a)  $T$  is normal.
- (b)  $T$  is symmetric.

Proof. Put  $S = iT$  and use Theorem 5.2 to derive

$T$  is normal  $\iff S$  is normal  $\iff S = -S^* \iff iT = iT^* \iff T = T^*$ . ■

5.4. COROLLARY. Suppose that  $e^{iT} = U$  and  $r(T) \leq \pi$ . If  $\pi \notin \sigma(T)$  or  $-\pi \notin \sigma(T)$  then  $T$  is symmetric.

Proof. Take  $\lambda \in \sigma(iT)$ . Then  $e^\lambda \in \sigma(U)$ , thus  $|e^\lambda| = 1$ , by Lemma 5.1. It follows that  $\lambda = i\beta$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $|\beta| = |\lambda| \leq r(T) \leq \pi$ ,

$$\sigma(iT) \subseteq \{i\beta : \beta \in [-\pi, \pi]\}.$$

By hypothesis,  $i\pi \notin \sigma(iT)$  or  $-i\pi \notin \sigma(iT)$ , hence  $\sigma(iT)$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free. From

$$e^{-iT^*} = (e^{iT})^* = U^* = U^{-1} = e^{-iT},$$

we get  $e^{iT} = e^{iT^*}$ . From Proposition 1.1(a) we then derive that  $T$  is normal. Corollary 5.3 shows therefore that  $T$  is symmetric. ■

REMARK. Example 2.6 shows that we cannot drop the condition " $\pi \notin \sigma(T)$  or  $-\pi \notin \sigma(T)$ " in Corollary 5.4 without changing the conclusion.

5.5. COROLLARY. Suppose that  $e^{iT}$  is unitary and that  $r(T) < \pi$ . Then  $T$  is symmetric.

## References

- [1] H. Heuser, *Funktionalanalysis*, Teubner (1991).
- [2] E. Hille On roots and logarithms of elements of a complex Banach algebra, *Math. Ann.* 136 (1958), 46–57.
- [3] S. Kurepa, A note on logarithms of normal operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13 (1962), 307–311.
- [4] G. K. Petersen, *Analysis Now*, Springer (1988).
- [5] C. R. Putnam, On square roots and logarithms of self-adjoint operators, *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.* 4 (1958), 1–2.
- [6] Ch. Schmoege, Remarks on commuting exponentials in Banach algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127 (1999), 1337–1338.
- [7] Ch. Schmoege, Remarks on commuting exponentials in Banach algebras II, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128 (2000), 3405–3409.
- [8] E. M. E. Wermuth, A remark on commuting operator exponentials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997), 1685–1688.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT I  
 UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE  
 D-76128 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY  
 E-mail: christoph.schmoeger@math.uni-karlsruhe.de

Received June 11, 2001; revised version November 6, 2001.