

F. M. Al-Oboudi, M. M. Hidan

# DISPROOF OF AN INCLUSION RELATION OF CLASSES RELATED TO SPIRALLIKE FUNCTIONS

**Abstract.** An inclusion relation between classes of functions, defined by Ruscheweyh derivative and related to spirallike functions, given by S.S. Bhoosnurmath and M. V. Devadas [2] is disproved and some new results are given.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $H$  denote the class of functions  $f$  which are analytic in the unit disk  $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and normalized by  $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$ . An analytic function  $f$  on  $\Delta$  is said to be subordinate to an analytic function  $g$  on  $\Delta$  (written  $f \prec g$ ) if  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ,  $z \in \Delta$  for some analytic function  $w$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  in  $\Delta$ . The Hadamard product (convolution) of two power series

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

is defined as the power series

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Denote by  $D^n : H \rightarrow H$  the operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D^n f(z) &= \frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}} * f, \quad n \in N_o = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k+n-1)!}{n!(k-1)!} a_k z^k. \end{aligned}$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30C45.

*Key words and phrases*: analytic, Ruscheweyh derivative, convolution, spirallike, subordination.

Note that  $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$  and  $D^1 f(z) = zf'(z)$ . The symbol  $D^n f$  was named the Ruscheweyh derivative by Al-Amiri [1].

The class  $P[A, B]$  introduced by Janowski [4], is defined as follows. For real numbers  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ,  $p \in P[A, B]$  if, and only if,  $p$  is analytic on  $\Delta$ ,  $p(0) = 1$  and

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

We have following:

DEFINITION 1.1. A function  $f \in H$  is said to belong to the class  $Sp_n^\lambda[A, B]$  if and only if

$$e^{i\lambda} \frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} \prec \cos \lambda \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} + i \sin \lambda, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are arbitrary fixed numbers,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ , and  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

From the definition of subordination we get  $f \in Sp_n^\lambda[A, B]$  if, and only if,

$$e^{i\lambda} \frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} = \cos \lambda \frac{1 + A\omega(z)}{1 + B\omega(z)} + i \sin \lambda, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

By giving specific values to  $n$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $\lambda$ , we obtain the following known classes:

1. For  $n = 0$  and  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $Sp_0^0[A, B] \equiv S^*[A, B]$ , the subclasses of starlike functions, defined by Janowski [4].
2. For  $n = 0$ ,  $A = 1$  and  $B = -1$ ,  $Sp^\lambda[1, -1] \equiv Sp^\lambda$ , the class of  $\lambda$ -spirallike univalent functions, introduced by Špaček [6].
3. For  $n = 1$ ,  $A = 1$ ,  $B = -1$ ,  $Sp_1^\lambda[1, -1] \equiv C^\lambda$ , the class of Robertson functions  $f$  for which  $zf' \in Sp^\lambda$ , introduced by Robertson [5].
4. For  $n = 0$ ,  $Sp_0^\lambda[A, B] \equiv Sp^\lambda[A, B]$ , the subclasses of spirallike functions defined by Dashrath and Shukla [3].

From Definition 1.1 we observe that

$$f \in Sp_n^\lambda[A, B] \text{ if and only if } D^n f \in Sp^\lambda[A, B].$$

## 2. Counter example

S. S. Bhoosnurmath and M. V. Devadas [2] considered the classes  $Sp_n^\lambda[A, B]$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are complex numbers with  $|A| \leq 1$ ,  $|B| \leq 1$  and  $A \neq B$ . Bhoosnurmath and Devads [2] (Theorem 4) stated the following

$$(2.1) \quad Sp_{n+1}^\lambda[A, B] \subset Sp_n^\lambda[A, B]$$

for  $n \in N_0$ . In this paper we show that (2.1) is not true.

COUNTER-EXAMPLE. Let  $n = 0$ . Then  $Sp_1^\lambda[A, B] \not\subseteq Sp_0^\lambda[A, B]$ . Consider the function.

$$(2.2) \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[ (1-z)^{-\mu} - 1 \right], \quad \mu + 1 = |\mu + 1| e^{-i\lambda} \quad \left( -\frac{\pi}{2} < \lambda < \frac{\pi}{2} \right).$$

Robertson [5] showed that  $g$  belongs to  $C_\lambda \equiv Sp_1[1, -1]$ , and is not univalent on  $\Delta$ , when  $\mu$  lies in the set  $R$  defined by the inequalities  $|\mu| \leq 1$ ,  $|\mu + 1| > 1$ ,  $|\mu - 1| > 1$  (see figure 1). Hence  $g$  belongs to  $Sp_1^\lambda[1, -1]$  and not to  $Sp_0^\lambda[1, -1] \equiv Sp^\lambda$ , when  $\mu$  lies in the set  $R$  defined above.

### 3. Other properties of the class $Sp_n^\lambda[A, B]$

In the following we show how to move through different classes of  $Sp_n^\lambda[A, B]$ , for different  $n$ , first through an integral transformation and the second by using convolution with hypergeometric functions.

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $J : H \rightarrow H$  be defined by

$$(3.1) \quad J(f) = \frac{n+1}{z^n} \int_0^z t^{n-1} f(t) dt.$$

Then  $f \in Sp_n^\lambda[A, B]$  if and only if  $J(f) \in Sp_{n+1}^\lambda[A, B]$ .

Proof. We have to show that  $D^n f = D^{n+1} J(f)$ , from (3.1) we get

$$(3.2) \quad D^n J(f(z)) = \frac{n+1}{z^n} \int_0^z t^{n-1} D^n f(t) dt.$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.2) gives

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{n}{n+1} D^n J(f(z)) + \frac{z}{n+1} (D^n J(f(z)))' = D^n f(z).$$

From the well-known identity

$$z(D^n f(z))' = (n+1)D^{n+1} f(z) - n(D^n f(z)),$$

and (3.3), we get the required result. ■

Recall the generalized hypergeometric function

$${}_mF_n(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k (\alpha_2)_k \dots (\alpha_m)_k}{(\beta_1)_k (\beta_2)_k \dots (\beta_n)_k k!} z^k$$

where  $(\alpha)_0 = 1$  and  $(a)_k = a(a+1) \dots (a+k-1)$  for  $k \geq 1$ .

THEOREM 3.1. Let

$$L(z) = {}_{m+1}F_n(n+1, \dots, n+1, 1; n+2, \dots, n+2, \dots, n+2; z),$$

be hypergeometric function. Then  $f \in Sp_n^\lambda[A, B]$  if and only if  $(f * zL) \in Sp_{n+m}^\lambda[A, B]$ .

Proof. Let  $f \in Sp_n^\lambda[A, B]$ . For  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ ,  $a_1 = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(f(z)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{n+k} a_k z^k \\ &= \left( z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{n+k+1} z^k \right) * \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \\ &= \left( z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)_k (1)_k}{(n+2)_k k!} z^k \right) * f(z), \end{aligned}$$

belongs to  $Sp_{n+1}^\lambda[A, B]$  from Theorem 3.1. Applying Theorem 3.1  $m$  times we get the required result. ■

Using the relation (1.1) and the coefficient bounds for the class  $Sp_0^\lambda[A, B]$  given in [3], we have the following.

THEOREM 3.3. If  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in Sp_n^\lambda[A, B]$ , then

$$|a_2| \leq (A - B) \cos \lambda$$

for  $A - 2B \leq \sqrt{\{1 + (1 - B^2) \tan^2 \lambda\}}$ ,  $k \geq 3$ ,

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{n1(k-1)!}{(k+n-1)!} \frac{(A-B) \cos \lambda}{(k-1)},$$

and for

$$A - (k-1)B > (k-2) \sqrt{\{1 + (1 - B^2) \tan^2 \lambda\}}, \quad k \geq 3,$$

and

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{n1(k-1)!}{(k+n-1)!} \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} \left| \frac{(A-B)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda - jB}{j+1} \right|,$$

for  $z \in \Delta$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ . This result is sharp as seen by the function

$$(3.4) \quad D^n f(z) = \begin{cases} z(1+Bz)^{\frac{(A-B)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda}{B}}, & B \neq 0 \\ z \exp(Ae^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda z), & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

The following Lemma can be used to get the radius of being in  $Sp_n^\beta$  for functions in  $Sp_n^\lambda[A, B]$ .

LEMMA 3.1 [7]. If  $f \in Sp_n^\lambda[A, B]$ , then  $f$  is  $\beta$ -spirallike in  $|z| < R_1(\lambda, \beta, A, B)$ , where  $R_1(\lambda, \beta, A, B)$  is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$(3.5) \quad B[A \cos \lambda \cos(\lambda + \beta) + B \sin \lambda \sin(\lambda - \beta)]r^2 + (A - B) \cos \lambda r - \cos \beta = 0.$$

This result is sharp.

Using Lemma 3.1 and the relation (1.1) we immediately have

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  belong to  $Sp_n^\lambda[A, B]$ . Then  $f \in Sp_n^\beta$  in  $|z| < R_1(\lambda, \beta, A, B)$ , where  $R_1(\lambda, \beta, A, B)$  is the smallest positive root of the equation (3.5). This result is sharp as can be seen from the function  $f$  given in (3.4).*

### References

- [1] H. Al-Amiri, *On Ruscheweyh derivative*, Ann. Polon. Math. 38 (1980), 87–94.
- [2] S. Bhoosnurmath and M. Devads, *Subclasses of spirallike functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives*, Tamkang J. Math. 28 (1997), 59–66.
- [3] Dashrath and S. Shukla, *Coefficient estimates for a subclass of spirallike functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 14 (1983), 431–439.
- [4] I. Janowski, *Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions I*, Ann. Polon. Math. 28 (1973), 298–326.
- [5] M. Robertson, *Univalent functions  $f(z)$  for which  $zf'(z)$  is spirallike*, Mich. Math. J. 16 (1969), 97–101.
- [6] L. Špaček, *Contribution à la théorie des fonctions univalentes*, Časopis Pěst. Mat.-Fys. 62 (1932), 12–19.
- [7] V. Kumar and S. Shukla, *Radii of spirallikeness of certain analytic functions*, Tamkang J. Math. 17 (1986), 51–58.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT  
GIRLS COLLEGE OF EDUCATION  
SCIENCE SECTIONS  
Sitteen Street, MALAZ  
RIYADH, SAUDI ARABIA  
E-mail: fma34@yahoo.com

*Received May 17, 2000; revised version February 1st, 2001.*

